

HB

741

AMENDMENT

To: CSHB 741

Page 1, line 14: After "services," insert "(i)"

Page 1, line 22: After "service", delete the comma, insert a semicolon and the following new material:

"and

(ii) during the fiscal year in which a payment is made under (i) of this subparagraph, payment shall be made to the borough or unified municipality for the portion of the fiscal year during which police protection services are initiated, to be determined at the rate authorized in this paragraph on the basis of the <sup>new</sup> population ~~of the~~ ~~service area~~ served by the implementation of the police protection service and the fractional portion of the fiscal year in which police protection service is implemented."

Original sponsors: Rudd, Cotten  
and Miller

IN THE HOUSE

BY THE COMMUNITY AND  
REGIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 741

IN THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

TENTH LEGISLATURE - SECOND SESSION

A BILL

For an Act entitled: "An Act providing state aid for extending municipal police protection services; and providing for an effective date."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ALASKA:

\* Section 1. AS 43.18.010(a)(1) is amended by adding a new subparagraph to read:

(C) in addition to the payment authorized in this paragraph, to facilitate the extension of municipal police protection services, <sup>(d)</sup> payment shall be made to a unified municipality or organized borough assuming responsibility for police protection services areawide within the municipality, in the area of the borough outside cities, or within a service area of the municipality; the payment authorized by this subparagraph may be paid only in the fiscal year in which extension of police protection is implemented and shall be determined at the rate authorized in this paragraph on the basis of the population to be served by the extension of the service;

\* Sec. 2. This Act takes effect July 1, 1978.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lisa Rudd

FROM: Annette Smith AS

REF: HB 741, revenue sharing for extension of police services.  
Memo from Anchorage Municipality, May 15, 1978

The attached memo from the Municipality of Anchorage poses three questions. I checked with the DC&RA for the answers.

(1) When does it take effect?

July 1, 1978

(2) How long will the extra \$12/capita extend?

For the first year of operation.

(3) Will a unified municipality or organized borough receive the regular \$12/capita for operational costs of the extended service if those services begin on a date other than July 1?

No

Chugiak/Eagle River plans to begin service on April 1, 1979. They will be eligible to receive \$12/capita under HB 741 as soon as they apply, (providing the operation is in full swing, ie., providing 24 hour service).

This would be for the period of operation from April 1 to July 1, 1979. Under present statute this period from April to July 1, 1979 would not be eligible.

Then, on July 1, 1979, Chugiak/Eagle River will be eligible for the regular \$12/capita allowed under the regular revenue sharing program. The provisions of HB 741 do not come into play at this time.

If the service is begun on July 1 of a given year, then the municipality is entitled to the regular \$12/capita plus the extra \$12/capita of HB 741. At total of \$24/capita if thereby received if the service is begun on July 1.

Muldoon and Sand Lake expect to start July 1, 1978.

Anchorage would like to get the total of \$24/capita for the situation in Chugiak/Eagle River, where they expect to start police service in April instead of July.

Anchorage also would like to be given the extra \$24/capita when they start to hire personnel and not have to wait until they are providing the normally required 24 hour service.

I do not know how you wish to respond to the Municipality. I understand they have talked directly to the DC&RA. Also, last week at the teleconference they asked the same question. I believe they are only asking the questions as an introduction to their proposed amendment.

*Annette - do I dope this out, we don't need amendment to my bill. We need amendment to present law, giving pro-rated payment for part of the year service. ~~only amendment~~ If I am right, pls. have Jack draft -*

Municipality  
of  
Anchorage



POUCH 5-650  
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99502  
(907) 274-2525

GEORGE M. SULLIVAN,  
MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

May 15, 1978

Dear Legislator:

We have three basic questions concerning HB 741: (1) When does it take effect?; (2) How long will the extra \$12 per capita extend?; and (3) Will a unified municipality or organized borough receive the regular \$12 per capita for operational costs of the extended services if those services begin on a date other than July 1?

We have included suggested language which answers these questions as follows. HB 741 would take effect when the unified municipality or organized borough incurs start-up costs associated with the extension of services. Such start-up costs begin when the municipality or borough begins to select personnel for the extended services.

Under our suggested language the additional \$12 per capita would last for an entire year. For example, when the Municipality of Anchorage begins hiring personnel in January 1979, for extension of services to Chugiak/Eagle River in April of 1979, we would receive the additional \$12.00 per capita from January 1979 to January 1980.

Finally, because we would be operational in Chugiak/Eagle River in April 1979, and not eligible for the regular \$12 per capita for operational costs until July 1979, the suggested language would allow us to receive the regular \$12 per capita from April to July 1, 1979.

The suggested language is as follows:



Paragraph C

In addition to the payment authorized in this paragraph, to facilitate the extension of Municipal Police Protection services, payment at the rate of \$12 per capita on the basis of the population to be served by the extension of the services shall be made to a unified municipality or organized borough assuming responsibility for police protection services areawide within the municipality or within a service area of the municipality; the payment authorized by this subparagraph shall begin when the unified municipality or organized borough begins to incur start-up costs associated with the extension of municipal police protection services, and such payment shall continue for one full year. If the extension of municipal police protection services becomes operational on any date other than July 1, then the payment authorized in (a) of this paragraph shall begin on the date the services become operational.

*would require  
new fiscal notes*

*departs  
from gen trust  
of existing  
law*

This suggested language may present problems regarding appropriation for the regular \$12 per capita for operational costs for the extended service, e.g. an appropriation at the time of start-up and an appropriation on July 1.

I will be down in Juneau this week, and then will be ready to discuss this and any other problems with our suggested language for HB 741.

Sincerely,



Mitchell D. Gravo  
Administrative Assistant  
to the Municipal Manager

CC: Mayor Sullivan  
Municipal Attorney  
Management & Budget Officer  
Police Chief

Representative Buchholdt  
Representative Gruening  
Representative Meekins  
Representative Rahn  
Representative Cotton  
Representative Miller

*Municipality of Anchorage*  
5/78

HB 741: AN ACT PROVIDING STATE AID FOR EXTENDING MUNICIPAL POLICE PROTECTION SERVICES; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE, and SB 420: AN ACT MAKING A SPECIAL APPROPRIATION TO THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE FOR POLICE PROTECTION; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The Municipality of Anchorage strongly supports the approval of HB 741. The bill would provide for much needed assistance in helping to defray the start-up costs associated with extending municipal police protection services. Included in such initial costs are the acquisition and training of new police officers prior to the date the extended police protection can be provided, purchase of uniforms, vehicles, communications equipment and other equipment and supplies.

The State of Alaska will also benefit from passage of HB 741. Knowing that the State will assist in defraying the large start-up costs, municipalities may be more encouraged to establish or expand their own police protection services thereby dramatically reducing the state's responsibility for policing in those areas. This would permit a reduction in recurring state expenditures or enable the state to shift its police resources to other areas of the state.

With approval of HB 741, but only with the approval of HB 741, the Municipality of Anchorage would remove its request by SB 420 for supplemental appropriation to the Municipality for extending police protection into the Muldoon/Sand Lake areas effective July 1, 1978. However, we would recommend a supplemental appropriation to a municipality which has made previous commitments to expand police protection services at a time other than July 1st. This would be a pro-rata portion of the year's regular police services state shared revenues to help defray normal police operations (rather than initial start-up costs) from the date of provision of such services until the following July 1st. (In Anchorage's case, police services will be implemented in Eagle River/Chugiak on 4/1/79.)

**Municipality  
of  
Anchorage**



POUCH 6-650  
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99502  
(907) 274-2525

*GEORGE M. SULLIVAN,  
MAYOR*

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

May 15, 1978

Dear Legislator:

We have three basic questions concerning HB 741: (1) When does it take effect?; (2) How long will the extra \$12 per capita extend?; and (3) Will a unified municipality or organized borough receive the regular \$12 per capita for operational costs of the extended services if those services begin on a date other than July 1?

We have included suggested language which answers these questions as follows. HB 741 would take effect when the unified municipality or organized borough incurs start-up costs associated with the extension of services. Such start-up costs begin when the municipality or borough begins to select personnel for the extended services.

Under our suggested language the additional \$12 per capita would last for an entire year. For example, when the Municipality of Anchorage begins hiring personnel in January 1979, for extension of services to Chugiak/Eagle River in April of 1979, we would receive the additional \$12.00 per capita from January 1979 to January 1980.

Finally, because we would be operational in Chugiak/Eagle River in April 1979, and not eligible for the regular \$12 per capita for operational costs until July 1979, the suggested language would allow us to receive the regular \$12 per capita from April to July 1, 1979.

The suggested language is as follows:



Legislators  
HB 741  
May 15, 1978  
Page 2

Paragraph C

In addition to the payment authorized in this paragraph, to facilitate the extension of Municipal Police Protection services, payment at the rate of \$12 per capita on the basis of the population to be served by the extension of the services shall be made to a unified municipality or organized borough assuming responsibility for police protection services areawide within the municipality or within a service area of the municipality; the payment authorized by this subparagraph shall begin when the unified municipality or organized borough begins to incur start-up costs associated with the extension of municipal police protection services, and such payment shall continue for one full year. If the extension of municipal police protection services becomes operational on any date other than July 1, then the payment authorized in (a) of this paragraph shall begin on the date the services become operational.

This suggested language may present problems regarding appropriation for the regular \$12 per capita for operational costs for the extended service, e.g. an appropriation at the time of start-up and an appropriation on July 1.

I will be down in Juneau this week, and then will be ready to discuss this and any other problems with our suggested language for HB 741.

Sincerely,



Mitchell D. Gravo  
Administrative Assistant  
to the Municipal Manager

CC: Mayor Sullivan  
Municipal Attorney  
Management & Budget Officer  
Police Chief

Representative Buchholdt  
Representative Gruening  
Representative Meekins  
Representative Ruess  
Representative Cotten  
Representative Miller

FISCAL NOTE

I. REQUEST

Bill/Resolution No. House Bill no. 741

Title "An Act providing state aid for extending municipal police protection services"

Requested by House Community & Regional Affairs Date 2/14/78

II. FISCAL DETAIL

Agency Affected Department of Community and Regional Affairs

Program Category Affected Development

Budget Request Unit(s) Affected Municipal Revenue Sharing

EXPENDITURES (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY 78	FY 79	FY 80	FY 81	FY 82	FY 83
100 PERSONAL SERVICES						
200 TRAVEL						
300 CONTRACTUAL						
400 COMMODITIES						
500 EQUIPMENT						
600 LAND & STRUCTURES						
700 GRANTS, CLAIMS, ETC.		680.4	0	585.4	0	
TOTAL						

FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

GENERAL FUND		680.4	0	585.4	0	
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER (Specify)						

POSITIONS

FULL TIME						
PART TIME						
TEMPORARY						

III. ANALYSIS (See Fiscal Note Preparation Instructions, Section III)

Assumptions

1. Municipal residents currently receiving state aid for police protection services would not be counted under this bill.
2. Populations have been upwardly adjusted at the annual compounded rate of 2 1/2%.
3. The Muldoon, Sand Lake, Chuqiak and Eagle River service areas of the Municipality of Anchorage will be eligible for payments during fiscal year 1979.

IV. DATE 2/15/78

PREPARED BY Bruce Aronson *Bruce Aronson*  
 AGENCY Department of Community and Regional Affairs  
 PHONE 465-4700

Original: Legislative Finance  
 cc: Budget and Management  
 Prime Sponsor (First Legislator Named)

4. The population of the Fairbanks North Star Borough and the City and Borough of Juneau will be receiving municipal police protection services during fiscal year 1981.
5. No other boroughs will assume additional police protection services.
6. No major changes in the State's Department of Public Safety policies are anticipated.
7. Assumption of services may be areawide, nonareawide, or on a service area basis.