

HOUSE JOURNAL
ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE
THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE
FIRST SESSION

Juneau, Alaska

Tuesday

April 4, 2023

Seventy-eighth Day

Pursuant to adjournment the House was called to order by Speaker Tilton at 9:34 a.m.

Roll call showed 40 members present.

The invocation was offered by the Chaplain, Representative McCabe. Representative Vance moved and asked unanimous consent that the invocation be spread on the journal. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

With the deepest respect for the religious beliefs of all Alaskans, I offer the following prayer:

Our Father and Our God, we praise you for your goodness to our nation and our state; giving us blessings far beyond what we deserve. Yet we know all is not right with America or Alaska. We deeply need a moral and spiritual renewal to help us meet the many problems we face.

Help us to turn to you in repentance and faith. Set our feet on the path of your righteousness and peace. We pray today for Alaska's leaders. Give them; give us, the wisdom to know what is right, and the courage to do it.

You have said, *Blessed is the nation whose God is the Lord*. May this be a new era for America – and for Alaska as we humble ourselves and acknowledge you alone as our Savior and Lord. We pray this all in your holy name, Amen.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Representative Saddler.

CERTIFICATION OF THE JOURNAL

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the journal for the 77th legislative day be approved as certified by the Chief Clerk. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

COMMUNICATIONS

The following was received:

Dept. of Commerce, Community, & Economic Development
Division of Community & Regional Affairs
2023 Designated Legislative Lapsing Grant Final Report
March 23, 2023

INTRODUCTION OF CITATIONS

The following citations were introduced and referred to the Rules Committee for placement on the calendar:

In Memoriam – Karen Michelle Southwood
By Representative McCabe

In Memoriam – Benjamin R. Cottle
By Senator Wilson

CONSIDERATION OF THE DAILY CALENDAR

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 39

The following, which was held from the April 3 calendar (page 572), was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL 39(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; repealing appropriations; amending

appropriations; making reappropriations; making supplemental appropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 24 was offered by Representative Galvin:

Page 10, line 3:

Delete "**307,004,700**"

Insert "**307,754,700**"

Delete "**56,215,400**"

Insert "**56,965,400**"

Page 10, line 15:

Delete "13,628,000"

Insert "14,378,000"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Galvin moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 24 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 24 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 24

YEAS: 16 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Sumner

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Stutes, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 24 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 25 was offered by Representative Story:

Page 10, line 3:

Delete "307,004,700"

Insert "315,004,700"

Delete "56,215,400"

Insert "64,215,400"

Page 10, line 9:

Delete "196,921,100"

Insert "204,921,100"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Story moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 25 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 25 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 25

YEAS: 18 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 25 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 27 was not offered.

Amendment No. 28 was offered by Representative Josephson:

Page 19, line 9:

Delete "**57,602,000**"

Insert "**64,066,200**"

Delete "**32,007,000**"

Insert "**38,471,200**"

Page 19, line 14:

Delete "24,132,600"

Insert "30,596,800"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Josephson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 28 be adopted.

Representative Stapp objected.

Representatives Story and Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent to abstain from voting because of a conflict of interest. Objection was heard, and they were required to vote.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 28 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 28

YEAS: 15 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 28 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 29 was offered by Representative Fields:

Page 28, line 6:

Delete "**53,304,600**"

Insert "**58,304,600**"

Delete "**34,967,200**"

Delete "**39,967,200**"

Page 28, line 7:

Delete "3,501,400"

Insert "8,501,400"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Page 28, following line 7:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the \$5,000,000 increment funding for the Department of Public Safety, statewide support, commissioner's office, be used for funding municipal police departments and village police officers for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Representative Fields moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 29 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Fields moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 29. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 30 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, lines 4 - 5: (title amendment)

Delete "**making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund;**"

Page 98, line 24, through page 99, line 13:

Delete all material.

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 99, line 25:

Delete "49"

Insert "48"

Page 99, line 26:

Delete "49"

Insert "48"

Page 99, line 29:

Delete "43 - 48, and 50"

Insert "43 - 47, and 49"

Page 99, line 31:

Delete "43 - 48, and 50"

Insert "43 - 47, and 49"

Page 100, line 1:

Delete "51"

Insert "50"

Page 100, line 3:

Delete "49"

Insert "48"

Page 100, line 6:

Delete "secs. 52 - 54"

Insert "secs. 51 - 53"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 30 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 30 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 30

YEAS: 11 NAYS: 29 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Eastman, Ortiz, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Sumner, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Patkotak, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton

And so, Amendment No. 30 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 31 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 98, lines 24 - 29:

Delete "(a) Deposits in the budget reserve fund (art. IX, sec. 17, Constitution of the State of Alaska) for fiscal year 2023 that are made from subfunds and accounts of the operating general fund by operation of art. IX, sec. 17(d), Constitution of the State of Alaska, to repay appropriations from the budget reserve fund are appropriated from the budget reserve fund to the subfunds and accounts from which those funds were transferred."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 99, line 12:

Delete "The appropriations made in (a) and (b) of this section are"

Insert "The appropriation made in (a) of this section is"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 31 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 31 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 31

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 36 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Rauscher, Vance

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 31 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 32 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 98, line 30, through page 99, line 11:

Delete all material.

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 99, line 12:

Delete "The appropriations made in (a) and (b) of this section are"

Insert "The appropriation made in (a) of this section is"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 32 be adopted.

Representative Schrage objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 32 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 32

YEAS: 2 NAYS: 38 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 32 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 33 was offered by Representative Story:

Page 10, line 3:

Delete "307,004,700"

Insert "307,264,700"

Delete "56,215,400"

Insert "56,475,400"

Page 10, line 9:

Delete "196,921,100"

Insert "197,181,100"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Story moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 33 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 33 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 33

YEAS: 18 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 33 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 34 was not offered.

Amendment No. 35 was offered by Representative Carpenter:

Page 35, line 6:

Delete "1,000"

Insert "(1,000)"

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 35 be adopted.

Representative Carrick objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 35 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 35

YEAS: 21 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Stapp, Story, Stutes

And so, Amendment No. 35 was adopted.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the House would recess to 1:00 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 11:58 a.m.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker called the House back to order at 1:08 p.m.

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 39

The following was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 39(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; repealing appropriations; amending appropriations; making reappropriations; making supplemental appropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 36 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 17, line 10, following "Health":

Insert ", except that no transfer may be made into the Medicaid Services for or relating to Abortion appropriation"

Page 20, line 9:

Delete "**Medicaid Services**"

Insert "**Medicaid Services Except Services for or relating to Abortion**"

Delete "**2,400,471,700**"

Insert "**2,399,471,700**"

Delete "**610,544,200**"

Insert "**609,544,200**"

Page 20, line 16:

Delete "**2,373,467,200**"

Insert "**2,372,467,200**"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Page 20, following line 18:

Insert new material to read:

"Medicaid Services for or relating to Abortion 0 0 0"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 36 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative McCormick moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 36 be tabled.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 36 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 36/Table

YEAS: 23 NAYS: 17 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Sumner

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Rauscher, Shaw, Stapp, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 36 was tabled.

Amendment No. 37 was offered by Representatives McCabe and D. Johnson:

Page 4, line 19:

Delete "**12,371,000**"

Insert "**12,621,000**"

Delete "**6,496,700**"

Insert "**6,746,700**"

Page 4, line 20:

Delete "**10,203,600**"

Insert "**10,453,600**"

Page 4, following line 21:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that, of the appropriation made to Community and Regional Affairs, \$125,000 be appropriated to Palmer Emergency Food and Services Inc. (HD 25) as a grant under

AS 37.05.316 and \$125,000 be appropriated to the Matsu Food Bank (HD 26-30) as a grant under AS 37.05.316."

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 37 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 37 was offered by Representative Hannan:

Page 1, line 3:

Delete "**12,621,000**"

Insert "**14,121,000**"

Page 1, line 5:

Delete "**6,746,700**"

Insert "**8,246,700**"

Page 1, line 9:

Delete "10,453,600"

Insert "11,953,600"

Page 1, line 16 following "AS 37.05.316":

Insert "and \$1,500,000 be appropriated to the Food Bank of Alaska, Inc. (HD 1-40) as a grant under AS 37.05.316"

Representative Hannan moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 37 be adopted.

Representative McCabe objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 37 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 37

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 37 was not adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 37 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 37

YEAS: 25 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, Gray, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Patkotak, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes

And so, Amendment No. 37 was adopted.

Amendment No. 38 was offered by Representative Fields:

Page 94, line 4:
Delete "\$7,500,000"
Insert "\$20,000,000"

Representative Fields moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 38 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 38 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 38

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Sumner

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 38 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 39 was not offered.

Amendment No. 40 was offered by Representative Cronk:

Page 4, line 28:

Delete "18,367,700"

Insert "18,527,700"

Delete "17,287,300"

Insert "17,447,300"

Page 4, line 32:

Delete "18,367,700"

Insert "18,527,700"

Page 38, line 23:

Delete "11,075,600"

Insert "11,235,600"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 40 be adopted.

Representative Hannan objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 40 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 40

YEAS: 34 NAYS: 6 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Eastman, Groh, Hannan, Mears, Mina, Schrage

And so, Amendment No. 40 was adopted.

Amendment No. 41 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 3, lines 21 - 23:
Delete all material.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 41 be adopted.

Representative Story objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 41 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 41

YEAS: 1 NAYS: 38 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Eastman

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Absent: Carpenter

And so, Amendment No. 41 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 42 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 8, lines 26 - 32:

Delete all material.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 42 be adopted.

Representative Edgmon objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 42 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 42

YEAS: 0 NAYS: 40 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 42 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 43 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 11, lines 21 - 26:

Delete all material.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 43 be adopted.

Representative Saddler objected.

April 4, 2023

595

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 43. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 44 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 13, lines 4 - 6:

Delete all material.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 44 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 44 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 44

YEAS: 5 NAYS: 35 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Sumner, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 44 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 45, 46, and 47 were not offered.

Amendment No. 48 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 91, line 12:

Delete "\$28,350,000"

Insert "\$55,700,000"

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 48 be adopted.

Representative Eastman objected.

Representative Galvin moved and asked unanimous consent to abstain from voting because of a conflict of interest. Objection was heard, and she was required to vote.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 48 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 48

YEAS: 15 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Josephson, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Armstrong, Coulombe, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 48 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 49 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 89, lines 18 - 21:
Delete all material.

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 49 be adopted.

There was objection.

April 4, 2023

597

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 49 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 49

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 36 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Stutes, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Sumner, Tilton, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 49 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 50 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 86, line 14, following "purpose;":
Insert "and"

Page 86, line 19:
Delete "; and"
Insert "."

Page 86, lines 20 - 23:
Delete all material.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 50 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 50 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 50

YEAS: 2 NAYS: 38 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 50 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 51 was offered by Representatives Patkotak, Dibert, and Himschoot:

Page 91, line 18:

Delete "\$30,000,000"

Insert "\$29,999,999"

Representative Patkotak moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 51 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 51 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 51

YEAS: 28 NAYS: 12 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Tomaszewski, Wright

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Eastman, D.Johnson, McCabe, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Vance

And so, Amendment No. 51 was adopted.

Amendment No. 52 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 37, line 4:

Delete "**30,371,300**"

Insert "**30,287,300**"

Delete "**30,351,300**"

Insert "**30,267,300**"

Page 37, line 5:

Delete "6,579,700"

Insert "6,495,700"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Page 37, following line 6:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the State Officers Compensation Commission submit a report to the co-chairs of the finance committee of each house of the legislature and the legislative finance division within the first 10 days of the Second Regular Session of the Thirty-Third Alaska State Legislature, evaluating the feasibility of matching the salary of legislators to the average salary of public school teachers in the state."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 52 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Sumner moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 52.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 52 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 52/Table

YEAS: 30 NAYS: 9 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gray, Groh, Hannan, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Eastman, Galvin, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Prax, Stapp

Absent: Coulombe

And so, Amendment No. 52 was tabled.

Amendment No. 53 was not offered.

Amendment No. 54 was offered by Representative Allard:

Page 22, line 26:

Delete "**46,476,500**"

Insert "**46,512,400**"

Delete "**41,069,100**"

Insert "**41,105,000**"

Page 23, line 3:

Delete "**4,405,700**"

Insert "**4,441,600**"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Allard moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 54 be adopted.

Representative Stutes objected and withdrew the objection.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 54 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 54

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 23 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner

And so, Amendment No. 54 was not adopted.

Representative Armstrong moved and asked unanimous consent that the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 4 (page 548).

There was objection.

Representative Schrage placed a call of the House.

The Speaker stated that the call was satisfied.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 4?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Rescind Previous Action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 4

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Sumner

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so the motion failed.

Amendment No. 55 was offered by Representative Allard:

Page 4, line 19:

Delete "**12,371,000**"

Insert "**10,203,600**"

Delete "**6,496,700**"

Insert "**4,329,300**"

Page 4, line 22:

Delete all material.

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Allard moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 55 be adopted.

Representative Mina objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 55 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 55

YEAS: 20 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes

And so, Amendment No. 55 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 56 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 35, following line 4:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that state funds appropriated to the University of Alaska not be used to enforce a regulation or policy of the statewide university system that denies or infringes on the

individual right to keep and bear arms under art. I, sec. 19, Constitution of the State of Alaska."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 56 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Fields moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 56.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 56 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 56/Table

YEAS: 24 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Wright

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance

And so, Amendment No. 56 was tabled.

Amendment No. 57 was offered by Representative Tomaszewski:

Page 69, following line 5:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(d) The sum of \$1,982,070 is appropriated from the general fund to the clean air protection fund (AS 46.14.260)."

Page 99, line 23:

Delete "and 16"

Insert "16, and 18(d)"

Page 99, line 24:

Delete "and 16"

Insert "16, and 18(d)"

Page 99, line 25:

Delete "17 - 21"

Insert "17, 18(a) - (c), 19 - 21"

Page 99, line 26:

Delete "17 - 21"

Insert "17, 18(a) - (c), 19 - 21"

Page 100, line 1, following "16,":

Insert "18(d),"

Page 100, line 3:

Delete "17 - 21"

Insert "17, 18(a) - (c), 19 - 21"

Representative Tomaszewski moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 57 be adopted.

Representative Eastman objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 57 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 57

YEAS: 37 NAYS: 3 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Eastman, Ruffridge, Sumner

And so, Amendment No. 57 was adopted.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the House would recess to 6:30 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 5:17 p.m.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker called the House back to order at 6:32 p.m.

HOUSE BILLS IN SECOND READING

HB 39

The following was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 39(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; repealing appropriations; amending appropriations; making reappropriations; making supplemental appropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 58 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 73, following line 30:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(d) The sum of \$6,757,000,000 is appropriated from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145) to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for payment of supplemental 2016 - 2022 permanent fund dividends for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 99, line 16:

Delete "and (d)"

Insert "(d), and (e)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 58 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 58 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 58

YEAS: 11 NAYS: 29 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, D.Johnson, McCabe, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton

And so, Amendment No. 58 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 59 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 73, following line 30:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(d) The sum of \$683,000,000 is appropriated from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145) to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for payment of supplemental 2016 permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 99, line 16:

Delete "and (d)"

Insert "(d), and (e)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 59 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 59 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 59

YEAS: 12 NAYS: 28 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, D.Johnson, McCabe, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton

And so, Amendment No. 59 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 60, 61, 62, 63, and 64 were not offered.

Amendment No. 65 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 73, following line 30:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(d) The sum of \$550,000,000 is appropriated from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145) to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for payment of supplemental 2022 permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 99, line 16:

Delete "and (d)"

Insert "(d), and (e)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 65 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 65 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 65

YEAS: 11 NAYS: 29 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, McCabe, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton

And so, Amendment No. 65 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 66 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 73, line 24:
Delete "\$3,526,087,852"
Insert "\$4,477,087,852"

Page 73, line 26:
Delete "\$1,763,043,926"
Insert "\$2,714,043,926"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 66 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 66 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 66

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 36 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Foster, Prax, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Galvin changed from "YEA" to "NAY"

And so, Amendment No. 66 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 67 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 73, line 24:

Delete "\$3,526,087,852"

Insert "4,009,143,926"

Page 73, line 26:

Delete "\$1,763,043,926"

Insert "\$2,246,100,000"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 67 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Stapp moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 67.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 67 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 67/Table

YEAS: 25 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Wright

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Gray, D.Johnson, McCabe, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance

D.Johnson changed from "YEA" to "NAY"

And so, Amendment No. 67 was tabled.

Amendment No. 68 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 73, lines 24 - 30:

Delete all material and insert:

"(c) The sum of \$1,791,393,926 is appropriated to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024, from the following sources:

(1) \$1,763,043,926 from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145);

(2) \$28,350,000 from the general fund.

(d) The sum of \$1,763,043,926 is appropriated from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145) to the general fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 91, lines 12 - 13:

Delete all material.

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 99, lines 15 - 16:

Delete "26(a), (b), (c)(1), and (d)"

Insert "26(a) - (c) and (e)"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 68 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 68 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 68

YEAS: 4 NAYS: 36 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Eastman, Foster, McCabe, Tomaszewski

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 68 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 69 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 4, following line 8:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Department of Administration provide to the Legislative Finance Division and the Co-Chairs of the Finance Committees, not later than December 20, 2023, a plan to acquire the equipment necessary to produce an Alaska driver's license that does not require sending the personal information of Alaska residents to a foreign-owned corporation or foreign government."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 69 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Fields rose to a point of order citing Art. II, Sec. 13 of the Constitution of the State of Alaska.

The Speaker ruled the amendment not germane.

Representative Fields moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 69.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 69 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 69/Table

YEAS: 36 NAYS: 4 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Eastman, D.Johnson, Rauscher, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 69 was tabled.

Amendments Nos. 70 and 71 were not offered.

Amendment No. 72 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 17, line 4:

Delete "**5,500,900**"

Insert "**5,484,484**"

Delete "**5,156,500**"

Insert "**5,140,084**"

Page 17, line 5:

Delete "**5,500,900**"

Insert "**5,484,484**"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Page 17, following line 5:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that this appropriation not be used to pay dues to renew the state's membership in the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC)."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 72 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 72 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 72

YEAS: 11 NAYS: 29 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, McCabe, Patkotak, Rauscher, Stapp, Tilton, Tomaszewski

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Prax, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 72 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 73 was offered by Representative Tomaszewski:

Page 98, following line 17:

Insert a new bill section to read:

**** Sec. 47. SPECIAL APPROPRIATION FOR ENERGY RELIEF.**
In addition to the appropriation made in sec. 26(c)(1) of this Act, the sum of \$440,760,982 is appropriated from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145) to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) to pay a one-time energy relief payment as part of the permanent fund dividend for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 98, line 30:

Delete "sec. 47"

Insert "sec. 48"

Page 99, line 16:

Delete "and 43(a) - (c)"

Insert "43(a) - (c), and 47"

Page 99, line 25:

Delete "49"

Insert "50"

Page 99, line 26:

Delete "49"

Insert "50"

Page 99, line 29:

Delete "43 - 48, and 50"

Insert "43 - 49, and 51"

Page 99, line 31:

Delete "43 - 48, and 50"

Insert "43 - 49, and 51"

Page 100, line 1:

Delete "51"

Insert "52"

Page 100, line 3:

Delete "49"

Insert "50"

Page 100, line 6:

Delete "secs. 52 - 54"

Insert "secs. 53 - 55"

Representative Tomaszewski moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 73 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

April 4, 2023

615

Representative Edgmon moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 73.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 73 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 73/Table

YEAS: 35 NAYS: 5 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Allard, Eastman, Foster, McCabe, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 73 was tabled.

Amendment No. 74 was offered by Representative Fields:

Page 91, line 18:
Delete "30,000,000"
Insert "35,000,000"

Page 91, line 19, following "(AS 29.60.850).":

Insert "It is the intent of the legislature that the \$5,000,000 increment funding to the community assistance fund (AS 29.60.850) be used for funding municipal police departments and village police officers for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Representative Fields moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 74 be adopted.

Representative Cronk objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 74 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 74

YEAS: 17 NAYS: 23 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Armstrong, Carrick, Dibert, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Schrage, Story, Stutes

Nays: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 74 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 75 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 35, following line 4:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that state funds appropriated to the University of Alaska not be used to enforce a regulation or policy of the statewide university system that denies or infringes on the individual right to keep and bear arms under art. I, sec. 19, Constitution of the State of Alaska."

Page 35, line 5:

Delete "**875,461,000**"

Insert "**874,461,000**"

Delete "**613,717,100**"

Insert "**612,717,100**"

Page 35, line 8:

Delete "**32,782,400**"

Insert "**31,782,400**"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

April 4, 2023

617

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 75 be adopted.

Representative McCabe objected.

Representative Carrick moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 75.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 75 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 75/Table

YEAS: 28 NAYS: 12 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner

Nays: Carpenter, Coulombe, Eastman, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 75 was tabled.

Amendment No. 76 was not offered.

Amendment No. 77 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 68, line 7:
Delete "\$3,717,356"
Insert "\$3,717,355"

Page 68, line 9:
Delete "for the purpose of paying judgments and settlements against the state"

Page 68, line 10, following "2023":
Insert ", for the purpose of paying the following judgments and settlements against the state in the following amounts:

JUDGMENT OR SETTLEMENT	AMOUNT
Wilkerson v. State of Alaska	\$1,517,899
Juneau I Counsel in Trust v. Department of Transportation and Public Facilities	586,316
Juneau I Counsel in Trust v. Department of Transportation and Public Facilities	213,684
Estate of Aaron Thomas Smith v. State of Alaska	323,610
Amaroq Resources, LLC v. AOGCC	15,702
Thompson, et al. v. Hebdon, et al.	617,397
Thompson, et al. v. Hebdon, et al.	442,747"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 77 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 77 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 77

YEAS: 8 NAYS: 32 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gray, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 77 was not adopted.

Representative Edgmon moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment Nos. 78 - 93.

Representative Eastman objected.

Representative Eastman rose to a point of order citing Art. II, Sec. 12 of the Constitution of the State of Alaska.

The Speaker ruled the point of order out of order.

The Speaker, citing Uniform Rule 34, stated that members are required to vote when present in the Chamber.

Representative Edgmon moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw his motion to table Amendment Nos. 78 - 93. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 78 was not offered.

Amendment No. 79 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 4, following line 8:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that this appropriation not be used to implement or maintain the collection of biometric data compatible with facial recognition software without consent."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 79 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 79.

Representative Eastman objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 79 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 79/Table

YEAS: 36 NAYS: 3 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Coulombe, Eastman, Tomaszewski

Absent: C.Johnson

And so, Amendment No. 79 was tabled.

Amendment No. 80 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 30, following line 23:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Board of Trustees of the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation provide to the Legislative Finance Division and the Co-Chairs of the Finance Committees, not later than June 30, 2024, a report listing the financial and investment assets of the state, a political subdivision, a public enterprise, or a public corporation of the state whose management by the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation would be in the public interest."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 80 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Patkotak moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 80.

There was objection.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 80. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 81 was not offered.

Amendment No. 82 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 71, line 24:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 71, following line 26:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that a department may not expend funds in excess of the funds appropriated in this budget. A department whose expenditures exceed budgeted amounts at the end of the fiscal year, except those funds spent in the furtherance of public safety or pursuant to declared emergencies, should expect those expenses to be drawn from the department's budget for the next fiscal year."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 82 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 82.

There was objection.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 82. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 83 was not offered.

Amendment No. 84 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 71, line 24:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 71, following line 26:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that the complete monetary and nonmonetary terms of an employment contract that requires legislative approval be submitted to the legislature and read across on the floor at least one week before the approval is to be voted on by either house of the legislature."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 84 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Allard moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 84.

There was objection.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 84. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 85 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 71, line 24:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 71, following line 26:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that an appropriation for the purpose of paying salary and benefits for a state position may not be expended for any other purpose if the position has been continuously vacant for longer than 18 months."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 85 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Stapp moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 85.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 85 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

April 4, 2023

623

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 85/Table

YEAS: 34 NAYS: 6 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Coulombe, Eastman, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 85 was tabled.

Amendment No. 86 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 71, line 24:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."
Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 71, following line 26:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that no state funds be used to promote the creation or expansion of a municipality or borough where one does not already exist unless the residents in the affected jurisdiction have first expressed the desire to reside in a municipality or borough through a public vote on the matter."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 86 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 86.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 86 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 86/Table

YEAS: 31 NAYS: 9 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Carpenter, Carrick, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Allard, Armstrong, Coulombe, Cronk, Eastman, Rauscher, Ruffridge, Schrage, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 86 was tabled.

Amendment No. 87 was not offered.

Amendment No. 88 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 71, line 24:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."
Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 71, following line 26:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that a department that has submitted a fiscal note to the legislature promptly notify the legislative finance division if it appears that an expenditure by the department is likely to exceed the amount previously reported to the legislature."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 88 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Shaw moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 88.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 88 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 88/Table

YEAS: 32 NAYS: 8 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Coulombe, Eastman, Galvin, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 88 was tabled.

Amendment No. 89 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 71, line 24:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 71, following line 26:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that departments continue to identify additional means of reducing spending so that per capita state spending is reduced to not more than twice the national average by the end of fiscal year 2025."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 89 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Patkotak moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 89.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 89 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 89/Table

YEAS: 33 NAYS: 7 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Coulombe, Eastman, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Tomaszewski

And so, Amendment No. 89 was tabled.

Amendment Nos. 90 and 91 were not offered.

Amendment No. 92 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 17, following line 10:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that no appropriation in this Act be used to promote or market the administration of a COVID-19 vaccine."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 92 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 92.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 92 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 92/Table

YEAS: 33 NAYS: 7 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Coulombe, Eastman, Ruffridge, Schrage, Stapp, Tomaszewski

Rauscher changed from "NAY" to "YEA"

And so, Amendment No. 92 was tabled.

Amendment No. 93 was not offered.

Representative D. Johnson moved and asked unanimous consent that the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 59 (page 606).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 59?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am

Second Reading

Rescind Previous Action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 59

YEAS: 25 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Foster, Galvin, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Fields, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Ruffridge, Sumner

And so, the motion passed.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 59 pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 59

YEAS: 7 NAYS: 32 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Allard, Eastman, Foster, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Wright

Absent: Ruffridge

And so, Amendment No. 59 was not adopted.

Representative D. Johnson moved and asked unanimous consent that the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 58 (page 605).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 58?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Rescind Previous Action in failing to adopt Amendment No. 58

YEAS: 35 NAYS: 5 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Cronk, Dibert, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Galvin, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Coulombe, Gray, Josephson, Ruffridge, Sumner

And so, the motion passed.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 58 pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 58

YEAS: 8 NAYS: 32 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Cronk, Eastman, Foster, Prax, Rauscher, Tomaszewski, Vance

Nays: Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Coulombe, Dibert, Edgmon, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, Josephson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Patkotak, Ruffridge, Saddler, Schrage, Shaw, Stapp, Story, Stutes, Sumner, Tilton, Wright

And so, Amendment No. 58 was not adopted.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 39(FIN) am be considered engrossed, advanced to third reading, and placed on final passage.

There was objection.

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw his motion. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative Ortiz:

Page 73, lines 24 - 30:

Delete all material and insert:

"(c) The sum of \$1,763,043,926 is appropriated to the dividend fund (AS 43.23.045(a)) for the payment of permanent fund dividends and for administrative and associated costs for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024, from the following sources:

(1) \$881,521,963 from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145);

(2) \$881,521,963 from the budget reserve fund (art. IX, sec. 17, Constitution of the State of Alaska).

(d) The sum of \$2,644,565,889 is appropriated from the earnings reserve account (AS 37.13.145) to the general fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Reletter the following subsection accordingly.

Page 79, following line 3:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(e) The sum of \$174,886,400 is appropriated from the general fund to the Department of Education and Early Development to be distributed as grants to school districts according to the average daily membership for each district adjusted under AS 14.17.410(b)(1)(A) - (D) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2024."

Page 99, line 12, following "in":

Insert "sec. 26(c)(2) of this Act and in"

Page 99, lines 15 - 16:

Delete "26(a), (b), (c)(1), and (d)"

Insert "(a) - (c) and (e)"

Representative Ortiz moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Stapp moved and asked unanimous consent to table Amendment No. 2.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 be tabled?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 39(FIN) am
Second Reading
Amendment No. 2/Table

YEAS: 19 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Coulombe, Cronk, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCormick, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carpenter, Carrick, Dibert, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, McCabe, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes, Sumner

And so, Amendment No. 2 was not tabled.

The House adjourned.

CSHB 39(FIN) am will be in second reading on tomorrow's calendar with Amendment No. 2 moved and pending.

HB 41

The following, which was held from the April 3 calendar (page 572), was not taken up this legislative day and will be in second reading on tomorrow's calendar:

HOUSE BILL NO. 41

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and capital expenses of the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program; and providing for an effective date."

LEGISLATIVE CITATIONS

The following citation was not taken up this legislative day and will be on tomorrow's calendar:

Honoring – Nanooks Rifle Team, 2023 National Rifle Champions
By Representatives Carrick, Tomaszewski; Senator Kawasaki

UNFINISHED BUSINESS**HB 123**

Representatives McCormick and Foster added as cosponsors to:

HOUSE BILL NO. 123

"An Act relating to an amendment to the articles of incorporation of a corporation organized under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act; and providing for an effective date."

ANNOUNCEMENTS

House committee schedules are published under separate cover.

ADJOURNMENT

Representative Saddler moved and asked unanimous consent that the House adjourn.

There was objection.

Representative Fields placed a call of the House.

The call was satisfied.

The question being: "Shall the House adjourn?" The roll was taken with the following result:

Adjourn

YEAS: 23 NAYS: 17 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 0

Yeas: Allard, Carpenter, Coulombe, Cronk, Dibert, Edgmon, Foster, C.Johnson, D.Johnson, McCabe, McCormick, McKay, Patkotak, Prax, Rauscher, Saddler, Shaw, Stapp, Sumner, Tilton, Tomaszewski, Vance, Wright

Nays: Armstrong, Carrick, Eastman, Fields, Galvin, Gray, Groh, Hannan, Himschoot, Josephson, Mears, Mina, Ortiz, Ruffridge, Schrage, Story, Stutes

And so, the House adjourned at 9:31 p.m.

Crystalline Jones
Chief Clerk