

HOUSE JOURNAL
ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE
THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE
SECOND SESSION

Juneau, Alaska

Wednesday

March 20, 2024

Sixty-fifth Day

Pursuant to adjournment the House was called to order by Speaker Tilton at 11:14 a.m.

Roll call showed 40 members present.

The invocation was offered by the Chaplain, Rabbi Mendy Greenberg of Mat-Su Jewish Center Chabad Lubavitch. Representative Vance moved and asked unanimous consent that the invocation be spread on the journal. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Almighty G-d, Master of the Universe, I stand before you, in prayer.

Jewish people all around the world, are preparing to celebrate the joyous festival of Purim this Saturday night and Sunday, commemorating the divinely orchestrated salvation of the Jewish people in the ancient Persian empire from Haman's plot to annihilate the Jews of his time. His plans were foiled thanks to the heroic leadership of Queen Esther and Mordechai.

Today as so many in the world are reeling from pain and suffering, and my brothers and sisters in the Holy Land are in the midst of a terrible war in the aftermath of the worst attack on Jews since the Holocaust, I turn to you in the words of King David, Psalm 123:

בז" שבענו רב כי חננו ה' חננו. בשמים היושבי עיני את נשאתי אליך המעלות "שיר

A song of ascents, to you have I lifted my eyes, you who are enthroned in heaven. Be gracious to us, Lord, be gracious to us, for we have been surfeited with humiliation.

May you, Almighty G-d, grant the members of the Alaska State House of Representatives wisdom and understanding that the ultimate way to bring true healing to our world is by embodying the universal values of the Seven Commandments issued to Noah after the great flood, as transmitted by G-d through Moses on Mount Sinai, the foremost of which is not to commit murder.

Almighty G-d, I beseech you to bless this on this group of individuals assembled here today to fulfil one of your seven commandments to govern by just laws.

And in the merit of the global spiritual giant and leader, your servant, the Rebbe, Rabbi Menachem M. Schneerson, whose 122nd birthday will be celebrated on the 11th day of Nissan, Friday, April 19th.

In 1978, the US Congress and President established the Rebbe's birthday as Education and Sharing Day in recognition of the Rebbe's relentless campaign to bring the awareness of the importance to educate our youth about these ethical values of the Seven Noahide Laws as the basis for a just and compassionate society.

Like Queen Esther and Mordechai in their times, the Rebbe's timeless leadership and teachings brings healing and redemption to the world.

Almighty G-d, may it be in the merit of realizing the Rebbe's vision for humanity that we speedily see the fulfillment of Isaiah's promise, *nation shall not lift the sword against nation, neither shall they learn war anymore.* With the coming of Moshiach speedily in our days, Amen!

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Representative Carpenter.

CERTIFICATION OF THE JOURNAL

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the journal for the 63rd and 64th legislative days be approved as certified by the Chief Clerk. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Hannan introduced Guest Pages Sevyn Jeffries from Juneau and Havobegim Qurbonmamadova from Tajikistan.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

A message dated March 18 was read stating the Senate passed the following, and they are transmitted for consideration:

FIRST READING AND REFERENCE OF SENATE BILLS

SB 127

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 127(FIN) by the Senate Finance Committee, entitled:

"An Act relating to vehicle rental taxes; relating to the issuance of subpoenas related to tax records; and providing for an effective date."

was read the first time and referred to the Transportation and Finance Committees.

SB 161

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 161(CRA) am by the Senate Community and Regional Affairs Committee, entitled:

"An Act relating to municipal taxation of farm land and farm structures; and providing for an effective date."

was read the first time and referred to the Community & Regional Affairs Committee.

COMMUNICATIONS

The following was received:

Dept. of Fish & Game
Division of Commercial Fisheries
Alaska Salmon Fisheries Enhancement
Annual Report 2023
(as required by AS 16.05.092)

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

The Education Committee reviewed the qualifications of the following and recommends the appointments be forwarded to a joint session for consideration:

Pamela Dupras
Barbara Tyndall

as members of the Board of Education and Early Development.

The report was signed by Representatives Allard and Ruffridge, Co-chairs; and Representatives Himschoot, Prax, McCormick, McKay, and Story.

The Education Committee reviewed the qualifications of the following and recommends the appointment be forwarded to a joint session for consideration:

Seth Church

as a member of the University of Alaska Board of Regents.

The report was signed by Representatives Ruffridge and Allard, Co-chairs; and Representatives Story, McKay, McCormick, Prax, and Himschoot.

The Health & Social Services Committee reviewed the qualifications of the following and recommends the appointment be forwarded to a joint session for consideration:

David Wilson

as a member of the State Medical Board.

The report was signed by Representative Prax, Chair; and Representatives Fields, Ruffridge, Saddler, and Mina.

HB 55

The Education Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 55

"An Act relating to allocations of funding for the Alaska Workforce Investment Board; and providing for an effective date."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 55(EDC)

"An Act relating to the technical and vocational education program; and providing for an effective date."

The report was signed by Representatives Ruffridge and Allard, Co-chairs, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (2): McCormick, Allard

No recommendation (2): McKay, Prax

Amend (3): Himschoot, Story, Ruffridge

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 55(EDC):

1. Fiscal, Dept. of Education & Early Development
2. Fiscal, Dept. of Labor & Workforce Development
3. Fiscal, Dept. of Labor & Workforce Development
4. Fiscal, Dept. of Labor & Workforce Development

HB 55 was referred to the Labor & Commerce Committee.

HB 147

The Education Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 147

"An Act relating to teacher certificates for retired teachers."

The report was signed by Representatives Allard and Ruffridge, Co-chairs, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (6): Himschoot, Prax, Story, McKay, McCormick, Ruffridge

No recommendation (1): Allard

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

1. Fiscal, Dept. of Education & Early Development

HB 147 was referred to the Rules Committee.

HB 254

The Judiciary Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 254

"An Act relating to liability for publishing or distributing pornography to minors on the Internet."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 254(JUD)
(same title)

The report was signed by Representative Vance, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (4): C. Johnson, Carpenter, Allard, Vance

Do not pass (1): Gray

No recommendation (1): Groh

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 254(JUD):

1. Zero, Office of the Governor/Combined

HB 254 was referred to the Rules Committee.

HB 274

The Education Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 274

"An Act relating to screening reading deficiencies and providing reading intervention services to public school students enrolled in grades kindergarten through three."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 274(EDC)

"An Act relating to screening reading deficiencies and providing reading intervention services to public school students enrolled in grades kindergarten through three; and relating to funding for reading improvement plans."

The report was signed by Representatives Ruffridge and Allard, Co-chairs, with the following individual recommendations:

Do not pass (1): McCormick

No recommendation (5): Himschoot, Prax, McKay, Ruffridge, Allard

Amend (1): Story

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 274(EDC):

1. Fiscal, Dept. of Education & Early Development

HB 274 was referred to the Finance Committee.

HB 278

The Judiciary Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 278

"An Act establishing the administrative regulation review division."

The report was signed by Representative Vance, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (3): Carpenter, Allard, Vance

No recommendation (3): C. Johnson, Gray, Groh

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

1. Zero, Office of the Governor/Combined

HB 278 was referred to the State Affairs Committee.

HB 347

The Community & Regional Affairs Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 347

"An Act relating to assessment of property, boards of equalization, and certification of assessors; and providing for an effective date."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 347(CRA)
(same title)

The report was signed by Representative McCormick, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (4): McCabe, Baker, McKay, McCormick

No recommendation (2): Mears, Himschoot

Amend (1): Ruffridge

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 347(CRA):

1. Zero, Dept. of Commerce, Community, & Economic Development

HB 347 was referred to the Rules Committee.

SB 99

The Education Committee considered:

CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 99(EDC)

"An Act establishing a financial literacy education program for public schools; and providing for an effective date."

and recommends it be replaced with:

HOUSE CS FOR CS FOR SENATE BILL NO. 99(EDC)
(same title)

The report was signed by Representatives Allard and Ruffridge, Co-chairs, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (2): Himschoot, Story

Do not pass (1): Prax

No recommendation (4): McKay, McCormick, Allard, Ruffridge

The following fiscal note(s) apply to HCS CSSB 99(EDC):

2. Fiscal, Dept. of Education & Early Development

CSSB 99(EDC) was referred to the Finance Committee.

REPORTS OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES

SJR 14

The House Special Committee on Fisheries considered:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 14

Calling on the United States Congress and all federal agencies to adopt policies and engage in certain efforts to improve the competitiveness and resiliency of the state's seafood industry.

and recommends it be replaced with:

HOUSE CS FOR SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 14(FSH)

Affirming the commitment of the Alaska State Legislature to supporting small fishing-related businesses, families engaged in fishing, and the preservation of the state's cultural way of life; and calling on the United States Congress and all federal agencies to adopt policies and engage in certain efforts to improve the competitiveness and resiliency of the state's seafood industry.

(pending title change resolution)

The report was signed by Representative Vance, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (5): C. Johnson, Himschoot, McCormick, McCabe, Vance

Amend (2): Carpenter, Stutes

The following fiscal note(s) apply to HCS SJR 14(FSH):

1. Zero, Senate Finance Committee

SJR 14 was referred to the Rules Committee.

INTRODUCTION OF CITATIONS

The following citation was introduced and taken up as a Special Order of Business:

Honoring - Education and Sharing Day 2024
By Senators Hughes, Kiehl, Tobin

The following citations were introduced and referred to the Rules Committee for placement on the calendar:

Honoring - Joshua Gill
By Representative McCormick; Senator Hoffman

Honoring - Mother Mabel Gibbons
By Representative Wright

Honoring - 60th Anniversary of the M/V Tustumena
By Representatives Stutes, Edgmon; Senators Stevens, Dunbar

Honoring - Trend Alaska
By Representatives C. Johnson, Cronk

Honoring - Leo Bundridge
By Senator Dunbar; Representatives Gray, Groh

Honoring - Errol Champion
By Senator Kiehl; Representatives Hannan, Story

In Memoriam - Charles "Chuck" Homan
By Representatives Wright, Coulombe; Senator Wielechowski

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the notice and publication requirements be waived and the citation, Honoring - Education and Sharing Day 2024, be taken up as a Special Order of Business. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the House approve the citation. There being no objection, the following citation was approved and sent to enrolling:

Honoring - Education and Sharing Day 2024
By Senators Hughes, Kiehl, Tobin

**INTRODUCTION, FIRST READING, AND REFERENCE
OF HOUSE BILLS****HB 394**

HOUSE BILL NO. 394 by the House Resources Committee, entitled:

"An Act relating to the Regulatory Commission of Alaska and regulation of the service of natural gas storage and liquefied natural gas import facilities; relating to records of the Regulatory Commission of Alaska; relating to rates established by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska; and providing for an effective date."

was read the first time and referred to the Resources and Labor & Commerce Committees.

CONSIDERATION OF THE DAILY CALENDAR**SECOND READING OF HOUSE BILLS****HB 17**

The following, which was returned to the Rules Committee (page 1285), was read the second time:

HOUSE BILL NO. 17

"An Act relating to insurance coverage for contraceptives and

related services; relating to medical assistance coverage for contraceptives and related services; and providing for an effective date."

with the:	Journal Page
HSS RPT CS(HSS) 3DP 2NR	340
FN1: ZERO(ADM)	340
FN2: ZERO(CED)	340
FN3: ZERO(DOH)	340
CRA RPT CS(HSS) 5DP 1NR	443
FN2: ZERO(CED)	443
FN4: ZERO(ADM)	443
FN5: ZERO(DOH)	443
L&C RPT CS(HSS) 2DP 3NR	537
FN1: ZERO(ADM)	537
FN2: ZERO(CED)	537
FN3: ZERO(DOH)	537
FN4: ZERO(ADM)	537
FN5: ZERO(DOH)	537

The Rules Committee submitted the following updated fiscal notes:

6. Zero, Dept. of Administration
7. Zero, Dept. of Commerce, Community, & Economic Development
8. Zero, Dept. of Health

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the following committee substitute be adopted in lieu of the original bill:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 17(HSS)
(same title)

Representative Eastman objected and withdrew the objection. There being no further objection, CSHB 17(HSS) was adopted.

Amendment No. 1 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 18, following "pregnancy":

Insert "; "prescription contraceptive" does not include an abortion-

inducing drug or a drug or device that has a mechanism of action that destroys the life of an early-developing human embryo"

Page 7, line 8, following "pregnancy":

Insert "; "prescription contraceptive" does not include an abortion-inducing drug or a drug or device that has a mechanism of action that destroys the life of an early-developing human embryo"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS)

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1

YEAS: 18 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, D.Johnson, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes

And so, Amendment No. 1 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 1, following "**Act**" (title amendment):

Insert "**relating to Board of Pharmacy disciplinary sanctions;**"

Page 1, following line 4:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Section 1.** AS 08.80.261 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(c) The board may not impose disciplinary sanctions on a

licensee for refusing to fill a prescription contraceptive on moral or religious grounds. In this subsection, "prescription contraceptive" has the meaning given in AS 21.42.427."

Page 1, line 5:

Delete "**Section 1**"

Insert "**Sec. 2**"

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 7, line 14:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 17:

Delete "Section 6"

Insert "Section 7"

Page 7, line 21:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 23:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 29:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 30:

Delete "sec. 8(b)"

Insert "sec. 9(b)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS)
Second Reading
Amendment No. 2

YEAS: 13 NAYS: 27 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, D.Johnson, McCabe, Rauscher, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 2 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 3, 4, 5, and 6 were not offered.

Amendment No. 7 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 17:

Delete the first occurrence of "and"

Insert ", "

Page 3, line 18:

Delete "pregnancy"

Insert "conception, and does not include a component that is intended to harm a developing embryo"

Page 7, line 7:

Delete the first occurrence of "and"

Insert ", "

Page 7, line 8:

Delete "pregnancy"

Insert "conception, and does not include a component that is intended to harm a developing embryo"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 7 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 7 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS)
Second Reading
Amendment No. 7

YEAS: 18 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 7 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 8 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, following line 5:

Insert new subsections to read:

"(b) A health care insurer shall provide the ability to opt out of the coverage required under (a) of this subsection to

(1) a primary insured for all persons covered under a health care insurance plan;

(2) an employer that provides a health care insurance plan in the group market; and

(3) an insured.

(c) A health care insurer may provide a benefit to an insured or employer that opts out of the coverage required under (a) of this subsection."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 2, line 9:

Delete "(d)"

Insert "(f)"

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1877

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 8 be adopted.

Representative Stapp objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 8 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS)
Second Reading
Amendment No. 8

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 8 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 9 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 5, following "dispensing":

Insert ";

(3) provide an insured the ability to opt out of the coverage required under (1) of this subsection; the health care insurer may provide a benefit to an insured that opts out of the coverage required under (1) of this subsection"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 9 be adopted.

Representative Stapp objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 9 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS)
Second Reading
Amendment No. 9

YEAS: 5 NAYS: 35 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Eastman, Rauscher, Tomaszewski

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 9 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 10 and 11 were not offered.

Amendment No. 12 was offered by Representative Vance:

Page 3, following line 18:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Sec. 2.** AS 21.96 is amended by adding a new section to read:

Sec. 21.96.205. Coverage that burdens person's religious practices. (a) When enforcing state law concerning a person's health care or health care insurance coverage in a manner that burdens a person's religious practices, the state must demonstrate that enforcement is

(1) in furtherance of a compelling government interest;
and

(2) the least restrictive means of furthering that interest.

(b) In this section, "person" includes a business entity, organization, and natural person."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Representative Vance moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 12 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 12 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

March 20, 2024

1879

CSHB 17(HSS)
Second Reading
Amendment No. 12

YEAS: 22 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Ortiz changed from "NAY" to "YEA"

And so, Amendment No. 12 was adopted.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent to set an amendment deadline of 12:45 p.m., today for CSHB 17(HSS) am. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 13 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, following line 4:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* Section 1. The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to read:

LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS. The legislature finds that

(1) regardless of the type of hormonal contraceptive, there is a significant increase in the risk of breast cancer associated with hormonal contraceptive use; the risk of breast cancer increases by

(A) 23 percent if the contraceptive last prescribed was an oral preparation containing estrogen and progestogen combined;

(B) 26 percent if the contraceptive last prescribed was an oral preparation containing only progestogen;

(C) 25 percent if the contraceptive last prescribed was an injected progestogen; and

(D) 32 percent if the contraceptive last prescribed was a progestogen-releasing intrauterine device;

(2) while the increased risk of breast cancer associated with oral contraceptive use declines after discontinuing use, the risk of breast cancer increases by

(A) 33 percent if the contraceptive was last prescribed within the year;

(B) 17 percent if the contraceptive was last prescribed between one to four years ago; and

(C) 15 percent if the contraceptive was last prescribed five or more years ago;

(3) when the findings for progestogen-only contraceptives were combined with the findings of previously published studies, there was an increased risk of breast cancer in current and recent users of four types of progestogen-only preparations; the risk of breast cancer was shown to increase by

(A) 29 percent for oral preparations containing progestogen;

(B) 18 percent for injected preparations containing progestogen;

(C) 28 percent for implanted preparations containing progestogen; and

(D) 21 percent for progestogen-releasing intrauterine devices;

(4) it is estimated that eight out of every 100,000 women between 16 and 20 years of age who have used oral contraceptives for a period of five years will develop breast cancer, and that figure increases to 265 out of every 100,000 women for women between 35 and 39 years of age."

Page 1, line 5:

Delete "**Section 1**"

Insert "**Sec. 2**"

ReNUMBER the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 7, line 14:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 17:

Delete "Section 6"

Insert "Section 7"

March 20, 2024

1881

Page 7, line 21:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 23:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 29:

Delete "sec. 6"

Insert "sec. 7"

Page 7, line 30:

Delete "sec. 8(b)"

Insert "sec. 9(b)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 13 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 13 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 13

YEAS: 5 NAYS: 35 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Rauscher, Tilton, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 13 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 14 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, following line 8:

Insert "(1) offer a plan option that"

Page 1, line 9:

Delete "(1) provide"

Insert "(A) provides"

Page 1, line 10:

Delete "(A)"

Insert "(i)"

Page 1, line 11:

Delete "(B)"

Insert "(ii)"

Page 1, line 14:

Delete "paragraph"

Insert "subparagraph"

Page 2, line 1:

Delete "(2) reimburse"

Insert "(B) reimburses"

Page 2, line 5, following "dispensing":

Insert ";

(2) offer a plan option that does not include the coverage provided under (1) of this subsection."

Page 2, line 6:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Page 2, line 10:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Page 2, line 11:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

March 20, 2024

1883

Page 2, line 13:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Page 2, line 17:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Page 2, line 21:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Page 2, line 31:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Page 3, line 1:

Delete "a health care insurance plan"

Insert "the plan option"

Page 3, line 10:

Delete "(a)"

Insert "(a)(1)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 14 be adopted.

Representative Stapp objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 14 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 14

YEAS: 6 NAYS: 34 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Eastman, Rauscher, Tilton, Tomaszewski

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 14 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 15 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 2, following "dependents.":

Insert "However, the insurer shall make coverage of prescription contraceptives contingent on notification of the primary insured before a minor or dependent is administered or dispensed prescription contraceptives."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 15 be adopted.

There was objection.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the House would recess to 4:30 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 12:49 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker called the House back to order at 4:41 p.m.

SECOND READING OF HOUSE BILLS

HB 17

The following was before the House in second reading with Amendment No. 15 moved and pending:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 17(HSS) am

"An Act relating to insurance coverage for contraceptives and related services; relating to medical assistance coverage for contraceptives and related services; and providing for an effective date."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 15. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 16 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, lines 3 - 15:

Delete all material and insert:

"(h) A health care insurer that offers, issues for delivery, delivers, or renews in the state a health care insurance plan in the group market to a religious employer is exempt from the requirements of this section with respect to the health care insurance plan of the religious employer if the religious employer opposes the coverage required under this section and is

(1) an organization that meets the criteria set out in 26 U.S.C. 6033(a)(3)(A)(i) or (iii) (Internal Revenue Code of 1986), as amended; or

(2) a member of an organization that meets the criteria of (1) of this subsection."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 16 be adopted.

Representative Stapp objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 16 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 16

YEAS: 6 NAYS: 34 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Eastman, Rauscher, Tilton, Tomaszewski

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 16 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 17 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 2, following "dependents.":

Insert "However, the insurer shall make coverage of prescription

contraceptives contingent on notification of the primary insured before a minor is initially administered or dispensed prescription contraceptives."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 17 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 17 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 17

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 28 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, D.Johnson, Rauscher, Saddler, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes

And so, Amendment No. 17 was not adopted.

Representative Vance moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 1 (page 1872).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No 1?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 17(HSS) am
Second Reading
Rescind Previous Action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 1

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1887

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes

And so, the motion failed.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 17(HSS) am be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

CSHB 17(HSS) am will advance to third reading on tomorrow's calendar.

HB 97

The following was read the second time:

HOUSE BILL NO. 97

"An Act relating to self-storage facilities for personal property, including vehicles and watercraft; distinguishing self-storage facility liens from another type of storage lien; and excluding self-storage liens from the treatment of certain unclaimed property."

with the:

Journal Page

L&C RPT CS(L&C) 4DP 2AM	961
FN1: ZERO(GOV/COMBINED)	961
JUD RPT CS(JUD) 4DP 2NR	1509
FN2: ZERO(GOV/COMBINED)	1509

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the following committee substitute be adopted in lieu of the original bill:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 97(JUD)
(same title)

Representative Eastman objected and withdrew the objection.

HOUSE JOURNAL

1888

March 20, 2024

Representative Sumner objected and withdrew the objection. There being no further objection, CSHB 97(JUD) was adopted.

Amendment No. 1 was offered by Representative Josephson:

Page 2, line 30, following "facility":

Insert "to another place for safe storage"

Representative Josephson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD)

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1

YEAS: 33 NAYS: 7 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Tomaszewski

Nays: Baker, Cronk, Prax, Stapp, Sumner, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 1 was adopted.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 13:

Delete "A"

Insert "Except as provided in (c) of this section, a"

Page 2, following line 7:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(c) A storage lien may not attach to property that has a lien, security lien, or security interest perfected under AS 45.29, or a

lien or encumbrance established under AS 28.10.371 - 28.10.401, unless the holder of the lien, security lien, security interest, or encumbrance agrees to the storage lien."

Page 2, line 19, following "lienholders":

Insert "and other persons"

Page 2, line 22:

Delete "10"

Insert "30"

Page 5, lines 4 - 7:

Delete all material and insert:

"Sec. 34.35.660. Limit on value of property stored. A rental agreement must require the unit renter to declare the value of the unit renter's unit property that will be stored in the storage unit. If the declared value of the unit renter's property is higher than a limit specified in the rental agreement, the facility owner may not store the unit renter's property."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 2

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Hannan, Josephson

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 2 was not adopted.

Representative Stapp moved and asked unanimous consent to set an amendment deadline of 5:35 p.m., today for CSHB 97(JUD) am. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 3 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 12:

Delete ", except a tax lien"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 3 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 3 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 3

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Sumner, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 3 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 4 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 8, following "address.":

Insert "A facility owner must also attempt to notify the unit renter using each telephone number, address, electronic mail address, and other contact method provided by the unit renter."

Page 3, line 10, following "shall":

Insert "attempt to notify the unit renter using each telephone number, address, electronic mail address, and other contact method provided by the unit renter and shall"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 4 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 4 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 4

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Tomaszewski

Nays: Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Mears, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 4 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 5 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, following line 7:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(c) Notwithstanding (a) of this section, a facility owner does not have a storage lien on personal property if, at the time the personal property was placed in a storage unit, the facility owner or the owner's employee believed that the property was stolen."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 5 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

HOUSE JOURNAL

1892

March 20, 2024

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 5 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 5

YEAS: 2 NAYS: 38 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 5 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 6 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 7:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 1, line 10:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 2, line 18:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 4, line 20:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 4, line 23:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 5, line 9:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 5, lines 10 - 11:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 5, line 11:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 5, following line 12:

Insert a new section to read:

"Sec. 34.35.670. Applicability. AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670 apply only if, at the time the facility owner and unit renter enter into the rental agreement, the facility owner offers the unit renter an option to make electronic payments under the rental agreement."

Page 5, line 13:

Delete "**Sec. 34.35.670**"

Insert "**Sec. 34.35.675**"

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 6, line 18:

Delete "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.675"

Page 6, line 23:

Delete "AS 34.35.670"

Insert "AS 34.35.675"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 6 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 6 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 6

YEAS: 14 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 6 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 7 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, lines 19 - 22:

Delete all material and insert:

"(2) requiring the unit renter to

(A) disclose any lienholders with an interest in property that will be stored in the storage unit;

(B) disclose whether the unit owner is subject to an order to provide service in the uniformed services and if the unit owner is subject to an order to provide service in the uniformed services, provide to the facility owner the name, address, and telephone number of a person the facility owner may contact if the facility owner is unable to contact the unit owner.

Sec. 34.35.615. Enforcement. (a) Except as provided in (b) of this section, a facility owner may enforce a storage lien after a unit renter has been continuously in default for at least 10 days.

(b) A facility owner may enforce a storage lien after a unit renter who is subject to an order to provide service in the uniformed services has been continuously in default for at least 45 days. In addition to the notices required by AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670, a facility owner shall provide to the contact person identified by the unit renter under AS 34.35.610(b)(2)(C) notice that the facility owner is required to provide to the unit renter under AS 34.35.600 - 34.35.670."

Page 6, following line 3:

Insert a new paragraph to read:

"(7) "service in the uniformed services" has the meaning given in 38 U.S.C. 4303."

Renumber the following paragraphs accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 7 be adopted.

Representative Allard objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 7 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 7

YEAS: 5 NAYS: 35 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Hannan, Josephson, Rauscher, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 7 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 8 was offered by Representative Rauscher:

Page 2, line 2, following "law":

Insert "not to exceed an amount equal to 60 days of accrued charges permitted under the rental agreement, including rent, labor, and other fees"

Page 3, line 9, following "days":

Insert ", but not more than 20 days,"

Representative Rauscher moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 8 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 8 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 8

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Vance

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Foster, Gray, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Mears, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 8 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 9 was offered by Representative Galvin:

Page 3, following line 27:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(c) When a facility owner delivers or sends notice to the unit renter under (b) of this section, the facility owner shall send a copy of the notice to a lienholder disclosed by the unit renter under AS 34.35.610(b) or otherwise known to the facility owner. The notice to a lienholder must provide the lienholder an opportunity to pay the charges due and take possession of the property."

Representative Galvin moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 9 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 9 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 9

YEAS: 18 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 9 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 10 was not offered.

Amendment No. 11 was offered by Representative Galvin:

Page 3, line 13, following "address":

Insert "and to each other lienholder identified in the rental agreement"

Page 3, line 20:

Delete the first occurrence of "a"

Insert "an itemized"

Following "due,":

Insert "including the rent, fees, and any other charges,"

Page 3, line 25:

Delete "and"

Page 3, line 27, following "notice":

Insert "; and

(6) a blank declaration of opposition to the lien sale"

Representative Galvin moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 11 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 11 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 11

HOUSE JOURNAL

1898

March 20, 2024

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 11 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 12 was not offered.

Amendment No. 13 was offered by Representative Galvin:

Page 3, lines 6 - 8:

Delete "by electronic mail and certified mail sent to the most recent address provided by the unit renter in a rental agreement or subsequent written notice of change of address"

Insert "by electronic mail sent to the most recent electronic mail address and by certified mail sent to the most recent mailing address for the unit renter provided by the unit renter in a rental agreement or subsequent written notice of change of address, and to the most recent electronic mail address and mailing address available for each lienholder other than the facility owner"

Page 3, line 21:

Delete "20"

Insert "60"

Representative Galvin moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 13 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 13 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 13

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 13 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 14 and 15 were not offered.

Amendment No. 16 was offered by Representative Galvin:

Page 4, line 19, following "property":
Insert "other than a vehicle"

Page 4, line 24, following "**title.**":
Insert "(a)"

Page 4, line 27, following "transfer.":

Insert "A title transferred to a purchaser under this section is subject to all outstanding liens on the vehicle.

(b) The Department of Administration may not transfer a vehicle title to a purchaser under (a) of this section until the department provides reasonable notice of the transfer to a person holding a lien on, or other security interest in, the vehicle."

Representative Galvin moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 16 be adopted.

Representative Prax objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 16 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 97(JUD) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 16

HOUSE JOURNAL

1900

March 20, 2024

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Stapp, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 16 was not adopted.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 97(JUD) am be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

CSHB 97(JUD) am will advance to third reading on tomorrow's calendar.

HB 146

The following was read the second time:

HOUSE BILL NO. 146

"An Act relating to fireworks; repealing restrictions on the sale of fireworks; directing the Department of Public Safety to adopt fireworks regulations; and providing for an effective date."

with the:	Journal Page
STA RPT CS(STA) 5DP 2NR	895
FN1: ZERO(DPS)	895
L&C RPT CS(STA) 7DP	1357
FN2: ZERO(DPS)	1357

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the following committee substitute be adopted in lieu of the original bill:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 146(STA)
(same title)

Representative Eastman objected and withdrew the objection. There being no further objection, CSHB 146(STA) was adopted.

The Speaker stated that the House would recess to a Call of the Chair. And so, the House recessed at 6:59 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker called the House back to order at 8:07 p.m.

SECOND READING OF HOUSE BILLS

HB 146

The following was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 146(STA)

"An Act relating to fireworks; repealing restrictions on the sale of fireworks; directing the Department of Public Safety to adopt fireworks regulations; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 1 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 12:

Delete "**Criminal penalty**"

Insert "Penalty [CRIMINAL PENALTY]"

Page 2, line 14:

Delete "class B misdemeanor"

Insert "violation [CLASS B MISDEMEANOR]"

Page 2, following line 26:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Sec. 6.** The uncoded law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to read:

APPLICABILITY. AS 18.72.040, as amended by sec. 3 of this Act, applies to offenses committed on or after the effective date of sec. 3 of this Act."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 3, line 2:

Delete "Section 6"

Insert "Section 7"

HOUSE JOURNAL

1902

March 20, 2024

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 be adopted.

Representative Sumner objected.

Representative Wright moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 1.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 146(STA)

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1/Table

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Baker, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 1 was not tabled.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 146(STA)

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Hannan

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 1 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 15:

Delete "Each day of noncompliance constitutes a separate offense."

Insert "[EACH DAY OF NONCOMPLIANCE CONSTITUTES A SEPARATE OFFENSE.]"

Page 2, following line 26:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Sec. 6.** The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to read:

APPLICABILITY. AS 18.72.040, as amended by sec. 3 of this Act, applies to offenses committed on or after the effective date of sec. 3 of this Act."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 3, line 2:

Delete "Section 6"

Insert "Section 7"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

Representative McCabe objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 146(STA)
Second Reading
Amendment No. 2

YEAS: 1 NAYS: 39 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 2 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 3, 4, and 5 were not offered.

Amendment No. 6 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, lines 1 - 3 (title amendment):

Delete all material and insert:

""An Act relating to consumer fireworks.""

Page 1, line 9:

Delete "salable"

Insert "**consumer** [SALABLE]"

Page 1, line 10:

Delete "**regulation** [AS 18.72.100]"

Insert "AS 18.72.100"

Page 1, line 12, through page 3, line 2:

Delete all material and insert:

**** Sec. 2.** AS 18.72.020(a) is amended to read:

(a) A person holding a permit required by the fire safety code may sell or offer for sale **consumer** [SALABLE] fireworks if

(1) the person has submitted to the state fire marshal a policy, or a certified true copy of a policy, of public liability and products liability insurance, including both accident and occurrence coverage, provided by the wholesale company selling fireworks to the person, in the amount of at least \$200,000 for bodily injury or death and at least \$50,000 property damage and the person is named as an insured party upon the policy and the policy is continuously in force while the person is engaged in the retail sale of fireworks; and

(2) an endorsement fee of \$10 is paid to the state fire marshal for an endorsement that is valid for two years or portions of two years during which the permit holder is engaged in the retail sale of fireworks.

* **Sec. 3.** AS 18.72.100(1) is amended to read:

(1) "dangerous fireworks" includes all fireworks that are not defined as **consumer** [SALABLE] fireworks;

* **Sec. 4.** AS 18.72.100(3) is amended to read:

(3) "fireworks" means **consumer** [SALABLE] fireworks or dangerous fireworks;

* **Sec. 5.** AS 18.72.100 is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

(5) "consumer fireworks" means a fireworks device that is designed to produce effects by combustion, comply with labeling regulations adopted by the United States Consumer Product Safety Commission under 16 C.F.R. 1500 and 1507, comply with construction and chemical composition regulations adopted by the United States Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration under 49 C.F.R. 100 - 185, and classified as Division 1.4G explosives; "consumer fireworks" does not include 1.4G, UN0336 fireworks labeled "For Professional Use Only."

* **Sec. 6.** AS 18.72.100(4) is repealed."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 6 be adopted.

Representative McCabe objected.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 6. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 7 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 1 (title amendment):

Delete "**repealing**"

Insert "**relating to**"

Page 1, following line 11:

Insert a new bill section to read:

**** Sec. 2.** AS 11.81.900(b)(24), as amended by sec. 1 of this Act, is amended to read:

(24) "explosive" means a chemical compound, mixture, or device that is commonly used or intended for the purpose of producing a chemical reaction resulting in a substantially instantaneous release of gas and heat, including dynamite, blasting powder, nitroglycerin, blasting caps, and nitrojelly, but excluding salable fireworks as defined in **AS 18.72.100** [REGULATION], black powder, smokeless powder, small arms ammunition, and small arms ammunition primers;"

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 2, following line 10:

Insert new bill sections to read:

**** Sec. 4.** AS 18.72.010 is repealed and reenacted to read:

Sec. 18.72.010. Regulation of sale of dangerous fireworks.

(a) The sale or offer to sell dangerous fireworks at wholesale or retail for any purpose other than industrial, agricultural, wildlife control, or public display is prohibited.

(b) A person desiring to use dangerous fireworks for industrial, agricultural, wildlife control, or public display purposes shall first comply with the permit requirements of the fire safety code.

(c) All dangerous fireworks shall be purchased from a fireworks wholesaler licensed as such in this state. A fireworks wholesaler may not sell dangerous fireworks to anyone unless the wholesaler has a currently valid permit required by the fire safety code, the number of which shall be affixed to each record of sale by the fireworks wholesaler and maintained as a permanent record of the sale.

*** Sec. 5.** AS 18.72 is amended by adding new sections to read:

Sec. 18.72.021. Regulation of sale of salable fireworks. (a)

A person holding a permit required by the fire safety code may sell or offer for sale salable fireworks if

(1) the person has submitted to the state fire marshal a policy, or a certified true copy of a policy, of public liability and products liability insurance, including both accident and occurrence coverage, provided by the wholesale company selling

fireworks to the person, in the amount of at least \$200,000 for bodily injury or death and at least \$50,000 property damage and the person is named as an insured party upon the policy and the policy is continuously in force while the person is engaged in the retail sale of fireworks; and

(2) an endorsement fee of \$10 is paid to the state fire marshal for an endorsement that is valid for two years or portions of two years during which the permit holder is engaged in the retail sale of fireworks.

(b) Upon approval of the insurance required in (a) of this section, the permit of the holder shall be endorsed by the state fire marshal to indicate the holder's right to sell fireworks at retail, and shall indicate an expiration of the authority. The expiration date shall coincide with the expiration date of the permit holder's liability insurance.

Sec. 18.72.031. Fireworks wholesaler's license. (a) A person who desires to sell fireworks at wholesale in the state shall first make verified application for a license to the state fire marshal on forms provided by the state fire marshal. The forms must require the applicant to supply the applicant's social security number if the applicant is a natural person. The application shall be accompanied by an annual license fee of \$50.

(b) The license required under (a) of this section is valid until December 31 of the year during which it is issued and is renewable upon

(1) the payment of each subsequent annual license fee and affirmation that the information contained in the wholesaler's original application for a fireworks wholesaler's license is currently accurate; and

(2) supplying the wholesaler's social security number if it has not previously been supplied under (a) of this section and if the wholesaler is a natural person."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 2, following line 16:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Sec. 7.** AS 18.72.040, as amended by sec. 6 of this Act, is amended to read:

Sec. 18.72.040. Criminal penalty. A person who recklessly

fails to comply with a provision of this chapter or fireworks regulations adopted in the fire safety code [UNDER AS 18.72.010] is guilty of a class B misdemeanor. Each day of noncompliance constitutes a separate offense. In this section, "recklessly" has the meaning given in AS 11.81.900."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 2, following line 25:

Insert new bill sections to read:

"* **Sec. 9.** AS 18.72.060, as amended by sec. 8 of this Act, is amended to read:

Sec. 18.72.060. Municipal regulation of fireworks. This chapter and fireworks [FIREWORKS] regulations adopted under the fire safety code [AS 18.72.010] supersede the provisions of an ordinance adopted by a city or borough, whether before or after May 23, 1969, that are less restrictive than this chapter or the code [REGULATIONS]. However, nothing in this section affects the authority of a city or organized borough under other law to prohibit or regulate more restrictively than this chapter [REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER AS 18.72.010] the offering for sale, exposure for sale, sale, use, or explosion of fireworks.

* **Sec. 10.** AS 18.72 is amended by adding a new section to read:

Sec. 18.72.101. Definitions. In this chapter and fireworks regulations adopted in the state fire safety code,

(1) "dangerous fireworks" includes all fireworks that are not defined as salable fireworks;

(2) "fire safety code" means the fire safety code of the state adopted and administered by the division of fire prevention of the Department of Public Safety;

(3) "fireworks" means salable fireworks or dangerous fireworks;

(4) "salable fireworks" are 1.4 G fireworks, as defined by the National Fire Protection Association, and, more specifically, shall include and be limited to the following:

(A) roman candles, not exceeding 10 balls spaced uniformly in the tube, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 20 grams each in weight, any inside tube diameter not to exceed 3/8 inch;

(B) skyrockets with sticks, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 20 grams each in weight, and the inside tube diameter not to exceed 1/2 inch, with the rocket sticks being securely fastened to the tubes;

(C) helicopter type rockets, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 20 grams each in weight, and the inside tube diameter not to exceed 1/2 inch;

(D) cylindrical fountains, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 75 grams each in weight, and the inside tube diameter not to exceed 3/4 inch;

(E) cone fountains, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 50 grams each in weight;

(F) wheels, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 60 grams for each driver unit or 240 grams for each complete wheel, and the inside tube diameter of driver units not to exceed 1/2 inch;

(G) illuminating torches and colored fire in any form, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 100 grams each in weight;

(H) dipped sticks, the pyrotechnic composition of which contains chlorate or perchlorate, that do not exceed five grams, and sparklers, the composition of which does not exceed 100 grams each and that contains no magnesium or magnesium and a chlorate or perchlorate;

(I) mines and shells of which the mortar is an integral part, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed 40 grams each in weight;

(J) firecrackers with soft casings, the external dimensions of which do not exceed one and one-half inches in length or one-quarter inch in diameter, total pyrotechnic composition not to exceed two grains each in weight;

(K) novelties consisting of two or more devices enumerated in this paragraph when approved by the Bureau of Explosives."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 3, line 2:

Delete "Section 6"

Insert "Section 12"

Page 3, following line 2:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Sec. 14.** Sections 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 10 of this Act take effect two years after the effective date of secs. 1, 3, 6, 8, and 11 of this Act."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 7 be adopted.

Representative McCabe objected.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 7. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 146(STA) be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

CSHB 146(STA) will advance to third reading on tomorrow's calendar.

HB 371

The following was read the second time:

HOUSE BILL NO. 371

"An Act relating to medical review organizations; relating to the definitions of 'health care provider' and 'review organization'; and relating to the duties of the chief medical officer in the Department of Health."

with the:

Journal Page

HSS RPT 4DP 1DNP 2NR
FN1: ZERO(DOH)

1729
1729

Amendment No. 1 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 4, line 10, following "committee":

Insert "**of health care providers**"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 be adopted.

Representative Ruffridge objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HB 371

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Gray, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 1 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 4, following "law,":

Insert "**with a majority of members who are health care providers,**"

Page 4, lines 10 - 17:

Delete all material and insert:

"(C) a committee established by the commissioner of health and approved by the **chief medical officer in the Department of Health** [STATE MEDICAL BOARD] to review public health issues regarding morbidity or mortality; **the majority** [AT LEAST 75 PERCENT] of the committee members must be health care providers [;

(D) THE JOINT COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION OF HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS (JCAHO)]."

HOUSE JOURNAL

1912

March 20, 2024

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

Representative Ruffridge objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HB 371

Second Reading

Amendment No. 2

YEAS: 1 NAYS: 39 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Allard changed from "YEA" to "NAY"

And so, Amendment No. 2 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 3 was not offered.

Amendment No. 4 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, line 8:

Delete "established"

Insert "**qualified** [ESTABLISHED]"

Following "42 U.S.C. 1320c-1,":

Insert "**as that section read on the effective date of this Act,**"

Page 3, line 23:

Delete "under 42 U.S.C. 1320c"

Insert "**qualified** under **42 U.S.C. 1320c-1, as that section read on the effective date of this Act** [42 U.S.C. 1320c]"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 4 be adopted.

Representative Ruffridge objected.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 4. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that HB 371 be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

HB 371 will advance to third reading on tomorrow's calendar.

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 316

The following, which was held in second reading from the March 18 calendar (page 1850), was before the House:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 316(STA) am

"An Act relating to law enforcement requests for wireless device location information in emergencies; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 4 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 11, following "harm":

Insert "and the individual has not requested to opt out of disclosure of information about the location of the device under (f) of this section"

Page 2, following line 10:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(f) An individual in possession of a wireless device may request that information about the current or most recent location of the wireless device not be disclosed to a law enforcement

agency under this section. A wireless carrier authorized to do business in the state shall establish a convenient electronic method for an individual in possession of a wireless device to make a request under this subsection. A wireless carrier may not report the location of a wireless device to a law enforcement agency if the individual in possession of the device has requested to opt out of disclosure under this subsection."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 4 be adopted.

Representative C. Johnson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 4 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 4

YEAS: 13 NAYS: 27 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 4 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 5 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 11, following "harm.":

Insert "The law enforcement agency may not knowingly provide information obtained under this section to a third party unless the law enforcement agency first determines that the third party is assisting in the response to the ongoing emergency."

Page 2, line 10, following "center.":

Insert "The law enforcement agency or emergency communications center may not knowingly provide information obtained under this subsection to a third party unless the law enforcement agency or emergency communications center first determines that the third party is assisting in the response to the ongoing emergency."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 5 be adopted.

Representative C. Johnson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 5 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 5

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 23 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Eastman, Galvin, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Baker, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gray, Groh, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Prax, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 5 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 6 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 16:

Delete all material and insert:

"(3) "law enforcement agency" means a state or municipal agency that performs as one of the agency's principal functions an activity relating to crime prevention, control, or reduction or relating to the enforcement of the criminal law; "law enforcement agency" does not include a court;"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 6 be adopted.

Representative C. Johnson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 6 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 6

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Rauscher

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 6 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 7 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 2, following "**emergencies;**" (title amendment):

Insert "**relating to interference with constitutional rights; relating to certification as a police officer, emergency medical dispatcher, municipal correctional, correctional, probation, or parole officer; relating to employment in an emergency communications center or a law enforcement agency;**"

Page 1, following line 3:

Insert new bill sections to read:

**** Section 1.** AS 11.76.110(a) is amended to read:

(a) A person commits the crime of interference with constitutional rights if

(1) the person injures, oppresses, threatens, or intimidates another person with intent to deprive that person of a right, privilege, or immunity in fact granted by the constitution or laws of this state;

(2) the person intentionally injures, oppresses, threatens, or intimidates another person because that person has exercised or enjoyed a right, privilege, or immunity in fact granted by the constitution or laws of this state; [OR]

(3) under color of law, ordinance, or regulation of this state or a municipality or other political subdivision of this state, the person intentionally deprives another of a right, privilege, or immunity in fact granted by the constitution or laws of this state; **or**

(4) the person requests, or contacts a law enforcement agency to have the law enforcement agency request on the person's behalf, the location of a wireless device from a wireless carrier under AS 42.20.400 without a reasonable basis to believe that the device is in the possession of an individual who is in an ongoing emergency that involves the risk of death or serious physical harm.

* **Sec. 2.** AS 18.08.082 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(d) The department may deny or revoke a certificate of an emergency medical dispatcher who has been convicted of, pled guilty to, or entered a plea of no contest to a violation of AS 11.76.110(a)(4).

* **Sec. 3.** AS 18.08 is amended by adding a new section to read:

Sec. 18.08.092. Employment of certain persons in an emergency communications center prohibited. A person may not be employed by an emergency communications center if the person has been convicted of, pled guilty to, or entered a plea of no contest to a violation of AS 11.76.110(a)(4). In this section, "emergency communications center" has the meaning given in AS 11.56.785(b).

* **Sec. 4.** AS 18.65.240(c) is amended to read:

(c) The council may deny or revoke the certificate of a police officer who does not meet the standards adopted under (a)(2) of this section **or who has been convicted of, pled guilty to, or entered a plea of no contest to a violation of AS 11.76.110(a)(4).**

* **Sec. 5.** AS 18.65.245 is amended to read:

Sec. 18.65.245. Denial or revocation of certificate of municipal correctional, correctional, probation, or parole officer. The council may

(1) deny a certificate to an applicant for a municipal correctional, correctional, probation, or parole officer certificate if the applicant does not meet the standards adopted by the council under AS 18.65.242(a) **or has been convicted of, pled guilty to,**

or entered a plea of no contest to a violation of AS 11.76.110(a)(4);

(2) revoke the certificate of a municipal correctional, correctional, probation, or parole officer who, having been issued a certificate, fails to meet the standards adopted by the council under AS 18.65.242(a) **or has been convicted of, pled guilty to, or entered a plea of no contest to a violation of AS 11.76.110(a)(4).**

* **Sec. 6.** AS 18.65 is amended by adding a new section to read:

Article 14. Employment By Certain Persons In a Law Enforcement Agency Prohibited.

Sec. 18.65.920. Employment by certain persons in a law enforcement agency. A person may not be employed by a law enforcement agency if the person has been convicted of, pled guilty to, or entered a plea of no contest to a violation of AS 11.76.110(a)(4). In this section, "law enforcement agency" has the meaning given in AS 12.36.090."

Page 1, line 4:

Delete "**Section 1**"

Insert "**Sec. 7**"

Renumber the following bill section accordingly.

Page 2, following line 20:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* **Sec. 8.** The uncodified law of the State of Alaska is amended by adding a new section to read:

APPLICABILITY. (a) AS 11.76.110(a), as amended by sec. 1 of this Act, applies to offenses committed on or after the effective date of this Act.

(b) AS 18.08.082(d), added by sec. 2 of this Act, AS 18.08.092, added by sec. 3 of this Act, AS 18.65.240(c), as amended by sec. 4 of this Act, AS 18.65.245, as amended by sec. 5 of this Act, and AS 18.65.920, added by sec. 6 of this Act, apply to collective bargaining agreements and contracts entered into on or after the effective dates of secs. 2 - 6 of this Act."

Renumber the following bill section accordingly.

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1919

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 7 be adopted.

Representative McCabe objected and moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 7.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 7 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 7/Table

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 7 was not tabled.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 7. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 8 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, following line 10:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(f) A law enforcement agency that requests the location of a wireless device under this section shall annually prepare a report and shall, before the start of the regular legislative session, deliver the report to the senate secretary and the chief clerk of the house of representatives and notify the legislature that the report is available. The report must include

(1) the number of times the agency requested the location of a wireless device under this section; and

- (2) for each request, whether
 - (A) the law enforcement agency was successful in obtaining the requested information;
 - (B) the person in possession of the device was determined to be experiencing an actual ongoing emergency at or near the time the request for the location of the device was made; and
 - (C) the information obtained aided in assisting a person experiencing an ongoing emergency."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 2, following line 20:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"* Sec. 2. AS 42.20.400(f) is repealed January 1, 2030."

Renumber the following bill section accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 8 be adopted.

Representative Ruffridge objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 8 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 8

YEAS: 3 NAYS: 37 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Coulombe, Eastman, Josephson

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 8 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 9 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, following line 2:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(d) A wireless carrier that receives a request to provide the location of a wireless device to a law enforcement agency under (a) of this section shall notify the owner of the wireless device of the request as soon as practicable and inform the owner whether information about the location of the device was provided to the law enforcement agency. If the wireless carrier does not notify the owner of the device within 45 days after the request is made, the individual who possessed the wireless device when the request was made may bring an action against the wireless carrier. A wireless carrier that violates this subsection is subject to a civil penalty of not more than \$25,000. The court may award an individual bringing an action under this section costs and full reasonable attorney fees."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 2, line 8:

Delete "(d)"

Insert "(e)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 9 be adopted.

Representative Vance objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 9 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 9

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 36 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Rauscher, Sumner, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 9 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 10 was not offered.

Amendment No. 11 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, following line 10:

Insert new subsections to read:

"(f) A law enforcement agency or emergency communications center may request information from a wireless carrier under this section only if a designated person within the law enforcement agency or emergency communications center approves the request. Each law enforcement agency and emergency communications center shall designate at least one person within the law enforcement agency or emergency communications center as a person authorized to approve a request under this subsection.

(g) Each law enforcement agency and emergency communications center shall establish standards and procedures for the implementation of this section. The standards and procedures must, at a minimum, provide for designation of at least one person within the law enforcement agency or emergency communications center as a person who has authority to approve a request under (f) of this section."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 11 be adopted.

Representative Gray objected.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 11. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment Nos. 12, 13, 14, and 15 were not offered.

Amendment No. 16 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, following line 15:

Insert a new paragraph to read:

"(3) "emergency that involves the risk of death or serious physical harm" does not include an individual's lack of immunization;"

Renumber the following paragraphs accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 16 be adopted.

Representative C. Johnson objected.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 16.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 16 be withdrawn?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 16/Withdraw

YEAS: 16 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gray, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 16 was not withdrawn.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 16 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 16

YEAS: 1 NAYS: 39 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 16 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 17, 18, and 19 were not offered.

Amendment No. 20 was offered by Representative Vance:

Page 1, line 6, following "the":

Insert "written"

Page 1, line 7, following "request":

Insert ", in either physical or electronic form,"

Page 2, line 11, following "section":

Insert ", including regulations that

(1) establish criteria for a law enforcement agency to apply in establishing the minimum qualifications for an individual within the agency authorized to request the location of a wireless device under (a) of this section, taking into consideration diversity between geographic locations and law enforcement agencies;

(2) set reasonable limits on the use of the location of a wireless device provided under (a) of this section; and

(3) provide for the maintenance of records to preserve relevant facts surrounding a request under (a) of this section"

Representative Vance moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 20 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 20 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1925

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 20

YEAS: 32 NAYS: 8 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mina, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Josephson, Mears, Ortiz, Stapp

And so, Amendment No. 20 was adopted.

Amendment No. 21 was offered by Representative C. Johnson:

Page 1, line 6, following "the":
Insert "written"

Page 1, line 7, following "request":
Insert ", in either physical or electronic form,"

Page 1, following line 11:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) An individual may only request the location of a wireless device on behalf of a law enforcement agency while the individual is acting in an official capacity and responding to the ongoing emergency. An individual who violates this subsection is guilty of a class A misdemeanor."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 2, line 8:
Delete "(d)"
Insert "(e)"

Page 2, line 16:
Delete all material and insert:
"(3) "law enforcement agency" means an agency of the

state or a political subdivision of the state or of an Alaska Native organization as defined in AS 18.65.688 that performs as one of the agency's principal functions an activity relating to crime prevention, control, or reduction or relating to the enforcement of the criminal law; "law enforcement agency" does not include a court;"

Representative C. Johnson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 21 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 21 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 21

YEAS: 39 NAYS: 1 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Eastman

And so, Amendment No. 21 was adopted.

Amendment No. 13 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, following line 11:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) A law enforcement agency or emergency communications center may not request or facilitate a request for the location of a wireless device under (a) of this section if the law enforcement agency or emergency communications center believes the individual in possession of the device is currently the subject of a criminal investigation."

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1927

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 2, line 8:

Delete "(d)"

Insert "(e)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 13 be adopted.

Representative C. Johnson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 13 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 13

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 28 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carrick, Eastman, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gray, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 13 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 15 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 9:

Delete "a reasonable basis"

Insert "probable cause"

Page 2, following line 16:

Insert a new paragraph to read:

"(4) "probable cause" means a determination based on a preponderance of evidence;"

Renumber the following paragraphs accordingly.

HOUSE JOURNAL

1928

March 20, 2024

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 15 be adopted.

Representative Allard objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 15 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 316(STA) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 15

YEAS: 2 NAYS: 38 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Rauscher

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 15 was not adopted.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 316(STA) am be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

CSHB 316(STA) am will advance to third reading on tomorrow's calendar.

THIRD READING OF HOUSE BILLS

HB 158

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the following, which was advanced to third reading from the March 18 calendar (page 1844), would be held to tomorrow's calendar:

HOUSE BILL NO. 158

"An Act relating to the Joint Armed Services Committee; relating to judge advocates; relating to military facility zones; and providing for an effective date."

HB 238

The following, which was advanced to third reading from the March 18 calendar (page 1848), was read the third time:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 238(JUD)

"An Act relating to criminal mischief in the third degree; and providing for an effective date."

The question being: "Shall CSHB 238(JUD) pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 238(JUD)

Third Reading

Final Passage

YEAS: 36 NAYS: 4 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Carrick, Eastman, Hannan, McCormick

And so, CSHB 238(JUD) passed the House.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the roll call on the passage of the bill be considered the roll call on the effective date clause. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Eastman gave notice of reconsideration of the vote on CSHB 238(JUD)

THIRD READING OF HOUSE RESOLUTIONS**HJR 7**

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the following, which was held from the March 18 calendar (page 1850), would be held to tomorrow's calendar:

HOUSE JOURNAL

1930

March 20, 2024

CS FOR HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 7(W&M)

Proposing amendments to the Constitution of the State of Alaska relating to the permanent fund, relating to transfers out of the permanent fund, and requiring payment of a dividend to eligible state residents.

LEGISLATIVE CITATIONS

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the House approve the citations on the calendar. There being no objection, the following citations were approved and sent to enrolling:

Honoring - 2024 Alaska School Activities Association, High School Hall of Fame Inductees
By Representative Cronk

In Memoriam - Edwin "Ed" Tussey
By Representative Stutes

CONSIDERATION OF THE FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the House adopt the First Supplemental Calendar:

HB 193-INTERNET FOR SCHOOLS

There being no objection, it was so ordered.

SECOND READING OF HOUSE BILLS

HB 193

The following was read the second time:

HOUSE BILL NO. 193

"An Act relating to funding for Internet services for school districts; and providing for an effective date."

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1931

with the:

Journal Page

FIN RPT 8DP 1NR 2AM

1492

FN1: (EED)

1492

Amendment No. 1 was offered by Representative Carpenter:

Page 1, following line 10:

Insert a new bill section to read:

**** Sec. 2.** AS 14.03.127 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(d) If the total cost to a school district for Internet services from an Internet services provider that provides more than 100 megabits of download a second is equal to or less than the amount that would have been awarded to the school under (a) or (b) of this section, the school district is eligible to receive an amount equal to the actual cost for Internet services, up to the amount that the school district would have been awarded under (a) or (b) of this section."

Renumber the following bill section accordingly.

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 be adopted.

Representative Edgmon objected.

Representative Stutes rose to a point of order regarding confining remarks to the amendment.

The Speaker cautioned the member to confine remarks to the amendment before the body.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HB 193

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1

YEAS: 10 NAYS: 30 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

HOUSE JOURNAL

1932

March 20, 2024

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, McCabe, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 1 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative Carpenter:

Page 1, following line 9:

Insert a new bill section to read:

" **Sec. 2.** AS 14.03.127 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(d) A district that receives funding under this section shall use price as the primary factor to select the most cost-effective eligible Internet service."

Renumber the following bill section accordingly.

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

Representative Edgmon objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HB 193

Second Reading

Amendment No. 2

YEAS: 15 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, Gray, C.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Shaw, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Baker, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Saddler, Schrage, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1933

And so, Amendment No. 2 was not adopted.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that HB 193 be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

HB 193 was read the third time.

The question being: "Shall HB 193 pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HB 193

Third Reading

Final Passage

YEAS: 35 NAYS: 2 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 3

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Wright

Nays: Eastman, Vance

Absent: Coulombe, Stapp, Sumner

Tomaszewski changed from "NAY" to "YEA"

And so, HB 193 passed the House.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the roll call on the passage of the bill be considered the roll call on the effective date clause. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Eastman gave notice of reconsideration of the vote on HB 193.

RECONSIDERATION

HB 193

Representative Edgmon moved and asked unanimous consent that reconsideration of HB 193 be taken up on the same day. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

HOUSE JOURNAL

1934

March 20, 2024

HB 193 was before the House in third reading.

Representative Schrage placed a call of the House.

The Speaker stated that the call was satisfied.

The question to be reconsidered: "Shall HB 193 pass the House?"
The roll was taken with the following result:

HB 193

Third Reading

Final Passage

Reconsideration

YEAS: 36 NAYS: 4 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Wright

Nays: Coulombe, Eastman, Stapp, Vance

And so, HB 193 passed the House on reconsideration.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the roll call on the passage of the bill be considered the roll call on the effective date clause. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

HB 193 was referred to the Chief Clerk for engrossment.

HB 238

Representative Josephson moved and asked unanimous consent that reconsideration of CSHB 238(JUD) be taken up on the same day (page 1929).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall reconsideration of CSHB 238(JUD) be taken up on the same day?" The roll was taken with the following result:

HOUSE JOURNAL

March 20, 2024

1935

CSHB 238(JUD)

Third Reading

Take Up Reconsideration on the Same Day

YEAS: 38 NAYS: 2 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Eastman, Stapp

And so, the motion passed.

The following was before the House in third reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 238(JUD)

"An Act relating to criminal mischief in the third degree; and providing for an effective date."

The question to be reconsidered: "Shall CSHB 238(JUD) pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 238(JUD)

Third Reading

Final Passage

Reconsideration

YEAS: 35 NAYS: 5 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Baker, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Carrick, Eastman, Hannan, McCormick, Stapp

And so, CSHB 238(JUD) passed the House on reconsideration.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the roll call on the passage of the bill be considered the roll call on the effective date clause. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

CSHB 238(JUD) was referred to the Chief Clerk for engrossment.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

HJR 18

Representative Hannan added as a cosponsor to:

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 18

Urging the United States Congress to repeal the Windfall Elimination Provision and Government Pension Offset of the Social Security Act.

HB 17

Representatives Josephson and Story added, and Representative Sumner removed as cosponsors to:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 17(HSS) am

"An Act relating to insurance coverage for contraceptives and related services; relating to medical assistance coverage for contraceptives and related services; and providing for an effective date."

HB 233

Representative Stapp added as a cosponsor to:

HOUSE BILL NO. 233

"An Act relating to rates and time allowances for motor vehicle warranty work."

HB 238

Representatives Galvin, Wright, Schrage, Ortiz, Saddler, Cronk, and Tomaszewski added as cosponsors to:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 238(JUD)

"An Act relating to criminal mischief in the third degree; and providing for an effective date."

HB 240

Representative Ortiz added as a cosponsor to:

HOUSE BILL NO. 240

"An Act relating to legal representation of public officers in ethics complaints; and providing for an effective date."

HB 249

Representative Shaw added as a cosponsor to:

HOUSE BILL NO. 249

"An Act creating the military and veteran family help desk in the Department of Military and Veterans' Affairs; and providing for an effective date."

HB 345

Representatives McCormick, Himschoot, and Stutes added as cosponsors to:

HOUSE BILL NO. 345

"An Act relating to safety ladders at municipal harbor facilities; relating to the harbor facility grant fund; and providing for an effective date."

ENGROSSMENT

HB 193

HB 193 was engrossed, signed by the Speaker and Chief Clerk, and transmitted to the Senate for consideration.

HB 238

CSHB 238(JUD) was engrossed, signed by the Speaker and Chief Clerk, and transmitted to the Senate for consideration.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

House committee schedules are published under separate cover.

ADJOURNMENT

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the House adjourn until 10:30 a.m., March 21. There being no objection, the House adjourned at 12:37 a.m., March 21.

Crystalline Jones
Chief Clerk