

Original sponsors: Fuller, Adams,
Hurlbert, et al

Funding Information

General Fund \$14,652,600
Other Funds -0-
\$14,652,600

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 334 (Finance)

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making special appropriations for village safe
7 water facilities, solid waste facilities, and water
8 and sewer systems; and providing for an effective
9 date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. (a) The sum of \$4,935,100 is appropriated from the general
12 fund to the Department of Environmental Conservation as follows:

13 (1) \$4,085,100 for village safe water and solid waste facilities
14 projects under the Village Safe Water Act (AS 46.07) in the following
15 communities:

16	(A) Akiachak	\$ 325,000
17	(B) Brevig Mission	954,000
18	(C) Chalkyitsik	750,000
19	(D) Gambell	600,000
20	(E) Noorvik	200,000
21	(F) St. George	280,000
22	(G) Savoonga	75,000
23	(H) Scammon Bay	150,000
24	(I) Shungnak	450,000
25	(J) Tanacross	83,500
26	(K) Wainwright	217,600

27 (2) \$800,000 for village safe water and solid waste feasibility
28 studies under the Village Safe Water Act (AS 46.07) in the following communi-
29 ties:

- 1 (A) Anderson
- 2 (B) Chalkyitsik
- 3 (C) Chignik Bay
- 4 (D) Dot Lake
- 5 (E) Ekwok
- 6 (F) Emmonak
- 7 (G) Fort Yukon
- 8 (H) Galena
- 9 (I) Golovin
- 10 (J) Hughes
- 11 (K) Lower Kalskag
- 12 (L) Manley Hot Springs
- 13 (M) Northway
- 14 (N) Ouzinkie
- 15 (O) Saint Mary's
- 16 (P) Teller

17 * Sec. 2. The sum of \$8,457,000 is appropriated from the general fund
 18 for payment as grants to the following municipalities for water and sewer
 19 and solid waste facility construction as the local match to be used by the
 20 Department of Environmental Conservation as follows:

21	(1) Bethel sewer system	\$ 330,000
22	(2) Dillingham water and sewer system	265,000
23	(3) Kotzebue water and sewer system	2,400,000
24	(4) Nome water and sewer utilidor	4,600,000
25	(5) Unalaska water supply and distribution	
26	system repair	862,000

27 * Sec. 3. The sum of \$161,500 is appropriated from the general fund for
 28 payment as grants to the following municipalities:

- 29 (1) Kodiak Island Borough for construction

1 round by the Alaska road system.

2 * Sec. 8. The sum of \$300,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
3 the Department of Environmental Conservation for rural solid waste disposal
4 site projects, water delivery equipment, fencing, and waste collection and
5 disposal equipment in communities not connected year round by the Alaska
6 road system.

7 * Sec. 9. The appropriations made by secs. 1, 7, and 8 of this Act are
8 for capital projects and are subject to AS 37.25.020.

9 * Sec. 10. The appropriations made by secs. 2 - 6 of this Act shall be
10 disbursed in accordance with AS 37.05.315.

11 * Sec. 11. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.-
12 10.070(c).

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

PROPOSED CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 334

For an Act entitled: "An Act making special appropriations for village safe water facilities, solid waste facilities, and water and sewer systems; and providing for an effective date."

* Section 1. (a) \$4,935,100 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Environmental Conservation as follows:

(1) \$4,085,100 for village safe water and solid waste facilities projects under the Village Safe Water Act (AS 46.07) in the following communities:

Table with 2 columns: Community Name and Amount. Rows include Akiachak (\$325,000), Brevig Mission (954,000), Chalkyitsik (750,000), Gambell (600,000), Noorvik (200,000), St. George (280,000), Savoonga (75,000), Scammon Bay (150,000), Shungnak (450,000), Tanacross (83,500), and Wainwright (217,600).

(2) 850,000 for village safe water and solid waste feasibility studies under the Village Safe Water Act (AS 46.07) in the following communities:

- (1) Anderson
(2) Chalkyitsik
(3) Chignik Bay
(4) Dot Lake
(5) Ekwok
(6) Emmonak
(7) Fort Yukon
(8) Galena
(9) Golovin
(10) Hughes
(11) Lower Kalskag
(12) Manley Hot Springs
(13) Northway
(14) Ouzinkie
(15) St. Mary's
(16) Skagway
(17) Teller

* Section 2. The sum of \$10,330,800 is appropriated from the general fund to the following municipalities for payment as grants for water and sewer and solid waste facility construction as the local match to be used by the Department of Environmental Conservation as follows:

Table with 3 columns: Project Name, Amount, and Status. Rows include Bethel Sewer System (\$330,000), Dillingham Water and Sewer System (265,000), Kodiak Island Borough Water and Sewer Engineering and Design Project (573,800), Kodiak Island Borough Monashka Dam (1,000,000), and Kotzebue Water and Sewer System (2,400,000).

(6) Nome Water and Sewer Utilitdor \$4,600,000

~~(7) Nome Sewer System 800,000~~

(8) Unalaska Water Supply and Distribution System Repair 862,000.

* Section 3. The sum of \$161,500 is appropriated from the general fund to the following municipalities for payment as grants for the following communities:

- (1) Kodiak Island Borough for construction of a sanitary landfill at Larsen Bay \$ 60,000
- (2) City of Koyuk for a Greywater Disposal Project 100,000
- (3) City of Shageluk for purchase of a hot water tank 1,500

* Section 4. The sum of 293,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for payment as grants for solid waste disposal site construction in the following communities:

- (1) Dot Lake \$ 54,000
- (2) Northway 32,000
- (3) St. Mary's 100,000
- (4) Takotna 25,000
- (5) Telida 22,000
- (6) Chignik Lagoon 60,000

* Section 5. The sum of \$181,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Administration for payment as grants for solid waste disposal site construction in the following communities:

- (1) Eagle \$ 73,000
- (2) Holy Cross 22,000
- (3) Kaltag 22,000
- (4) Koyukuk 22,000
- (5) Nikolia 20,000
- (6) Shageluk 22,000

* Section 6. The sum of \$250,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Community and Regional Affairs for payment as a grant to Evansville for a water system (private wells).

* Section 7. The sum of \$125,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Environmental Conservation for planning and feasibility studies for rural solid waste projects in communities not connected by the Alaska road ~~system~~.

* Section 8. The sum of \$300,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Environmental Conservation for rural solid waste disposal site projects, water delivery equipment, fencing, and waste collection and disposal equipment in communities not connected by the Alaska road ~~system~~.

FUNDING INFORMATION

General Fund \$16,576.4
Other Funds -0-
Total \$

Introduced: 3/13/81
Referred: Health, Education &
Social Services and Finance

Funding Information

General Fund \$21,066,000
Other Funds -0-
\$21,066,000

BY FULLER, ADAMS, HURLBERT,
SUTCLIFFE, VASKA, CHUCKWUK,
DUNCAN, GRUSSENDORF, MALONE,
MOSS AND ZHAROFF

1 IN THE HOUSE

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 334

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act making special appropriations for village safe
7 water facilities, solid waste facilities, and water
8 and sewer systems; and providing for an effective
9 date."

10 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

11 * Section 1. (a) The sum of \$4,950,000 is appropriated from the general
12 fund to the Department of Environmental Conservation as follows:

13 (1) \$4,400,000 for village safe water and solid waste facilities
14 projects under the Village Safe Water Act (AS 46.07) in the following com-
15 munities:

- 16 (A) Akiachak
17 (B) Brevig Mission
18 (C) Emmonak
19 (D) Gambell
20 (E) Mountain Village
21 (F) Noorvik
22 (G) St. George
23 (H) St. Mary's
24 (I) Savoonga
25 (J) Shungnak
26 (K) Wainwright

27 (2) \$550,000 for village safe water and solid waste feasibility
28 studies under the Village Safe Water Act (AS 46.07) in the following communi-
29 ties:

- 1 (A) Anderson
- 2 (B) Chignik Bay
- 3 (C) Ekwok
- 4 (D) Galena
- 5 (E) Golovin
- 6 (F) Hughes
- 7 (G) Lower Kalskag
- 8 (H) Nikolai
- 9 (I) Rampart
- 10 (J) St. Mary's
- 11 (K) Teller

12 (b) The appropriations made by (a) of this section may be reappropriated between the projects by law.

14 (c) Appropriations made by (a) of this section which are unexpended and unobligated when the listed projects are completed may be used for similar projects in other communities.

17 * Sec. 2. (a) The sum of \$15,366,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Environmental Conservation for payment as grants for urban water and sewer and solid waste facility construction as follows:

20 (1) Bethel sewer system	\$ 325,000
21 (2) Dillingham water and sewer system	465,000
22 (3) Kotzebue water and sewer system	2,400,000
23 (4) Nome water and sewer utilidor	11,314,000
24 (5) Unalaska water supply and distribution system	862,000

26 (b) Appropriations made by (a) of this section which are unexpended and unobligated when the listed projects are completed may be used for similar projects in other areas of the state.

29 * Sec. 3. The sum of \$200,000 is appropriated from the general fund to

1 the Department of Environmental Conservation for planning and feasibility
2 studies for rural solid waste projects in communities not connected by the
3 Alaska road system.

4 * Sec. 4. The sum of \$450,000 is appropriated from the general fund to
5 the Department of Environmental Conservation for rural city dump fencing
6 projects, water delivery equipment, and waste collection and disposal equip-
7 ment in communities not connected by the Alaska road system.

8 * Sec. 5. The sum of \$100,000 is appropriated from the general fund for
9 payment as a grant to the City of Koyuk for a graywater disposal project.

10 * Sec. 6. The appropriations made by secs. 1, 3, and 4 of this Act are
11 for capital projects and are subject to AS 37.25.020.

12 * Sec. 7. The appropriations made by secs. 2 and 5 of this Act shall be
13 disbursed in accordance with AS 37.05.315.

14 * Sec. 8. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
15 070(c).

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. HB 334

Title Spec. appropriations for VSW facilities, solid waste facilities & water & sewer systems

Requested by Fuller, Adams, Burlbert, Sutcliffe, Vaska, Chukwuk, Duncan, Grussendorf, Malone, Moss and Zharoff

Date March 31, 1981

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Environmental Conservation

Program Category Affected Division of Environmental Quality Operations

BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected Facilities Construction and Operation Section

(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85
100 PERSONAL SERVICES			229.6	247.9	343.9	371.4
200 TRAVEL			49.2	53.1	72.6	78.4
300 CONTRACTUAL			20.4	22.0	25.3	27.3
400 COMMODITIES			4.5	4.8	5.8	6.2
500 EQUIPMENT			4.8	0.0	1.7	0.0
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.					75.0	60.0
TOTAL			308.5	327.8	449.3	543.3

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND			308.5	327.8	449.3	543.3
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify fund source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME			7	7	9	9
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Because of the lack of municipal engineering staffs in the small communities of rural Alaska, the Department of Environmental Conservation finds that a water and sewer grants program for rural Alaska requires a greater technical

involvement than is normal in a grants program, and consequently, is asking for 5 engineers, an administrative assistant and a clerk typist in FY 1982. It is anticipated that these engineers would be directly involved in negotiating and administering contracts on behalf of the villages for design and construction and also would be involved with pre-engineering studies and negotiating and administering design feasibility contracts with consulting engineering firms. Each individual project will involve grant agreements, design contracts, inspection contracts, construction management contracts, etc. In order to maintain a precise and accurate "paper flow" on all projects, we are also requesting a contract administrator and clerk typist. The engineers would be: one Environmental Engineer III, two EE's II, and two EE's I, located in Anchorage.

In FY 1983, no change in staff is noted.

In FY 1984, two more positions were added to handle operation and maintenance of newly constructed facilities and \$75,000 was added to the 700 Code for maintenance subsidies.

FY 1985 holds the same staff. Travel was estimated at 15 trips per engineer at \$650 per trip.

Contractual services were estimated at \$1,500 per person for rent and each engineer was assumed to spend \$200/month on telephone.

Inflation was estimated at 8%/year.

IV. DATE March 31, 1981 PREPARED BY Tim Bergin
AGENCY Department of Environmental Conservation
PHONE 465-2620

Original: Legislative Finance
cc: Budget and Management
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

33-001 (Rev. 12/79)

FISCAL NOTE

12335

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CSHB 335 and HB 335
 Title Appropriations or allocations for projects financed by GO bonds
 Requested by House Finance Committee Date 8/31/81

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected _____
 Program Category Affected _____
 BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected _____
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 - EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL						

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

See Attached.

IV. DATE 9/11/81 PREPARED BY John Bates
 AGENCY DOT/FF
 PHONE 465-3900
 Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

102

ATTACHMENT TO FISCAL NOTE FOR CSHB335

Both CS HB 335 and HB 335 pertain to the ability of the executive branch to reallocate G.O. Bond funds. The current law prohibits reappropriation of bond funds but allows for reallocation with approval of the Governor or his designee.

The executive branch would be seriously restricted in implementing capital projects if CSH335 were to become law. Presently the time between preparation of budget documents requesting bond funding for a project and the time the voters approve the bonds is (15) fifteen months. The requests are based on engineering estimates of preliminary plans and specifications. During the period of delay awaiting voter approval and contract bidding the final plans are completed and final estimates made. If unforeseen construction items or excessive inflation have occurred that force the cost of the facility to exceed the G.O. Bond funds available then the project must either be reduced in scope or held in abeyance until a supplemental appropriation can be secured.

Under the current law an agency will request a bond appropriation for a program and allocations for each project. If the highest priority project exceeds the estimated cost at the time of budgeting, then funds are reallocated from the lowest priority project to the project requiring additional funding. Under the added provisions in CSHB335 the highest priority project would be deferred until the additional funds were secured and the lower priority projects would be implemented or in the event that all projects exceeded their budget, none would be constructed.

Although no values are shown in the detail of this fiscal note, the worst condition of fiscal impact would be the delay of all bond projects for one year with the insuing inflation if all bond projects required supplemental funding in order to be constructed.

Had this provision been in effect during the years of 1976 to 1979 when the highest inflation in construction costs occurred in this state, the worst condition, described above, could have been a reality.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

FISCAL NOTE

CSHB 335

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 335

Title Relating to appropriations and allocations in GO bonds and in acts making

~~Request for~~ appropriations Date 4/28/81

Requested by House Finance Committee

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Dept. of Revenue/Div. Budget & Mgmt./DOTPF/State Bond Committee

Program Category Affected _____

BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected _____

(Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL						

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

This bill would require amounts to be appropriated to specific projects in bonding legislation rather than use allocation.

Transfers between appropriations or allocations could not be made for specific projects in a general obligation bond act or any allocation made as part of any appropriations bill except as provided for by law.

Fiscal impacts are possible but indeterminate; this bill reduces administrative flexibility and may cause additional administrative costs or cause projects and services to be halted waiting for subsequent legislation. DOTPF to submit separate fiscal note.

IV. DATE May 4, 1981

PREPARED BY Anselm C. Staack, Treasury Comptroller

AGENCY Dept. of Revenue/Treasury Division

Original: Legislative Finance

PHONE 465-2351

cc: Budget and Management

Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

Original sponsors: Phillips and Barnes

Offered: 4/28/81
Referred: Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE BY THE STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
2 CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 335 (State Affairs)
3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to appropriations and allocations in
7 general obligation bonds and in acts making appropria-
8 tions; and providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 24.20.037 is amended to read:

11 Sec. 24.30.037. GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND BILLS. A bill autho-
12 rizing the issuance of general obligation bonds creating a state debt
13 for capital improvements shall contain a statement of the scope of each
14 project included in the proposed bond issue. The statement shall
15 include a brief description of each capital improvement project, its
16 location, and, in dollars, that portion of the total bond issue appro-
17 priated or [TO BE] allocated to the project.

18 * Sec. 2. AS 37.07.080(e) is repealed and reenacted to read:

19 (e) A transfer may not be made between an appropriation or allo-
20 cation in an act making appropriations except by law.

21 * Sec. 3. AS 37.15 is amended by adding a new section to read:

22 Sec. 37.15.025. TRANSFERS PROHIBITED. A transfer may not be made
23 between an appropriation or allocation in a general obligation bond
24 issue except by law.

25 * Sec. 4. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
26 070(c).

27

28

29

Introduced: 3/13/81
Referred: State Affairs and
Finance

1 IN THE HOUSE

BY PHILLIPS AND BARNES

2 HOUSE BILL NO. 335

3 IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

4 TWELFTH LEGISLATURE - FIRST SESSION

5 A BILL

6 For an Act entitled: "An Act relating to appropriations or allocations for
7 projects financed by general obligation bonds; and
8 providing for an effective date."

9 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

10 * Section 1. AS 24.20.037 is amended to read:

11 Sec. 24.30.037. GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND BILLS. A bill authoriz-
12 ing the issuance of general obligation bonds creating a state debt for
13 capital improvements shall contain a statement of the scope of each
14 project included in the proposed bond issue. The statement shall
15 include a brief description of each capital improvement project, its
16 location, and, in dollars, that portion of the total bond issue appro-
17 riated or [TO BE] allocated to the project.

18 * Sec. 2. AS 37.07.080(e) is amended to read:

19 (e) A transfer may not be made between an appropriation or allo-
20 cation in a general obligation bond issue except as provided by law. A
21 transfer [TRANSFERS OR CHANGES BETWEEN OBJECTS OF EXPENDITURES OR
22 BETWEEN ALLOCATIONS MAY BE MADE BY THE HEAD OF A STATE AGENCY UPON
23 APPROVAL OF THE DIVISION. NO TRANSFERS] may not be made between appro-
24 priations except as provided in an act making the transfers between
25 appropriations. A transfer or change between objects of expenditures
26 or between allocations may be made by the head of a state agency upon
27 approval of the division.

28 * Sec. 3. This Act takes effect immediately in accordance with AS 01.10.-
29 070(c).

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
TWELFTH LEGISLATURE

HB 335

HB 335

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST
 Bill/Resolution No. HOUSE BILL NO. 335
 Title Relating to appropriations or allocations for projects financed by GO bonds.
 Requested by House State Affairs Committee Date 3/13/81

II. FISCAL DETAIL
 Agency Affected Dept. of Revenue/Div. Budget & Mgnt./DOTPF/State Bond Committee
 Program Category Affected _____
 BRU, Program, or Subprogram(s) Affected _____
 (Note: If more than one budget component is affected, separate line-item amounts and funding for each component in the analysis section.)
EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83	FY 84	FY 85	FY 86
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.						
TOTAL						

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify Fund Source)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)
 This bill would allow amounts to be appropriated to specific projects in bonding legislation rather than simply use allocation.
 Transfers between appropriations or allocations could not be made for specific projects in a general obligation bond act except as provided for by law.
 Fiscal impacts are possible but indeterminate; this bill reduces administrative flexibility and may cause additional administrative costs. DOTPF to submit separate fiscal note.

Anselm C. Staack

IV. DATE April 7, 1981 PREPARED BY Anselm C. Staack, Treasury Comptroller
 AGENCY Dept. of Revenue/Treasury Division
 PHONE 465-2351
 Original: Legislative Finance
 cc: Budget and Management
Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)