

SJR

12

(HSJR 10)





Official Business

# Alaska State Legislature

## Senate Committee on Community & Regional Affairs

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

TO: Senator Bob Mulcahy  
Vice-Chairman  
Senator Terry Stimson  
Senator Tim Kelly  
Senator Patrick Rodey  
All Interested Parties

FROM: Arliss Sturgulewski (AS)  
Chairman

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE MEETING - BUTROVICH ROOM, 207 Capitol Building

Thursday, February 15, 1979

1:30 p.m.

KODIAK - BOUNDARY COMMISSION  
RECOMMENDATIONS

SJR 12 - ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY TO CITY  
OF KODIAK

Briefing by Department of Community  
and Regional Affairs on Department  
functions

*Meeting Continued*

*Friday - Feb. 16, '79*

*3:00 P.M.*



2-15-79

# Committee Log Book - 1980

Tape Number 1

SENATE C/RA / Chairman Sturgulewski

Side Number 1

Present: Committee  
Senators Rodey, Stimson, Mulcahy, and Kelly

Dates 2/15/79 to \_\_\_\_\_

### Bill Numbers Discussed

SJR									
12									

Date & Time	Tape Meter Number	Bill	Significant Information (Witness, Action)
2/15/79 1:30 p.m.			Called Mtg. to order / Chairman Sturgulewski
			Bob Hartig, Kodiak City Attorney
			Sen. Rodey Sen. Stimson
			Chairman Sturgulewski
			Sen. Stimson Sen. Mulcahy
			Ms. Joan Katz, Attorney, Kodiak Borough Chairman Sturgulewski
			Sen Rodey Lorna Arndt (Audience)
			Sen. Rodey Sen. Stimson
			Ms. Katz Chairman Sturgulewski
			Palmer McCarter, Dept. C/RA Chairman Sturgulewski
			Sen. Kelly Chairman Sturgulewski
			Sen. Kelly Sen. Sturgulewski
			Sen. Mulcahy Sen. Kelly
			Chairman Sturgulewski Lorna Arndt, Kodiak Resident
			Chairman Sturgulewski
			Okey Chandler, Kodiak Resident Chairman Sturgulewski
			Rep. Zharoff (Audience)
			MEETING ADJOURNED 2:45 p.m.

*MEETING  
RECORDED*



Official Business

# Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Committee on  
Community & Regional Affairs

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

TO: Senator Bob Mulcahy  
Vice-Chairman  
Senator Terry Stimson  
Senator Tim Kelly  
Senator Patrick Rodey  
All Interested Parties

FROM: Arliss Sturgulewski *as*  
Chairman

RE: COMMITTEE MEETING - BUTROVICH ROOM, 207 Capitol Building

Thursday, February 8, 1979

1:30 p.m.

KENAI - BOUNDARY COMMISSION REPORT

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING - Final Details

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE COMMUNITY  
AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEES  
CO-CHAired  
SENATOR ARLISS STURGULEWSKI  
AND  
REPRESENTATIVE BILL PARKER

2:00 P.M.  
FEBRUARY 10, 1979  
EAST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AUDITORIUM  
KODIAK, ALASKA

PURPOSE: Consideration of "Recommendation for Annexation of Territory to the City of Kodiak" as submitted to the Eleventh Legislature by the Alaska Local Boundary Commission; Senate Joint Resolution 12 and House Joint Resolution 10.

Agenda:

- 2:00 Call to Order
  - Introductory Remarks
- 2:15 Alaska Local Boundary Commission Presentation
- 2:35 City of Kodiak Presentation
- 2:55 Borough of Kodiak Island Presentation
- 3:15 Public Testimony (Groups-5 minute / Individuals 3-minute limitations)
- Adjournment



Official Business

# Alaska State Legislature

Senate

Office of the Secretary

Pouch V  
State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

TO: Community & Regional Affairs Committee  
FROM: Senate Secretary's Office  
DATE: January 24, 1979  
SUBJECT: Local Boundary Commission Recommendation

The attached Local Boundary Commission recommendation was referred to your committee this date by President Tillion.

Please note that these recommendations will become effective forty-five days after receipt unless disapproved by a resolution concurred in by a majority of the members of each house.

# STATE OF ALASKA

*ref'd*  
JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER / POUCH B - JUNEAU 99811

January 23, 1979

The Honorable Clem V. Tillion  
President of the Senate  
Alaska State Legislature  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Tillion:

In accordance with the provisions of Article X, Section 12 of the Alaska Constitution and Alaska Statutes 44.19.260, presented herewith is a recommendation of the Local Boundary Commission concerning annexation of territory to the City of Kodiak, as well as a recommendation for correcting an error in the present corporate boundaries of the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

The recommendations will become effective forty-five days after this presentation or at the end of the legislative session, whichever is earlier, unless disapproved by a resolution concurred in by a majority of the members of each house.

Sincerely,

*Lee McAnerney*  
Lee McAnerney  
Commissioner

Enclosure

STATE OF ALASKA  
LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION  
JUNEAU, ALASKA

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION  
SUBMITTED TO THE ELEVENTH STATE LEGISLATURE  
FIRST SESSION ASSEMBLED

SUBMITTED JANUARY 23, 1979

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Recommendation for annexation of territory to the City of Kodiak.
2. Recommendation for correcting an error in the present corporate boundary description of the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

STATE OF ALASKA  
LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION  
JUNEAU, ALASKA

RECOMMENDATION FOR ANNEXATION  
OF TERRITORY TO THE  
CITY OF KODIAK, ALASKA

SUBMITTED TO THE ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE,  
FIRST SESSION ASSEMBLED

SUBMITTED JANUARY 23, 1979

RECOMMENDATION FOR ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY

to the

City of Kodiak

WHEREAS, the petitioner, the City of Kodiak, has requested that certain property in the Mill Bay area be annexed to the city, to wit: twenty five lots contiguous to and North and East of the City of Kodiak, including residential and commercial properties; and

WHEREAS, upon receipt of the petition, the Department of Community and Regional Affairs determined that the petition was sufficient; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to giving proper notice, the Local Boundary Commission held a public hearing at Kodiak, Alaska, on December 12, 1977, in the Kodiak City Council Chambers; and

WHEREAS, as a result of that public hearing, the Local Boundary Commission determined other urban lands in addition to those defined in the petition might be suitable for annexation to the City of Kodiak; and

WHEREAS, after giving proper public notice, the Local Boundary Commission held an additional public hearing at Kodiak on April 26, 1978, to consider annexation of geographical areas generally described as the Spruce Cape area, the Mill Bay area, the Monashka Bay area, and the United States Coast Guard Station to the City of Kodiak; and

WHEREAS, after giving proper public notice, the Local Boundary Commission held a public meeting in Anchorage on August 16, 1978, to review the record of proceedings on the

petition; and

WHEREAS, the City of Kodiak has stated to the Local Boundary Commission that it is financially capable of providing its full range of general fund services to the area encompassed by the Local Boundary Commission decision; and

WHEREAS, the Local Boundary Commission has determined that the territory to be annexed meets the standards for annexation set forth at 19 AAC 05.010(3) in that the area is generally urban in character, as evidenced by Kodiak Island Borough population estimates, property valuations, and the relatively high level of commercial activity; and

WHEREAS, the Local Boundary Commission has determined that the territory to be annexed meets the standards for annexation set forth at 19 AAC 05.010(4) in that evidence gathered at the public hearings shows that the area to be annexed is in need of municipal services which can be most efficiently provided by the City of Kodiak; and

WHEREAS, the Local Boundary Commission has determined that the territory to be annexed meets the standards for annexation set forth at 19 AAC 05.010(5) in that Kodiak Island Borough planning documents indicate there is likelihood that growth and development will occur in the territory and annexation will enable the city to plan for and control that development and arrange the most efficient municipal services plan to accommodate the expected growth;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Local Boundary Commission recommends that, pursuant to Article X, Section 12, of the Alaska

Constitution, and Alaska Statutes 44.19.260, the petition to annex the territory generally described as the Spruce Cape area and the Mill Bay area be approved and that the boundaries of the City of Kodiak be amended to read as follows:

Beginning at Corner 3 of U.S. Survey 3945, said point being the true point of beginning; thence,

(1) S 55°21'W, 10,868.88 feet to Corner 2 of U.S. Survey 3945; thence,

(2) S 34°34'E, 4250.40 feet to Corner 1 of U.S. Survey 3945; thence,

(3) S 5°06'E, 957.66 feet to Corner 2 of U.S. Survey 2537-B, identical with Corner 15, U.S. Survey 3945; thence,

(4) S 5°05'30"E, approximately 260.74 feet, more or less, to a point intersecting with the Northerly ROW of the Abbett Highway; thence,

(5) along the said ROW in a Westerly and then Southerly direction to a point located upon the common boundary of Public Land Orders 5566 (parcel 2) and 5550, located within U.S. Survey 1673; thence,

(6) S 45°00'E, 2000 feet; thence,

(7) Southeasterly 3,280 feet, more or less, to point "A", said point "A" lying: S 15°34'E, 1,290 feet, more or less, to the center of the channel of St. Paul Harbor from the Southwest corner of Lot 6, Block 20 of U.S. Survey 2537-B; thence,

(8) N 58°06'E, 7,470 feet to a point in the channel North of the Easterly tip of Crooked Island; thence,

(9) N 0°50'W, 1,644.7 feet to a point located in the channel between Near Island and Holiday Island; thence,

(10) N 42°22'E, 5,200 feet, to Point "B", said point "B" lying: S 42°22'W, 3,037.1 feet, more or less, from a point on an

isolated rock which bears S 31°50'E, 191.95 feet from WC 6 MC, U.S. Survey 1822, said point also lying S 32°24'E, 1,162 feet, more or less, from MC 6, U.S. Survey 2873; thence,

(11) N 32°24'W, to a point in the channel which is 1,000 feet from the mean high water line of Kodiak Channel; thence,

(12) along a line, 1000 feet seaward, from the meander line of mean high water in a Northeasterly and then Northwesterly direction to a point 1,000 feet Northerly from the most Northerly tip, at mean high water, of Spruce Cape; thence,

(13) continuing along a line, 1,000 feet seaward, from the meander line of mean high water, proceeding around Mill Bay in a Southwesterly, Northwesterly, and then Northeasterly direction, to a point which is located upon the projected extension seaward of the Northern boundary of Lot 17, Block 1, Second Addition of Miller Point Subdivision, plat approved 11/23/66, said boundary being more specifically described as a line bearing S 73°01'E from the Division of Lands Witness Corner monument located 15 feet West of the Northeast Corner of Lot 17, Block 1, of said subdivision; thence,

(14) N 73°01'W, 1,000 feet to the Northeast Corner of Lot 17, Block 1, of said subdivision; thence,

(15) N 73°01'W, along the Northerly boundary of Lot 17, Block 1, of said subdivision, 327.41 feet, and continuing on approximately the same bearing an additional 1728.59 feet to WC 6 of Lot 3, U.S. Survey 3462; thence,

(16) S 47°56'W, 2551.56 feet to Corner 1, Lot 3, U.S. Survey 3462, identical to the East Corner of Lot 3 of Block 6, Monashka Bay Subdivision, plat approved 9/19/67; thence,

(17) S 45°42'59"W, 295.41 feet, to the South Corner of Lot 3, Block 6 of said subdivision; thence,

(18) N 44°05'04"W, approximately 115 feet, along the Southwesterly boundary of Lot 3, Block 6 of said subdivision to a point which bears N 50°13'26"E from the South Corner of

Lot 7, Block 5, of said subdivision; thence,

(19) S 50°13'26"W, across Otmeloi Way to the South boundary of Lot 7, Block 5, of said subdivision, and continuing along the Westerly ROW of Lake View Drive, 4,433.17 feet, more or less, to the Southeast Corner of Lot 7 of Block 8 of the Monashka Bay Subdivision, plat approved 9/19/67; thence,

(20) S 79°11'50"E, 569.59 feet, to Corner 5 of U.S. Survey 3467; thence,

(21) S 23°54'W, 2500.01 feet, to Corner 4 of U.S. Survey 3467, identical with Corner 4 of U.S. Survey 3468; thence,

(22) S 85°27'W, 746.33 feet, to Corner 3 of U.S. Survey 3468, identical with Corner 3 of U.S. Survey 2538-A; thence,

(23) S 55°21'W, 1893.54 feet, to Corner 4 of U.S. Survey 3945; thence,

(24) N 34°39'W, 2811.6 feet to Corner 3 of U.S. Survey 3945, the true point of beginning.

In accordance with Article X, Section 12, of the Alaska Constitution, this recommendation shall become effective forty-five days after presentation to the legislature or at the end of the session, whichever is earlier, unless disapproved by a resolution concurred in by a majority of the members of each house.

STATE OF ALASKA  
LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION  
JUNEAU, ALASKA

RECOMMENDATION FOR CORRECTING AN ERROR IN THE  
PRESENT CORPORATE BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION  
OF THE KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH

SUBMITTED TO THE ELEVENTH LEGISLATURE,  
FIRST SESSION ASSEMBLED

SUBMITTED JANUARY 23, 1979

RECOMMENDATION FOR CLARIFICATION OF SOUTHEASTERLY BOUNDARY  
of the  
KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH

WHEREAS, the Local Boundary Commission has requested that the boundary description of the Kenai Peninsula Borough, dated April 30, 1974, be corrected and clarified in the southeasterly part of the boundary description, in the general area of Sargent Ice Field and the Chugach National Forest Boundary; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to giving proper notice, the Local Boundary Commission conducted a public hearing in Soldotna on Friday, January 12, 1979, to consider whether the boundary in question should be clarified and corrected; and

WHEREAS, after giving proper notice, the Local Boundary Commission held a public meeting in Yakutat on Thursday, January 18, 1979, reviewed the original boundaries, the proposed clarification, and all testimony prior to and during the January 12, 1979, public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Kenai Peninsula Borough is in accord with the proposed clarification;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Local Boundary Commission recommends that, pursuant to Article X, Section 12, of the Alaska Constitution, and Alaska Statutes 44.19.260, the recommendation to clarify and correct the southeasterly boundary of the Kenai Peninsula Borough be approved and the following described boundary be adopted:

Beginning at Cape Douglas on the west side of Cook Inlet at the N.E. Corner of Sec. 27, T15S, R24W (projected), Seward Meridian, State of Alaska; thence West to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 29, T15S, R26W (projected); thence South to the S.E. Corner of Sec. 32, T16S, R26W (projected); thence West to the N.E. Corner of T17S, R28W (projected); thence South to the S.E. Corner of T17S, R28W (projected); thence West to the S.W. Corner of T17S, R32W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T17S, R32W (projected); thence West to the S.W. Corner of T16S, R32W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T13S, R32W (projected); thence West to the S.W. Corner of T12S, R32W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T11S, R32W (projected); thence East to the S.W. Corner of T10S, R30W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T9S, R30W (projected); thence East to the S.E. Corner of T8S, R29W (projected); thence North to the N.E. Corner of T8S, R29W (projected); thence East to the N.E. Corner of T8S, R28W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T6S, R27W (projected); thence East to the S.W. Corner of T5S, R26W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T5S, R26W (projected); thence East to the S.W. Corner of T4S, R25W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T1S, R25W (projected); thence East to the S.W. Corner of T1N, R24W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T4N, R24W (projected); thence East to the S.W. Corner of T5N, R23W (projected); thence North to the N.W. Corner of T8N, R23W (projected); thence West to the S.E. Corner of T9N, R24W (projected); thence North to the N.E. Corner of T12N, R24W (projected); thence West to the S.E. Corner of T13N, R24W (projected); thence North to the N.E. Corner of T15N, R24W (projected); thence East to the N.E. Corner of T15N, R12W (projected); thence South to the N.W. Corner of T13N, R11W (projected); thence East to the N.E. Corner of T13N, R10W (projected); thence South to the S.E. Corner of T15N, R10W (projected), said Corner being in Cook Inlet at Longitude 150°58'18"W, Latitude 61°10'00"N; thence Southeasterly to the S.E. Corner

of T12N, R9W (projected), said Corner being in Cook Inlet at Longitude  $150^{\circ}46'37''$ W, Latitude  $61^{\circ}04'49''$ N; thence Northeasterly to the N.E. Corner of T12N, R7W (projected), said Corner being in Cook Inlet at Longitude  $150^{\circ}26'01''$ W, Latitude  $61^{\circ}10'01''$ N; thence Southeasterly to the N. E. Corner of T11N, R6W (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $150^{\circ}14'40''$ W, Latitude  $61^{\circ}04'49''$ N; thence East to the N.E. Corner of T11N, R5W (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $150^{\circ}04'01''$ W, Latitude  $61^{\circ}04'49''$ N; thence Southeasterly to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 24, T10N, R2W (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $149^{\circ}32'05''$ W, Latitude  $60^{\circ}57'01''$ N; thence Southeasterly to the N.E. Corner of T9N, R1W (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $149^{\circ}21'26''$ W, Latitude  $60^{\circ}54'25''$ N; thence East to the N.E. Corner of T9N, R1E (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $149^{\circ}10'48''$  West, Latitude  $60^{\circ}54'25''$  North; thence Southeasterly to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 15, T9N, R2E (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $149^{\circ}03'41''$ W, Latitude  $60^{\circ}52'41''$ N; thence Southerly to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 26, T9N, R2E (projected), said Corner being in Turnagain Arm at Longitude  $149^{\circ}01'55''$ W, Latitude  $60^{\circ}50'57''$ N; thence South to the S.E. Corner of Section 35, T9N, R2E (projected); thence West to the N.E. Corner of Section 2, T8N, R2E (projected); thence South to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 2, T7N, R2E (projected); thence East to the N.E. Corner of T7N, R4E (projected); thence South to the S.E. Corner of T5N, R4E (projected); thence West to the N.E. Corner of T4N, R4E (projected); thence South to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E (projected); thence East to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E (projected); thence Southerly to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 34, T1N, R5E (projected); thence West to the N.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 3, T1S, R5E (projected); thence Southerly to the S.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E (projected); thence West to the S.W. Corner of the

N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E (projected); thence South to the N.E. Corner of Sec. 9, T3S, R5E (projected); thence Southwesterly to the S.E. Corner of Sec. 7, T3S, R5E (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Cape Junken; thence Southwesterly to the S.E. Corner of the N.E. 1/4 of Sec. 4, T4S, R1E (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Barwell Island; thence Southwesterly to the N.E. Corner of the S.E. 1/4 of Sec. 17, T5S, R1W (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Pilot Rock; thence Southwesterly to the S.W. Corner of the S.E. 1/4 of Sec. 33, T7S, R2W (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Seal Rock; thence Southwesterly to the N.W. Corner of Sec. 14, T10S, R7W (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Pye Reef; thence Southwesterly to the S.E. Corner of the N.E. 1/4 of Sec. 28, T11S, R10W (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Gore Point; thence Southwesterly to the S.E. Corner of the N.E. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T12S, R13W (projected), said Corner being on or approximately on the Southerly point of East Chugach Island; thence Southwesterly to the S.E. Corner of Sec. 31, T12S, R14W (projected), said Corner being at or approximately at Perl Rock; thence Southwesterly to Longitude 153°00'00"W, Latitude 59°02'00"N, in Cook Inlet; thence Southwesterly to the place of beginning at Cape Douglas, containing 25,600 sq. mi. more or less.

Together with all State waters lying within and appurtenant to the above described boundary; EXCEPT, all waters lying more than three miles Southerly of the boundary line between Perl Rock at or approximately at the S.E. Corner of Sec. 31, T12S, R14W (projected) and Longitude 153°00'00"W, Latitude 59°02'00"N. in Cook Inlet, and the N.E. Corner of Sec. 27, T15S, R25W (projected), at Cape Douglas, Southwesterly offshore jurisdiction terminates at a line beginning at the N.E. Corner of Sec. 27, T15S, R24W (projected), at Cape Douglas; and extending S62°30'00"E three miles.

# STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH B - JUNEAU 99811

February 8, 1979

The Honorable Arliss Sturgulewski, Chair  
Senate Community & Regional Affairs Committee  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Sturgulewski:

The error in the description of the southeasterly boundary of the Kenai Peninsula Borough was brought to our attention by the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. This boundary is located in a very remote area and, thus, the erroneous description was not discovered for these many years. The enclosed report from the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service provides a detailed account of the situation.

A public hearing was held in Soldotna on January 12, 1979 by the Local Boundary Commission (LBC) to consider this question. The LBC held a decisional meeting on January 18, and recommended to clarify and correct the southeasterly boundary of the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

The Kenai Peninsula Borough supports the amendments correcting this boundary description and since the area affected is not presently inhabited, we concur with the Borough's position and feel that speedy resolution of this situation is in the best interest of the State and the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

Sincerely,

Lee McAnerney  
Commissioner

*Palmer McCarter*  
by: Palmer McCarter  
Director

Enclosure

## KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH BOUNDARY

December 1, 1978

In the process of compiling changes to be made in Topographic Quadrangle Seward B-5, it was noted that the description of the Kenai Peninsula Borough, dated April 30, 1974, southeasterly part, had an anomaly in the use of the Chugach National Forest Boundary to amplify portions of the description.

In two cases in this portion of the description, a corner is established using a land survey corner, and then is amplified by stating a latitude and longitude. In both cases, the latitude and longitude is incorrect, as determined from Bureau of Land Management Protraction Diagrams S15-2 and S14-11. The corners are as follows:

S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E.

Borough Boundary Description:

LAT: 60° 25' 00" N

LONG: 148° 33' 00" W

(This latitude and longitude is stated as being on the Chugach National Forest Boundary, which it is.)

Correct description from BLM Protraction Diagram S14-11

LAT: 60° 24' 56.923" N

LONG: 148° 33' 42.146" W

S.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E.

Borough Boundary Description:

LAT: 60° 02' 00" N

LONG: 148° 33' 00" W

(This latitude and longitude, as stated in the description, is on the approximate Chugach National Forest Boundary)

Correct description from BLM Protraction Diagram S15-2

LAT: 60° 03' 42.949" N

LONG: 148° 34' 11.811" W

Further study showed that the portion of the borough boundary line between these points did not take into account the east-west correction of the section lines as they cross the Seward Base Line.

It was further noted that the term "(projected)" was used following each use of a Section type corner; e.g. "N.E. Corner of Sec. 26, T9N, R2E (projected)." The term probably intended is "protracted", meaning a land survey corner established on paper but not in the field.

It was also noted that in some cases, the term "South" was used to describe the direction along a section line. In other cases, the term "southerly" is used. The term "southerly" is more correct, since except at the township boundaries, the section lines are not true North-South lines.

The intent of the boundary line appears clear, and this intent is shown on the Seward A-5 Quadrangle, where the borough boundary can be seen not to follow the Forest Boundary, and it shows a correction at the Seward Base Line. (Copy of Seward A-5 attached.)

The existing description of the area in question is:

".....N. E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E (projected); thence East to the S. E. Corner of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E (projected), said corner being on the Chugach National Forest Boundary at Longitude 148°33'00"W, Latitude 60°25'00"N; thence southerly along the boundary line of said Chugach National Forest to the S. E. Corner of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E (projected), said corner being on Longitude 148°33'00"W, Latitude 60°02'00"N; thence West....."

Suggested changes in the description are as follows: (additions are underlined; deletions are crossed out.)

".....N. E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E ~~(projected)~~ (protracted); thence East to the S. E. Corner of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E ~~(projected)~~ (protracted); ~~said corner being on the Chugach National Forest Boundary at longitude-148°33'00"W, latitude-60°25'00"N;~~ thence southerly along the boundary line of said Chugach National Forest to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 34, T1N, R5E (protracted); thence West to the N.E. corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 3, T1S, R5E (protracted); thence southerly to the S.E. Corner of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec 27, T1S, R5E ~~(projected)~~ (protracted); ~~said corner being on longitude-148°33'00"W, latitude-60°02'00"N;~~ thence West....."

The description of the area in question would then read:

".....N.E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E (protracted); thence East to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E (protracted); thence southerly to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 34, T1N, R5E (protracted); thence West to the N.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 3, T1S, R5E (protracted); thence southerly to the S.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E (protracted); thence West....."

Received from the U.S. Forest Service

RECEIVED

DEPT. OF COMMERCE REG. AFFAIRS  
DATE DEC 4 1978

File up our blue gaiteted  
copy of Kenai Peninsula  
Local Bdny Communi-  
cations. Also asked for a  
letter from Kenai Borough  
re their OK of adj. when  
it arrives - I'll get a  
memo to file

February 12, 1979

TO: The Honorable Clem Tillion  
President, State Senate

FROM: Arliss Sturgulewski, Chairman  
Senate Community & Regional  
Affairs

RE: Kenai Local Boundary Recommendation

The Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee held a meeting 1:30 p.m., February 8, 1979, to discuss the Kenai Boundary Recommendation as presented to the Legislature by the Local Boundary Commission. All members present decided to take "no action," thereby letting this recommendation go into effect.

This notification hereby closes our Committee file.

1/25/79

Don Gilman, Kenai Peninsula Borough

101-262-4441

Clyde Bartley  
Admin Asst.

Don will be out of town next of  
28th. Occurs of the rec. of the  
Bdry Comm. would send us  
letter -- whatever. Said only 2  
people showed at p.h. (Don &  
1 other) -- both occurred.

note - mulcahy o.s. 10-12 days  
beginning 2/16

look to 2/10 for p.h. Forest  
Check tapes from local Bdry Com.

going to ~~manage~~ Bill Parker.

4/30/79 will rec letter for file on  
local Bdry Commission report regarding  
boundary adjustment & Clyde Bartley, Don  
Gilman 101-262-4441 to send letter

# STATE OF ALASKA

JAY S. HAMMOND, GOVERNOR

## DEPT. OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

POUCH B - JUNEAU 99811

February 8, 1979

The Honorable Arliss Sturgulewski, Chair  
Senate Community & Regional Affairs Committee  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Senator Sturgulewski:

The error in the description of the southeasterly boundary of the Kenai Peninsula Borough was brought to our attention by the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. This boundary is located in a very remote area and, thus, the erroneous description was not discovered for these many years. The enclosed report from the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service provides a detailed account of the situation.

A public hearing was held in Soldotna on January 12, 1979 by the Local Boundary Commission (LBC) to consider this question. The LBC held a decisional meeting on January 18, and recommended to clarify and correct the southeasterly boundary of the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

The Kenai Peninsula Borough supports the amendments correcting this boundary description and since the area affected is not presently inhabited, we concur with the Borough's position and feel that speedy resolution of this situation is in the best interest of the State and the Kenai Peninsula Borough.

Sincerely,

Lee McAnerney  
Commissioner

*Palmer McCarter*  
by: Palmer McCarter  
Director

Enclosure

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH BOUNDARY

December 1, 1978

In the process of compiling changes to be made in Topographic Quadrangle Seward B-5, it was noted that the description of the Kenai Peninsula Borough, dated April 30, 1974, southeasterly part, had an anomaly in the use of the Chugach National Forest Boundary to amplify portions of the description.

In two cases in this portion of the description, a corner is established using a land survey corner, and then is amplified by stating a latitude and longitude. In both cases, the latitude and longitude is incorrect, as determined from Bureau of Land Management Protraction Diagrams S15-2 and S14-11. The corners are as follows:

S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E.

Borough Boundary Description:

LAT: 60° 25' 00" N

LONG: 148° 33' 00" W

(This latitude and longitude is stated as being on the Chugach National Forest Boundary, which it is.)

Correct description from BLM Protraction Diagram S14-11

LAT: 60° 24' 56.923" N

LONG: 148° 33' 42.146" W

S.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E.

Borough Boundary Description:

LAT: 60° 02' 00" N

LONG: 148° 33' 00" W

(This latitude and longitude, as stated in the description, is on the approximate Chugach National Forest Boundary)

Correct description from BLM Protraction Diagram S15-2

LAT: 60° 03' 42.949" N

LONG: 148° 34' 11.811" W

Further study showed that the portion of the borough boundary line between these points did not take into account the east-west correction of the section lines as they cross the Seward Base Line.

It was further noted that the term "(projected)" was used following each use of a Section type corner; e.g. "N.E. Corner of Sec. 26, T9N, R2E (projected)." The term probably intended is "protracted", meaning a land survey corner established on paper but not in the field.

It was also noted that in some cases, the term "South" was used to describe the direction along a section line. In other cases, the term "southerly" is used. The term "southerly" is more correct, since except at the township boundaries, the section lines are not true North-South lines.

The intent of the boundary line appears clear, and this intent is shown on the Seward A-5 Quadrangle, where the borough boundary can be seen not to follow the Forest Boundary, and it shows a correction at the Seward Base Line. (Copy of Seward A-5 attached.)

The existing description of the area in question is:

".....N. E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E (projected); thence East to the S. E. Corner of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E (projected), said corner being on the Chugach National Forest Boundary at Longitude 148°33'00"W, Latitude 60°25'00"N; thence southerly along the boundary line of said Chugach National Forest to the S. E. Corner of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E (projected), said corner being on Longitude 148°33'00"W, Latitude 60°02'00"N; thence West....."

Suggested changes in the description are as follows: (additions are underlined; deletions are crossed out.)

".....N. E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E ~~(projected)~~ (protracted); thence East to the S. E. Corner of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E ~~(projected)~~ (protracted); ~~said corner being on the Chugach National Forest Boundary at Longitude 148°33'00"W, Latitude 60°25'00"N~~; thence southerly ~~along the boundary line of said Chugach National Forest~~ to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 34, T1N, R5E (protracted); thence West to the N.E. corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 3, T1S, R5E (protracted); thence southerly to the S.E. Corner of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec 27, T1S, R5E ~~(projected)~~ (protracted); ~~said corner being on Longitude 148°33'00"W, Latitude 60°02'00"N~~; thence West....."

The description of the area in question would then read:

".....N.E. Corner of Sec. 25, T4N, R4E (protracted); thence East to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 22, T4N, R5E (protracted); thence southerly to the S.E. Corner of the S.W. 1/4 of Sec. 34, T1N, R5E (protracted); thence West to the N.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 3, T1S, R5E (protracted); thence southerly to the S.E. Corner of the N.W. 1/4 of Sec. 27, T1S, R5E (protracted); thence West....."

*Received from the U.S. Forest Service*

RECEIVED

DEPT. OF INTERIOR, REG. AFFAIRS

DATE DEC 2 1973

JOINT C/RA COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARING/ Kodiak Boundary as recommended by the Local Boundary Commission, February 10, 1979, in Kodiak.

*Meeting called to ORDER 2:05 / adjourned 6:35 P.M.*  
Those who testified:

Alaska Local Boundary Commission Presentation (20 Minutes)  
Sig Strandberg, member of Commission

City of Kodiak Presentation (20 Minutes)  
Clair Harmony, City Manager  
Wilton White, City Council Member

Borough of Kodiak Island Presentation (20 Minutes)  
Betty Wallin, Mayor  
Joan Katz, Attorney

Public:

- Kyle Cherry, Dept. Envir. Contrs.*
- E.E. Erwin, Box 1247
- Norman D. Wooten, Box 3016
- William Bulen, Box 442, (Kodiak Sanitation)
- Ed Jack, Box 1494
- Neil Sargent, Box 121
- Marvie Heine, Box 1232
- Lorna-Lee Arndt, Box 2069 (Island Lake Group)
- Louis (Burnie) Lindsey, Box 951
- ✓ Jo Hajdu, Box 627 (Borough Residents for Autonomy)
- Tom Sweeney, Box 1395
- Chuck Powell, Box 605 (Chamber of Commerce)
- ✓ T.T. Jackson, Box 1215
- Martha D. Randolph, Box 414
- Dewitt Fields, Box 25
- Tom Simpler, Box 465
- June Juelson, Box 286
- Dick Juelson, Box 286
- ✓ Carol Lechner, Box 1616 (*City Council*)
- David Hall, Box 1122 (Did not appear)
- Danforth Ogg (self- (Assemblyman)) = (*5 minutes*)  
Box 2754
- Gaynell Hatcher, Box 57 (City Council)
- Jack McBride, Box 2007 (Relinquished Time)
- Jim Poulos, Box 2702
- Dorothy Poulos, Box 2702 (Relinquished Time)
- ✓ Pat Szabo, Box 1633 (Also read letter from Mr./Mrs. Wm. Ross)
- Peter Bailey, Box 3
- William Barker, Box 2135
- Richard Sims, Box 2705
- Gerold Sudenak, Box 2942 (Did not appear)
- ✓ Okey Chandler, Box 1635 (self & Don Mack)
- Kay Poland, Box 45
- ✓ Ian Fulp, Box 2306 (Read into record)
- Tom Logan, Box 1482
- Bob Brooks, Box 232 (self & wife)
- Dallas Paden, Box 2674
- Obel Onlid, Box 545 (self & Sid Onlid)

*MARIAN Johnson - 4:15*  
*OLAF ONLID*

Henry Le<sup>ue</sup>grew, Box 547  
Dr. Bob Johnson, Box 1727  
James Duros, Box 867 (Spruce Cape)  
Douglas Dawson, Box 997  
Daniel Busch, Box 1162  
William J. Glynn, Jr., Box 2615  
John A. Parker, Box 191  
Gary Stevens, Box 201 (City Mayor)  
✓ Duane Freeman, Box 902  
Tom Healey, Box 1676  
Tony Perez, Box 6  
Eril Norton, Box 1002 - *Appointed by City Mgr.*

*John Witteveen* —

①

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING  
BY HOUSE/SENATE C/RA COMMITTEES

TESTIFY SHEETS:

PLEASE PRINT NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	IF REPRESENTING A GROUP OR ORGANIZATION, PLEASE PRINT NAME	
E. E. Ennis ERWIN	Box 1247 ✓		3
NORMAN D. WOOTEN	BOX 3016 ✓		3
WILLIAM BUKEN	Box 442 ✓	KODIAK SANITATION	3
Ed Jack Jack	Box 1494 ✓		3
Neil Sargent	Box 121 ✓		3
Mervin Heine	Box 1232 ✓		3
LORNA-LEE ARNDT	Box 2069 ✓	Island Lake Group	5
Louis (Burnie) Lindsey	Box 951 ✓		3
JO HAZDU	Box 627 ✓	ROBEVON RESIDENTS FOR AUTISM	5
TOM Sweeney	Box 1395 ✓		3
Robert Powell	Box 605 ✓	Member of Council	5
BILL D. JACKSON	Box 1215 ✓	Self	3
MARYAN D. RANDOLPH	Box 414 ✓	Self	3
Donna Fields FIELDS	Box 25 ✓		3
TOM Simple	Box 465 ✓	Self	3
JUNE JUELSON	Box 286 ✓	Self	3
DAVE JUELSON IV	Box 286 ✓	Self	3
CAROL LECHNER	Box 1616 ✓		3
David Hall	Box 1122 ✓	Self	3
<del>R. DAN OGG</del> ✓	Box 1246 ✓	BORO ASSEMBLY?	3
DANFORTH OGG ✓	Box 2754 ✓		3
Gaynell Hatcher	Box 57 ✓	City Council?	3
Joeh McBride	Box 2007 ✓	Shahatka News-let?	3
Jim Poulos Poulos	Box 2702 ✓	Spruce Cove	3
Sorothy Poulos	Box 2702 ✓	Spruce Cove	3

2

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING  
BY HOUSE/SENATE C/RA COMMITTEES

TESTIFY SHEETS:

(Kodiak, Ak. 99615)

IF REPRESENTING A  
GROUP OR ORGANIZATION,  
PLEASE PRINT NAME

PLEASE PRINT NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

PLEASE PRINT NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	IF REPRESENTING A GROUP OR ORGANIZATION, PLEASE PRINT NAME
PAT SZABO	Box 1633, ✓	Also reading letter for Mr & Mrs Wm. Ross 3
PETER BAILEY	Box 3 ✓	3
William Barker	Box 2135 ✓	3
RICHARD SIMS	Box 2705 ✓	3
Berold J. Anderson	Box 2942 District Copper	3
MARIAN JOHNSON	Box 1727 ✓	3

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING  
BY HOUSE/SENATE C/RA COMMITTEES

TESTIFY SHEETS:

(Kodiak, Ak. 99615)

IF REPRESENTING A  
GROUP OR ORGANIZATION,  
PLEASE PRINT NAME

PLEASE PRINT NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

PLEASE PRINT NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	IF REPRESENTING A GROUP OR ORGANIZATION, PLEASE PRINT NAME
OKEY-CHANDLER	Box 1635 ✓	myself + Don Mack
Kay Poland	Box 45 ✓	
Ian Fulp	Box 2306 ✓ <i>Redwood</i>	
Tom Logan	Box 1483 ✓	
Bela Brooks	Box 232 ✓	myself + wife
Wallas L. Faden	Box 2674 ✓	Myself
✓ <i>Chad Fulp (on lid)</i>	Box 545 ✓	myself + Redwood
Henry J. Le Sueur <i>LE SUEUR</i>	Box 547 ✓	myself
Bob Johnson	Box 1727 ✓	myself
James Duro <i>Duro</i>	Box 867 ✓	Spruce Lake
Douglas Dawson	Box 955 ✓	ISLAND LAKE - SELF
<del>Raymond ...</del>		
John Wittenen		

4

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING  
BY HOUSE/SENATE C/RA COMMITTEES

TESTIFY SHEETS:

(Kodiak, Ak. 99615)

IF REPRESENTING A  
GROUP OR ORGANIZATION,  
PLEASE PRINT NAME

PLEASE PRINT NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

Daniel Busch

Box 1162 ✓

WILLIAM J. G. LYNN JR

Bx 2015 ✓

John A Parker

Bx 191 ✓

GARY STEVENSON

Box 201 ✓ City Mayor

Duane Freeman

✱

Rep. Mitchell for Good. Leadership.

5

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING  
BY HOUSE/SENATE C/RA COMMITTEES

TESTIFY SHEETS:

(Kodiak, Ak. 99615)

IF REPRESENTING A  
GROUP OR ORGANIZATION,  
PLEASE PRINT NAME

PLEASE PRINT NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

Tom Healey  
Tony Grez

Box 1676 Kodiak 99615  
P.O. Box 6 Kodiak

✓  
✓

KODIAK PUBLIC HEARING

BY HOUSE/SENATE C/RA COMMITTEES

TESTIFY SHEETS:

(Kodiak, Ak. 99615)

IF REPRESENTING A  
GROUP OR ORGANIZATION,  
PLEASE PRINT NAME

PLEASE PRINT NAME

MAILING ADDRESS

EMIL NORTON

4 Box 1002

SELF

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE COMMUNITY  
AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEES  
CO-CHAIRERD  
SENATOR ARLISS STURGULEWSKI  
AND  
REPRESENTATIVE BILL PARKER

2:00 P.M.  
FEBRUARY 10, 1979  
EAST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AUDITORIUM  
KODIAK, ALASKA

PURPOSE: Consideration of "Recommendation for Annexation of Territory to the City of Kodiak" as submitted to the Eleventh Legislature by the Alaska Local Boundary Commission; Senate Joint Resolution 12 and House Joint Resolution 10.

Agenda:

2:00 Call to Order

Introductory Remarks

2:15 Alaska Local Boundary Commission Presentation *Sig Strandberg - 11/21*

2:35 City of Kodiak Presentation *- Claire Harmony  
- Wilson White City Council.*

2:55 Borough of Kodiak Island Presentation *MAYOR Betty Wallin  
JOAN KATZ*

3:15 Public Testimony (Groups-5 minute / Individuals 3-minute limitations)

*6:35 See Sign in Street*  
Adjournment

*Kyle Cherry / City.*

Wallin

TESTIMONY OF BETTY WALLIN,  
MAYOR OF THE KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH  
BEFORE THE HOUSE AND SENATE COMMITTEES ON  
COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS  
REGARDING THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION  
BY THE CITY OF KODIAK OF  
THE MILL BAY ROAD & MISSION ROAD AREAS

I am Betty Wallin, Mayor of the Kodiak Island Borough. I'd like to welcome you to Kodiak and take this opportunity to thank you for bringing your hearing here so that the people affected may speak to you directly.

The citizens living in the annexation area have very specific grievances against the City and reasons for believing that annexation would be contrary to their interests. As mayor for all the citizens of the Borough, inside and outside the City, my perspective on annexation is perhaps more broadly based. I firmly believe that annexation should not be allowed to proceed for two reasons: first, it would constitute a travesty of our democratic processes; second, it would sound the death knell for unification.

It is possible that you will hear today from a few individuals claiming to support annexation. But virtually all who have contacted me -- and there have been many -- have expressed strong opposition to this proposal. They have argued over and over again against the unfairness of having this decision made without the benefit of a vote of the people concerned. (We complain about the federal government controlling our lands without understanding our needs and heeding our voice. How different will it be if the State, through its Local Boundary Commission, decides the fate of the residents of the annexation territory without appreciation for their needs and knowledge of how they might vote?)

I have had no answer for the citizens who approached me with their concern. I have found myself in complete accord with their views. Were this a case where residents were clearly in support of annexation, or apathetic in their attitude, the Local Boundary Commission's omnipotent role would be more palatable. But, obviously, this is not such a case. We ask the help of you, our elected representatives in bringing democracy to the annexation process.

I have, as indicated, a second problem with this annexation. It derives from an aspiration I hold for Kodiak, a hope for its future which would avoid problems such as this in the days and years to come. This hope is for unification.

We held an election on unification last October, in the midst of all this controversy. The proposition was defeated. But the tallies on either side were not terribly far apart. Given a little more time to explain to the people what unification could do for Kodiak Island, I am convinced the outcome would be different. I am equally convinced that unification is the only sensible, constructive way to go for this City and this Borough. And I am just as firmly convinced that it will not come to pass if this annexation takes place.

For the sake of democracy, for the sake of all those people whose interests would be adversely affected, and for the hope for the future -- unification -- I ask that Committee members recommend passage of Senator Mulcahy's and Representative Zharoff's respective resolutions.

Thank you for your consideration.

TESTIMONY OF NORMAN D. WOOTEN

BOX 3016

KODIAK, ALASKA

99615

486-4415

February 10, 1979

My greatest concern over this entire matter is the fact that we as residents had no say in a decision that has so much effect on us. If an election were to be held in the area and the people were for the annexation then I would abide with that decision.

I own and reside on a one acre lot in the Dark Lake area. This lot is covered with spruce trees and berry bushes. I and my neighbors plow and maintain our own road. I haul and dispose of my own trash. Certainly this is extra work and less convenient for me than if I were in the city and entitled to all these services as a citizen. I purposely chose this lifestyle though. I desire to live in an uncongested area such as I do for several reasons. My two small daughters have the run of a fairly large area without me having the worries of a typical "town" parent of traffic-pedestrian hazards. My dog is free to roam over this same area without the city animal restrictions. I'm able to enjoy a clear view of the lake.

What does all this have to do with annexation? Even though according to city publicity I am to be in the zone paying 35% of assessed tax rate I am uncomfortable with this promise. If and when the time arrives that I am to pay full taxation I am not at all certain I will be able to afford the tax on a one acre lot. If my property were valued at \$50,000.00, my present tax at 7.0 mills would be \$350.00 per year. Under the city assessment of 16.1 mills it would jump to \$855.00 per year plus the assessments for any proposed improvements in services. This being the case I would have to subdivide to be able to retain a place to live that I could afford. This is an unacceptable risk to me.

PRESENTATION FOR

JOINT COMMITTEES OF COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

KODIAK, ALASKA

FEBRUARY 10, 1979

BY WILLIAM H. BULEN, PRESIDENT KODIAK SANITATION, INC.

HONORABLE SENATORS AND ASSEMBLYMEN  
LADIES AND GENTLEMEN

My name is William Bulen. My son Richard Bulen and I own and operate Kodiak Sanitation, Inc.. We have a lot of interest in the matter before you and obviously most of it is financial. Therefore, asking us how we feel about the matter is like getting a wolf to watch the sheep.

However, there is one aspect to the present situation which we think is grossly unfair and I will confine my remarks to this problem.

We have many complaints from our commercial customers regarding the unauthorized use of dumpsters. Only this week I received a call from someone at Kodiak Community College asking what they could do to stop people from using their dumpster. I had to advise them that for all practical purposes their only recourse was to talk to the people involved. As you can understand, this would serve no purpose beyond causing the offenders to find another dumpster.

In the area you are considering today we serve 89 homes and all trailer parks. We estimate that there are 315 additional residential units in the area, which are the ones without refuse service. Some of these people take their trash to the dump but a large percentage of them take it to town and place it in a convenient dumpster. The other day I heard someone remark that they had seen someone transferring trash to the dumpster at the new Post Office while people in two other cars waited to do likewise.

I do not mean to infer that all borough residents are garbage free-loaders but I will have to admit that even some of my friends are numbered among them. For some strange reason, otherwise honest people will not hesitate to steal service.

The only practical solution to this and other garbage related problems is mandatory garbage service, which would be achieved by annexation. In this we do have a financial interest so I will say no more.

Thank you

TAX CONSULTANT

ACCOUNTANT

4186

PHONE: 486-5559

BOOKKEEPER

*"Jo" Hajdu*

P. O. Box 627

Kodiak, Alaska 99615

February 11, 1979

Joint Committee Hearing  
Senate & House Community & Regional Affairs Committees  
Kodiak, Alaska

Members of the Legislature, Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am appearing before you today both as a spokesman for the Borough Residents for Autonomy and as a resident, property owner and business person in the Spruce Cape area. So I would like to speak both in general terms and also as to how annexation might affect me as an individual residing in the area proposed for annexation.

First of all, it seems to us as a group that the only instance in which the Boundary Commission should exercise its statutory right to force an annexation without an assenting vote by the people affected by their decision should be in a circumstance where it can be clearly and irrefutably documented that there is an overriding public need. This is not the case in Kodiak. Testimony at the public hearings has been conflicting and documented facts are nearly non-existent. No detailed study has been performed by any independent agency as to the true costs to the residents of the area proposed for annexation, nor to the residents of the existing City of Kodiak. No time schedule for the provision of services had been furnished the Boundary Commission at the time of its decision. At no time has there been a real dialogue between the City administration and representatives of the area proposed for annexation (who have not been hand-picked by the City) as to what services they desire, if any.

The decision of the Boundary Commission appears to have been based on several assumptions:

1. That there is a need for water and sewer services in the area proposed for annexation.
2. That the residents of the area proposed for annexation are enjoying City services without paying their share of the costs.
3. That annexing this large area into the City would reduce conflicts between City and Borough governments and provide for greater efficiency.
4. That since many of us do our shopping and find employment in the City of Kodiak, that it is only logical that we should be governed by its administration.

It is certainly true that certain areas are in dire need of water and sewer. However, by far the greater portion is very large lots, sparsely settled. Individual water and sewer systems have been installed and are functional. Also, the City has indicated that it is beyond its physical and economic capability to provide sewer service to these sparsely populated tracts. The residents have voted for

for a service district so that the services can be furnished only where they are wanted and needed, and where the residents have indicated a willingness to pay for them. When the City accepted Federal funding for the sewerage treatment plant, it also committed itself to extending sewer service to this entire area regardless of the political boundaries. The costs, of course, are borne by the users and not by the general taxpayer.

Insofar as our not paying our own way, let me cite my specific example. My residence and office on Spruce Cape Road are served by City water. We pay a premium due to our location outside the City. We have our own EPA approved septic system. We are on a State road, which is very well maintained by the Highway Department, and for which the State would continue to pay if we were annexed. The State Troopers responded promptly on the one occasion in twenty-two years when they were called. Our fire protection is through the auspices of a service district. When enough of us feel that we want a higher degree of fire protection, we alone will bear the costs of a sub-station. We enjoy being out of the heavily populated area with our children and our pets. So far, my clients have been willing to drive the extra distance for the accounting services I provide them.


As I see it, I will be paying an additional 6.8 mills, or \$1,300 in my particular case, for the privilege of using the City Library, which I do not use at the present time. There will be no reduction in the taxes I pay to the State when the Troopers are replaced by City Police and the costs of road maintenance will continue to be borne by the State. If and when the municipal sewer crosses my land, I will also pay my full share for this (as I would if I remained outside the City). I am an avid reader, but I can buy many books to increase my own library for \$1,300.

In addressing the point of efficiency of government, although the existing City-Borough conflict is at times distressing, it is an effective system of checks and balances. I don't need to remind any member of this committee that the most efficient form of government is a dictatorship. One of the beauties of our democratic form of government is that if the people living under that government really don't like it, then we ourselves have the option to change it by opting for unification or simply by "throwing the rascals out".

The Legislature has just appropriated ~~1-1/2~~<sup>2</sup> million dollars toward a joint effort with Governor Hammond to convince the great white fathers in Washington, D.C. that even in their infinite wisdom they might not always know just what is right for the people of Alaska. Yet the Boundary Commission has decided that they are the persons best qualified to know what is best for us in Kodiak, despite overwhelming testimony from the people involved that they were opposed to the action being considered. I ask you to consider the implications.

Finally the argument has been advanced that we should be annexed since the City of Kodiak furnishes the shopping facilities for most of us. I do in fact, do most of my buying there, putting approximately \$2,000 of sales tax into the City's general fund between business and personal purchases. But please bear in mind that most of the merchandise sold in the City of Kodiak originates in the Port of Seattle. While I have seen some editorializing that Kodiak Island might consider seceding from the State of Alaska, I haven't heard any serious discussion of the entire island being annexed to Seattle!

Thank you very much for coming so far to hear us out.

  
Jo Hajdu

T. T. JACKSON  
P. O. BOX 1215  
KODIAK, ALASKA 99615

HONORABLE SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES:

THANK YOU FOR COMING.

I am T. T. Jackson, a continuous resident of Kodiak for thirty-seven years. I have a wife, Alma, and a son, Dell. We have a 100 unit Mobile Home Park outside the City of Kodiak. We are two miles from down town.

I am against annexation at this time. I am also against forming any service district.

I am for the City of Kodiak putting their house in order; that is, to pave all their streets that they now have; then annex Island Lake area and when that is brought up to the City standards; then advance with more annexation.

We, on Spruce Cape are not a burden to either the City or the Borough. Since the Borough was organized, not one cent of Borough money has been spent in the Spruce Cape area. The City of Kodiak has been very helpful with water and fire protection; however, we more than pay for these services. After the 1964 disaster, the Bureau of Docks & Yards built an 8 inch water main with fire hydrants for the Spruce Cape area, from the City Boundary on Mission Road for over two miles to the Loan Station on Spruce Cape Road; and the City took charge of these services and paid the Bureau of Docks & Yards \$42,000.00. In turn we pay the City over 2 mills for fire protection and we pay 27 per cent more than the City of Kodiak residents do per unit for water.

I estimate that there are about 300 families on Spruce Cape - there are 8 Mobile Home parks and many other Mobile Homes out of parks and more parks being planned. At this time I will inform you that the City has an ordinance that prohibits Mobile Homes and even if the City desired it - it may be impossible to change this ordinance as they have been bonded under this ordinance.

Mobile Home Parks are self sufficient. That is they pay the same mill rate and water rate as other residences and businesses, but they receive no government aid. We build and maintain our streets, sewer and water systems and fire hydrants. No way can we become a burden to anyone. Spruce Cape pays annually approximately \$90,000.00 to the City of Kodiak in Sales tax. I am sure none of this is returned to Spruce Cape. We are independent people who ask little and give much.

The State Legislators are noted for not listening to the people. One Example - ten years ago, the voters of Alaska asked, with a majority vote, for a Constitutional Convention. The Constitution of Alaska states that we are entitled to that convention, but ten years have passed and not even a mention of the Constitutional Convention. Here in Kodiak we have voted for NO ANNEXATION and NO SERVICE DISTRICT; now we are being told that the Constitution states that we must have one or the other. If the Legislators can ignore one part of the Constitution, then why not the other, or give us that Convention and let us make the necessary changes.

What our election here in Kodiak is telling you is that WE WANT LESS GOVERNMENT.

Are there any questions?

*yours T T Jackson*

Carol Lechner  
Public Testimony

I keep hearing that the democratic process has not been properly executed because the area in question for annexation did not get to vote on whether they wanted or did not want annexation. What about the person who wants annexation because his neighbor's sewer is running in his front yard and the same neighbor does not want annexation because he lives at the top of the hill? Or, the people that want annexation because Island Lake can no longer be used as a true recreational site because of pollution? What are these victims' rights?

The vote on service districts narrowly passed, the vote on non-area wide powers failed, this means several things to me--either the people already have the services provided by the City, or they want to continue existing with the problems they have, or they do not want the Borough to provide the services, or they feel it will cost them too much additional money. The problems will not go away and it will cost the people no matter who provides these services.

Who is better able to provide these services? The City presently has full services, sure they have some problems but nobody is perfect. I would venture to say every city in the U.S. has their problems. The City has vested many dollars in equipment and manpower, in my opinion, to have good, well trained personnel in these departments. People that know and understand the day to day routine of working and running these departments. In my opinion, it is beyond belief that a municipality who has never been in the business of public works, streets, fire or police could have the same knowledge--in 5 years time--to efficiently and effectively operate these services more economically than an established municipality with 39 years experience.

Services are costly enough without setting up ~~two~~ duplicate, full-service municipalities next door to one another. The area in question does not have the population or the bonding capacity based on their assessed valuation to warrant a separate full-service municipality. I feel the legislature would be doing a disservice to the whole area to create a situation such as that.

As an example, according to a breakdown by the City Finance Department, the City resident pays out of real property tax, .96 mills for fire services; whereas, the fire service district pays 2.23 mills for this service.

I believe further information on financing has been furnished to you in your information packet.

The City has, I feel, shown good faith in attempting to pre plan should annexation take place and has also addressed the concerns of the residents of the area. More information on this has also been provided to you.

It is questionable, according to State law, whether a new service district can legally ~~be~~ established if the new service can be provided by annexation to a City.

Thank you.

Box 1661  
Ketchikan Alaska 99615  
10 Feb 1979

Dear Sirs:

Due to a prior commitment, my husband and I are unable to attend this meeting but want to go on record as being against this proposed annexation. We own Lots 13 + 15, Survey 3103 on the back side of Island Lake.

The city seems to conveniently forget why we have a water line in this area and more than once have been reminded at public meetings. I testified at the Boundary Commission meeting last fall that during the time Pete Deveau was mayor, the city did some work at the reservoir that put blue clay into the lake system. This blue clay was plugging filters on washers and furnaces and was only a matter of time until these appliances would be ruined beyond repair. In order to avoid a law suit, a water line was run out here by the city. We were informed we would be charged a higher rate, the excess to be used for the maintenance and repair of the line.

When Jack Isadore was city manager, he called a meeting of the Island Lake and Shakhofka Acres residents and tried to stampede us into asking for annexation. This meeting was held at East

Elementary school. He pointed out the inadequacies of the water line, the need for sewers etc. The final topper however was the statement that the city could not offer anything in the way of utilities at that time - I can't remember his exact words, but that was the gist of it.

~~we~~ don't feel that the city can offer us <sup>any more</sup> ~~anything~~ at this time than they could then and therefore are against this proposed action

Thank you very much

Ellen Ross (Ellen Ross)  
William Ross (William W. Ross)

TESTIMONY OF OKEY CHANDLER  
BEFORE THE HOUSE AND SENATE  
COMMITTEES ON COMMUNITY AND  
REGIONAL AFFAIRS REGARDING  
THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION BY  
CITY OF KODIAK OF THE MILL BAY  
ROAD AND MISSION ROAD AREAS

I, Okey Chandler, have been a citizen of Kodiak for 33 years. I have a tremendous personal investment in the democracy of this country, with over five years in the military, and a personal expenditure between \$4,000 and \$5,000 in public information efforts to keep it alive in Kodiak.

The root of our problem is that the City Council under its Home Rule Charter, has absolute powers. They can and do override referendums. At the focus of today's meeting I say to you emphatically, I resent the forced annexation effort.

The Mission Road and Spruce Cape Road area contains about 350 acres and is the resident place of 2/3 of the people who would be impacted negatively by the annexation effort. Between 75% and 80% of the people live in trailers. In the Island Lake area containing approximately 150 acres, the majority of the people live on the front side of Island Lake and in the vicinity of Naughton's Trailer Court on Mill Bay. The remaining area under consideration within the proposed annex area has very few people and some very large parcels of land with no people at all.

My personal experiences with the City have been very poor. When I lived in the Leite Addition at 1520 Mission Road, the City was engaged in building the sewer line. During the process of installation they broke the old sewer line and I of course asked

the City to hook me up. More than a year later, they completed the installation and during that time I was without sewer. When the installation was made, it didn't work. They told me the trunk line wasn't deep enough and it was necessary to install a line above ground. I asked the City to cover the line to keep it from freezing. The City Manager said "You can cover it yourself as it's on your land". The facility is still there today - above ground.

The history of real estate in the area is of considerable interest. More than thirty lots in the area have been repossessed for default of payments. These lots originally sold for prices ranging from a few hundred dollars to \$2,000 or \$3,000. Most of the lots beyond Mill Bay to the north are bought on the long-term installment plan. The people who live in this area are happy with what they have and most cannot afford, nor do they need, anything the City has to offer.

From the City's perspective, they continue to claim they are broke and as a result, they are incapable of taking care of the people currently living within the City, let alone those many persons in the proposed annex area. If this annexation becomes a reality, it will shatter the hopes and dreams of a lot of people. Many will lose their land and their homes as a result of tax burdens imposed by services that they neither need, nor can afford to pay for.

In 1969, it was discovered that about one half of the business establishments in the downtown area were not paying any taxes on their land. When the Borough tax assessor sent them a tax bill for 1969, they refused to pay and demanded to be excused

from the tax payment. The Borough Assembly, which at that time was dominated by City-oriented weighted voting, accommodated their request. This should be ample evidence that big business and the Chamber of Commerce completely dominated the local governments at the expense of the working people, even to the point that they have to pay City sales tax on their rent.

*Okey Chandler*  
*2-10-79*

Okey Chandler  
P.O. Box 1635  
Kodiak, Alaska 99615

P.S. It is an interesting fact that there are over 3,500 acres of land in the City of Kodiak. Included in this land are hundreds of prime building lots, which to date have nothing constructed on them. So why do we need annexation?

To: Senator Arliss Sturgulewski

From: Ian Fulp

Date: Feb. 10, 1979

Re: Proposed Annexation

I own and reside on lot 9  
in the Shafatka Acres Subdivision (Island  
Lake Area). The area in which  
I live is in desperate need of sewer  
service. I feel that annexation  
by the City is the best and most  
reasonable solution to this problem.  
I further feel that the ~~the~~ area  
to be annexed is so similar to the  
area within City limits that we  
are <sup>all</sup> residents of the City and recipients of City services whether ~~not~~  
the corporate boundaries exclude us or  
not.

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING BY THE SENATE AND HOUSE COMMUNITY  
AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEES  
CO-CHAIRERD  
SENATOR ARLISS STURGULEWSKI  
AND  
REPRESENTATIVE BILL PARKER

2:00 P.M.  
FEBRUARY 10, 1979  
EAST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AUDITORIUM  
KODIAK, ALASKA

PURPOSE: Consideration of "Recommendation for Annexation of Territory to the City of Kodiak" as submitted to the Eleventh Legislature by the Alaska Local Boundary Commission; Senate Joint Resolution 12 and House Joint Resolution 10.

Agenda:

- 2:00 Call to Order
  - Introductory Remarks
- 2:15 Alaska Local Boundary Commission Presentation
- 2:35 City of Kodiak Presentation
- 2:55 Borough of Kodiak Island Presentation
- 3:15 Public Testimony (Groups-5 minute / Individuals 3-minute limitations)
- Adjournment

Kodiak, Alaska  
2/10/79

Madam Chairwoman,

I am opposed to annexation of the Island Lake area. I do not wish to be a part of the city. I feel that the government that governs the least governs the best. The city request more government.

I appreciate the committee coming to Kodiak to take testimony. It helps renew my belief in the democratic process.

In closing, the last we desire is a vote to decide if we should become a part of the city.

Suone Freeman  
Island Lake  
Kodiak, Alaska

W. J. [unclear]

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS		DATE
Mark White	Box 1132	Island Lake	1/15/79
[unclear]	Box 1712	[unclear]	[unclear]
Karen White	Box 1132	Island Lake	[unclear]
[unclear]	Box 883	Cliff Side Hwy	[unclear]
[unclear]	Box 853	"	"
[unclear]	P.O. Box 2515	Island Lake	1-16-79
[unclear]	P.O. 2515	"	"
[unclear]	P.O. 973	"	1-16-79
[unclear]	P.O. 2751	"	1-16-79
[unclear]	P.O. 2751	Island Lake	1-16-79
[unclear]	P.O. 1334	"	"
[unclear]	P.O. 2924	"	1-16-79
[unclear]	P.O. Box 2244	[unclear]	1-16-79
DR. P. STEVENS	P.O. Box 2899	Island Lake	[unclear]
[unclear]	1100 3053	Island Lake	1-16-79
[unclear]	Box 3043	Island Lake	1-16-79
Joel Gray	Island Lake	Island Lake	1/16/79
Sharon L. Gray	"	"	1/16/79
Cliff Clark	Box 2972	Island Lake	1/16/79
[unclear]	"	"	1/16/79
Wayne Keadall	Box 3116	Island Lake	1/16/79
[unclear]	Box 3072	Island Lake	1/16/79
Patrick Peters	Box 2843	Island Lake	1/16/79
Karen J. Peters	Box 2843	Island Lake	1/16/79
Michael J. Peters	Box 1005	Island Lake	1/16/79
Cheryl Peters	"	"	1/16/79
David M. Huber	Box 2900	Island Lake	1/16/79
Rubicon A. Huber	Box 2900	Island Lake	1/16/79
[unclear]	P.O. 37 City	"	1/16/79
[unclear]	P.O. Box 37	"	1/16/79
[unclear]	P.O. Box 2515	"	1/16/79
Michelle K. [unclear]	7504 642	"	1/16/79

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Wink Cassell	Box 2192 Moval Hill	1-4-79
Judette Cassell	Box 2192	1-4-79
Richard J. Law	Box 2192	1-5-79
William Barron	Box 1412	1-5-79
Carol Ott	Box 977	1-5-78
Larry Allen	Box 977	1-5-78
Joseph P. [unclear]	Box 2182	1-5-79
Mary E. [unclear]	Box 2182	1-5-78
Lesley McKeeth	Box 947	1-5-79
Virginia Tappan	Box 2887	1-6-79
James P. [unclear]	Box 2605	1-6-79
Andrea J. Sherman	Box 1126	1-7-79
Ed de [unclear]	Box 947 Mission Rd	1-8-79
William C. [unclear]	Box 2135 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
William C. [unclear]	Box 2135 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Catherine De [unclear]	Box 1574 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Merion T. Olsen	Box 1574 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Ernest A. [unclear]	Box 2065 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Theresa [unclear]	Box 2065 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Maureen Paulson	Box 2785 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Ernest [unclear]	Box 3152 Woodland Acres	1-14-79
James A. Love	Naughton's	1-14-79
Alfred A. Love	" " " AK	1-14-79
L. [unclear]	Box 3166 Naughton's	1-14-79
K. Stever	Box 3166 Naughton's	1-14-79
[unclear]	" 2104	1-14-79
Ronald Naughton	Box 1222 Naughton's	1-14-79
Barbara Spalinger	Box 1462 Naughton's	1-14-79
Alvin [unclear]	Box 1261 Naughton's	1-14-79
Harold [unclear]	Box 1575 Mill Bay Rd	1-14-79
William C. [unclear]	Box 207 Mill Bay Rd	1-14-79

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
M. D. Flickinger	Spruce Cape Box 143	1/16/79
Tom G. VanSchoel	COVE TR. CT. Box 157	1/16/79
Debbie vanSchoel	<sup>road</sup> Cove Trailer Ct #10 Box 157	1/16/79
Joe Kasinkoff	Cove Trailer Ct #18 Box 2679	1/16/79
Dennis W. Fisher	Box 6601 Cove Tra #1	1/16/79
Todd M. Kendrick	Cove Trailer Ct #1 Box 2722	1/16/79
Lee M. Adams	Cove Trailer #1 Box 2811	1/16/79
John T. Parker	Cove Trailer Ct #5 Box 653	1/16/79
Tanya Parker	" " #5 Box 653	1/16/79
Margaret Steele	(Black) Trailer Ct #4 Box 1732	1-16-79
Jeanne E. Kargolis	Box 785 Baptist Mission	1-16-79
Bill Wackoff Sr.	BOX 363 Spruce Cape Rd.	1/16/79
Deborah Borch	Spruce Cape Road 971	1/17/79
Thom R. Burch	Spruce Cape Rd. 884	1/17/79
Janice O. Breckner	Island Lake Box 1847	1/17/79
Claude Andrew	Crest View Sub Div. Box 1463	1/18-79
Jack Burton	Crestview Sub. Box 1432	1/18-79
Mike Kosmussen	Crestview Sub. Box 2213	1-18-79
Arlene Zimmerman	Island Lake Rd. Box 1582	1-18-79
Keith Bellinger	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1341	1-18-79
Edward G. Bell	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 2955	1-18-79
Donald W. Johnson	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 2955	1-18-79
Tom Collins	Spruce Cape Rd. Gen Del	1-18-79
Deb Workford	Spruce Cape Rd. GEN DEL	1-18-79
Mary Shepard	TT JACKSON Box 574	1-19-79
Donald H. Havel	" " "	" "
Glenn J. Fox	" " Box 1584	1-19-79
Andrew Fry	TT " " Box 1584	1-19-79
Edna Barton	TT Jackson Trailer Ct Box 211	1-19-79
Albert Barton	TT Jackson Trailer Ct Box 211	1-19-79
Lewis W. Wilber	TT Jackson's #29	1-19-79
Barbara L. Wilber	TT Jackson's #29	1-19-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Wynn G. Keef	#77 Jacksons, Box 2521, Kodiak	1/21/79
Shirley A. Keef	#77 Jacksons, Box 2521 Kodiak	1/21/79
Barbara K. See	#76 Jackson Box 1001 Kodiak	1-21-79
Patricia Shangan	#75 Jackson Box 2738 Kodiak	1-21-
Patti Millman	#55 " Box 2820	1-21-
GERTEN M. JENSEN	#69 " Box 1461 KODIAK	1-21
Barbara Carberry	#62 " Box 2402 Kodiak	1-21-
May D. Clifton	#62 " Box 2402, Kodiak	1-21-
Richard O. Thummel	#62 Box 1281	1-21-
Sandra Thummel	JACKSONS #64 1281	1/21/79
Hettie & Juet	Jackson #50 Box 68	1-21-
Jenny Matson	Jackson 265 Box 77	1-21-79
Patricia Matson	Jackson 26A Box 77	1-21-79
Paul C. Super	#44 Jackson Box 2661	1-21-79
John Super	#45 Jackson Box 2442	1-21-79
Vince Super	#45 Jackson Box 2442	1-21-79
John Super	#41 S. L. So. Box 2355	1/21/79
Joy Kiro	T.T. JACKSONS #9 Box 3096	1/21/79
Martha Bravo	T.T. JACKSONS #9 Box 3096	1/21/79
Ronald W. Holland Sr	Spruce Cape Rd Box 533	1/21/79
Ronald W. Holland Jr	Spruce Cape Rd Box 533	1/21/79
John R. Morton	in el Bay Rd Box 44	1-23-79
Elpidio Navarro	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1772	1/24/79
John Bravo Rivera	Spruce Cape Rd Box 3076	1/24/79
Rose Cordova	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1673	1/24/79
John R. Morton	Spruce Cape Rd 1772	1/24/79
D. Gladice White	Spruce Cape Rd Box 281	1/24/79
Rocky White	Spruce Cape Rd	1/24/79
Michael Fox	Spruce Cape Rd	1/24/79
Vickie McCullough	Spruce Cape Rd Gennel Delivery	1/24/79
Chico Quick	Spruce Cape Rd/Box 1817	1-24-79
Jim Wolfhoff	JACKSONS TR CRT # 3076	1-24-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Virginia Ball	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Leif Mackenzie	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Leif Britinger	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Ed Child	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Sherry Child	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
J. A. Hausman	Spruce Cape	1/14-79
Al Hausman	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Michael McCrea	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Melinda Metch	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
John Paulson	Spruce Cape	1/15/79
J. Margaret Ogden	Spruce Cape	1/15/79
James P. Ogden	Spruce Cape	1/15/79
Maryann Wilson	Spruce Cape	1/15/79
Fred A. O'Brien	Spruce Cape	1/15/79
Jeff D. Jackson	Spruce Cape	1/16/79
Robert J. Spencer	Spruce Cape	1-16-79
Francis J. Flanagan	Spruce Cape	1-16-79
Ernest R. Flanagan	Spruce Cape	1-17-79
Ernie R. Flanagan J.R.	SPRUCe CAPE	1 17 79
James A. Peterson	Mill Bay	1-19-79
Walter H. Peterson	Mill Bay	1-19-79
William H. Howe	Spruce Cape	1-25-79
Nelora A. Welkoff	Spruce Cape	1-25-79
William A. Herman	Jackson Tpk. Court Box 2225	1-25-79
James L. Manna	Jackson Tpk. Court General Delivery	1-25-79
Eric Nelson	Jackson Tpk. Court General Delivery	1-25-79
James W. Jakashi	JACKSON TRAILER CO GENERAL DEL	1-25-79
Mary Louise Walton	Jackson Trailer Box 1652	1-26-79
Ernest Braumler	Spruce Cape Box 1593	1-26-79
Harriet L. Eierman	#38 T.T. Jackson's Box 2691	1-26-79
Bruce L. Eierman	#38 T.T. JACKSON'S Box 2691	1-26-79
Shirley Kathleen Koff	#36 T.T. JACKSON'S Box 1212	1-27-79

6

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Ernest Kitchick	Spruce Cape Road	1/11/79
Saturmino Castro	Spruce Cape Road.	1/14/79
John T. K... ..	" " "	1/14/79
James M. Bennett	Spruce Cape Road	1/14/79
WITO SALES	SPRUCE CAPE ROAD	1/14/79
Dona M. West	Spruce Cape	1/14/79
Garrod M. J... ..	SPRUCE CAPE ROAD	1/14/79
J.C. Egan	SPRUCE CAPE ROAD	1-14-79
Barbara M... ..	" "	1/14/79
Janet M... ..	Spruce Cape Rd.	1-14-79
Loyal Pelofani	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
M... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
Christine E... ..	" " "	1-14-79
Cheryl Bunting	Spruce Cape Rd.	1/14/79
Jennifer G. Allen	Spruce Cape Road	1/14/79
R... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
... ..	" " "	1-14-79
... ..	Spruce Cape Rd.	1-14-79
... ..	Spruce Cape Rd.	1-14-79
Paul D. M... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
Tony B... ..	spruce Cape Rd.	1-14-79
Alan ... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
James R. M... ..	Jackson's No 59 Box 44	1-27-79
DAVID J. Reschell	JACKSON'S NO. 48 BOX 173	1/27/79
Blaine St... ..	JACKSON'S SP... #1 Box 173	1-27-79
Cheryl Bunting	JACKSON'S SP... #33 Box 1741	1-27/79
John B... ..	JACKSON'S SP... #33 Box 1741	1-27/79
... ..	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
Emery & Hillfill	Shapaska PO Box 2773 Kodiak, Alaska	1-30-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

B-11-7  
7

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Sharon Dunbar	Jacksons Del. CT Box-557	11-14-79
Edward Gracher	Spruce Cape Rd Box 542 Kodiak	1/14/79
Nichelle Humphrey	Spruce Cape Rd Box 542	1/14/79
Carmy J. Denzels	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2202	1/14/79
ENA Houser	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
Russell J Davis	Spruce Cape Rd Box 571	1-14-79
Ed Brown	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1480	1-14-79
Nick Gossner	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1481	1-14-79
Judith N. McFadden	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 2072	1-14-79
Donnie McFadden	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2072	1-14-79
Arthur Pedersen Jr	Jacksons Trailer Ct Box 2513	11-14-79
Paul Anderson	Jacksons Trailer Court	11-14-79
Sandi Stepmoff	Jacksons Trailer Court	11/14/79
Anna McFadden	Jacksons Trailer Court Box 2513	11/14/79
Harvey J. Pedersen	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1042	11/14/79
Walter Johnson	Spruce Cape Rd	"
Walter Johnson	Grass Box 2461	1-14-79
John E. Michels II	Jacksons 25C-2 Gen. Del.	1-14-79
Helicia V. Willey	Jacksons 25C-2 Box 42	1-14-79
Barry Dold	Jacksons 31 Box 355	1-14-79
Chris Jensen	Jacksons 31 Box 355	1-14-79
Lynne H. Anderson	Cutoff Rd. Box 2067	1-14-79
Mary J. Anderson	Cutoff Rd. Box 2067	1-14-79
James J. Wright	Mill Box Box 701	1-14-79
Paul A. Brown	Naughton Trailer Court Box 1222	1-14-79
Julie E. Innes	Naughton's Trailer Court Box 1222	1-14-79
Julia Naughton	" " " " Box 1222	1-14-79
George Naughton	" " " " Box 1222	1-14-79
David Glusman	NAUGHTON TR COURT BX 1361	1/14/79
Rudolf Borgman	JACKSON TR COURT BX 557	1/14/79
Paul Lewis	JACKSON TR COURT Gen. Del.	1/14/79
Charlotte Miller	JACKSONS TR COURT BX 1283	1/14/79
J. Ellen Harner	JACKSONS TR COURT Gen. Del.	1/14/79

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Wesley Chandler	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1635	1-11
Brett Randolph	Spruce Cape Road	Box 414 1/13/79
Elizabeth Brown	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 713 1/13/79
Corinne Ferré	Monashka Bay	Box 1607 1/13/79
Don Covington	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 2693 1/13/79
Wm. Carney	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 2693 1/13/79
Pat Carneg	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 1635 1/13/79
Lee Budde	Spruce Cape rd.	Genl. Del 1/13/79
Sylvia Davis	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 2223 1/13/79
Alga Gaassen	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 1451 1-13-79
Carol Hazel	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1703 1-13-79
Mis Kough	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1672 1-13-79
Lawrence Mink	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 2451 1-13-79
Drene Kough	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1672 1-13-79
Gladys Mink	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 2451 1-13-79
Don Choud	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 906 1-13-79
Paul Hight	Mill Bay Rd Box 1212	1-13-79
Britta Fierchinger	Spruce Cape Road	Box 143 1-13-79
Susan Thompson	Island Lake	Box 2878 1-13-79
Robert K. Cobban	Spruce Cape Road	Box 1413 1-14-79
Sharon Cobban	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 1413 1-14-79
John Koster	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 2174 1-14-79
Alfred Turado	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 3142 1-14-79
Randall D. Watt	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 1011 1-14-79
Cathy J. Watt	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1011 1-14-79
W. Whiting	Spruce Cape Rd.	Box 1631 1-14-79
Nancy Eliadson	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1631 1-14-79
Robert Hale	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1631 1-14-79
Heather Dawson	Box 141 Brookside	1-14-79
Ernie Hansen	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 1878 1-14-79
Jim Bush	" " "	" " "
John D. Hilbert	Spruce Cape Rd	Box 2608 1-14-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

Barr 9

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Karen R. Martin	1319 Birch St Kodiak Alaska Box 1005	1-15-79
Clara G. Simpson	77 Mill Bay Rd. Box 465	1/15/79
Sally Alderman	Mill Bay Rd. Box 811	1/15/79
Edith Gilbert	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2608	1-15-79
Alice Aitken	Seaman Box 775	1-15-79
Ann Cochran	Mission Road Box 802	1-15-79
Ed. Rogers	T.T. Jack Tractor Ct Box 342	1-15-79
Ed. Christensen	Mission Rd. Box 452	1-15-79
Cheryl S. Christensen	231 So. Benson Box 452	1-15-79
Norothy J. Christensen	Mission Road Box 452	1-15-79
Mac B. Kings	#12 Jackson Lane Box 615	1-15-79
Atkinson	MILL BAY RD Box 2893	1-15-79
Peter Mack	HAUGHTON COURT MILL BAY RD. Box 110	1-15-79
Gay E. Rocksted	SPEUCE CAPE RD Box 1743	1-16-79
Lisa E. Parker	Spruce Cape Road Box 1424	1-16-79
Neil Halden	77 Jackson's Trailer Ct Box 2225	1-16-79
Lara Franklin	1829 Mission Rd. Box 703	1-16-79
Jane Strade	1831 Mission Rd. Gen. Del.	1-16-79
Bill Strade	1531 Mission Rd. Gen. Del.	1-16-79
Mary Krieger	Mission Rd. Box 802	1-16-79
Steve Kuker	Mission Rd. Box 802	1-16-79
John G. Sall	SPRUCE CAPE RD. Box 1233	1-16-79
Anna M. Parker	S. Spruce Cape Rd. Box 256	1-16-79
James R. Nelson	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1004	1-16-79
Barbara M. Dorch	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 884	1/16/79
Emily Swift	" " " " 884	1/16/79
R. Ken Sumner	" " " " Box 1374	1/16/79
Marty Boston	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 16	1/16/79
Robert H. Childs	Mission Road Box 785	1/16/79
Margaret C. Childs	Mission Road Box 785	1/16/79
Wayne Sargent	Mission Road Box 121	1/16/79
Tom Marshall	Island Dr. Box 3116	1/16/79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

10

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Jeanette Gorman	Box 807 Mill Bay	14 Jan 79
John R. [unclear]	Burby Box 754 - Kokavian Drive	14 Jan 79
Mary Carr	Box 2872 Mill Bay Rd	14 Jan 79
Bill Carr	" " "	" " "
John Baker	Box 2075 Island Lake	14 Jan 79
Wesley Baker	Box 2075 Island Lake	Jan 14 79
Budger Oshelhoff	Box 2393 Island Lake	Jan 14 79
Mara J. Lynch	Box 2074 Island Lake	Jan 14 79
Louis M. Schneider	Box 2703 Island Lake	Jan 14 79
Patricia C. Schneider	Box 2703 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Ernie [unclear]	Box 2679 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Malvin [unclear]	Box 2679 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Emil D. [unclear]	Box 2679 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Ellen M. Ross	Box 1661 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Jan G. Robbins	Box 2284 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Don C. Blessing	Box 2391 Isl. Lake	Jan 14 79
Ethel G. Blessing	Box 2391 Isl. Lake	Jan 14 1979
Charles W. Jones	Box 3143 Isl. Lake	Jan 14 1979
Joanne W. Jones	Box 3143 Island Lake	Jan 14 1979
Harold [unclear]	Box 2785 Woodland Ave. [unclear]	Jan 14 79
[unclear]	Box 1102 Misia	1-16-79
John M. [unclear]	Box 2037 Lakewood Dr	1-16-79
David R. Miller	Box 2037 Lakewood Dr	1-16-79
Henry L. O'Hea	Box 2994 Newa Way Road	1-16-79
John T. [unclear]	Box 1002 Island Lake	1-16-79
Frank M. [unclear]	Box 1002 Island Lake	1-16-79
Vincent J. Root	Box 541 Mill Bay	1-16-79
Mary E. Root	Box 541 Mill Bay	1-16-79
Charles [unclear]	Box 3066 Island Lake	1-16-79
Esther Jones	Box 3066 Island Lake	1-16-79
[unclear]	Box 2985 Island Lake	1-16-79
[unclear]	Box 2985 Island Lake	1-16-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Walter J. ...	Box 465 Kodiak 2 1/2 mi. N. of Hwy. 1	1/16/79
Walter J. ...	Box 473 Kodiak Island	1/17/79
...	Box 2932 Kodiak	1/17/79
Jim ...	Box 646 Kodiak Crestview	1/18/79
Bob ...	Box 646 Kodiak Crestview	1/18/79
Dary ...	Box 347 Kodiak Crestview	1/18/79
...	Box 2078 Kodiak	1/18/79
...	Box 1535 Kodiak Newburg	1/18/79
...	Box B17 Kodiak Newburg	1/18/79
...	Box 694 Kodiak MILLERS POINT	1-20-79
...	Box 694 Kodiak MILLERS POINT	1-20-79
...	Box 1457 Kodiak Island Id.	1-21-79
...	Box 1454 Kodiak Island Id.	1-21-79
Alex ...	Box 7397 KODIAK INLAND LAKE	1-21-79
William W. Ross	Box 1661 ISLAND LAKE	1-21-79
...	Box 2284 ISLAND LAKE	1-21-79
...	Box 1524 Island Lake	1-21-79
...	Box 1700 Island Lake	1-21-79
...	Box 2146 Island Lake	1-21-79
...	" " " "	1-21-79
...	Box 517 ISLAND LK.	1/21/79
...	Box 1331 Island LK.	1/21/79
...	Box 1232 Mill Bay	1-21-79
...	POB 1573	1-22-79
...	POB 2078 Island LK	1-22-79
...	POB 773 Island Lake	1-22-79
...	POB 2727 Newburg	1/22/79
...	P.O. Box 2701 Newburg	1-22-79
...	Box 368 Island Lake	" "
...	Box 215 Newburg	1-22-79
...	Box 2994 Newburg	1-22-79

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Dick Nelson	Spruce Cape Rd.	12/19/78
Frank & Mandy	Spruce Cape Rd	12/19/78
Gene Jankowski	Spruce Cape Rd	12/31/78
Russell Seahorn	Spruce Cape Rd	1-4-79
Charles Skitter	Woodland Acres	1-4-79
Lana de Court	Island Lake	1-4-79
Wesley J. J. Jue	Mission Rd	1-4-79
W. H. (Hank) ( )	Spruce Cape Rd	1-11-79
Barth E. Paulsen	Spruce Cape Rd.	1-4-79
Kenyan Hunter	Island Lake Rd	1-4-79
Duane Freeman	Island Lake	1-4-79
Jim Richardson	Cliffside Loop Rd.	1-4-79
Andrew L. Jensen	Island Lake	1-4-79
Peter Bell	Island Lake Rd.	1-4-79
John Mazich	MILL BAY RD.	1-5-79
Cathy Stevens	Island Lake	1/5/79
Nick Goonan	Spruce Cape RD.	1-12-79
Jim & Sandra M. M. M. M.	Cliffside Loop	1-12-79
Clara Helme	Miller Point	1-12-79
Judy E. French	Cliffside Loop Rd	1-12-79
Sylvia M. O'Brien	North View, Island Lake	1-13-79
Christy Kinter	Woodland Acres	1-14-79
Dod Murphy	Spruce Cape Road	1-14-79
Gwen Dick	Spruce Cape Road	1-14-79
Dotalee Jack	Spruce Cape Road	1-14-79
Michael Skurshoff	Spruce Cape Road	1-14-79
Christy Kinter	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
Andy Szulcowski	Spruce Cape Rd	1-14-79
Teri Firemark	Spruce Cape Road	1-14-79
Maureen Pitz	✓	1-14-79
Tommy Perez	✓	1-14-79
Teresa Pitz	Spruce Cape Road	1-14-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

Borough  
13

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Marlene T. Wason	Box 166 Spruce Cape Kodiak	12/28/78
Thamika J. Landolf	Box 414 - Spruce Cape Rd. Kodiak	12/28/78
Betty L. Lorenson	Box 1336 Spruce Cape Rd. Kodiak	12/28/78
Kari M. Wason	Box 166 Spruce Cape Kodiak	12/28/78
Christy Kinton	Box 1051 Woodland Ceres Kodiak	12/28/78
Beverly Horn	Spruce Cape Rd. Kodiak	12/28/78
Sharon R. Quantie	Box 1262 - Mill Bay Kodiak	1/4/79
Susan E. Horn	Box 15 Spruce Cape Rd. Kodiak	1/4/79
Armed R. Horn	Box 5 Spruce Cape Rd. Kodiak	1/8/79
Peter B. Jones	Box 2683 Kodiak Mill in N. Subdiv.	1/8/79
Don Dwyer	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1605 Kodiak	1/8/79
Don Murphy	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 433 Kodiak	1/8/79
Francis J. Worino	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 612	1/9/79
Michael G. M. L. H.	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 514	1/9/79
David C. Williamson	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1917	1-9-79
George D. Duff	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 627	1-10-79
Michael M. Duff	Box 974 No Island Lake	1-10-79
Julie Babcock	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1481	1-10-79
James A. Spilner	Naughtons Tale Ct. Box 1462	1-10-79
Jerisita Melton	Cove Trailer Ct. Box 2372	1-10-79
Daniel Swarby	Cove Tale Ct. Box 346	1-10-79
Debra L. Lindberg	" " "	1-10-79
Debra K. Kuanichoff	Cove Tale Ct. Box 2247	1-10-79
Caitlin L. Wolfe	Cove Tale Ct. Box 3033	1-10-79
Charles A. Capri	Cove Tale Ct. Box 2424	1-10-79
Christine H. Sindt	Box 1273 (Mission Rd.)	1-10-79
Minnie J. Lisaka	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 2647	1-10-79
John Duff	(McDonald Building) Mission Rd.	1-10-79
June C. McCall	Hardings Tr. Ct #7 Box 2665	1-10-79
John W. Munk	Hardings Tr. Ct #6 Box 1754	1-10-79
Kenneth P. Munde	Hardings Tr. Ct #6 Box 1754	1-10-79
Charles Polyer	JACKSON TRAILER CT. Box 2672	1-10-78

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Jessie Nelson	Box 2700 - Jackson's Trailer Ct.	1/10/79
Donald Kelpa	Box 2192 - Jackson's Trailer Ct.	1/10/79
Cyndi Keeler	Box 1101 Spruce Cape Rd	1/10/79
Debra Bucher	Box 1831 " "	1/10/79
Donna Smith	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1834	1-10-79
Georgia Mathews	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1925	1-10-79
Klaus Holzer	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2507	1-10-79
Wanda Harty	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2704	1-10-79
Stephen Davis	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2223	1-10-79
Leonard Boden	Jackson Trl Court Box 2215	1-10-79
Alda Boden	" " " " Box 2215	1-10-79
Christine Kuasnikoff	Jackson Trl Court Box 137	1-10-79
Louise Sweet	Grove Trailer Ct. Box 653	1/10/79
Deborah A. Winer	Cutoff Rd Box 1603	1-11-79
M. Emma	Mission Road - Box 2272	1-11-79
Miko Stehno	Rezansoff Ext. P.O. Box 2603	1-11-79
Lorna Steelman	Rezansoff Ext. Box 2603	1-11-79
Lorraine Steelman	Rezansoff Ext. Box 2603	1-11-79
Mark A. Chase	Rezansoff Ext. Box 2720	1-11-79
Edgar L. Davis	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 2883	1-11-79
Gene M. Wood	Spruce Ct. Box 2063	1-11-79
John K. Bailey	Spruce Ct. #11 Box 3043	1-11-79
Shandra Bailey	Spruce Ct. #11 Box 3043	1-11-79
Frank J. Abrecht	" " #9 Box 2287	1/11/79
Yvonne Lamb	" " " " Box 1006	1/11/79
Muriel Coors	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 971	1/11/79
Dennis Jackson	Spruce Cape Rd. P.O. Box #586	1/11/79
Wally Al	" " " " " "	" "
Lo Vera K. Jackson	Spruce Cape Rd. Box #586	1/11/79
Sophy Erdman	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 394	1/12/79
Caroline Mow	Mission Rd. Box 226	1-12-79
Ms. Joan Schae	Mission Rd. Box 1794	1-12-79
Karen Christ	Island Lane Box 2338	1-12-79
George Lee	Mission Road Box 2117	1-12-79
Don Coors	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 971	1-12-79
Terri Boyce	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 971	1-12-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
John A. Parker	Island Lake Box 191	12/27/78
John W. Jensen	Island Lake Box 612	12/27/78
Paul Hayes	Miller Point - Box 1414	12/27/78
Dave Bennis	Woodland Area Lot 6 BK1-Box 2729	12/27/78
Z. J. Mattak	Mill Bay Rd. Box 416	12/27/78
Betty Jensen	Spence Cape Rd. Box 2618	12/27/78
Alvin Black	Spence Cape Rd. Box 913	12/27/78
Lucas Sullivan	Spence Cape Rd. P.O. Box 237	12/27/78
Paul Friedrich Olsen	Cliffside Rd. Box 2842	12/27/78
John Anderson	Island Lake Box 1863	12/27/78
Dobbie Schaefer	Camc Trailer Ct. # 10 Box 157	12/27/78
Marcella Davis	Island Lake Box 246 Spout	12/27/78
Ramona Stella	Spence Cape Rd. Mission # 12 Box 1335	12/27/78
John A. Bay	Jackson Trailer Rt. Box 412	12/27/78
Beryl N. Larsen	Spence Point P.O. Box 2864	12-27-78
Kathleen Hunter	Island Lake P.O. Box 1701	12-28-78
James R. Jensen	Spence Point P.O. Box 2864	12-28-78
Norma L. Crawford	Pacific Trailer Ct. Box 761	12-28-78
Keith P. McClain	#15 Jackson Trailer Court Box 1788	12/28/78
Valva Balrock	Spence Cape Road Box 1481	12/28/78
Paul Ruesch	Spence Cape Rd. Box 1753	2/28/78
Ben Ballinger	SHAFICA Ave. Box 126	12/28/78
Neil Lake	ISLAND LAKE, BOX 1633	12/28/78
Gladys Berntson	Spence Cape Rd. Box 216	12/28/78
Yelita E. Eymoz	Spence Cape Rd. Court Box 1931	12/28/78
Boj Nachterich	Mill Bay #551683 Box 1047	12/29/78
E. E. Ennis	" " " " Box 1247	12/29/78
Rob Balrock	Spence Cape Rd. Box 1481	12/29/78
William Ni Parker	Cross Point (Island Lake) Box 191	12-29-78
Annie Riley	Spence Cape Rd. Box 1114	12-31-78
P. Fred Anderson	Spence Cape Rd. Box 1673	12-31-78
Mary Mack	Spence Cape Rd. Box 2108	12-31-78

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
E. W. Barr (Eric W. Barr)	SPRUCE CAPE RD Box 3026	12-25-78
Lana Barr (Lana Barr)	SPRUCE CAPE RD Box 3026	12-25-78
Jill Skow (Jill Skow)	SPRUCE CAPE RD. Box 842	12-26-78
Steve A. Campbell (Steve A. Campbell)	Island Box 511	12-26-78
Richard Tuwey	SPRUCE CAPE RD 1593	1/7/79
Cashin & Glavin	SPRUCE CAPE RD. 1593	1/7/79
Pete Wilde	SPRUCE CAPE Rd. 1593	1/7/79
Brother McKelly	Spruce Cape Rd 2731	1/7/79
Marta Palmel	Spruce Cape Rd. P.O. Box 2938	1-7-79
Gloria J. Johnson	Spruce Cape Rd. P.O. Box 2914	1-7-79
Robert E. Lidow	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 237	1-7-79
Paul d. Domb	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1456	1-7-79
James E. Thomson	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2251	1-7-79
Virgil D. Crosby	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2356	1-7-79
Monte Crosby	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2356	1-7-79
Edward Jask	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1494	1-7-79
Dodi Myrnes	Spruce Cape Rd Box 307	1-7-79
Julia Handman	Spruce Cape Rd Box 421	1-7-79
Lori Doyle	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1233	1-7-79
W J Wheelton	Cut off " " 336	1-7-79
James D. Hubbard	cut off rd Box 1632	1-7-79
Emma M. Mellon	cut off Rd. Box 461	1-7-79
Jesse Youmans	cut off rd Box 2673	1-7-79
Cheryl Kravner	cut off Rd - Box 2072	1-7-79
Mike Rastad	Spruce Cape Road Box 49	1-7-79
Louise M. Hall (Louise M. Hall)	Spruce Cape Road Box 1122, Kodiak	1-7-79
Mrs Verne R. Wood	Spruce Cape Road Box 2063	1-7-79
Brooks Pangburn	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 3005	1-7-79
Mrs Noma Pangburn	Spruce Cape Rd Box 3005	1-7-79
Thak Milton Jr.	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 583	1-7-79
Mrs Petrina A. Peterson	Spruce Cape Rd P.O. Box 2633	01-08-79
Dianna Peterson	" " " " " "	01-08-79

17  
Barang

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Marley A. Kuiper	Spruce Cape Rd <sup>P.O.B</sup> 2878	12-25-78
Walt P. Kuiper	Spruce Cape Rd 2878	12-25-78
Don L. Mack	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2109	12-31-78
Don Mack Jr	Spruce Cape Rd P.O. 2109	12/31/78
Jim S. Nimmo	SPRUCE CAPE RD BOX 1501	12-31-78
Bob Erickson JR	Hunting Trailers p. Box 67	12-31-78
Ma Hollman	Miller Point P.O. 1907	1-2-79
Marsha Hollman	Miller Point P.O. 1907	1-2-79
Elyse M. Bulford	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1604	1-2-79
Karajo Franklin	Mill Run Rd Box 1391	1-2-79
Gary Nelson	Cliffside Rd Box 2228	1/2/79
Janet Wente	Jacksons Trailer Ct Box 2791	1/3/79
Marolyn Peterson	Island Lake Box 1712	1/3/79
Nancy J. Moore	Mission Road Box 1071	1/3/79
Fabrice Chabo	ISLAND LAKE Box 1633	1-3-79
Linda Rockstad	Spruce Cape Rd Box 1743	1-3-79
Rodney E. Snyder	Jacksons Trailer Ct Box 1805	1-4-79
Janet R. Snyder	" " " " "	1-4-79
Laurel A. Peterson	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2066	1-4-79
Ed Ryzh	Island Lake 2474	1-4-79
Tom Zink	Spruce Cape Rd P.O. 1817	1-4-79
Donald W. Stots	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2501	1-4-79
Jim Olson	NEVA WAY Box 3115	1-4-79
Deanna Olson	Cove Trailer Ct #15 Box 2761	1-4-79
Bob Main	WOODLAND ACRES Box 1575	1-4-79
R. E. Deibel	Spruce Cape Rd #31 Box 355	1/5/79
James Achayok	Baptist Mission Box 785	1-5-79
Donald K. Trites	Dark Lake Box 2620	1-5-79
Nevada DeKal	Jefferson Tr. Ct. Spant 31 Box 355	1-5-79
Sam Anderson	Spruce Cape Rd Box 3914	1-7-79
Tom Maxwell	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2404	1-7-79
Rob Lindsey	Spruce Cape Road Box 951	1-7-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
<del>Harold Simmons</del>	222 Birch	Box 2464 12/27/78
Rama Vargas	Gez Del 325 Rozum of Kodiak	12/27/78
Carl P. Shelt	HARBOR VIEW #16	Box 2700 12-27-78
Laurence Hale	228 BENSON	Box 1631 12/27/78
Mary Clark	717 No. Blvd.	Box 372 12/27/78
Ernst Dahl	Alderwood Estates,	Box 1881 12/27/78
Nancy Amerson	Cape St. 305	Box 2848 12/27/78
Eric Jensen	Alder Lane 225	Box 134 12/27/78
Phillip Skell	107 Malindana Lane	Box 681 12-27-78
Helen Hattman	421 1/2 Sargent Rd.	Box 387 12-27-78
Suzanne Jensen	102 <sup>nd</sup> Waldos w pt	Box 1877 12-27-78
Heidi C. Hutokari	Harding Trails ch. 4	Box 263 12-27-78
Judith Hill	102 NATALIA	Box of 906 12-27-78
Robert O. Blair	816 North Blvd	Box 2337 12-27-78
Ben Groeloss	1016 North Blvd.	Box 844 12-27-78
Lisa Linn	Box 276 314 Birch	12-27-78
Billy L. Baker	111 Hemlock	Box 1111 12-27-78
Phillip C. Anderson	323 Maple	Box 781 12-27-78
Kathryn O. Anderson	323 Maple	Box 781 12-27-78
Jeresa L. Anderson	710 N. Blvd	Box 2014 12-27-78
Brocklyn Wegdahl	1312 MADSEN AVE	Box 2573 12-28-78
Jane M. Norton	1118 Malindana Lane	Box 327 12-28-78
Jaume M. Selby	1111 Millbay	Box 1962 12-28-78
Cornel Schumaker	1117 Purton	Box 142 12-28-78
Ann Crowell	1111 Larch St	Box 3061 12-28-78
Trudy Hotes	Larch St	Box 156 12-28-78
John Swick	301 Cape St	Box 531 12/28/78
Joan Peterson	1415 BARANOF	Box 842 12/28/78
Lawrence M. Kelley	315 Upper Mill Bay Rd.	Box 2034 12/28/78
Phillip H. Ryberg	Larch Str.	Box 1534 12/28/78
Richard Peterson	417 Enkine	Box 2055 12/28/78
William Arnold	302 Poplar	Box 511 12/28/78

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS		DATE
Berta Zimmerman	1320 Rezonof	Box 1157	1/16/79
John P. "Dad" Jones	Alaska St.	Box 2095	"
James T. "Dad" Jones	Senior City Bldg	Box 851	1-16-79
Larry Shale	1320 Rezonof	Box 1157	1-16-79
Arthur C. Nelson	1717 MADSEN AVE	Box 382	1/16/79
Steven L. Strickert	301 Cape	Box 531	1/16/79
Earl Armstrong	302 N. Boulevard	Box 631	1/16/79
Raymond M. Powell	317 Mill Bay Rd	Box 887	1/16/79
Jack P. Mann	412 Mill Bay Rd.	Box 245	1/17/79
Diane Lutz	1811 Mission Rd	Box 1674	1/17/79
Judith R. Taton	712 Hemlock	Box 2036	1/17/79
Pete J. Oles	main way 305	Box 485	1/17/79
Joan Eggemeier	617 Mission RD	Box 965	1/18/79
Jim Eggemeier Jr	617 Mission RD	Box 965	1/18/79
Hester & Lunt	77 Jordan Hse	Box 68	1-21-79 +
John H. Hancock	1512 Delaware	Box 481	1-26-79
Robert Longwell	11 Yukon St	Box 922	1/26/79
Bob Manning	1423 Simeonoff	Box 1052	1/26/79
David J. ...	1320 Rezonof	Box 1382	1/26/79
Ronald A. Fairlie	1120 Rezonof St	Box 332	1/26/79
Christina O'Brien	1120 Rezonof	Box 2207	1-26-79
Mike Jones	Box 2912	Alaska Lane	1/26/79
David C. Quinn	Box 1157	Rezonof	1/26/79
Ronnie Huber	Box 513 - N. Boulevard		1/27/79
Herold M. Ketchik	211 CIRCLE DR.	Box 1698	1/27/79
Arlene Bowie	410 South Benson	Box 52	1/28/79
John Kady	Spruce Manor Apt #7	Box 383	1-29-79
Murray J. Eaton	216 Tripp	Box 123	2/2/79
Phyllis M. Smalley	403 Lightfoot	Box 346	2-2-79
Mare Unger	817 Kenmore	Box 433	2-2-79
Jack Welch	1411 Rothoff	Box 3104	2-2-79
Armen L. Shaw	Spruce Manor #39	Box 1441	2-2-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in it's decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS		DATE
Ilkhal Zafar	1219 A Mission	PO Box 241	1/13/79
Babbel Sukoren	414 North Kod.	PO Box 378	1/13/79
A. Zarras	7th Terrace Apt.	H. Rel.	1/13/79
Joe Hak Jr	1612 11th Street	PO 3024	1/13/79
ERNESTO VILAMUN	WILSON'S APT	PO 2718	1/13/79
John R. Cline	1223 Purto St	PO Box 2954	1/13/79
Bethany A. Cline	1223 Purto St.	P.O. Box 2954	1/13/79
Juan K. Misman	1423 Sineoy	P.O. Box 1050	1/13/79
Joe Young	1217 Mission	Box 1065	1/13/79
Patricia Koenig	1110 N. Blvd	Box 2572	1/13/79
Lon Brooks	Burford	Box 193	1/13/79
Valinda Brooks	Burford	Box 193	1/13/79
Donald E. Tuma	1211 Mission	Box 1065	1-15-79
Joe Linn	Reynoff	Box 2591	1-15-79
Jeterah Nelson	Elder Lane	Box 426	1-15-79
Richard	516 North Blvd	G.D.	1/15/79
Ed Suehr	3132 Matk	DR Box 1274	1/15/79
Arthur C. Simpson	277 Alby Rd	Box 165	1/15/79
Karin Marten	1319 Larch St.	Box 1005	1-15-79
Rick G Olson	1017 Pinecrest	Box 1872	1-15-79
Charlene Chase	Mill Bay Rd.	Box 1833	1-15-79
Bonnie Malatui	Mill Bay Rd	Box 525	1-15-79
James A. Flanagan	Mill Bay Rd	Box 1926	1-15-79
June A. Markoski	815 Reynoff	Box 1343	1-15-79
Alfred D. Christensen	221 So Beresox	Box 452	1-15-79
Walter C. Marshall	214 Circle Drive	PO Box 571	1-15-79
Mike C. Hartell	1226 Father Herman	P.O. Box 571	1-15-79
Carlissa Churchill	1226 Father Herman	P.O. Box 571	1-15-79
Robert J. Smith	Springhill Apts	PO Box 587	1-15-79
Paula Maxwell	70 Mill Bay Rd	Box 2401	1-15-79
Ronald G. Kopting	914 Reynoff	Box 662 Kodiak	1-15-79
A. Tromborst	1711 13th St	Box 2125	1-15-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
YVSHI BENYAMIN	springhill apt. p.o. box 54	1-2-79
Frank D. ...	P.O. Box 2467 (112 Yukon dr.)	1-2-79
William H. Blair	32 Kosharekella Box 129	1-3-79
John Hubbard	Mission Road Box 843	1-3-79
Gene ...	Willow 410 Box 2286	1-3-79
Maureen Butler	1312 Mission Rd Box 2610	1-3-79
Ed ...	Loch St Box 2095	1/3/79
Chloride	1217 Rezonoff Post 886	1/3/79
John ...	215 ALDER LAVE Box 2083	1/3/79
Debra Evans	1515 Baranoff Box 2681	1/3/79
Marni R. Frost	1022 Rezonoff Box 791	1/3/79
William Nelson	Mission Rd Box 707	1/3/79
Celia Blankenship	419 Benson Box 707	1/3/79
John Spaulding	Harloweuew 56 Box 3700	1/3/79
Eri Blankenship	1713 MISSION Box 707	1-3-79
Dorothy Hagan	1015 North Blvd	1/3/79
Keith Breckley	1418 Kouskoff Box 993	1/4/79
Mary M. Farland	1818 Semiaroff Box 2774	1/4/79
Wally Walden	1716 Sunnegrass Box 16	1/4/79
Joanne W. Coburn	1012 Hemlock Box 2823	1/4/79
John B. Easton	1717 Markon Box 2336	1/4/79
Janis ...	1212 Rezonoff Box 1065	1/4/79
Denny Bundy	932 Pinecrest Box 918	1/4/79
J.C. Barnes	721 Willow Box 2121	1-4-79
Donald "Big" ...	416 ... Box 1012	1-4-79
Sally ...	1219 Purton Box 2532	1-4-79
Ray M. Newton	1216 BARANOFF Box 1531	1-4-79
Donald ...	711 CIRCLE DR Box 1698	1-5/79
Wayne ...	Box 621 116 Alaskan Lane	1-5-79
Clair Gilland	By 3133 Kodiak, Ak.	1-5-79
Harvey Kelleher	416 P.O. Box 456	1-5-79
Julie ...	1427 Kodiak	1-5-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Thomas Hargrett	1310 Mill Bay Rd Box 952	12/28/78
Marie Ann	717 Benson Box 2928	1/4/79
Luvena Webster	1125 Rezanoff Box 2882	1/8/79
Virginia J. Hart	1312 Benson Box 827	1/9/79
Blodwyn Vining	1113 Rezanoff Box 482	1/10/79
Val F. Lundgren	Box 3154 (Malute Lane)	1/10/79
Harry B. Cook		1/10/79
Theresa B. Light	Box 593 Kadiak 514 Willow St.	1/10/79
Frank Van Hulle	1315 Isarcilou - Corner of 8th	1/10/79
Helen Kellum	Box 584 North Blvd	1/10/79
Michael J. Murray	511 Mission Rd. Box 318C	1/10/79
Mike Bond	511 Mission Rd. Box 1734	1/10/79
John L. Bridges	Apt 1 - Kasanoff P.O. Box 50	1/10/79
M. L. Bridges	" " "	1/10/79
Bobby Reynolds	1213 Rezanoff P.O. Box 2134	1/10/79
Andrew J. Lehman	913 Willow St 2162	1-10-79
Lucinda Sarge	1313 Rezanoff Box 1194	1-10-79
James S. Hansen	221 Amber Lane Box 663	1-10-79
Ch. Pfeifer	1221 Purto Box 2760	1-10-79
El Olson	1316 Mission Box 2226	1-10-79
John R. Dwyer	1716 Mission Box 2781	1-10-79
Charles W. Harkins	Spring Manor Box 9958	1-10-79
Tom Polman	1019 6th Ave Box 2513	1-10-79
Roberta Large	512 Hillside Box 2634	1-10-79
John J. Jankoff	1022 Mt Dr Box 1144	1-10-79
Janice K. Kitchin	1131 Mt Dr Box 2101	1-10-79
Alice Knowles	814 Rezanoff Box 377	1-11-79
S. J. P.	814 Rezanoff Box 377	1-11-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Gary Watson	Apt. 102 Mountain DR	Box 2422 City 12-26-78
Jane Watson	Box 2422 "	Kodiak AK 12-26-78
Elizabeth Blewett	Mill Bay Road	Box 1614 12-26-78
Josephine Koppen	810 Mission Road	Box 73 12/26/78
Gracie Wright	912 Willow	Box 1776 12/28/78
Marilyn J Remmen	1014 N North	Box 2695 12/28/78
Sharon Deane	421 Carolyn	" 2739 12/28/78
Tim McDaniel	Mill Bay Rd & Murphy	Box 2606 12/28/78
Bill Johnson	224 S Benson	Box 3114 12/28/78
Shirley Miller	1014 Willow	Box 1751 12-28-78
Arthur S Kuslow	Willow	Box 1803 12-28-78
Stuara D Olbach	419 CAROLYN	BOX 132 12/28/78
Helen M Lee	Mission Rd	Box 2117 12/28/78
Anita Newell	Mill Bay + Otmeloi	Box 2684 12/28/78
George Miller	1310 FELDNER	Box 1246 12/28/78
Bruce D Kyle	Lilly Lake Est A-4	Box 2167 12-28-8
James H. Miller	315 Benson	Box 1867 12-29-78
Mary R. Romaduk	208 Reagan	Box Del 12-29-78
Wynne W Gordon	315 Erskin	Handls 29 Dec 78
Catherine Munro	521 N Blvd	Box 457 12-29-78
Dore K. Martin	Larch St.	Box 2655 12-29-78
Fred B. Ramboe	815 Willow St	Box 1457 12-29-78
Elyse Helen-Rennell	Idaho Circle	Box 2741 12/29/78
David Barrett	Box 2331	Kodiak 12/29/78
Ronda Ann	816 Marine Way	Box 131 12/29/78
Jane D Pearson	Box 304 Mill Bay Rd	12/29/78
Jeanne Grotz	Box 1504-2717 LARCH ST.	12/30/78
Mike Nelson	Box 302 Reagan St	12/31/78
J Kay Martin	Box 814 Mission	Kodiak 1/2/79
M Simarelli	814 Mission	1-2-79
Mary Conroy	1420 Mission	Box 2313 1-2-79
Gill Williams	1520 Mission	Box 2353 1-2-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
R Bobb	1417 Petroff Box 1861	12/26/78
Laurel L. Bolden	1417 Petroff St., POB 1861	12/26/78
Thomas C. Bruggie	1210 North Blvd Box 622	12/27/78
Robert W. D. [unclear]	1615 Mission Rd. Box 2117	12/27/78
Chris Jones	Apt. 12 [unclear] Box # 1541	12/27/78
Bellis B. Gray	1323 ARCH ST. Box 442	12/27/78
[unclear]	B-108 [unclear] Apt. Box 2936	12/27/78
[unclear]	B-2248 1220 KURTOW	12/27/78
Lana K. [unclear]	1812 Simonsen P.O. Box 283	12/27/78
Ray [unclear]	1012 NORTH BLVD POB 2837	12/27/78
Mindy B. Heikes	Larch Street P.O. Box 2963	12/27/78
[unclear]	Larch St P.O. Box 2963	12/27/78
[unclear]	Puotari St. P.O. Box 3136	12/27/78
Clint [unclear]	Erasmus Del Ct. 70 Box 7368	12/27/78
Les Fitzgerald	Box 447 223 Mill Bay	12/27/78
Shirley [unclear]	918 Hemlock (Box 3144	12/27/78
Alan J. Bransley	Munphy Way P.O. Box 361	12/27/78
Gail [unclear]	1524 Linnelaw Box 496	12/27/78
Jandy [unclear]	15210 Linnelaw Box 4 2171	12/27/78
Loral [unclear]	Hillsongue - P.O. 2732 Kodiak	12-27-78
Linda [unclear]	420 Caroline - Kodiak P.O. Box 693	12-27-78
Catherine M. Galligan	Box 1881 Alder Wood Estate	12/27/78
Maui [unclear]	418 Carolyn Box 2867 Kodiak, AK 99615	12/27/78
Mervin [unclear]	416 Sargent Dr Box 1662 Kodiak 99615	12/27/78
[unclear]	920 [unclear] Box 103, Kodiak 99615	12/27/78
[unclear]	410 Erakine Dr. Box 2778 Kodiak	12/27/78
[unclear]	410 Upper Hill Bay Box 3183 Kodiak Ak.	12/27/78
Leona & [unclear]	300 [unclear] Box 172 Kodiak	12/27/78
[unclear]	416 [unclear] Box 1773	12/27/78
Patsy [unclear]	1220 Baranof Box 1362	12-27-78
[unclear]	312-B Copcat Box 994	12-27-78
Miller & [unclear]	211 Mill Bay Rd. Box 1786	12-27-78

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city.

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Donna Blair	816 W. Blvd <sup>Box 337</sup>	12-24-78
Chester D. Clymer	1136 Mountain Drive P.O. Box 122	12-24-78
Josephine Clymer	1136 Mountain Drive Box 122	12-24-78
Basil Clymer	1311 Mission Rd.	12-24-78
Chloria Clymer	1311 Mission Rd	12-24-78
George Clymer	412 Birch PO Box 122	12-24-78
Edward J. Nelson	1013 Pine Crescent P.O. Box 814	1-8-79
Cindy Carter	1115 Purtoy Box 1605	1/8/79
Rowena L. Kahelianski	Larch St Annex Box 943	1/8/79
<del>Don Orger</del>	<del>P. Spruce Cape</del>	
Ursula Wilma	1115 Purtoy Box 1605	1/8/79
Karen Anderson	1315 Mission Rd. Box 1561	1/8/79
Michael Paris	608 Mission Road Box 304	1/8/79
Scott Brown	P.O. Box 304 Kodiak AK	1/8/79
Gerold Morkko	Boncroft Drive	1/8/79
Ryan Lee	420 Carolyn Ave Box 305	1/9/79
Roberta Durango	481 Fox Willow PO 2874	1-9-79
Edna V. Reese	1113 Mill Bay Road <sup>Box 2629</sup>	1-9-79
Robert L. Wilson	211 Mill Bay Road <sup>Box 943</sup>	1-9-79
Vernon Holland	Speaker APT 2	1-9-79
John Sorenson	1611-A Mission Rd PO 406	1-9-79
Cozanne Wilson	Senior Citizen Bldg. Box 498	1-9-79
Eric Samuelson	Harbor View Apts.	1-9-79
Kenny Hindle	Hemlock St <sup>886</sup>	1-9-79
W. Swilore	11212 Beasly	1-9-79
Marvin Peterson	Larch St PO Box 3041	1-9-79
Carl Johnson	521 N BLVD PO Box 457	1-9-79
Carl Sam	PERONOT 1217 PO Box 1006	1-9-79
Lorenda Lee Lawling	" " " "	"
John J. Joffe	1812 Simionoff Box 283	1-9-79
Jane A. Brinard	150 Samilor Box 578	1-9-79
William O. Wheeler	1113 N. Blvd Box 1181	1-9-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in it's decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city.

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Elmer Fry	Box 452 CGSC Kodiak 99619	12/20/78
Wm A Brown	Box 3135 KODIAK 99615	12-20-78
Earl Williams	Box 2655 Kodiak 99615	12/20/78
Earl Elliott	Box 234 Kodiak 99615	12/20/78
Myra Powell	Box 3285 Kodiak 99615	12/20/78
God B Davis	Box 411 Kodiak 99615	12/20/78
Earl Powell	Box 2285 Kodiak 99615	12/20/78
Wm J Finn	Box 1491 Kodiak Alaska 99615	12/20/78
Linda S. Brown	Box 460 USCG Kodiak 99615	12/20/78
William F. Hartman	Box 733 KODIAK AK. 99615	1-3-79
William L. Conroy	Box 2772 Kodiak, AK. 99615	1-9-79
James B. Thibault	Box 352 BARR NEVADA, AK	1-5-79
August B. Hartman	Box 2255 Kodiak ALASKA	1-10-79
Harold J. ...	Box 1553 Kodiak	1-14-79
Edward ...	Box 411 Kodiak	1-14-79
James J. Nelson	Box 511 Kodiak AK	1-17-79
William ...	Box 2872 Kodiak	1-17-79
Earl ...	Box 3015 Kodiak	1-17-79
Wm J. ...	Box 640 USCG	1-17-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Norman Holm	SPRUCE <sup>SPRUCE</sup> Cape Rd. Box 365 - Kodiak	Jan. 21
John Smith	Spruce Cape Box 801, Kodiak	Jan 2, 78
Paul White	"	Jan 2, 1979
Wendene Omlid	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 1161	Jan 2, 1979
Celestine Omlid	Spruce Cape Rd. Box 545	Jan 2, 1979
Maxine Wright	Spruce Cape Road Box 1114	Jan 2, 1979
Mary A. Wright	Spruce Cape Road Box 664	Jan 2, 1979
Cherise Wright	Spruce Cape Rd.	Jan 2, 1979
Dee Dee E. Meredith	Spruce Cape Rd.	Jan 2, 1979
Louis B. Lindsey	Box 958 Spruce Cape Rd.	Jan. 4, 1979
Catherine S. Lindsey	" " "	Jan 4, 1979
John McLeod	" " " Box 15521	Jan 7, 1979
Betty J. McLeod	Shahaska Acres Box 2773	Jan. 31, 1979
Carl J. Erickson	Shahaska Acres Box 15521	Jan 31, 1979
Ellen Erickson	" " "	1-31-79
Ralph N. Bolton	Mission Rd. Box 2852	1-31-79
Sarah S. Ludwig	" " "	" "
Art Zimmer	Front Island Lake Rd. Box 1582	2-1-79
Richard Coor	Shahaska Acres S. 1/2	2-1-79
Stephen M. Tanton	Shahaska Acres Box 1915	2-1-79
Linda C. Eaton	Shahaska Acres Box 2609	2-1-79
Karen A. Cain	Shahaska Acres Box 964	2-1-79
Conrad Amott	Island Lake Box 2338	2-1-79
Wynn Amott	Island Lake Box 931	2/1/79
John W. Buck	Shahaska Acres Box 2007	2/2/79
Kenneth G. Douglas	Spruce Cape Rd.	2/3/79
Susan Levy-Gastoni	Monashka Box 2602	2/3/79
Paul H. Stoney	Isl. Lake Box 727	2/3-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Lloyd Benton	Box 903 (Balika Lane)	Jan 18, 1979
Hal Jan Brator	Box 903 (Balika Lane)	Jan 18, 1979
Donald L Bumpus	Box 934 (Neva Way)	Jan 20, 1979
Hal Jan Bumpus	Box 934 (Neva Way)	Jan 21, 1979
Heely Campbell	Box 1822 (Island Lake)	2/2/79
Jeannette Campbell	Box 2301 (Cliff Side)	2/2/79
Dana Campbell	Box 2301 Cliff side	2/2/79
John B. Brown	Box 2683 Cliff side	2/2/79
Ann H. Hargrove	Box 2273 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Dwayne N. Kyle	Box 522 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Carol J. Kessler	Box 522 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Jessie E. O'Leary	Box 1541 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Paul J. Park	2787 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Glen Bach	Box 2787 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Jim O'Brien	Box 2842 Cliffside Rd	2-2-79
Margaret B. Brey	Box 2178 Cliffside Loop Rd	2-2-79
Louis Majdic	Box 345 Mayastla Bay Highway	
Don Suggan	Box 2443 Dark Lake	2-2-79
Andrea Suggan	Box 2443 Dark Lake	2-2-79
Sitona Witter	Box 2239 Milner Pt Rd	2-6-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Marie L. Chandler	spruce cape Rd. Box 1635	12-27-79
W. Beth Dick	spruce cape rd. Box 2182	1-11-79
Glenn Dick	Spruce Cape Rd Box 2182	1-11-79
J. H. Hild	Spruce Cape Rd Box 627	1-11-79
Anna Parker	Island Lake Box 2801	1-11-79
John R. Lintner	Spruce Cape Box 2688	1-15-79
Troy Bowen	Spruce Cape Box 2733	1-16-79
William Holmes	Mill bay Rd Box 901	1-16-79
Frank Tucker	Spruce Cape Box 824	1-24-79
Margaret von Szele	Spruce Cape Box 345	2-1-79
Andrew Sheraton	Spruce Cape Box 2315	1-2-79
Esther Sheraton	Spruce Cape Box 2315	1-2-79

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Judy Richardson	Cliffside Loop Rd	January 5, 1979
<del>Mr and Mrs</del> Bruce	" "	" 8, 1979
<del>Mr &amp; Mrs</del> Neil C. French	" "	" 8, 1979
<del>Mr &amp; Mrs</del> Tim & Jane	Woodland Acres City	Jan 28, 1979
<del>Mr &amp; Mrs</del> Timothy H. Haight	Woodland Acres City	Jan 28, 1979
Peter P. Vanich	Cliffside Loop Rd	Jan 28, 1979
Sam Sanchez	Cliffside Loop R.	Jan 28, 1979

PETITION TO THE  
LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

We, the people residing within the boundaries of the area about to be forcefully annexed to the city of Kodiak, hereby petition the legislature, being the only authority with the power to grant us relief from this unsought, unwanted, arbitrary removal of Alaskan residents right of choice to our own form of government, to reverse the decision of the local boundary commission:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Ethan D. Wooten	DARK LAKE, BOX 3016	20 Dec, 1978
[Signature]	Box 441 Spruce Cape Rd	20 Dec 1978
Dart P. Randolph	BOX 414 (SPRUCE CAPE RD.)	20 DEC 1978
Joyce A. Wooten	Dark Lake, Box 3016	Dec 20, 1978
Lincoln W. Fields	Dark Lake Box 3012	Dec 20, 1978
Mrs Ruth Fields	" " "	"
[Signature]	" " "	"
Lincoln W. Fields	Dark Lake Box 3012	12-7-78
Philip S. [Signature]	Dark Lake " "	12-7-78

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Dennis Karl	Box 1272 Kodiak, AK 99615	11/14/79
Kendy Chase	Box 1883 Kodiak, AK 99615	11/14/79
William J. Flynn Jr.	Box 2615 COMMISSION KODIAK, AK	1/17/79
Juel Anderson	Box 1675 Kodiak Alaska	1/17/79
R. J. B. Nading	Box 1675 " "	1/20/79
T. Whitell	Box 911 1113 Millway Kodiak	2/01/79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in it's decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
<i>Kernat M Covey</i>	<i>619 Marize Way Kodiak</i>	<i>1-31-79</i>
<i>K. Diane Haig</i>	<i>1119 Mission Rd.</i>	<i>1-31-79</i>
<i>Sumathy Atanga</i>	<i>1119 Mission Rd.</i>	<i>1-31-77</i>

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in its decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Mark S. D. Larkin	m/o Mitsafania	1-14-79
Merton A. Dodge	P.O. Box 97 Kodiak	1/26/79
Tommy Sjolund	POBx - 2993 - Kodiak, AK.	2/1/79
C.F. Johnson	P.O. Box 1490 Kodiak	2-1-79

PETITION TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

The undersigned residents of the city of Kodiak hereby petition the legislature to reverse the local Boundary Commission in it's decision to annex large areas to the city of Kodiak against the express wishes of the residents of the area to be annexed, and without any clear statement by city council and manager as to the tax impact on the present residents of the city

NAME	ADDRESS	DATE
Sharon Florence	Box 2401	1/12/79
Dennis P. Murray	Box 2029	1/12/79
Kenney Casper	P.O. Box 1517	1/12/79
M. L. Sunderson	Box 187	1/12/79
Pat Jackson	Box 1313	1/14/79
Mr. Mark Kautzman	Box 312	1/22-79
James E. Eden	Box 1263	2/1/79
Sudrey Reynolds	Box 2134	2-3-79


DR. JOHN T. SHANK  
OPTOMETRIST  
P. O. BOX 827  
KODIAK, ALASKA 99615

TELEPHONE 486-5504  
February 8, 1979

To Whom it May Concern:

As a property owner in Woodland Acres,  
Lot 1, I am in favor of annexation.

Sincerely,



Dr. John T. Shank, O.D.

Testimony??

Box 1132  
Kodiak, Alaska 99615

2/7/79

Joint Committee on Community & Regional Affairs  
meeting in Kodiak, Alaska on 2/10/79


Gentlemen:

I have home and property on lot 11, Survey 3104, which lies within the effected Island Lake annexation area.

I would like to state that the surprise move to annex that area by the Kodiak City Council took place without either my knowledge or consent.

I am strongly opposed to the annexation of the Island Lake area. I feel that my rights of notice and due process have been violated, and request that you move to take steps to prevent this annexation from taking place.

Respectfully,



Mark White

Box 352  
Kodiak, Alaska 99615

February 8, 1979

Mrs. Gaynell Hatcher  
Kodiak City Council Member  
Kodiak, Alaska 99615

Dear Gaynell:

I have resided in Kodiak for 16 years and have been a home owner for 5 years. Inasmuch as I feel that it would be beneficial to the taxpayers of this town, I would like to go on record as favoring annexiation.

Sincerely,

*Marion Soule*

(Mrs.) Marion H. Soule

Peter M. Resoff  
Cliffside Road  
Kodiak, Alaska


February 10, 1979

Community and Regional Affairs Committee  
Juneau, Alaska

Dear Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:


My name is Pete Resoff, and I live on Cliffside Road, which is within the boundaries under consideration for annexation by the City of Kodiak. I do not choose to become involved in an emotional controversy on annexation, but I do support a development that will not be in conflict with the existing standards of the City of Kodiak. I do not support Service Districts as they tend to be too costly.

Sincerely,

  
Peter M. Resoff

MONASHKA BAY

proposed annex boundary



existing city area

kodiak island

TESTIMONY OF THE KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH  
BEFORE THE HOUSE AND SENATE COMMITTEES ON  
COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS  
REGARDING THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION  
BY THE CITY OF KODIAK OF  
THE MILL BAY ROAD & MISSION ROAD AREAS

The residents of the Kodiak Island Borough, and particularly of the territory proposed for annexation, are gratified that the Chairmen and members of your Committees have brought these hearings to the people of Kodiak so that they may participate fully in the legislative process. We are hopeful that exposure to the views of the people most directly affected by this controversy will result in an appreciation by Committee members of the lack of need for, and the inequities inherent in, this annexation proposal.

A bit of history may be helpful here. The City of Kodiak was incorporated on September 11, 1940; it is a home rule city. The Kodiak Island Borough was formed on September 24, 1963, as a second class borough capable of providing a wide range of services. The Borough runs the school system in Kodiak, has area-wide planning and zoning powers, operates the hospital under its health powers, has parks and recreation powers, operates a fire district through contract with the City, and has established a service area -- with voter approval -- for the furnishing of sewer, water and road service to the region involved in this annexation proposal.

Kodiak (the City), meanwhile, operates the dock and harbor facilities; runs the City library, conducts a City parks and recreation program, and operates police, fire and public works departments. The area proposed for annexation has little in common with this essentially downtown focus.

The people who live in the territory are present today in substantial numbers to describe their lifestyle and their views. The basic fact, however, is that much of this area is hardly "urban" as we would know it. As opposed to average lot sizes within the City of approximately 7200 square feet, most of the people in the region proposed for annexation live on lots of at least one to one and a quarter acres -- some on tracts of 40 to 60 acres. These people chose to locate their homes in this part of the island because they wanted more land and less governmental regulation.

The annexation process was initiated by the City in the Fall of 1977, when it filed a petition with the Department of Community and Regional Affairs seeking inclusion within its boundaries of a handful of lots along Mill Bay Road. The Local Boundary Commission conducted a hearing on the petition in Kodiak on December 12, 1977. Close to 30 people testified, many of them residents of the area presently proposed for annexation but beyond the Mill Bay Road lots described in the petition. (It was surmised at that time that the City intended to pursue a broader annexation plan, and the residents involved were determined that their position on such a proposal be known from the outset.) The nature of the testimony offered in December of 1977 was unequivocal: the residents were unanimously opposed to annexation. Only one person testified in favor of the petition: the City manager.

The Local Boundary Commission took the initiative thereafter, deciding to return to Kodiak for a second hearing on May 20, 1978. This time the requested annexation encompassed virtually all the habitable, developed land along the Kodiak road system. Again, the testimony was overwhelmingly opposed to annexation. Out of at least 35 witnesses, only six individuals spoke in favor of annexation; the

City attorney, the City manager, the former City finance director, an individual who had previously testified against annexation (stating that he had signatures from 75 to 80 percent of h's renters opposing annexation), and two other individuals. On August 16, 1978, the Local Boundary Commission met in Anchorage and voted to recommend annexation of the Mission Road and Mill Bay Road areas, but not of the other regions proposed by the City.

Committee members may be wondering about the Borough's interest in all this. The basis for concern is simple: the Borough has been innundated with requests from citizens in the territory to protect them against the annexation. The Borough staff and assembly and mayor have exercised their respective and collective judgments on the matter and have determined that the people are right on every point: this annexation flies in the face of every notion we hold dear about democratic process; there is absolutely no need for annexation from the residents' point of view; there is no justification for annexation from the City's economic perspective; and finally, the City has not shown itself to be of such caliber and ability that its jurisdiction should logically be expanded.

The undemocratic means by which we came to this crossroads speaks for itself. The Boundary Commission, because it deals in questions of annexation on a frequent basis, is presumed to have a certain expertise in these matters. It is not required, under Alaska's statutory scheme of things, to let the people vote on whether they want to be annexed. The Borough's position is simply that this approach -- whereby a governmental regime may

be forced upon a group of unwilling citizens -- has no place in our American -- or Alaskan -- way of life. In this particular instance, the people involved are opposed to annexation and for good reasons. Abstract notions of appropriate governmental order which the Local Boundary Commission may have developed over the years appear to be misguided as applied to the specific fact situation in Kodiak.

Let's look at the purported need for annexation from the City's viewpoint. The City has claimed that the people need garbage service, that the people need animal control, that the people need better police protection, that the people need sewer and water, that the people need roads. What do the people say? In a survey conducted in 1977 by the Anchorage Urban Observatory of the University of Alaska, Kodiak residents outside the City showed virtually no interest in animal control or in expanded police protection; (they are currently served by the State Troopers). Some concern was expressed about roads, but interestingly enough, less than was expressed by residents living inside the City.

As for sewer and water, many of the citizens in the annexation area have invested thousands of dollars in wells and septic tanks which adequately service their property. In some places, however, notably the Island Lake area, sewer and water problems do exist. Citizens of the region will most likely provide you with the series of events leading up to the present state of affairs. The gist of the story, however, is that the City installed a temporary, plastic water line on an emergency basis several years ago. The line has long since proved deficient, and efforts to have the City upgrade the line and provide more adequate service have been of no avail. The citizens, thus, approached the

Borough for assistance. Through the election process, the Borough has formed a service area capable of meeting the residents' needs. (Election held April 18, 1978.)

The City therefore, has nothing to offer these people that the service district mechanism cannot provide. The advantage to the people of the service district approach is that they can vote for, and establish, and pay for the level of service that they actually need and can afford. And they are not saddled with the expense of services that are not required, and the application to them of ordinances and regulations which make no sense to their lifestyle.

The argument that may have some surface appeal to members of the Community and Regional Affairs Committees is that based on the economic equities of the situation. The City has argued that the people outside its boundaries use the City streets and therefore, should pay for their maintenance; use the City library, and therefore, should pay for its books; use the cargo dock and boat harbor, and therefore, should pay for those services, and so on through each of the facilities and services the City offers.

Of course, these economic grounds are never justification, standing alone, for annexation. Otherwise, the Local Boundary Commission would have recommended that the Coast Guard station be annexed, as well as Monashka Bay. In addition, it is noteworthy that the Local Boundary Commission regulations themselves safeguard against undue emphasis being placed on this particular factor. The regulations require that the Local Boundary Commission "consider alternate methods available to the

City for offsetting the cost of providing services to individuals or property beyond its property taxation powers. (19 AAC 05.010 (a)(8)

In fact, the City has had such "alternate methods" in effect for several years now. First, residents outside the city contribute to City funds through the Borough's furnishing of facilities such as the swimming pool and through direct payments, as in the case of the library. Second, the City appropriately collects user fees to cover its enterprise activities. To the extent that monies from the general fund may have been necessary in the past to carry such activities, the City should properly look to a reevaluation of its fee schedules. Third, and most critically, the City levies a three percent sales tax on all goods and services sold within its limits, including operations based within the City which make deliveries or otherwise provide services in the outlying areas. For the most part, you cannot buy a sack of groceries, an article of clothing, a notebook for your child's school work or a motor for your boat, without paying taxes on your purchase. Nor can you run into marital difficulty and call upon your lawyer; or - adding insult to injury - prepare your income tax returns with the help of your accountant; or - most costly of all - come home to frozen pipes and solicit the assistance of a plumber, without, once again, paying your friendly City tax collector three cents on every dollar spent. So while the residents outside of the City may not pay quite as much as City dwellers, they do pay a substantial amount. Considering that non-residents do not take advantage of City services to the same extent as those who live within its jurisdiction, it would seem that the contribution is more than adequate.

There is, additionally, an unusual twist to this sales tax business which suggests that non-City residents may actually be paying more than their fair share. For the monies derived from the sales tax are used in part to foot the bill incurred by owners of personal property within the City: their personal property tax owed to the Borough is paid out of sales tax receipts collected by the City. For the City resident with two cars and a boat, a substantial savings is achieved through this arrangement. For the resident of Spruce Cape who lives in a trailer and has a car located on his property, there is no such windfall. He must pay his Borough personal property tax out of his own pocket -- and pay for his City neighbors' as well. Given the fact that people in the annexation area do not want the full array of City services, their sale tax contributions would seem more than adequate to compensate the City for those benefits actually received.

The Borough's final concern, again, and always, reflecting the concerns of its citizens, lies with the City's historical approach to annexation and to the provision of services within its existing boundaries as well as in the territory proposed for annexation. At previous hearings, citizens have testified, as perhaps they will again today, that they have come to the City and requested hook ups to sewer or water lines running contiguous or close to their property. These individuals were willing to pay the appropriate hook up and service fees. The City has refused to provide such service unless the individuals involved agreed to the annexation of their property to the City.

The City is entitled to, and does charge a higher rate for those utility customers who receive service beyond its boundaries. There can be no economic justification, therefore, for its action in refusing to hook up non-City customers. The City has claimed that it does not have the authority to service customers beyond its limits. I refer Committee members to a letter from the City Clerk, Libby Presnall, to the Local Boundary Commission, urging action on certain individual lot annexations on the grounds that "Several of the lots need water and sewer which the City has no authority to extend at this time." Yet AS 29.48.040 provides that "a municipality owning or operating utilities may extend service to adjacent areas outside its municipal limits." The City's own Charter authorizes the operation of public utilities "either within or beyond its corporate limits." (Art. VIII, Sec. 8-1.)

There is still another wrinkle on this utility question -- the only real service any of these people are seeking. That is the agreement entered into in 1970 between the City and Borough for the cooperative provision of sewer and water services to the entire Kodiak area. The City could not have obtained the funds to build the sewage treatment plant without the Borough's cooperation, and without assurances given to the State and the federal government that the services would be provided areawide. Yet the City has refused, time and again, to honor its agreement and provide these services.

The Legislature is not being asked to determine the legality of the City's past practices and refusal to act. But the

Legislature is asked to understand the people's point of view: the Borough, through the service district mechanism, can now provide the needed utility services. There is nothing else these people want or need from the City. Given the fact that the City has long had the power and, allegedly, the capability of providing these citizens with water and sewer services on an economically sound basis, and given the City's rather extortionist refusal to extend these services, why should the people now be forced into the City's jurisdiction?

There is another question regarding the appropriateness of an extension of municipal boundaries in this case. That is the City's track record within its existing limits. You may receive individual testimony on this point. I know you have received letters relating the poor road and sewer conditions within the City's current boundaries.

What then, is the Legislature's role in this dispute? Under our Constitution, the House and Senate have full power to let this annexation take place by failing to pass Senator Mulcahy's and Representative Zharoff's joint resolutions. The people, however, have voted legislators into office based on a belief that you are individuals capable of understanding and representing the citizens' needs and wants. You as Committee members can exercise appropriate discretion and determine that this annexation is not in the people's best interest.

Neither the people inside the City nor those outside see themselves as having the same aspirations at this time. There is a decidedly more rural lifestyle in the vast majority of the area proposed for annexation. There is a typically Alaskan desire not to be hassled by government intrusion -- be it services

that are not desired, regulations that make no sense, or taxes that threaten the very nature of one's existence.

The State's legitimate interest in this case is not at variance with the local interest. Both are concerned with sound and fair government and an adequate provision of services for the people affected. On behalf of the citizens of the Kodiak Island Borough and all the State, I urge you to consider the realities of Kodiak today, as presented by the citizens who will testify before you. I request that the House and Senate Committees on Community and Regional Affairs, respectively, recommend passage of the Zharoff/Mulcahy resolutions. Thank you very much for your attention.



# City of Kodiak

PHONE (907) 486 - 3224  
P.O. BOX 1397  
KODIAK, ALASKA 99615

September 13, 1978

Local Boundary Commission  
Department of Community and  
Regional Affairs  
Pouch B  
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Gentlemen:

The City of Kodiak has had two annexations pending for some time, namely, Lot 1, Block 1, USS 1822 and several parcels of land that are owned by the City and known as the municipal airport property. The City is presently processing two additional lots under the hundred percent method for annexation. All of these lots are in the area recently recommended by the Boundary Commission to the Legislature for annexation; however, there are immediate problems that need to be resolved.

Several of the lots need water and sewer which the City has no authority to extend at this time. One lot in particular, hooked up to the City sewer without permission because the Department of Environmental Conservation was going to close the trailer court. There are charges involved with the service which the City cannot require unless they are within the City. The City is presently planning to provide property for lease at the municipal airport for tie down spaces that are desperately needed but for regulation and control feel that this area should be in the city limits.

I understand that you will be holding a meeting on October 3, 1978 and request your consideration of the above at this meeting. The City will have the two new annexations to you in time for this hearing. Your assistance in this matter will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

CITY OF KODIAK

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Libby Presnall".

Libby Presnall, CMC  
City Clerk

ATTACHMENT C

**RECEIVED**  
SEP 18 1978

DEPT. OF COMMUNITY  
AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS

CERTIFIED EXCERPT  
KODIAK ISLAND BOROUGH ASSEMBLY PROCEEDINGS  
DECEMBER 1, 1977

City of Kodiak Annexation Initiative

The following is an excerpt from testimony rendered by Mr. Ivan Widom, City Manager, City of Kodiak, at the Kodiak Island Borough Assembly regular meeting of December 1, 1977:

"On December the 1<sup>st</sup>th, in this room, the Local Boundary Commission will hold a hearing on the annexation of the Mill Bay Road area between the City limits and Cutoff Road. At that time I will also ask the Boundary Commission to consider annexation of the Mill Bay - Monashka area under discussion this evening. I personally feel that by establishing the Mill Bay - Monashka service area you will preclude the need for the residents to annex to the City."

I, Shirley Miller, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and say: that I am Clerk of the Kodiak Island Borough and that at the regular meeting of the Kodiak Island Borough Assembly held on Thursday, the 1st day of December, 1977, Mr. Ivan Widom, City Manager, City of Kodiak, made the above presentation and I do hereby certify that this is a true and official copy of that proceeding as recorded on tape.

ATTEST:

*Shirley Miller*  
Shirley Miller, Clerk-Treasurer

Subscribed to and sworn to before me this 1<sup>st</sup> day of December, 1977.

*Margaret A. Durso*  
Notary Public for Alaska

My Commission Expires: Oct. 14, 1980

PRESENTATION MADE BEFORE THE ANNEXATION COMMITTEE  
December 12, 1978  
by City Manager Clair Harmony

1. Should annexation become a reality this January, we at City Hall have tried to set up a preliminary plan:
  1. What will take place
  2. What the 2,000 residents of the new area might expect in the way of effective delivery of services and, of course, what their concerns are now.

We are here tonight to present our best *estimates* as to costs, taxes, location of services, and to offer some options.

We are not in an advocate role, but are simply trying to do some pre-planning for an action which at this point in time has been initiated and recommended by the Local Boundary Commission and will be going before the State Legislature in January.

The existence of the Annexation Committee, and this public hearing before that body, are sincere efforts to encourage expressions of public concern and input. Hopefully the committee will formulate a policy statement that can be adopted by the Kodiak City Council, which will provide for the following:

1. Early and special representation.
2. Reasonable differential property taxing zones.
3. Efficient priorities on road improvements.
4. Quality Police and Fire services.
5. Good delivery of water and sewer services.
6. Ultimate expansion of Parks/Recreation and Library services.
7. Modifications that might be envisioned by the community at large, the public here tonight and the committee, through its deliberations.

1. As to early representation:
  - a. Voting franchise rights would become effective immediately.
  - b. In addition, a special representative would be elected from the new area, to serve in an advisory capacity with the City Council for three (3) years.
2. The differential taxation zones would attempt to provide some equity based on the delivery of services such as roads, police and fire. As long as the City cannot get a fire truck to a home because of poor access routes, there should be less of a tax burden on that homeowner, and so on.

Basically the difference between Fire Zones 1, 2 and 3 are that Zone 1 would receive 100% delivery of City services, therefore the

full mill rate (9.10). Zone 2 would have no effective delivery of fire service and are those areas where the roads are not maintainable, thus 75% mill rate.

Zone 3 would pay a 50% mill rate and would have poor delivery of police, fire and road improvements. All zones would be changed over the next several years as these services are upgraded.

3. Improvements to roads would progress at a reasonable rate, funded by increased shares in State Revenue Sharing, highway funding and, hopefully, the commitment by the State Legislature to fund greater levels of capital improvements. This could accelerate quality delivery of services to the annexation area.
  4. Police and fire services can be expanded from revenue sharing funds, together with the slow phasing out of the State Troopers.
  5. Water and sewer services which are funded through assessment districts, State and Federal grants could proceed in higher density areas, and be in as early as 1980 in those areas.
  6. Parks/Recreation and Library services would expand on a somewhat slower basis, I would guess, and generally would be funded by general assessments and grants.
- II. Briefly, advantages of annexation would include:
1. Relief to the polluted conditions of Island Lake.
  2. Better fire and rescue.
  3. Quality delivery of water at a lower user rate.
  4. Exemption of personal property taxes.
  5. Reduction in fire insurance rates.
  6. An equal voting status with your neighbors inside the present City boundaries.
  7. Plus other factors which will insure for the general health and welfare of the whole area.

### III. Conclusion

In conclusion, we are here tonight to answer questions about annexation, which may or may not take place. It is sort of out of our hands. We have tried to research the various possibilities without spending an exorbitant amount of time and City dollars. What is really needed are the expressions on your concerns which can help the City Council provide reasonable alternatives and effective delivery of service, should annexation become a reality.



# City of Kodiak

## SPECIAL REPORT

### ANNEXATION

The area outlined below has been recommended for Annexation by the State of Alaska Boundary Commission. It generally encompasses the area from the existing City limits to Spruce Cape along the water — then to Abercrombie and along Lake View Drive.

#### ISLAND LAKE ANNEXATION HISTORY


In 1968, the first attempt at annexing Island Lake and Spruce Cape occurred. The main reason for the residents request appears to be fire protection. An election was held and the annexation question was defeated.

In 1970, the Borough initiated step annexation of certain areas (including Island Lake). The Boundary Commission recommendation included a larger area. The Boundary Commission also recommended that all services be provided in one year rather than step annexation. The City, Borough and private citizens sent telegrams and letters to legislators asking that annexation not be approved as the services could not be provided in one year and the cost to the residents both Borough and City, would have been exorbitant.

In 1972, petitions were received from Island Lake residents requesting annexation. However, Municipal Boundary regulations were being revised by the State. After the new regulations were established, the City was told that the petition would have to be done under the new regulations. The City Manager at that time, met several times with the residents of Island Lake concerning annexation.

Then the City Manager

discussed the problem with the Council and it was agreed that the City should proceed rather than asking the people to present another petition. It was then discovered that planning Grant funds would be available for a comprehensive study of the services



the situation . . . part of the study would be on whether annexation or a service district would be the best method."

A service district was considered by the residents favorably, but since it was to be contiguous to the present city limits and all services would be furnished by the City, a serious legal question arose as to its position under State Statute.

At the present, the Local Boundary Commission recommendation to annex the area to the City of Kodiak will be made within the first 10 days of the forth-coming State Legislature.

needed and manner of providing them. The Borough has planning powers, & the City Manager worked with the Borough to obtain the funds and conduct the study. In the July 22, 1976 Council minutes, The City Manager states, regarding the progress on annexation, "The Borough has applied for a grant to study

# CITY RESIDENTS CHARGES FOR SERVICES

## — BY MILL RATE —

PRESENT CITY

ANNEXATION AREA

	1978/79 Budget	Less:	Charges for Services Cargo			Payments From Sales Tax		%	X 9.1 Mills	X	1978/79 Real Property Assessed Valuation	
			Cargo Dock	Boat Harbor	Water/Sewer Utilities						\$	\$
Legislative & Legal	72,500						72,500	2.18	.19		17,646,115	3,359
Executive	79,660		4,672	3,983	7,966		63,039	1.90	.17			3,000
City Clerk	86,358		2,892	4,376	8,752		70,338	2.11	.19			3,349
Finance	242,799		19,604	11,541	34,708		176,946	5.32	.49			8,647
Police	873,129						873,129	26.25	2.39			42,174
Fire	351,284						351,284	10.56	.96			16,940
Public Works	771,650		31,862	4,000	359,061		376,722	11.32	1.03			18,175
Parks & Recreation	111,802						111,802	3.36	.31			5,470
Library & Museum	172,679						172,679	5.19	.47			8,294
Engineering	184,092						184,092	5.53	.50			8,823
Non-Departmental												
Borough Personal Property Tax	431,600						431,600	12.98	1.18			20,822
Capital project transfer	588,807					588,807						
Bond Payments	137,158						137,158	4.12	.38			6,705
Miscellaneous (1)	306,356						306,256	9.18	.84			14,823
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$4,409,874</b>		<b>\$59,030</b>	<b>\$23,900</b>	<b>\$410,487</b>	<b>\$588,807</b>	<b>\$3,327,650</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>9.10</b>	<b>X</b>	<b>\$17,646,115</b>	<b>\$160,579</b>
(1) Insurance	115,000											
Public utilities, Street Lights	80,000											
Council on Alcoholism	204,60											
Senior Citizens of Kodiak	2,500											
Miscellaneous	39,000											
Contingency	44,396											
	<b>306,356</b>											



Rates vary within Districts depending on distance of dwelling from Hydrants & Station as well as accessibility.

### HOMEOWNERS FIRE INSURANCE RATING AS THE AREA IS AT THIS TIME (example)

Town Class 5	House Valuation	Island Lake Area Class 9
\$306	\$50,000	\$819
WITH FIRE SUBSTATION BUT NO HYDRANT		
\$306	\$50,000	\$536
WITH FIRE HYDRANTS BUT NO FIRE HOUSE		
\$306	\$50,000	\$338
WITH STATION & HYDRANTS		
\$306		\$306

The area that is presently served by contract with the city pays 2.3 mills for fire service.

### EXAMPLES OF MILL RATES

Borough	7.0	City	
Fire Service (Bell's Flats)	2.3	All services	16.1
	7.2		

Borough residents in the proposed annexation area now pay:

Borough	7.0
Fire Service	2.3
	9.3

Borough residents at Bell's Flats pay:

Borough	7.0
Road Service	7.2
	14.2



# WHAT ABOUT PARKS/RECREATION/LIBRARY

City Recreation Program system utilized approximately /  
City Library System utilized approximately

28% Borough  
40% Borough

Residents outside the City  
Residents outside the City

Expanded Library Service expected for additional Boro/City Residents as Funds allow

### Suggested Park Development Priority

1. Mill Bay Ocean Park
2. Boy Scout - Island Lake
3. Russian Mill Site - Mill Bay
4. Park at Oceanside - Abercrombie (Cry of Ram) Area.

### List of Programs

- Teen Center
- City League Volleyball
- Badminton
- Women's Exercise Program
- Swimming Pool Supervision & Lessons
- Summer Recreation Program
- Tennis Lessons & Tournaments
- Softball and Baseball
- Cross Country Ski Classes
- Special Recreation for Handicapped
- Crab Festival Games
- A.A.U. Programs - Swimming

### WHAT ELSE WOULD YOU

LIKE CONSIDERED ?

# WHAT ABOUT WATER & SEWER



The City of Kodiak engineering department has been doing preliminary planning for water and sewer service to the proposed annexation area so that construction could begin as soon as possible, if annexation were approved. Previous studies have been incorporated. It is anticipated that grants will be received for 50% to 75% of the costs.

The City has to the best of its ability incorporate the previous work of the citizens and engineering firm retained by the Borough. Plans showing proposed water and sewer lines will be available at the public meeting.

One unusual concept advanced is to allow acceptable Septic Systems in low density hard to reach areas in order to keep cost down and to allow assessment relief for the life of the System. Detailed engineering can not be realized until annexation is a reality & appropriate Grant monies can be applied for.

# WHAT ABOUT ROADS

### 1st Priority?

1. Unnamed Road in U.S.S. 3467
2. Track A Island Lake
3. Unnamed Road in U.S.S. 3219, 3103, 3464 | and Lake
4. Cliffside & Cliffside Loop
5. Seabreeze & Woodland
6. Unnamed Road in U.S.S. 3099



### 2nd Priority? What else should be done.

Reconstruct for purpose of snow removal, road grading, fire & police coverage.

There are 2½ miles of maintainable roads in the area. Maintenance means grading, drainage, snow removal and dust control. With a minimum of work another 2½ miles of road would be maintainable.

# WHAT ABOUT POLICE

Available on maintainable road system with one year or more additional coverage of state police - dispatch available on personal, telephone, or C.B. contact. Additional policemen and vehicles will be added as necessary to cover the entire area.



# DIFFERENTIAL TAXATION?

WHAT IS IT? A method of allowing new residents a break on taxation until they receive the same type and quantity of services as other residents.

## Zone No. 1

Generally that area next to Mission Road outside the present boundaries that may enjoy all City services afforded present City residents. Present Mill Rate for City purposes at 100% would apply.



## Zone No. 2

Generally that area north of Mill Bay USS 2467, Shafaka Acres, Block 7 Monashka Bay subdivision that cannot receive full services. Mill Rate at 75% would apply.

## Zone No. 3



Generally that area of Woodland Acres, and back of Island Lake that the city is presently unable to reach adequately with fire & police due primarily to present road conditions. 50% of the City Mill rate.

SEE THE CITY'S MAP

# EARLY & SPECIAL REPRESENTATION

Early representation is afforded by a City Charter provision which allows any resident who has lived in the newly annexed for 2 years and in Alaska for 3 years the ability to run for Mayor or Council.

Also, the City Council by special provision will ask for the election of a special representative from the annexed area to serve as an advisor with the council for 3 years. Residents of the annexed area will of course vote for all council members and mayor as their respective terms expire.

THE CITY MADE THE FOLLOWING PROMISES IN RESOLUTION NO. 24 - 78

CITY OF KODIAK  
RESOLUTION NO. 24 - 78

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Kodiak, Alaska as follows:

1. The City will, to the extent possible, establish and implement in the areas to be annexed, a system of differential taxation for any such areas that do not receive all services of the City supported by taxation or which receive these services at a level different from that provided in other areas of the City.


2. The City Manager is hereby directed to develop tentative plans for the provision of services to the areas proposed for annexation and to determine whether the City may be prevented by requirements for capital improvements or for other reasons from providing full services to portions of those areas for any temporary period of time. On or before October 1, 1978, the City Manager shall report to the Council and advise of the areas where provision of full services may be temporarily delayed, the level of service that will be provided and the estimated differential in cost between full services and the services to be provided.

3. The City Manager and the City Attorney shall explore existing legal requirements regarding residency requirements for voting and elective office, and the existing number of Council Members and make recommendations to the Council on or before October 1, 1978, regarding possible changes in law or policy to provide residents of newly annexed areas with representation within the City comparable to that currently available to City residents, and any recommendations regarding required changes in ordinances to implement that objective.

4. The City shall engage in an information program regarding the proposed annexation with the objective of making the public and the legislature aware of the need for and benefits of annexation, and shall employ the services of a qualified person, to the extent determined advisable, to actively support approval of the annexation by the Alaska legislature.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 24th day of August, 1978.

LET THE CITY KNOW WHAT OTHER WRITTEN PROMISES YOU WANT:



## GOT A QUESTION?

Annexation Committee:	Phone Number
Bob Waage	486 - 4493
Emil Norton	486 - 5178
Marion Johnson	486 - 5171
Bob Brooks	486 - 5815
Nick Szabo	486 - 3853
Pete Resoff	486 - 4463
City Manager - Clair Harmony	486 - 3224

## PUBLIC MEETING

These Ideas are proposals and the City Needs your input.

A Public meeting with the Annexation Committee will be held on December 12, 1978 at 7 p.m. in the Council Assembly Chambers.

EDITORIAL:

# A crying need

Kodiak and the Aleutian Chain need another ferry to replace or support the M/V TUSTUMENA.

Kodiak should not be left alone for two months without ferry service. The ferry will leave on its last trip Jan.31 and will not return until March.

Former State Senator Kay Poland, D-Kodiak, says "I may not be in office anymore, but you can't turn your interest off just because you're not in office. I suppose I'll always feel strongly on issues that affect the state and Kodiak in particular. . ."

Kay asserts, and we strongly agree, that the ferry as part of Alaska's Marine Highway System "is just as much a part of our road system as our highways are. . ."

Mrs. Poland points out that the ferry also helps somewhat to keep the soaring cost of living down.

Kay tried for four years to get a freight-passenger ferry for the Aleutians. That same ferry could serve as a back up for the TUSTUMENA. While the "Trusty Tusty," may be beloved, she is getting to be quite an old lady. We need a new one. The TUSTUMENA was one of the first ferries built in the early 1960's. She's also the only ocean going ferry. As Mrs. Poland points out, "When she goes we're left with nothing. There's nothing to back her up. There's nothing even on the drawing boards."

Kay anticipates it would be at least three years from concept to completion if the much needed additional ferry were to be approved tomorrow.

As Senator, Mrs. Poland did manage to have a study funded and conducted. That study showed the Aleutian ferry might be the only self-supporting ferry in the state. Yet, the administration and the Legislature keep putting it off.

The TUSTUMENA is old and ships, like people, can only last so long. Seventeen cracks were discovered in her hull two years ago, and she was pulled out of service early — in October for repair because she couldn't withstand the stress of winter storms.

We were left only with air service to the mainland.

The TUSTUMENA definitely needs a back up vessel. A ferry serving the rapidly growing Aleutian Chain could also service our island villages.

The need is obvious. We hope the Legislature and the governor will not continue to ignore Kodiak and the Aleutians in this regard.

LETTERS:

## Borough role on land claims?

Dear Editor:

Certain facts are incontestable. The first is, human nature being what it is, that given an inch, most people would try to take a foot. The second is that we have already witnessed an attempt by one Native group to claim lands not rightfully theirs which was caught by the courts. The third is that there are very substantial doubts raised in the suit by the Citizens Action Group that there are lands claimed by the Kodiak Natives

(Continued on Page 5)



## Kay Poland sees solutions to Kodiak's dismal voting record, worst in Alaska

by KENT BRANDLEY  
Editor

Out of 4,159 persons registered to vote here in last August's primary election, only 1,370 voted, giving Kodiak the dubious distinction of having the worst voter turnout in Alaska.

Only 32.9 percent of those eligible here voted, says former State Senator Kay Poland. "It really makes it tough for representatives in Juneau to carry a heavy cloak with such a poor showing," she adds.

In other fishing com-

munities, where fishermen are out at sea on election day as they are in Kodiak, the turnout was much better. For example, in Seward and Cordova, 45.1 percent of those eligible voted.

"I've been harping on this for years, but it doesn't seem to do any good. Just the same faithful vote," according to Mrs. Poland.

She produces statistics to back up her argument, and proposes solutions.

"Sometimes it takes a real effort to vote. Such is the case with the fisher-

men. It takes some effort to vote absentee."

She also blames the state's election procedures, and the handling of voter registrations locally. "When the change was made from the court's handling absentees to the borough clerk handling it, there should have been ads in the newspapers, and on television and radio explaining the switch. This wasn't done in time."

Mrs. Poland says she personally voted absentee and had to "find out by traipsing all around." Within her own family "two of my kids who always voted were challenged. There is really something fouled up there."

Mrs. Poland did not seek re-election to the State Senate last year. Instead she ran unsuccessfully for Lt. governor with Tom Kelly as an Independent.

There is need for some correction, Mrs. Poland says. "There's apparently got to be an overhaul and it's got to start with proper training of the registrars. Also, I believe there's got to be some kind of a numerical check on voter registration forms," the former senator says. "The registrar should have to account for every form used or unused — voided or not." Mrs. Poland feels this

(Continued on Page 5)



Sketch by Kay Linscheid

KAY POLAND



Alan and Ginny Ansterman . . . . . publishers  
 Kent Brandley . . . . . assistant publisher & executive editor  
 Dennis Johnson . . . . . city editor  
 Neil Waage . . . . . fisheries editor  
 Jan Brocci . . . . . advertising director  
 Clint Seyer . . . . . cartoonist/printer  
 Kay Linscheid . . . . . graphics artist  
 Kathleen McNeely . . . . . layout  
 Lynne Campbell . . . . . darkroom & layout  
 Cherie Murray . . . . . typesetter

P.O. Box 2368  
 Kodiak, Alaska 99618  
 486-3190

\$18 per year second class  
 \$36 50 per year first class  
 (c) Kodiak Times, 1979

## POOR VOTE \_\_\_\_\_

(Continued from Page 4)  
should be done on a weekly basis.

She calls voter disinterest in Kodiak "a state of mind." But, also, "We've got to be sure that the absentee voter is well-informed as to where and when they can vote absentee. This is very important for Kodiak due to our large number of fishermen at sea."

### Kodiak has state's worst voter turnout

District	Key Town	% eligible voters
1	Ketchikan	49.4
2	Wrangell/ Petersburg	48.6
3	Sitka	46.7
4	Juneau	56.6
5	Seward/ Cordova	45.1
6	Palmer/ Wasilla	56.7
7	Anchorage/ downtown	39.7
8	Anchorage	43.3
9	Anchorage/ Spennard	37.0
10	Anchorage	50.1
11	Anchorage	39.2
12	Anchorage	55.4
13	Kenai/ Homer	56.6
14	Kodiak	32.9
15	Aleutians	39.5
16	Dillingham	52.8
17	Helena	46.7
18	Galena, etc.	49.4
19	Delta/ Nunana	49.7
20	Fairbanks	44.5
21	Kotzebue	46.0
22	Nome	57.8

P.O. Box 232  
Kodiak, Alaska 99615  
11 February 1979

Members Of The Community & Regional Affairs Committee  
Senator Arliss Sturgulowski, Chairman  
Pouch V  
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Ms. Sturgulowski:

Thank you for bringing your committee to Kodiak and reflecting a real caring attitude in your chairing of same. I feel Kodiak's residents owe you a vote of thanks for your very personal but very professional expertise in conducting a fine meeting. Your personality reflected a oneness with the people, the pros and the cons which I likened as the Apostle Paul, a great teacher who said - "I became as they were to better serve them".

I feel your district was so wise in electing you to your high office. Again, thank you.

I could and should have asked for time to answer some of the misinformed statements that surfaced near the end of the meeting. Being one of the Annexation Committeemen who worked in depth with the City on their proposals seeking the best conditions possible for the three areas up for annexation, if and when annexation was a reality. Several of the speakers spoke out of ignorance of several facts, as at no time did any of them avail themselves to or with the Annexation Committee or the City to offer input as to what they desired or what changes they would like in the City Proposals. In as brief a manner as possible, I wish to recap a few high points of some of the main spokesmen's for the opposition and maybe a few of the points will be reflected.

First, in Mayor Wallin's presentation, she highlighted the fact that she felt Unification was the answer, and not Annexation, and that if Annexation did become a reality, it would hinder the cause of Unification ever being brought about. I say the Mayor is in no way sincere in her contention. I also say the Mayor is striving to defeat any cause that will bring about any change whatsoever in the power struggle that is going on now in this small community. Even though she realizes the pressing needs of all the services indicated will continue to forestall any change. One example why I say this; when the meeting of the Boundary Commission held its last meeting in Kodiak, the Mayor's plea we do not force us into the City we will Unify which is more desirable and an answer to all our problems.

In our last election, the Mayor nor her supporters put no effort whatsoever in trying to bring about Unification. If she had truly desired Unification and had expended only half as much energy and money that her Anti Annexation Committee has spent to defeat Annexation, I'm sure a Charter Commission could have been elected. It was defeated in the last election. Also the proposition for granting the Borough service districts power for sewer, water and other services.

You can see from this that the Anti Annexation force is actually fighting any change. Several of the Anti witnesses complained of having to change life styles; also giving this reason for living in the Borough, when in truth most of us live outside the City limits because this was the only place to buy land and at a price we could afford. The life style in the City of Kodiak is no different than outside the City limits.

The other main contention was their vote had been taken away. If you will reference the recent press release by Kay Poland, our former Senator, you will gather that Kodiakans do not exercise this great privilege even when its offered and only harp on it if they feel that it serves their purpose.

Out of 4,159 persons registered to vote here in last August's primary election, only 1,370 or 32 percent cared enough to vote. I would say this belies their contention that they cherish their right to vote. What do you say?

In the beginning of all of this, none of the opposition availed themselves to workshop meetings on the proposals by the City so therefore most of their complaints on what could be expected from the City if Annexation becomes a reality were erroneous. Most of the points of contention can be found in my pack of letters to the Editor that you have in hand.

One other correction on Mrs. Pat Szabo's testimony; the City does have a viable plan for Zone 3, in the 35 percent mill rate area. The City will install government-approved septic systems under an assessment district as sewer is done in other areas, 10 year pay plan.

An explanation of the City's refusal to hook up Lorna Arndt's water in the Island Lake area, it is because of advice of Council because of the present litigation between the City and the Borough concerning the legality of service district of the City and/or the Borough.

One other Spruce Cape witness against Annexation Mr. T. T. Jackson. Mr. Jackson has over 100 mobile homes on a private sewer system that he charges sewercharges to all tenants. This sewer is drained into the bay with no treatment whatsoever. The four houses I spoke of in my presentation before the Committee was in reference to Mr. Jackson. This raw sewerage dumps into the Mission Lake where children play on rafts in summer and skate in winter. These are just a few of the terrible health hazards in my district. Island Lake is much worse. We will never realize these pressing needs if we wait on a service district by the Borough.

Just a passing reflection on the reported 500 signatures from the three proposed areas that object to Annexation. After conducting a telephone survey in the three areas, convinces me that it's a misleading fact that if it should be challenged it would not stand up at all. We have 268 phones in the three areas and in our survey, we found the yes and no about equal.

At the meeting the 500 was noticeably absent, the bottom line of all, is still the vocal 15 or 20.

Also, the survey cards that were presented to our Representative Fred Zharoff, should in no way be considered by your committee as I'm sure you will realize such a mailing could be rigged to reflect any desired result. Where the survey required no signature only an X - why couldn't the surveyor mark and mail as many no's as he chooses before giving the balance for distribution to boxholders? The act was so ill thought out and so obvious that I feel silly for feeling I need to point this fact out to the committee.

I have a long track record of fighting causes for Kodiak but I pride myself in truth and fairplay in all fights, lose, win or draw. I ask that your Committee consider the urgent need in your deliberations.

I find to stand up for right is not always popular but very rewarding.

Respectfully,



BOB BROOKS

cc:  
Bob Mulcahy, Vice-Chairman  
Fred Zharoff, Representative

Lorna-Lee ARNDT a Member of the Island Lake Sewer & Yachting Club, speaking for a group of 8.

I have 12 lots on the front side of Island Lake and my son has 13 lots and my daughter has 1 lot all in this area in U.S. Survey 3219 which you toured today.

We believe in the "Freedom to Vote" on Issues and not pushed into something we do not want.

I am one who was recently DENIED a water hook-up by the City of Kodiak and their Attorney on one of my lots where there is a City Water line on the lot. We were FORCED onto City Water in this whole area, because of the City's mistake, and did not know our private water systems were cut off until after they hooked us onto the City Water.

What will we do with our Goats; Cows; chickens; ducks; Geese; Rabbits, and Horses if this should become City as the City does not allow these animals?

We all moved where we are cause we do not like to live in the City and our Children have more to do, and never become bored; or even care to go in town or biter in town.

One person in the family either goes to town once a day to get the mail and if one works that person picks it up on the way home from work. And maybe twice a week to get food as we hate going to the City. We purchase all clothes and household items from Catalogs or make one Airplane trip out a year to do our buying as it is much cheaper elsewhere. So you see we have no other reasons to want to leave our homes to go into the City, and we wish to keep all our properties in the Borough Government.

Feb. 12, 1979  
Box 1635  
Kodiak, Ak. 99615

Arliss Sturgelewski  
Honorable Chairman Senate  
Community & Regional Affairs Committee

Dear Arliss:

Facts about the people who testified at the hearing in Kodiak on Sat. Feb. 10, 1979 and who live in the proposed FORCED Annexation Area.

Dr. Bob Johnson who has a \$500,000.00 or \$600,000.00 Clinic in the City is my friend and my wife's and my physician and my wife requires special medication, and I quite frequently called Dr. Bob for this reason. I also called his wife frequently in regards to matters that we are both interested in.

Mr. Tom Sweeney has been living in the Borough for 5 or 6 years, and there is city water next door to him and across the street from him and those people are in the City and he could join the City at any time as it would not be spot zoning cause the neighbors are already in the City.


Mr. Bob Brooks who owns Brooks Tool Rental and Budget Rent-A-Car and has considerable real estate in the City says he has been there 17 years; and if he were in the City with his businesses he would not have to pay personal property tax on his equipment or cars and his only reason to be in the City is he would gain financially. In all the time he has been here I don't know of anything he has ever done to make it a better Community in which to live. Several Years ago a bonding issue came up for a new school, and Mr. Brooks spent considerable money to defeat the Bond Issue. Mr. Bob Greene who was our Supt. of schools and who now works under Dr. Lind with the Education of Schools in Juneau, solicited my support for this Bond Issue. I wrote a letter to the Editor of our newspaper and then I wrote to all the Villages on Kodiak Island and zexoxed and sent sufficient copies for everyone at my own expense.

Mr. Emil Norton who was appointed by the City Manager to the Proposed Annexation Committee has stated that he did not need or want any services from anyone, and he took it to court to avoid being in the Service District. He appealed to the Borough Assembly for consideration and did not receive it, and for that reason he is Disruntled with the Borough Government.

Kodiak is in a recession due to the decline of Shrimp and King Crab catches in the last 3 or 4 years.

A year ago people were putting ads in the paper wanting a place to rent and now there are 8 to 20 For Rent ads in the newspaper each night, and there also are signs on houses either For Rent or For Sale all over Kodiak. There is every indication that Kodiak will decrease in Population and Not Increase in Population. The present Population does not exceed 4500 people.

Respectfully yours,



Okey L. Chandler

FROM ST. FIG

Table 14. Historic commercial shrimp catch and effort for the Kodiak district of Westward statistical area J, 1960 through 1977.

CALENDAR YEAR	FISHING YEAR	NO. VESSELS <sup>4</sup>	NO. LANDINGS	COMMERCIAL HARVEST	
				POUNDS	METRIC TONS
1960		11	94	3,197,985	1,450.6
1961		12	203	11,083,500	5,027.4
1962		11	204	12,654,027	5,739.8
1963		--	--	10,118,472	4,589.7
1964		6	--	4,339,114	1,968.2
1965		11	320	13,823,061	6,270.1
1966		17	551	24,097,141	10,930.4
1967		23	--	38,267,856	17,358.2
1968		16	--	34,468,713	15,634.9
1969		26	935	41,553,461	18,757.8
1970		18	1,024	62,181,204	28,205.2
1971 <sup>1</sup>		49	1,746	82,153,724	37,264.7
1972 <sup>2</sup>		63	1,398	58,52,319	26,468.4
1973		50	1,283	70,511,477	31,983.8
	1973-74 <sup>3</sup>	63	1,029	56,203,992	25,494.0
	1974-75	75	1,100	58,235,982	26,418.2
	1975-76	58	844	49,086,591	22,265.5
	1976-77	62	762	46,712,083	21,188.5
	1977-78	58	653	26,409,366	11,979.2
	1978-79 (TO JAN 28)			19,700,000	
TOTAL				703,250,068	318,992.1
AVERAGE (fishing year)		63	878	47,329,603	21,468.6

<sup>1</sup>First egg hatch closures announced for a portion of the Kodiak district shrimp fishery during March and April, 1971.

<sup>2</sup>First year quotas established.

<sup>3</sup>Beginning in the 1973-74 fishing season, a complete egg hatch closure for the entire fishing district was in effect during March and April. Fishing year began May 1, and continued through February 28.

<sup>4</sup>Represents beam trawl and single and double otter trawl.

Table 4. Historic commercial king crab catch and effort for the Kodiak registration area K, 1960-61 through 1977-78 fishing seasons.

Fishing <sup>1</sup> Year	No. <sup>4</sup> Vessels	Commercial Catch		No. Ldgs.	Avg. Catch per Landing		No. Pot <sup>5</sup> Lifts	Avg. Crab <sup>7</sup> per Pot
		Pounds	Metric Tons		Pounds	Metric Tons		
1960-61	143	21,064,871	9,554.96	-	-	-	-	-
1961-62	148	28,962,900	13,137.48	-	-	-	-	-
1962-63	195	37,626,703	17,067.36	-	-	-	-	-
1963-64	181	37,716,223	17,107.97	-	-	-	-	-
1964-65	189	41,596,518	18,868.06	-	-	-	95,951	66
1965-66	175	94,431,026	42,833.63	-	-	-	173,083	66
1966-67 <sup>2</sup>	213	73,817,779	33,483.52	-	-	-	223,174	36
1967-68	227	43,448,492	19,708.11	3,847	11,294	5.12	207,392	26
1968-69	178	18,211,485	8,260.68	1,839	9,902	4.49	119,146	20
1969-70 <sup>3</sup>	136	12,200,571	5,534.14	978	12,475	5.66	96,841	21
1970-71	100	11,719,970	5,316.14	830	14,120	6.40	119,192	19
1971-72	89	10,894,152	4,937.02	507	21,467	9.74	66,166	29
1972-73	88	15,479,916	7,021.64	683	22,664	10.28	70,806	41
1973-74	129	14,397,287	6,530.57	837	17,201	7.80	77,826	32
1974-75	153	23,582,720 <sup>5</sup>	10,697.05	1,195	19,734	8.95	110,297	30
1975-76	169	24,061,651	10,914.29	1,569	19,478	8.84	113,795	26
1976-77	195	17,966,846	8,149.71	1,165	15,422	7.00	130,777	17
1977-78	179	13,503,666	6,125.22	1,186	11,386	5.16	145,867	11
1978-79	194	12,020,056		1076			177,286	9.6
TOTAL	1107 <sup>0</sup>	540,672,776	245,247.56	14,636	-	-	1,750,313	-
AVERAGE	139 <sup>0</sup>	30,037,376	13,624.86	1,331	14,037 <sup>9</sup>	6.37	125,022	32

<sup>1</sup>Fishing year defined as May 1 - April 30.

<sup>2</sup>July 1 - April 30 season established.

<sup>3</sup>August 15 - January 15 established.

<sup>4</sup>Number of vessels shown are those actually registered through 1969-70 season. Number of vessels fishing is shown from 1970-71 season.

<sup>5</sup>Seasonal harvest includes 551,348 pounds of deadloss documented, but not reflected in computer storage.

<sup>6</sup>Total pot lifts computed from logbooks through 1968-69 fishing season. Computed from edited fish ticket computer runs.

<sup>7</sup>Not included. "Zed" is not a valid...