

ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE
HOUSE TRANSPORTATION STANDING COMMITTEE

February 6, 2024

1:04 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Representative Kevin McCabe, Chair
Representative Sarah Vance, Vice Chair
Representative Tom McKay
Representative Jesse Sumner
Representative Louise Stutes
Representative Genevieve Mina

MEMBERS ABSENT

Representative Craig Johnson

COMMITTEE CALENDAR

HOUSE BILL NO. 255

"An Act relating to the Port of Southcentral Alaska; establishing the Port of Southcentral Alaska Authority to manage and operate the Port of Southcentral Alaska; and providing for an effective date."

- HEARD & HELD

HOUSE BILL NO. 282

"An Act relating to access roads; relating to state land; relating to contracts for the sale of state land; relating to the authority of the Department of Education and Early Development to dispose of state land; relating to the authority of the Department of Transportation and Public Facilities to dispose of state land; relating to the authority of the Department of Natural Resources over certain state land; relating to the state land disposal income fund; relating to the sale and lease of state land; relating to covenants and restrictions on agricultural land; and providing for an effective date."

- HEARD & HELD

PREVIOUS COMMITTEE ACTION

BILL: HB 255

SHORT TITLE: PORT OF SOUTHCENTRAL AK; PORT AUTHORITY

SPONSOR(s) : REPRESENTATIVE(s) MCCABE

01/16/24 (H) PREFILE RELEASED 1/12/24
01/16/24 (H) READ THE FIRST TIME - REFERRALS
01/16/24 (H) TRA, FIN
02/06/24 (H) TRA AT 1:00 PM BARNES 124

BILL: HB 282

SHORT TITLE: STATE LAND: DISPOSAL/SALE/LEASE/RESTRICT

SPONSOR(s) : RULES BY REQUEST OF THE GOVERNOR

01/22/24 (H) READ THE FIRST TIME - REFERRALS
01/22/24 (H) TRA, RES, FIN
02/06/24 (H) TRA AT 1:00 PM BARNES 124

WITNESS REGISTER

JULIE MORRIS, Staff
Representative Kevin McCabe
Alaska State Legislature
Juneau, Alaska

POSITION STATEMENT: Provided the summary of changes on the committee substitute (CS) and answered questions during the hearing on HB 255.

BRENT GOODRUM, Deputy Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources
Anchorage, Alaska

POSITION STATEMENT: Presented HB 282 on behalf of the bill sponsor, House Rules by Request of the Governor.

RACHEL LONGACRE, Chief of Operations
Division of Mining, Land and Water
Department of Natural Resources
Anchorage, Alaska

POSITION STATEMENT: Presented a PowerPoint, titled "HB 282 State Land: Disposal/Sale/Lease/Restrict."

ACTION NARRATIVE

[1:04:42 PM](#)

CHAIR KEVIN MCCABE called the House Transportation Standing Committee meeting to order at 1:04 p.m. Representatives McKay, Vance, Stutes, Mina, and McCabe were present at the call to order. Representative Sumner arrived as the meeting was in progress.

HB 255-PORT OF SOUTHCENTRAL AK; PORT AUTHORITY

1:05:30 PM

CHAIR MCCABE announced that the first order of business would be HOUSE BILL NO. 255, "An Act relating to the Port of Southcentral Alaska; establishing the Port of Southcentral Alaska Authority to manage and operate the Port of Southcentral Alaska; and providing for an effective date."

1:06:06 PM

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES questioned how there could be a committee substitute when there has not been a committee meeting on the bill.

CHAIR MCCABE replied there were two small grammatical changes, and it would be the will of the committee whether to adopt the committee substitute.

1:06:43 PM

REPRESENTATIVE VANCE moved to adopt the proposed committee substitute (CS) for HB 255, Version 33-LS0995\S, Walsh, 1/30/24, as the work draft.

1:07:05 PM

JULIE MORRIS, Staff, Representative Kevin McCabe, Alaska State Legislature, provided the summary of changes on the CS to HB 255, [included in the committee packet], on behalf of Representative McCabe, prime sponsor, which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

Changes from Ver. B to Ver. S include:

Page 1 line 9 - "rail" has been added to the sentence.

Page 4 lines 21-22: Section 30.19.160. Quorum and voting. (a) - Four members of the board is amended to five members.

Page 4, line 23: Section 30.19.160. Quorum and voting. (b) - Four affirmative votes are required for board action is amended to five affirmative votes.

1:07:44 PM

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked for clarity regarding the quorum change.

CHAIR MCCABE confirmed it was five.

1:08:02 PM

CHAIR MCCABE removed his objection to the adoption of the committee substitute. There being no further objection, Version S was before the committee.

[Chair McCabe passed the gavel to Vice Chair Vance].

1:08:31 PM

The committee took a brief at-ease at 1:08 p.m.

1:08:55 PM

CHAIR MCCABE, as prime sponsor, presented HB 255 and read from the sponsor statement [included in the committee packet], which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

House Bill 255 creates the Port of Southcentral Alaska Port Authority, providing the necessary powers, structure, and oversight to ensure the effective and responsible administration of vital port facilities in our state.

The legislation begins by establishing legislative findings and intent, recognizing that creating an authority is in the best interests of the state. The Port of Southcentral Alaska Authority is entrusted with the powers, duties, and functions needed to operate the ports in Anchorage and the Matanuska Susitna Borough. The authority will comprehensively oversee its seaport, rail, industrial, and other properties, assuming exclusive responsibility for managing both the financial and legal obligations related to the ports. This includes handling applications for a diverse array of funding opportunities, notably federal grants, to ensure efficient and effective operations across its various sectors.

House Bill 255 is a comprehensive and forward-thinking measure designed to enhance the Port of Southcentral Alaska's functionality, ensuring it operates efficiently, transparently, and in the best interests of the state. I urge my fellow legislators to support this crucial legislation for the benefit of our communities and the economic well-being of Alaska.

CHAIR MCCABE added that the sectional analysis for HB 255 is long, as it is a big bill, and asked if his staff should present it.

[1:13:31 PM](#)

VICE CHAIR VANCE asked for the will of the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE MCKAY moved to dispense with the reading of the sectional analysis.

VICE CHAIR VANCE invited questions from committee members.

[1:14:01 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE SUMNER asked Chair McCabe if he envisioned the bill facilitating funding for the regional port as well as the port of Anchorage for revitalization.

CHAIR MCCABE affirmed that is the idea. He added that "we are stronger together" and both ports bring different synergies to the mix.

[1:15:26 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE MCKAY asked Chair McCabe if he foresaw a Knik Arm bridge being under an organization's authority.

CHAIR MCCABE replied that would be "beyond his wildest dreams," and something that should be worked towards. He related that, for example, bridges in New York are under the New York Port Authority, and if there was a port authority, it would be a bigger mechanism and bigger group of people working together to leverage funds.

REPRESENTATIVE MCKAY speculated that the authority created by the bill could possibly facilitate the acceleration of the Knik Arm Bridge in the future.

CHAIR MCCABE said absolutely.

[1:16:58 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE MINA questioned why the focus is on these two specific ports.

CHAIR MCCABE replied that there was a discussion years ago about combining the entire state into one port authority, and he stated that his idea to start with two ports would be a venue for other cities to apply to be part of the port authority. He said it would be a small step forward.

REPRESENTATIVE MINA referred to the short title of the bill and asked whether it would require a legislative change if another town from a different region wanted to be part of the authority.

CHAIR MCCABE said possibly.

REPRESENTATIVE MINA brought up the current modernization plan and offered her understanding the next phase of construction would begin this summer. She asked how this may impact current plans.

CHAIR MCCABE said his vision is that there would be no impact, and contracts would still be enforced.

REPRESENTATIVE MINA asked for an idea of what a timeline may look like for implementation if the bill passed.

CHAIR MCCABE responded that depends on [the legislature], the city, the borough, and negotiations. He added that it may take years.

[1:21:47 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked about conversations with the municipality or user groups.

CHAIR MCCABE affirmed he had talked to boroughs and port managers, but not the Municipality of Anchorage, which he said had been resistant in the past.

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked about the resistance in Anchorage.

CHAIR MCCABE replied it may have to do with politics and money, and the port needs money. He stated that the "pieces could line up nicely" if everyone could get on the same page. In response to a follow-up question, he replied that last year there were discussions with the pilots but no real discussion with user groups.

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked whether the pilots were supportive.

CHAIR MCCABE replied absolutely.

[1:26:02 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE MINA brought up ownership and whether it is being transferred to the state under an authority and how a domain might factor in, as well as the impact on local governments.

MS. MORRIS replied that details would have to be worked out and there would be a transition period. She further explained that the port itself would be tax exempt except for a couple items, and once the bill is dissected, there is transition built into the bill.

[1:27:40 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked whether the port is currently tax exempt.

MS. MORRIS offered her belief it was.

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES stated that she would like to know if that is the case.

CHAIR MCCABE said that the vision is to make Port Mackenzie tax exempt, but they are not currently.

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES referenced push back from the municipalities regarding their revenues.

CHAIR MCCABE agreed that is a good point and a detail that must be worked out.

[1:30:16 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE SUMNER asked about property taxes charged by the port.

CHAIR MCCABE said that would be the recommendation, that similar to the railroad, there would be a certain amount of lease money returned to the property owner, which is the city.

[1:31:32 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES questioned who owns the 9,000 acres and whether it included private ownership.

CHAIR MCCABE said that of the 9,000 acres that the borough owns in Port McKenzie, there is a small piece owned by the University of Alaska (UA).

[1:32:23 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE MINA questioned whether local governments would remove their ability to use their local bonding power if ownership would be transferred from local to state government.

CHAIR MCCABE replied no. He gave an example of the Alaska Railroad having the authority to use bonds.

REPRESENTATIVE MINA reflected on an earlier discussion regarding pricing, and referred to the fiscal note and whether additional financial support would be needed. She expressed her curiosity towards the purchasing price for the port in Anchorage.

CHAIR MCCABE said the financial details were not expected to be questioned in depth since this is just a bill introduction. He said there would be a need to value both ports and to move forward for the purpose of docking different vessels.

[1:36:35 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked if a cargo ship was the largest ship in the world.

CHAIR MCCABE confirmed it was.

VICE CHAIR VANCE asked Chair McCabe to speak to why it would be an added value to allow for the flexibility he was seeking.

CHAIR MCCABE explained that the idea is similar to the Alaska Railroad and the benefit to the state would come in resource development jobs.

[1:38:55 PM](#)

VICE CHAIR VANCE asked for clarification whether the idea of the port authority was to enable a centralized command to do what the individual municipalities cannot do on their own.

CHAIR MCCABE replied absolutely.

[1:39:42 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE SUMNER expressed that he is not sure that the state taking municipal property would be "a taking" but if it is, perhaps a consideration could be the money required for the revitalization of the Port of Anchorage and everyone can win.

[1:40:09 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked Chair McCabe whether he worked with the Alaska Railroad on any of this.

CHAIR MCCABE replied the railroad is a separate discussion right now.

[1:41:16 PM](#)

VICE CHAIR VANCE commented that she liked the idea of a port authority that would strengthen the state's capacity for resiliency. She said it would be an ongoing conversation.

[HB 255 was held over.]

[1:42:30 PM](#)

The committee took an at-ease from 1:42 p.m. to 1:44 p.m. [Following the at-ease, Vice Chair Vance passed the gavel back to Chair McCabe.]

HB 282-STATE LAND: DISPOSAL/SALE/LEASE/RESTRICT

[1:44:18 PM](#)

CHAIR MCCABE announced that the final order of business would be HOUSE BILL NO. 282, "An Act relating to access roads; relating to state land; relating to contracts for the sale of state land; relating to the authority of the Department of Education and Early Development to dispose of state land; relating to the authority of the Department of Transportation and Public Facilities to dispose of state land; relating to the authority

of the Department of Natural Resources over certain state land; relating to the state land disposal income fund; relating to the sale and lease of state land; relating to covenants and restrictions on agricultural land; and providing for an effective date."

[1:45:13 PM](#)

BRENT GOODRUM, Deputy Commissioner, Department of Natural Resources, began by relating that the state manages over 100 million acres of uplands and another 65 million acres of highlands all belonging to the people of Alaska. He said HB 282 would help to cut bureaucratic red tape, create flexibility and responsiveness from state departments, and open additional opportunities to put state lands to work for everyday Alaskans. The bill would also work to create flexible leasing requirements, support applicants' needs, and likely lower costs for lessees. He added that the legislation would also help facilitate commercial land sales by introducing a new statute for commercial land leasing and sales.

[1:48:51 PM](#)

RACHEL LONGACRE, Chief of Operations, Division of Mining, Land and Water, Department of Natural Resources, began a PowerPoint presentation [hard copy included in the committee packet] on slide 2, titled "Overview," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Authorize the Department of Education & Early Development (DEED) and the Department of Transportation & Public Facilities (DOT&PF) to directly dispose of surface land, rather than transferring land to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for conveyance
- Increase the cap on the Land Disposal Income Fund (LDIF)
- Update and improve provisions relating to DNR's land disposal procedures in AS 19.30, AS 38.04, AS 38.05
- Amends agricultural use restrictions
- Add a new statute relating to leases and sales of land for commercial development

[1:49:52 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE moved to slide 3, titled "Authority for Direct Disposal of State Land," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Proposed amendments allow the Department of Education and Early Development (amending AS 14.07.030) and Department of Transportation & Public Facilities (amending AS 35.20.070) to sell land directly to private parties
- Streamlining land disposals, reducing multi-agency involvement
- Expanding eligible recipients beyond federal, state agencies, and political subdivisions

[1:51:38 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE continued to slide 4, titled "Land Disposal Income Fund (LDIF)," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- The LDIF holds deposits from the state land disposal program
- Under current law, the portion of the fund in excess of \$5 million is to be deposited in the state general fund
- The bill raises state land disposal income fund cap from \$5 million to \$12 million
- Boosts spending authority for larger projects
- Addresses inflation since 2000; cap unchanged for 20 years
- Adjustment to funding cap, not appropriation
- Department can request limit increase in annual report

[1:52:47 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE moved to slide 5, titled "Agricultural Land Lease and Sale Procedures," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Amendment to AS 38.05.321 allows broader use of agricultural land and improvements
- Currently an agricultural landowner can only use the land for purposes that are incidental to and not inconsistent with agricultural land
- Proposed amendment would now allow an agricultural landowner to use land for purposes that are consistent with and do not interfere with the primary purpose

[1:53:23 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE moved to slide 6, titled "Access Road Construction," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Amends AS 19.30.080 to specify that access roads to surface disposals may be developed at a pioneer standard
- Clarifying language on right-of-way widths within municipal boundaries
- Align with municipal zoning requirements to the same extent as private developers

[1:53:48 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE continued on slide 7, titled "Land Sale Procedures," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Land sale disposal contracts
- Longer purchase terms from 20 years to 30 years
- Consistency in terms from "Foreclosure" to "Termination"
- Allows for paid in full purchase when existing infrastructure would increase liability of financing a land sale purchase contract

[1:54:11 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE proceeded to slide 8, titled "Survey Requirements for Lease," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Discretion of cadastral surveys for long-term leases
 - Survey could be required where infrastructure boundaries or access management is in the best interest of the state
 - Reduces the financial and administrative burden on industries
 - Industry is challenged by current requirements
- Ex: Renewable energy projects, grazing leases

[1:55:05 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE proceeded to slide 9, titled "Land for Commercial Development," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- Stimulate economic development
- Offers land for leasing, and sale, by requesting proposals
 - For state land identified or nominated as a Qualified Opportunity Zone
 - For state land nominated by the public
- Land nominated cannot exceed 640 acres
 - For any other state land the commissioner deems appropriate for commercial development
- Nominated land may need to be reclassified - Provide additional public notice beyond normal AS 38.05.945

[1:55:45 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE explained the sectional analysis of HB 282, on slides 10 through 13, which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

Section 1 - Amends AS 14.07.030(a) pertaining to the powers and duties of the Department of Education and Early Development (DEED), allowing them to dispose of land directly to a private party rather than just to federal agencies, state agencies, and political subdivisions. Under current practice, DEED transfers land to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for disposal of the parcel in compliance with DNR's procedures detailed in AS 38, the Alaska Land Act.

Section 2 - Amends AS 19.30.080 pertaining to construction standards and maintenance of state access roads. The amendments allow for access roads constructed under AS 19.30.060-19.30.100 (pertaining to providing access to state land that is programmed for surface disposal, and to provide access roads at the lowest possible cost) to be of low standard and not necessarily suitable for all weather used. The amendments would clarify that where an access road to state land intended for disposal is constructed within the boundaries of a municipality, the right-of-way width must conform to the municipality's zoning requirements to the same extent as private landowners.

Section 3 - Repeals and reenacts AS 35.20.070 (vacating and disposing of land; rights in land), relating to Department of Transportation & Public Facilities (DOT&PF) authority to vacate or dispose of land. Currently, AS 35.20.070 only permits DOT&PF to transfer such land to DNR for disposal. The reenacted section would allow DOT&PF to dispose of land to a third party directly, according to the terms, standards, and conditions established by the DOT&PF commissioner. Such land could still be transferred to DNR for disposal, if requested by DNR. This amendment will streamline the disposal process and reduce multi-agency efforts.

Section 4 - Amends AS 38.04.022 pertaining to the state land disposal income fund. Currently under subsection (a), the portion of the fund that exceeds \$5,000,000 must be deposited in the state general fund. This bill would raise that amount to

\$12,000,000. This would increase spending authority from the fund for higher development, multi-year projects. The increase would also offset inflation since the fund was established in 2000. The \$5 million cap has not been adjusted in nearly 25 years. This would be an adjustment to the funding cap, not an increase in appropriation. Under subsection (b), the department is granted discretion to request an increase of the deposit limit in its annual statutorily required report to the Legislature.

Section 5 - Amends AS 38.04.045(b) to remove long-term leases under AS 38.05.070 from the requirement to accomplish an official cadastral survey. Currently, AS 38.04.045, the statute relating to survey and subdivision, requires that a survey be completed for a long-term lease, which is defined in statute as a term over ten years.

Section 6 - Adds a new subsection (c) to AS 38.05.045 to provide that the DNR commissioner may require an official cadastral survey under .045(b) before the issuance of a long-term lease under AS 38.05.070.

Section 7 - Repeals and reenacts AS 38.05.055, which establishes public auction or sealed bid as the default methods for sale of state land. The re-enacted version divides this section into four subsections to improve readability. Each sealed bidder is required to submit an earnest money deposit of five percent of the bid amount, and bidders who fail to enter into a contract to purchase, or default in the payment of the bid amount, will forfeit the deposit.

Section 8 - Amends AS 38.05.065(a) to a longer term of 30 years. This longer term allows for lower monthly payments to better assist in higher value purchases.

Sections 9 - 12 - Amend AS 38.05.065(c), (d), (e), and (f) to replace the word "foreclosure" with "termination". Foreclosures are an instrument of financial institutions rather than state agencies.

Section 13 - 14 - Amend AS 38.05.065(h) for consistency pertaining to contracts for state land disposals. New subsections (j) and (k) require an earnest money deposit by persons applying to purchase

land by means other than public auction or sealed bid. The earnest money requirement in cases of auction or bid is addressed in AS 38.05.055, as amended by the bill. The new subsections would apply a similar earnest money requirement to applicants for other methods of purchasing land under AS 38.05. The commissioner may, upon a best interest finding, determine that the purchase price for a parcel of land with existing improvements valued at greater than \$10,000 must be paid in full within 120 days of closing.

Section 15 - Amends AS 38.05 by adding a new section AS 38.05.086 pertaining to leases and sales of land for commercial development. The new statute supports commercial or industrial development of state land nominated and assessed by the state as appropriate for commercial development. Land could also be nominated by the public. The statute includes a process for requesting proposals from prospective lessees, accepting bids, and then entering a contract to lease. This statute allows the lessee to apply to purchase the leased land at any time during the term of the lease if the department determines the lessee has used the land for commercial development consistent with their proposal. The new section also requires notice to Native Corporation stakeholders.

Section 16 - Amends AS 38.05.321(d) to allow uses on conveyed agricultural land that are not inconsistent with and do not limit its use for agricultural purposes. Currently, AS 38.05.321(d) prohibits the commissioner of DNR from limiting use of such land for purposes incidental to and not inconsistent with agricultural purposes. This section would eliminate the requirement that uses be incidental to agricultural purposes.

Section 17 - Amends AS 38.05.965 to add the definition of "public auction" to expressly include online auctions in addition to oral outcry auctions.

Section 18 - Repeals AS 38.05.065(b), regarding the contract of sale for land sold under AS 38.05.057 and the terms of installment payments. This is a conforming amendment to account for the changes made under section 13 of the bill.

Section 19 - Provides an immediate effective date under AS 01.10.090(c).

[1:59:41 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE continued going through the sectional analysis on Section 7, reiterating its contents.

[2:04:07 PM](#)

MS. LONGACRE concluded on slide 14, titled "Fiscal Note," which read as follows [original punctuation provided]:

- FY 2025 \$655.4 (5 positions)
- Two Permanent Full-Time Natural Resource Specialists
3
- Two Permanent Full-Time Natural Resource Specialist
2
- One Permanent Full-Time Appraiser 1
- These positions will support timely adjudication of the expanded Land Sales with Commercial Development program applications
- FY 2026-2030 \$630.4 annually

[2:04:58 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES referred to Section 7 and asked for understanding if one wanted to be a bidder, it requires 5 percent of the value that is nonrefundable whether or not the bid is won.

MS. LONGACRE clarified that a 5 percent deposit is required for a successful bidder, and if they do not complete their bid and move to purchase, they will default the 5 percent.

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES asked if she was an unsuccessful bidder whether she would get her 5 percent back.

MS. LONGACRE confirmed she would.

[2:05:59 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE SUMNER brought up installment payments for purchase and asked about the termination of contracts. He also touched on foreclosures.

MS. LONGACRE replied that land sale purchase contracts do not transfer equity in the purchase until the final payment. She said the language change from "foreclosure" to "termination" is to support that that is a purchase agreement and not a mortgage.

[2:07:27 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE VANCE referred to Section 13 and asked whether it is new language regarding the agriculture uses and installment payments not exceeding 9.5 percent.

MS. LONGACRE replied yes, it is current language not amended through this bill.

REPRESENTATIVE VANCE pointed out Section 14, subsection (k) and asked whether it was realistic content.

MS. LONGACRE replied that recently, land purchased through the state may be returned for one reason or another. There is a liability associated that the state is assuming, and if the next purchaser damages or creates higher liability, then the state is still "on the hook" for that.

REPRESENTATIVE VANCE spoke to Section 15 referencing leases and sales of land for commercial development. She asked whether commercial development is defined in this area of statute.

MS. LONGACRE replied there is no definition in the bill or the specific section and that she would follow up about a Title 38 definition of commercial activity.

[2:10:29 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE STUTES questioned, with all the new regulations, whether a sale of state property would go through the appropriate public process.

MS. LONGACRE said the bill does not amend any current existing processes.

[2:11:29 PM](#)

REPRESENTATIVE MINA sought additional background and whether other projects have faced barriers because of the current statute.

MS. LONGACRE acknowledged that similar bills had been introduced and had additions made which resulted in hurdles.

REPRESENTATIVE MINA sought to know what land DOT&PF owns and what land owned by the Department of Education and Early Development (DEED) would be impacted.

MS. LONGACRE replied she would follow up with the committee on the inquiry.

[2:13:41 PM](#)

CHAIR MCCABE thanked the presenters and discussed future business.

[HB 282 was held over.]

[2:14:25 PM](#)

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the committee, the House Transportation Standing Committee meeting was adjourned at 2:14 p.m.