

2-2 4-82

E.O. 52

HOB 641

HOB 642

LABOR & COMMERCE COMMITTEE
DAILY COMMITTEE HEARING

Date: 2/24/82

Place: _____

<u>Members</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>	<u>Time Arrived</u>	<u>Time Left</u>
Rep. B. Bylsma, V. Chair	✓		1:10	
Rep. D. Randolph	✓		1:05	
Rep. B. Rogers				
Rep. T. Gardiner	✓		1:18	
Rep. T. Martin, Chair	✓	12:55	12:55	

Subject Matter:

House Bill No. 641 642 _____

Senate Bill No. _____

Special Orders:

Executive Order 52

HOUSE LABOR & COMMERCE
STANDING COMMITTEE
February 24, 1982
1:12 p.m.

Members Present: Rep. Martin, Chairman
Rep. Bylsma, Vice Chairman
Rep. Randolph
Rep. Rogers

Members Absent: Rep. Gardiner

COMMITTEE CALENDAR

Executive Order 52 Regarding merging the statutory responsibilities of the Wage and Hour Division and the Division of Occupational Safety and Health within the Department of Labor.

HB 641 An Act establishing a personal loan program for Alaska residents; and providing for an effective date.

HB 642 An Act establishing the Alaska natural resource trust; and providing for an effective date.

WITNESS REGISTER

Judy Knight
Department of Labor
Box 1149
Juneau, Alaska 99811
465-2700
Position Statement: Explained Executive Order 52.

Dale Cheek
Department of Labor
Box 630
Juneau, Alaska 99811
465-4870
Position Statement: Explained Executive Order 52.

Anselm Staack, Comptroller
Division of Treasury
Department of Revenue
Pouch SB
Juneau, Alaska 99811
465-2350
Position Statement: Went over fiscal notes necessary for HB 642.

Billy Berrier, Director
LAA Legal Services
Pouch Y
Juneau, Alaska 99811
465-3867

Position Statement: Available to answer questions.

Lynette Clark
CJ Mining, Inc.
PO Box 10492
Fairbanks, Alaska
456-3086

Position Statement: Testified in support of HB 641 and 642.

Dave Massey, Deputy Director
Division of Loans & Veterans Affairs
Department of Commerce
Pouch D
Juneau, Alaska 99811
465-2510

Position Statement: Testified regarding HB 641.

PREVIOUS ACTION

Executive Order 52 No previous action.

Statutory Reference: AS 18.60; AS
23.10.075.

Action Taken: Rep. Rogers moved that the committee write a letter to Speaker Hayes stating that the committee had reviewed EO 52 and found it to be of benefit to the administration, and note changes (correction of clerical errors). Motion passed without objection.

HB 641 No previous action.

Statutory Reference: AS 45.

Action Taken: Rep. Rogers moved to delete Section 1. There was objection by Rep. Randolph. On the question: for--Rogers, Martin, Bylsma; opposed--Randolph. Motion passed.

Rep. Rogers moved, page 2, line 26, to add subsection (3): "makes an application for the loan on or before August 24, 1982." Rep. Bylsma objected. Rep. Rogers withdrew the motion and restated it, changing the date to November 1, 1982. On the question:

for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Bylsma, Martin. Motion failed.

Rep. Rogers moved, page 2, line 10, insert after the word "for", the words "up to". On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph, Bylsma; opposed--Martin. Motion passed.

Rep. Rogers moved, page 2, line 5, to insert a new sentence, to read, "If funds appropriated to this program are insufficient to fully fund all loan applications, the Commissioner shall reduce the maximum amount of the loan to an amount which would allow all loans to be made." On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Bylsma, Martin. Motion failed.

Rep. Rogers moved to incorporate the amendments into a new committee substitute for HB 641. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.

Rep. Bylsma moved, page 2, line 26, to delete subsection (2). Rep. Randolph objected, and withdrew his objection. Motion passed without objection.

Rep. Randolph moved to rescind the committee's action in not adopting Rep. Rogers' amendment to page 2, line 5. On the question, for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.

Rep. Randolph moved to report the bill from committee with individual recommendations. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.

Rep. Randolph moved to rescind the committee's action in not reporting the bill from committee. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.

1 42


Resources Committee added Labor & Commerce Committee referral by unanimous consent. Bill was moved from Resources to Labor & Commerce 1/12/82.

Statutory Reference: AS 37.

Action Taken: No formal action taken. Rep.

Rogers requested forming a subcommittee to review bill. Rep. Martin said he wished to discuss composition of a subcommittee with the House leadership before appointing a subcommittee.

ACTION NARRATIVE


Tape #25
Recording
Number 000

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Martin at 1:12 p.m. Members present were: Representatives L. A. Bylsma, Rogers, and Randolph. Representative Gardiner was absent.

Number 010

Rep. Martin brought Executive Order 52 before the committee for consideration. He asked Judy Knight and Dale Cheek, both from the Department of Labor, to come before the committee to give an overview of the Order.

Number 014

Judy Knight said Dale Cheek would be the director of the proposed Labor Standards & Safety. She gave background of the need for the order, and went over how the new name was selected, based on the functions of the agency. In response to a question by Rep. Martin, Knight explained the new draft which was given to the committee was needed because of incorrect statutory citations. The changes were discussed, and changes adopted.

Number 070

There was discussion about what action to take on the order. Rep. Rogers moved that the committee write a letter to the Speaker of the House stating that the committee had reviewed EO 52 and found it to be of benefit to the administration. Motion passed without objection.

Number 094

Rep. Martin brought HB 642 before the committee, and said that Legal Services wished to testify. Rep. Martin noted that HJR 81 is similar to HB 642.

Number 111

Rep. Randolph, prime sponsor of HB 642 and HJR 81, spoke of the purpose of the legislation, which he said was to take a percentage of resource development income, aside from taxes income, and create a trust, of which every Alaskan would be a

beneficiary. For his motivation, he said he believes the wealth belongs to the people of the state, and should be shared equitably. He said this legislation would affect 25-30% of the total income from resource extraction. He expanded on this, and recommended appointing a subcommittee to examine the bill.

Number 148

Rep. Rogers, co-sponsor of HB 642, said he believes this can be done without a constitutional amendment. He concurred with Rep. Randolph that the bill should be worked on by a subcommittee.

Number 169

Rep. Martin said he is concerned this is similar to a bill by Senator Gravel (which would have created AGSOC, Alaska General Stock Ownership Corporation). Rep. Randolph explained the difference, and said it would have the opposite effect. Rep. Rogers noted the similarity--transferring money from the state to individuals--but felt the trust is just a vehicle to transfer funds, not to conduct business. He gave examples of similar royalty trusts. Rogers said the advantage of a trust is that there are good precedents of similar trusts. Rep. Randolph went into the justification for a trust.

Number 236

Rep. Martin said the constitution already says the natural resources wealth belongs to all the people. Rep. Randolph responded that the federal and state governments have made agreements with development companies (oil companies) to the exclusion of individual participation. He said oil companies are given a favored position. Randolph said the people of the state have derived a significant share, but the money belongs to the people equally. He felt there is no justification for giving one segment more than another.

Number 275

Rep. Martin asked for a timeframe if a special subcommittee is appointed. Rep. Randolph felt that a good bill could be drafted by the end of session. Rep. Martin asked if members of the Resources Committee could be allowed on the subcommittee. Rep. Randolph felt Labor & Commerce had expertise, and suggested appointing Representatives Rogers, Gardner, and himself.

Number 303

Rep. Martin said Phillip Woll requested to be allowed to give input. There was discussion of fiscal notes. A gentleman from the audience said he had written a fiscal note.

Number 319

Anselm Staack, Comptroller, Division of Treasury, said three fiscal notes were necessary: 1) the amount of revenue reduction to the general fund unrestricted amounts. He said this excluded conservation and severance tax monies; it appeared these may have been addressed in the bill, but not in the resolution. Rep. Rogers said the intent is income derived from being owners as opposed to being a sovereign taxation authority. Rep. Rogers requested a revision be prepared to match the revenue forecast. Staack referred to other fiscal notes: 2) a fiscal note reflecting administrative costs with investment money management; and, 3) administrative costs controlling share certificates, dividend distribution, etc.

Number 407

Rep. Martin said, regarding a constitutional amendment, that the Attorney General's position on amendments and initiatives on the ballot had been unpredictable, and asked Billy Berrier, director of LAA Legal Services, to clarify. Berrier said a constitutional amendment clearly goes on the ballot. Rep. Rogers asked if the legislature could put an advisory vote on the ballot. Berrier said the legislature could do that, but he couldn't say if it has clear power to do so. Rep. Martin went over past experiences.

Number 632

Rep. Bylsma asked why people would pay loans back if there is no security required. Rep. Randolph explained it would be similar to banks giving signature loans which require no security. The committee discussed problems with collection and the possibility of people coming to Alaska just to take advantage of "giveaway" money. Rep. Randolph said he sees the loan program taking place only once; after that, the royalty trust would come into effect.

Side B, Number 006

Rep. Martin expressed concern about constitutionality and funding. Rep. Randolph said there is no question of constitutionality with the loan. Discussion

continued.

- Number 033 Rep. Rogers recommended eliminating the sentence referring to estimated income. He expressed his feelings about participation in the program. Rep. Bylsma said he felt the first sentence of the intent section was just an opinion.
- Number 059 Lynette Clark, CJ Mining, Inc., Fairbanks, said she supported the bill. She gave her views and examples of how the bill could benefit people. She felt the trickle-down effect would benefit everyone. She thought many grants could be eliminated with this type of program.
- Number 122 Committee discussed funding of the program. Staack answered questions posed by the committee. Rep. Rogers asked him to go ahead and figure lower costs if they can see modifications to reduce administrative costs.
- Number 460 Rep. Martin said he would like to discuss composition of a subcommittee with the House Leadership before appointing a subcommittee.
- Number 480 HB 641 was brought before the committee. Rep. Randolph explained the purpose of the bill. He said it is an attempt to establish a program to get money to Alaskans quickly with the least tax problems. It establishes a loan program to allow adult Alaskans to apply for a \$10,000 loan, with forgiveness of 20% for each of five years after the loan is acquired for each year of residency. He asked the committee to expedite the bill in order to get it to Finance.
- Number 551 Rep. Rogers said he is concerned, first, whether forgiveness makes the loan subject to taxation; and second, although he likes the idea of the loans, it seems only certain people get state loans, and probably the same people will get these new loans. He feels people should have a choice between personal loans, housing, agriculture, mining, or other loans. He said this might cut the cost while serving the same purpose.
- Number 590 Rep. Randolph agreed that the loans are taxable, but thought that, with inflation, it would be advantageous. He agrees with

the concept
whichever
this loan
for many
was an effort
and control

Tape 26, Number 144

Rep. Martin
student in
there would
discussion
difference
in process

Number 183

David Masse
Loans & Ve
real estate
applicant
processing
be the pro
be minimal
discussion

Number 225

Rep. Roger
There was
the question
opposed-

Rep. Roger
add a sub
for the lo
Rep. Byler
about set
of passing

Number 383

Rep. Roger
restarted
1, 1982".
Randolph;
failed.

Rep. Roger
insert be
"\$120,000"
objection
question
opposed--

Number 430

Rep. Roger
on page 2
appropriate
insufficient
application
the maximum

pt of only one state loan,
kind one chooses, but felt that
program could eliminate the need
other loan programs. He felt this
efficient way to get money, power,
col back to the people.

in, using the example of the
loan program, explained why he felt
uld be fiscal problems. There was
m. Rep. Rogers said he saw a major
e in processing student loans and
using the proposed personal loans.

ey, Deputy Director, Division of
Veterans Affairs, said there was no
question to be done on the
as. He said it would just be
ng the application, which would also
omissory note. He said there would
al processing time. There was
on.

ers moved to delete Section 1.
objection by Rep. Randolph. On
ion: for--Rogers, Martin, Bylsma;
Randolph. Motion passed.

ers moved, on page 2, line 26, to
subsection (3): "makes an application
loan on or before August 24, 1982."
oma objected. There was discussion
etting a date and the ramifications
ng the legislation.

ers withdrew the motion, and
it, changing the date to "November
On the question: for--Rogers,
opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion

ers moved, page 2, line 10, to
between the words "for" and
, the words "up to". There was
by Martin. Discussion. On the
for--Randolph, Rogers, Bylsma;
Martin. Motion passed.

ers moved to insert a new sentence
2, line 5, to read, "If funds
ated to this program are
ent to fully fund all loan
ions, the Commissioner shall reduce
sum amount of the loan to an amount

which would allow all loans to be made." There was discussion of availability of funds. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Bylsma, Martin. Motion failed.

- Number 480 Rep. Rogers moved to incorporate amendments into a committee substitute for HB 641. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Bylsma, Martin. Motion failed.
- Number 488 Rep. Bylsma said he wanted to add, page 2, line 24, that residency must be verified. There was discussion.
- Number 512 Massey explained the certification used in the veterans program. He said it would be easy to make a form for people to sign verifying residency and establishing penalties for making false statements. Rep. Randolph felt administrative procedures could be easily established. There was discussion of verifying residency and the potential for groups of people to falsely verify each others' residency statements.
- Number 592 Rep. Bylsma moved, on page 2, line 26, to delete subsection (2). There was objection by Rep. Randolph. Rep. Randolph withdrew his objection. Motion passed without objection.
- Number 725 Rep. Randolph moved that the committee rescind its action in not accepting Rep. Rogers' motion to amend page 2, line 5, regarding proration. There was objection by Rep. Martin. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.
- Side B, Number 010 Rep. Randolph moved to report HB 641 from committee with individual recommendations. On the question: for--Randolph, Rogers; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.
- Number 015 Rep. Randolph moved that the committee rescind its action in not accepting the motion to report the bill from committee. There was discussion. On the question: for--Rogers, Randolph; opposed--Martin, Bylsma. Motion failed.
- Number 026 There being no further business to come before the committee, the meeting was

adjourned at 2:46 p.m.

1:12 pm

000

START; Call to Order by Ch. Martin

Announced that Bylsma will chair tomorrow, as well as on his teleconf

010

Exec Order 52 - asked Knight & Check ^{-Dir wage} for overview

014

Judy Knight - said Check wd be dir of prop'd Labor Standards & Safety.
Gave background of need for order. Went over how new name was selected, based on functions of agency.

035

Check - agreed w/Knight.

044

Martin requested clarification abt new draft.

- Knight explained new draft was needed because of incorrect stat. citation.

- Martin - quest p2 l. 15; Knight said same error

065

^{Bylsma} Martin moved to accept amndmt to correct p. 2, l. 15

No obj, amndmt passed.

070

Discussed what action to take on order

Rogers mod comm write ltr to speaker stating comm would EO 52 & find it to be of benefit to admin.

094

HB 642 - Martin noted legal socs wishes to testify.

Noted HJR 81 is similar to bill.

111

Randolph, prime sponsor of both 642 & HJR 81. Spoke of purpose of legislation - take percentage of resource deplet income, aside from tax income, & create trust of which every Alaskan wd be a beneficiary. Motivation - blue wealth ~~is~~ belongs to people of the state, which shd be shared equitably. ^{affects} 25-30% of total income from resource extraction. Expanded; recommended appointing subcommittee to examine bill.

HB 642, cont'd

- 148 Rogers - CO-Appropriation - believes this can be done w/o consto amendt.
Wd be x'f'g asset & not funds to be rec'd. Concurs w/Randolph
that bill shd be worked on by subcommittee.
- 169 Martin concerned this is similar to a bill by Sen. Gravel (AGSOC).
Randolph explained difference - opposite effect.
Rogers ~~to~~ noted similarity - x'f'g money fm state to ind'ls - but
felt trust ~~was~~ ^{is} just a vehicle to x'f'g funds, not to conduct business.
Gave examples of similar royalty trusts. Said advantage
of trust is there are good ~~examples~~ precedents of similar trusts.
Randolph expanded on justification for trust.
- 236 ~~Rand moved to establish~~ ^{Wall} ^{resources}
Martin - said constitution already says ^{Wall} ^{resources} wealth belongs
to all the people. Randolph responded. Govts (fed & state)
have made agmts w/dept cos (oil cos) to the exclusion of
individual participation. Oil companies given favored pos'n;
people of state have derived signif share, but money belongs
to people equally. No justification for giving one segment more
than another.
- 275 Martin asked for timeframe if special comm. appointed.
Randolph felt by end of session good bill cd be drafted.
Martin asked if members of Resource comm cd be allowed in
subcomm. Randolph felt LtC had expertise in comm.
~~was~~ suggested Rogers, Gardiner & self.
- 303 Martin said Phillip Wall requested to be allowed to give input;
there was discussion of fiscal notes. Gentleman fm
audience said he had written fm.

319 Anselm Stock, Comptroller, Treas. Said 3 fn's necessary:

- 1) ant revenue reduction to genl fund unrestricted amts
- ~~also~~ excluded conservation & severance tax monies; appears
this may be addressed in bill, but not in resolution.

Rogers - intent is income derived from being owners as opp'd to being
sovereign tax'n auth.

- Stock - #s are in millions of \$; figures for 1984-87.

- Rogers requested preparing revision / match revenue forecast.

^{Stock}
2) (fn) admin costs w/ investment money mgmt

3) admin costs controlling share certificates, dividend distn, etc.

(Explained administrative fiscal notes (how figures were
arrived at).

~~3~~ 3) - this will be higher, Wall preparing it now; will be higher.

407 Martin, re const'l amend - Act of pos'n on ammnts/initiatives on
ballot ~~not~~ has been unpredictable. Asked Berrier to clarify.

422 Berrier - const'l amend clearly goes on ballot.

Rogers - can legis. put advisory vote on ballot? Berrier said legis.
cd, but can't say they have it has clear power.

Martin ~~went~~ over past experiences.

630

Byloma - why wd people pay loans back if there's no security, Randolph explained - eg, banks giving signature loans, collection procedures, etc.

Committee discussion of collection problems & possible problem w/ people coming up just to take advantage of ^{giveaway} ~~free~~ "money".

Randolph sees the loan pgm as a one-time deal; royalty trust wd come into effect after that.

Martin expressed concern abt constitutionality, & funding.

Side B

006 Randolph said no gstr of constitutionality w/ loan; people under 18 can't legally contract loans; also, other loan pgms exist. Discussion continued.

033 Rogers recommended eliminating sentence referring to estimated income. Have his feelings abt participation in pgm.

052 Byloma - felt first sentence of ^{intent} ~~bill~~ was just an opinion.

059 Willetto Clark - CJ Mining Inc, Fox, & retailer - ~~sent~~ ~~of~~ ~~bill~~ ~~was~~ ~~just~~ ~~an~~ ~~opinion~~. Supported bill. Have her views & examples of how bill could benefit people. Felt trickle-down effect wd also benefit everyone. Thought many grants cd be eliminated w/ this type pgm.

102 Resumed committee discussion. Martin asked abt fm fm dept of revenue. Rogers felt no cost to dept of revenue, fm correct. Rogers asked what wd be done if ~~too~~ not enough money approp'd to meet need - first come first serve, or pro ration. Randolph thought probly pro-rated.

450 Stock arranged. Rogers asked him to go ahead & figure low costs if they can see modifications to reduce admin. costs.

460 Martin said he'd like to discuss subcomm. membership w/ leadership.

HB 641

480

Randolph - explained purpose of bill; similar to HB 23.

Attempt to set pgm to get money to Alaskans quickly w/ least tax problems. Est's loan pgms to allow adult Alaskans to apply for \$10,000 loan; forgiveness of 20% for each of 5 yrs after loan acquired for each yr of residency. Asked committee to expedite bill in order to get it to finance.

551 Rogers concerned - 1) forgiveness makes it subject to taxation.

- 2) likes idea of ~~Alaskans~~ ^{seems} ~~but~~ only certain people get loans, & probly same people will get these loans. Feels people shd have choice bet. personal loans, housing, ag, mining, or other loans. Might cut cost while serving same purp.

590 Randolph - agrees loans are taxable, but w/ inflation, wd be advantageous.

Re getting loans now than on - agrees w/ concept; however such a loan pgm cd eliminate need for many other loan pgms. Efficient way to get money, power, & control back to people.

430 Rogers
p. 2, l. 5 ^{insert} new sentence:
seconded by Rand.
Discussion of availability of funds.

If funds appropriated to this pgm are insufficient to fully fund all loan applications, the commissioners shall reduce the max amt of the loan to an amount which wd allow all loans to be made.

476 Qstrn: for R, R
opp'd B M
failed

480 Rogers moved to the amdm'ts into C.S for HB 641
for R R against B, M

488 Bylsma ^{wanted} ~~needed~~ p. 2, l. 24, to add that residency must be verified.
Discussion.

512 Mr. Seely explained certification used in veterans pgm; easy to ~~make~~ make form for people to sign verifying residency, & establishing penalties.
Randolph felt administrative procedure easily established.
Discussion of ~~verify~~ verifying residency & potential ~~for~~ for groups of people to lie abt residency.

592 Bylsma ^{delete} p. 2, l. 26; eliminate (?)
objection by Randolph &
discussion. Rand withdrew objection

701 ~~670~~ ~~Rand suggested~~ ~~Qstrn~~ Mo passed w/o obj

705 Rand moved to rescind action in not accepting Rogers
amdm't to p. 2, l. 5: (provision)

Page 2
Side A
007 obj by Martin. Qstrn for R, R opp'd B M, failed.

144 Martin - using example of student loan pgm, explained why he felt ~~that~~ there wd be fiscal problems.

Discussion.

173 Rogers ^{disc} thought major differences in processing student loans & ~~the~~ prop'd personal loans.

183 Dave Massey, Dep Dir, Div Loans, Veterans Affairs - no real evaluation to be done on applicants; just documents processing of application, which wd also be promissory note. Minimal processing time.

204 Discussion.

225 Rogers - Sec 1 - moved to delete Sec 1.

* objection by Randolph; for Rog, ~~Ran~~, ^{Mart} B agnt Ran.

Rogers - p. 2, line 26, add # (33) "makes an ^{application} ~~offer~~ for the loan on or before ~~the~~ Aug 24, 1982".

Byzoma had objection, ~~Randolph~~ discussion.

Randolph moved the amendment.

Discussion about ^{setting} date & ramifications of passing legislation.

~~375~~ ~~Qstrn~~

383 w/dren mo; change to "Nov 1, 1982"

392 for: Rog, Ran against: M, B

failed

but "for"

not more than

Rogers p. 2, l. 10 - insert ~~to~~ "10,000" - "up to"; asked unan consent.

~~the~~ Obj. by Martin. Discussion.

424 Qstrn: for R. R. B agnt M

passed

610 Ran moved to pass bill from comm w/ind'l
for RR against B, M
failed

615 Ran moved to rescind action on not moving bill from comm.
discussion

200 for RR opp'd B, M.

006 Adjourned 2:46

To: Speaker Joe Hayes
From: Terry Martin, Chairman
Labor & Commerce Committee
Date: March 4, 1982
Subject: Executive Order 52

Please incorporate another correction into Executive Order 52. This correction was omitted in my previous letter of February 25.

On page 2, line 15, the second statute cited is also incorrect. That statutory reference should read "AS 18.60.105" rather than "AS 18.60.150".

Terry Martin, Chairman
House Labor & Commerce Committee

To: Speaker Joe Hayes

From: Terry Martin, Chairman
Labor & Commerce Committee

Date: February 25, 1982

Subject: Executive Order 52

This committee has reviewed Executive Order 52. We find this order to be of benefit to the administration.

Please note that a correction was made to page 2, line 15, where a statute was incorrectly cited: the first statutory reference on that line should read "AS 18.60.010..."

Contact me if you have any questions.

ltr to Joe Hayes, Speaker
fm Terry Martin, Chmn
H L & C
re Elec order 52

This committee has reviewed Elec order 52 &
finds it to be of benefit to the administration.
Please note that a correction was made to page
2, line 15, where a statute was incorrectly cited:
the first statutory reference should read "AS 18.60.010..."

also second cite, p. 2, l. 15, needs to be changed

H L & C

HB 642 HOUSE ACTION
DATE SEQ PAGE

01/11/82 01 0010
01/12/82 02 0033
01/12/82 03 0033

13:23 3/10/82 PAGE 2 OF 2
LEGISLATIVE ACTION

FIRST READING -- COMMITTEE REPORTS
L&C COMM REFERRAL ADDED BY UNAN CONSENT
MOVED FROM RES TO L&C BY UNAN CONSENT
LABOR & COMMERCE
JUDICIARY
FINANCE
RULES

**

**
