HB-21

"An Act relating to revenue sharing with local governments for providing certain mass transit facilities or services."

1/2-/71 FINANCE

COMMITTEE REPORT

HOUSE

Mr. Speaker: Date _____ _____

The Committee on _____ has had _____

under consideration. A Majority of the members of the Committee

( ) recommends it DO PASS

( ) recommends it DO NOT PASS

( ) recommends it DO PASS WITH ATTACHED AMENDMENT(S)

( ) recommends it BE REPLACED WITH CS FOR _____ AND THAT

CS FOR _____ DO PASS

( ) "and" recommends it BE REFERRED TO THE _____

COMMITTEE

( ) reports it back WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION

( ) "other"

Members signing the Majority report:

_____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____

_____ _____ _____

Members NOT concurring in the Majority report:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ recommends:

_____ Chairman

A M E N D M E N T

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE: BY: House Community & Regional Affairs

To: Amend HOUSE BILL No. 21

SENATE BILL No. _____

PAGE: 2 LINE: 6

On page 2, line 6, add a new subsection to read:

"Sec. 3. AS 43.15.050 is amended to read: SPECIFIC EXPENDITURES. A municipality shall expend funds received for mass transit and for the operation and maintenance of hospitals and health facilities and services under sec. 10 - 79 of this chapter only for those capital facilities and services."

TAPE#8
side 2
0-1054

House Committee on

COMMUNITY & REGIONAL AFFAIRS

Meeting Minutes
April 8, 1975

Meetng was called to order at 9:00 to discuss HB 21. Present:

Rep. Cotten, Chairman	Barbara Englert Thomas, Staff
" Ostrosky	Andrea Guernsey, Staff
" Freeman	Rep. Mike Miller, Sponsor
" Ose	Rep. Bowman
" Davis	Don Berry, Municiapl League

Jack Chenwoeth, Director, Local Government
Cheryl Probst, Daily Times

Cotten said that 14.1 million had been requested by the dept. for revenue sharing. He thiks the policy will be determined by Finance instead of C&RA. Miller responded that CRA should decided if revenue sharing is a good idea and send it to Finance.

(129) Freeman asked how many cities have mass transit? Juneau, ketchikan, Anchorage. Only Juneau and Ketchikan would be eligible because the Anchorage Borough operates theirs.
(208) How is Anchorage denied? They're already getting from State.

(253) Ostrosky-Get $5 for harbors and airports. Additonal $5 if bill passess.
Anchorage borough not getting because the borough doesn't operate harbor or airport, city does. Borough operates mass transit.

(310) Ostrosky-making 2 categories out of 1 to encourage mass transit.
(353) Ose-Cost of mass transit in Juneau? S rted with model cities then faised out. City elected to subsidize How much revenue will $5 bring? $5 arbitrary figure-not base on any need.
(534) Cotten-Other conveyance? Ferries-put in to cover everything. C&RA will determine what is mass transit.
(800) Speficial language concerning privately owned transit? Either earmark or say that all money has to go if private.
(880) Cotten-add new section: money received by municipality under this section shall be used for mass transit.
Meeting adjourned, at 10:00 until 1:30.

1:30-Present were: Ostrosky, Ose, Cotten, Davis. Amendment was adopted and passed out with individual recommendations.

14.1

14.1
80

locally approved

In the case of privately owned mass transit facilities, the monies the local govt.s receive under this section

Hearings:

Received CRA 1/20/75

HB 21

		Notified	Present	Testified
4/8/75	[illegible], Leg. Democrats	X	X	X
	[illegible] Tom [illegible] — 6-3227	X		
	Mont. Municipal League	X	X	X
	milk	X	X	X