

**HOUSE JOURNAL**  
**ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE**  
**THIRTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE**  
**FIRST SESSION**

**Juneau, Alaska**

**Monday**

**May 10, 2021**

**One Hundred Twelfth Day**

Pursuant to adjournment the House was called to order by Speaker Stutes at 9:33 a.m.

Roll call showed 38 members present. Representative Patkotak had been excused from a call of the House today. Representative Spohnholz was absent, and her presence was noted later.

The invocation was offered by the Chaplain, Representative Nelson. Representative Thompson moved and asked unanimous consent that the invocation be spread on the journal. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

With the deepest respect for the religious beliefs of all Alaskans, I offer the following prayer:

From Numbers 6:24-26:  
May the Lord bless thee, and keep thee.

The Lord make his face shine upon thee, and be gracious unto thee.

The Lord lift up his countenance upon thee, and give thee peace.

For this day and evermore, all God's people say Amen.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Representative Tarr.

**CERTIFICATION OF THE JOURNAL**

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the journal for the 109th, 110th, and 111th legislative days be approved as certified by the Chief Clerk. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

**MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE**

A message dated May 7 was read stating the Senate accepted the House invitation to meet in joint session at 11:00 a.m., May 11 to consider confirmation of the Governor's appointments.

A message dated May 7 was read stating the Senate passed the following, and it is transmitted for consideration:

**FIRST READING AND REFERENCE  
OF SENATE BILLS****SB 76**

SENATE BILL NO. 76 by Senator Kiehl, entitled:

"An Act relating to vehicles abandoned on private property."

was read the first time and referred to the Transportation Committee.

**REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES****HJR 7**

The State Affairs Committee considered:

**HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 7**

Proposing amendments to the Constitution of the State of Alaska relating to the Alaska permanent fund, appropriations from the permanent fund, and the permanent fund dividend.

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 7(STA)  
(same title)

The report was signed by Representative Kreiss-Tomkins, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do not pass (4): Claman, Eastman, Vance, Tarr

No recommendation (2): Story, Kreiss-Tomkins

Amend (1): Kaufman

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHJR 7(STA):

1. Zero, Office of the Governor

HJR 7 was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

### **HB 73**

The State Affairs Committee considered:

#### HOUSE BILL NO. 73

"An Act relating to use of income of the Alaska permanent fund; relating to the amount of the permanent fund dividend; relating to the duties of the commissioner of revenue; relating to an advisory vote on the permanent fund; providing for an effective date by repealing the effective date of sec. 8, ch. 16, SLA 2018; and providing for an effective date."

The report was signed by Representative Kreiss-Tomkins, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do not pass (5): Claman, Story, Eastman, Vance, Tarr

Amend (2): Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

1. Zero, Dept. of Revenue

2. Fiscal, Office of the Governor

3. Fiscal, Office of the Governor/Dept. of Revenue

HB 73 was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

**HB 87**

The Judiciary Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 87

"An Act relating to electric-assisted bicycles."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 87(JUD)

(same title)

The report was signed by Representative Claman, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (5): Vance, Kreiss-Tomkins, Drummond, Snyder, Claman

Amend (2): Eastman, Kurka

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 87(JUD):

1. Zero, Dept. of Administration

HB 87 was referred to the Rules Committee.

**HB 105**

The Health & Social Services Committee considered:

HOUSE BILL NO. 105

"An Act relating to the duties of the commissioner of corrections; relating to the detention of minors; relating to minors subject to adult courts; relating to the placement of minors in adult correctional facilities; and providing for an effective date."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 105(HSS)

"An Act relating to care of juveniles and to juvenile justice; relating to employment of juvenile probation officers by the Department of Health and Social Services; relating to the right to representation by the Public Defender Agency; relating to the

duties of the commissioner of corrections; relating to the detention of minors; relating to minors subject to adult courts; relating to the placement of minors in adult correctional facilities; relating to terms used in juvenile justice; relating to mandatory reporters of child abuse or neglect; relating to sexual assault in the third degree; relating to sexual assault in the fourth degree; repealing a requirement for administrative revocation of a minor's driver's license, permit, privilege to drive, or privilege to obtain a license for consumption or possession of alcohol or drugs; and providing for an effective date."

The report was signed by Representatives Zulkosky and Snyder, Co-chairs, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (4): Spohnholz, McCarty, Zulkosky, Snyder

No recommendation (3): Fields, Kurka, Prax

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 105(HSS):

1. Zero, Dept. of Corrections
2. Zero, Dept. of Health & Social Services
3. Zero, Dept. of Public Safety
4. Zero, Alaska Judiciary System

HB 105 was referred to the Rules Committee.

\*\*The presence of Representative Spohnholz was noted.

#### **SB 40**

The Labor & Commerce Committee considered:

#### SENATE BILL NO. 40

"An Act relating to veterans' benefits services and veterans' benefits appeal services."

The report was signed by Representatives Fields and Spohnholz, Co-chairs, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (6): Schrage, McCarty, Snyder, Kaufman, Fields, Spohnholz

The following fiscal note(s) apply:

1. Zero, Dept. of Military & Veterans' Affairs

SB 40 was referred to the Rules Committee.

### **INTRODUCTION OF CITATIONS**

The following citation was introduced and referred to the Rules Committee for placement on the calendar:

Honoring - Wilma Adams  
By Representative Edgmon

### **INTRODUCTION, FIRST READING, AND REFERENCE OF HOUSE BILLS**

#### **HB 206**

HOUSE BILL NO. 206 by By Representatives Kurka and Eastman, entitled:

"An Act interpreting the right to privacy under art. I, sec. 22, Constitution of the State of Alaska; defining 'abortion,' 'birth,' 'child,' 'conception,' 'natural person,' and 'preborn child'; relating to civil actions and liability under the Act; relating to murder of a child; repealing abortion procedures; amending the definition of 'person' for crimes against a person; repealing murder of an unborn child and penalties and provisions related to that crime; relating to the powers of guardians; relating to powers of attorney for health care decisions; relating to regulation of abortion; relating to medical treatment for minors; relating to relocation of a child; relating to the office of public advocacy; repealing medical assistance payment for abortions; relating to duties of the attorney general; relating to the limitation on the use of assets; and providing for an effective date."

was read the first time and referred to the Judiciary, State Affairs, and Health & Social Services Committees.

**HB 207**

HOUSE BILL NO. 207 by Representatives Eastman and Kurka, entitled:

"An Act relating to judicial impeachment; and providing for an effective date."

was read the first time and referred to the Judiciary and State Affairs Committees.

**CONSIDERATION OF THE DAILY CALENDAR****THIRD READING OF HOUSE BILLS****HB 71**

The Speaker stated that the following, which was returned to the Rules Committee (page 851), would be moved to the bottom of the calendar:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 71(FIN)

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and capital expenses of the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program; making supplemental appropriations; and providing for an effective date."

**HOUSE BILLS IN THIRD READING****HB 69**

The following, which was returned to the Rules Committee (page 851), was before the House in third reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 69(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 69(FIN) am be returned to second reading for the purpose of hearing all amendments that were received by the amendment deadline of 12:30 p.m., May 1. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent, citing Mason's Manual 357(b), to limit debate to two minutes on HB 69 and the amendments to the bill.

Representative Eastman objected.

The question being: "Shall the debate be limited to 2 minutes on CSHB 69(FIN) am? The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Limit Debate to 2 minutes

**YEAS: 23 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the motion passed.

Amendment No. 5 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 16, line 29:

Delete "**176,878,100**"

Insert "**176,838,100**"

Delete "**98,816,500**"

Insert "**98,776,500**"

Page 16, line 30:

Delete "**9,802,700**"

Insert "**9,762,700**"



Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 5 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 5 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 5

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 5 was adopted.

Amendment No. 6 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 16, line 29:

Delete "**176,878,100**"

Insert "**176,465,300**"

Delete "**98,816,500**"

Insert "**98,403,700**"

Page 17, line 3:

Delete "**73,034,000**"

Insert "**72,621,200**"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 6 be adopted.

Representative Tarr objected.

Representative Claman moved and asked unanimous consent to abstain from voting because of a conflict of interest. Objection was heard, and he was required to vote.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 6 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 6

**YEAS: 16 NAYS: 23 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 6 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 7 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 16, line 29:

Delete "**176,878,100**"

Insert "**176,829,500**"

Delete "**98,816,500**"

Insert "**98,784,800**"

Delete "**78,061,600**"

Insert "**78,044,700**"

Page 17, line 3:

Delete "**73,034,000**"

Insert "**72,985,400**"

Page 43, line 17:

Delete "2,070,413,100"

Insert "2,070,396,200"

Page 43, line 19:

Delete "235,264,700"

Insert "235,233,000"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 7 be adopted.

Representative Zulkosky objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 7 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 7

**YEAS: 21 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Stutes, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 7 was adopted.

Amendment No. 8 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 16, line 29:

Delete "**176,878,100**"

Insert "**176,858,900**"

Delete "**98,816,500**"

Insert "**98,804,200**"

Delete "78,061,600"

Insert "78,054,700"

Page 17, line 3:

Delete "73,034,000"

Insert "73,014,800"

Page 43, line 17:

Delete "2,070,413,100"

Insert "2,070,406,200"

Page 43, line 19:

Delete "235,264,700"

Insert "235,252,400"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 8 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 8 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 8

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 8 was adopted.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action on failing to adopt Amendment No. 6 (page 945). Objection was heard and withdrawn. There being no further objection, it was so ordered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 6 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 6

**YEAS: 15 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Prax, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so Amendment No. 6 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 9 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 16, line 29:

Delete "**176,878,100**"

Insert "**176,305,100**"

Delete "**98,816,500**"

Insert "**98,771,700**"

Delete "**78,061,600**"

Insert "**77,533,400**"

Page 17, line 19:

Delete "**15,854,100**"

Insert "**15,281,100**"

Page 43, line 17:

Delete "**2,070,413,100**"

Insert "**2,069,884,900**"

Page 43, line 19:

Delete "235,264,700"

Insert "235,219,900"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 9 be adopted.

Representative Josephson rose to a point of order regarding the redundancy of the amendment.

The Speaker stated that the amendment was in order.

Representative Zulkosky objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 9 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 9

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 9 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 10 was offered by Representative Prax:

Page 16, line 29:

Delete "176,878,100"

Insert "176,762,800"

Delete "98,816,500"

Insert "98,701,200"

May 10, 2021

951

Page 17, line 22:

Delete "11,462,600"

Insert "11,347,300"

Page 43, line 18:

Delete "748,056,400"

Insert "747,941,100"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Prax moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 10 be adopted.

Representative Fields objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 10 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 10

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 10 was not adopted.

Amendment Nos. 11, 14, and 21 were not offered.

Amendment No. 24 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 24, lines 14 - 16:

Delete "**Except Contracts Relating to Interpretation of Janus v AFSCME**"

Page 25, lines 16 - 20:  
Delete all material.

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 24 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 24 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 24

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 24 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 25 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 106, following line 16:

Insert a new bill section to read:

**\*\* Sec. 58. CONTINGENCY.** The appropriations made to the Office of the Governor, division of elections, in secs. 1, 4, 7, and 43(b) and (c) of this Act are contingent on the passage by the Thirty-Second Alaska State Legislature and enactment into law of a bill increasing the security of statewide elections."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.



Page 106, line 22:

Delete "secs. 58 - 60"

Insert "secs. 59 - 61"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 25 be adopted.

Representative Fields objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 25 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 25

**YEAS: 13 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 25 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 27 was offered by Representative Carpenter:

Page 49, line 1, through page 52, line 17:

Delete all material and insert:

"\* **Sec. 3.** The following sets out the statewide funding for the appropriations made in sec. 1 of this Act.

Funding Source	Amount
<b>Unrestricted General</b>	
1003 General Fund Match	783,157,500
1004 Unrestricted General Fund Receipts	1,723,462,400
*** Total Unrestricted General ***	2,506,619,900
<b>Designated General</b>	
1005 General Fund/Program Receipts	145,825,700

## HOUSE JOURNAL

954

May 10, 2021

1021	Agricultural Revolving Loan Fund	284,500
1031	Second Injury Fund Reserve Account	2,852,900
1032	Fishermen's Fund	1,411,300
1036	Commercial Fishing Loan Fund	4,468,000
1040	Real Estate Recovery Fund	297,400
1048	University of Alaska Restricted Receipts	304,203,800
1049	Training and Building Fund	775,100
1062	Power Project Fund	995,500
1070	Fisheries Enhancement Revolving Loan Fund	632,400
1074	Bulk Fuel Revolving Loan Fund	57,300
1109	Test Fisheries Receipts	3,432,200
1141	Regulatory Commission of Alaska Receipts	11,786,100
1154	Shore Fisheries Development Lease Program	363,600
1155	Timber Sale Receipts	1,033,300
1156	Receipt Supported Services	19,772,900
1162	Alaska Oil & Gas Conservation Commission Receipts	7,726,500
1164	Rural Development Initiative Fund	60,200
1170	Small Business Economic Development Revolving Loan Fund	57,000
1200	Vehicle Rental Tax Receipts	10,563,300
1201	Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Receipts	7,514,100
1202	Anatomical Gift Awareness Fund	80,000
1210	Renewable Energy Grant Fund	1,400,000
1216	Boat Registration Fees	496,900
1223	Commercial Charter Fisheries RLF	19,600
1224	Mariculture RLF	19,900
1227	Alaska Microloan RLF	9,700
1234	Special License Plates Receipts	1,000
1247	Medicaid Monetary Recoveries	219,800
1249	Motor Fuel Tax Receipts	31,689,200
	*** Total Designated General ***	504,574,400

**Other Non-Duplicated**

1017	Group Health and Life Benefits Fund	64,055,100
1018	Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trust--Civil	2,698,500
1023	FICA Administration Fund Account	132,200
1024	Fish and Game Fund	35,013,600
1027	International Airports Revenue Fund	94,067,100
1029	Public Employees Retirement Trust Fund	24,601,300

HOUSE JOURNAL

May 10, 2021

955

1034	Teachers Retirement Trust Fund	10,700,900
1042	Judicial Retirement System	447,000
1045	National Guard & Naval Militia Retirement System	508,400
1066	Public School Trust Fund	633,500
1093	Clean Air Protection Fund	4,603,300
1101	Alaska Aerospace Corporation Fund	2,829,500
1102	Alaska Industrial Development & Export Authority Receipts	8,618,100
1103	Alaska Housing Finance Corporation Receipts	35,382,800
1104	Alaska Municipal Bond Bank Receipts	905,300
1105	Permanent Fund Corporation Gross Receipts	160,727,900
1106	Alaska Student Loan Corporation Receipts	9,573,500
1107	Alaska Energy Authority Corporate Receipts	780,700
1108	Statutory Designated Program Receipts	83,014,100
1117	Voc Rehab Small Business Enterprise Revolving Fund (Federal)	124,200
1205	Berth Fees for the Ocean Ranger Program	2,418,100
1214	Whittier Tunnel Toll Receipts	1,785,400
1215	Unified Carrier Registration Receipts	677,900
1230	Alaska Clean Water Administrative Fund	779,900
1231	Alaska Drinking Water Administrative Fund	391,700
1244	Rural Airport Receipts	7,250,500
	*** Total Other Non-Duplicated ***	551,713,400

**Federal Receipts**

1002	Federal Receipts	2,817,682,700
1013	Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Revolving Loan Fund	2,000
1014	Donated Commodity/Handling Fee Account	491,200
1016	CSSD Federal Incentive Payments	1,796,100
1033	Surplus Federal Property Revolving Fund	541,300
1043	Federal Impact Aid for K-12 Schools	20,791,000
1133	CSSD Administrative Cost Reimbursement	930,400
1265	COVID-19 Federal	18,145,600
1267	FTA Coronavirus Response and Relief Appropriations Act	1,945,100
1269	Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund	83,000,000
	*** Total Federal Receipts ***	2,945,325,400

**Other Duplicated**

1007	Interagency Receipts	449,822,700
1026	Highways Equipment Working Capital Fund	35,584,100
1050	Permanent Fund Dividend Fund	25,519,200
1055	Interagency/Oil & Hazardous Waste	998,300
1061	Capital Improvement Project Receipts	201,620,700
1081	Information Services Fund	56,771,100
1145	Art in Public Places Fund	30,000
1147	Public Building Fund	15,436,100
1171	Restorative Justice Account	8,433,300
1174	University of Alaska Intra-Agency Transfers	58,121,000
1220	Crime Victim Compensation Fund	1,007,100
1232	In-State Natural Gas Pipeline Fund--Interagency	29,900
1235	Alaska Liquefied Natural Gas Project Fund	3,081,600
1236	Alaska Liquefied Natural Gas Project Fund I/A	620,500
1245	Rural Airport Lease I/A	260,800
*** Total Other Duplicated ***		857,336,400

(SECTION 4 OF THIS ACT BEGINS ON THE NEXT PAGE)"

Page 81, line 6:

Delete "power cost equalization endowment fund  
(AS 42.45.070(a))"

Insert "general fund"

Page 81, lines 14 - 15:

Delete "civil legal services fund (AS 37.05.590)"

Insert "general fund"

Page 94, line 1:

Delete "sec. 48(i)"

Insert "sec. 48(h)"

Page 96, lines 19 - 22:

Delete all material and insert:

"(g) The sum of \$30,000,000 is appropriated from the general fund to the community assistance fund (AS 29.60.850)."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 96, line 29:

Delete "(i)"

Insert "(h)"

Page 104, lines 24 - 25:

Delete "proceeds of the aviation fuel tax or surcharge levied under AS 43.40"

Insert "general fund"

Page 105, following line 30:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) The sum of \$195,957,400 is appropriated to the general fund from the budget reserve fund (art. IX, sec. 17, Constitution of the State of Alaska)."

Reletter the following subsections accordingly.

Page 105, line 31, following "Act":

Insert "and (b) of this section"

Page 106, line 5:

Delete "(a) and (b)"

Insert "(a) - (c)"

Representative Carpenter moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 27 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Claman rose to a point of order regarding keeping comments to the amendment.

The Speaker advised the member to confine remarks to the amendment.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 27 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 27

**YEAS: 15 NAYS: 24 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 27 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 28 was offered by Representative Cronk:

Page 28, line 5:

Delete "**16,727,600**"

Insert "**16,527,600**"

Delete "**10,285,900**"

Insert "**10,085,900**"

Page 28, line 6:

Delete "**14,160,200**"

Insert "**13,960,200**"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 28 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Cronk moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 28. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 29 was offered by Representative Thompson:

Page 3, line 10:

Delete "**18,244,900**"

Insert "**17,751,800**"

Delete "**12,585,500**"

Insert "**12,092,400**"

Page 3, following line 10:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that Shared Services of Alaska prioritize cost-saving efforts and achieve a cost savings of at least five percent."

Page 3, line 16:

Delete "8,940,800"

Insert "8,611,400"

Page 3, line 18:

Delete "7,121,100"

Insert "6,957,400"

Page 40, line 8:

Delete "81,373,200"

Insert "80,880,100"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Thompson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 29 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 29 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 29

**YEAS: 39 NAYS: 0 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 29 was adopted.

Amendment No. 30 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 106, following line 16:

Insert a new bill section to read:

"\* **Sec. 58.** CONTINGENCY. The appropriation made to the Department of Education and Early Development, Alaska State Council on the Arts, in sec. 1 of this Act is contingent on the passage by the Thirty-Second Alaska State Legislature and enactment into law of a bill implementing or providing additional protections for the right to keep and bear arms."

Renumber the following bill sections accordingly.

Page 106, line 22:

Delete "secs. 58 - 60"

Insert "secs. 59 - 61"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 30 be adopted.

Representative Rasmussen objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 30 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 30

**YEAS: 8 NAYS: 31 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kurka, McCabe, Rauscher, Tilton

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky



Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 30 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 31 was offered by Representative McCabe:

Page 13, line 5:

Delete "**19,681,300**"

Insert "**19,218,600**"

Delete "**13,615,400**"

Insert "**13,204,100**"

Delete "**6,065,900**"

Insert "**6,014,500**"

Page 13, line 6:

Delete "19,681,300"

Insert "19,218,600"

Page 42, line 9:

Delete "24,499,900"

Insert "24,470,100"

Page 42, line 15:

Delete "15,632,200"

Insert "15,220,900"

Page 42, line 20:

Delete "1,471,300"

Insert "1,449,700"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative McCabe moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 31 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 31 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 31

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 31 was adopted.

Amendment No. 32 was not offered.

Amendment No. 33 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that the state not require membership in a private organization as a prerequisite for state employment."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 33 be adopted.

Representative Tuck objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 33 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 33

**YEAS: 13 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McKay, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 33 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 34 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that the complete monetary and nonmonetary terms of an employment contract that requires legislative approval be submitted to the legislature and read across on the floor at least one week before the approval is voted on by either house of the legislature."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 34 be adopted.

Representative Tuck objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 34 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 34

**YEAS: 16 NAYS: 23 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 34 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 35 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that a department may not expend funds in excess of the funds appropriated in this budget. A department whose expenditures exceed budgeted amounts at the end of the fiscal year, except those funds spent in the furtherance of public safety or pursuant to declared emergencies, should expect those expenses to be drawn from the department's budget for the next fiscal year."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 35 be adopted.

Representative Spohnholz objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 35 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 35

**YEAS: 13 NAYS: 26 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 35 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 37 was offered by Representative Vance:

Page 37, following line 25:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that state funds appropriated to the University of Alaska not be used to enforce a regulation or policy of the statewide university system that denies or infringes on the individual right to keep and bear arms under art. I, sec. 19, Constitution of the State of Alaska."

Representative Vance moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 37 be adopted.

Representative Hopkins objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 37 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 37

**YEAS: 19 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Stutes, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 37 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 38 was offered by Representatives Eastman and Hopkins:

Page 10, line 21, following "learning":

Insert "and that no appropriations of state or federal funds be used to mandate implementation of statewide standards for instruction in social and emotional learning"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 38 be adopted. There being no objection, Amendment No. 38 was adopted.

The Speaker stated that the House would recess until 1:15 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 12:11 p.m.

### **AFTER AT RECESS**

The Speaker called the House back to order at 1:15 p.m.

### **HOUSE BILLS IN THIRD READING**

#### **HB 69**

The following was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 69(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 39 was offered by Representative Gillham:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that no state funds be used to promote the creation or expansion of a municipality where one does not already exist unless the residents in the affected jurisdiction have expressed the desire to reside in a municipality through a public vote on the matter."

Representative Gillham moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 39 be adopted.

There was objection.

Representative Gillham moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 39. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 40 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that at the time of hire, and at least annually thereafter, the applicable department notify each member of a collective bargaining agreement of the employee's right under AS 23.40.225 to decline to have any portion of the employee's paycheck used by a public employee union."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 40 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 40 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 40

**YEAS: 12 NAYS: 27 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 40 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 41 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 33, following line 6:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Board of Trustees of the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation provide to the Legislative Finance Division and the Co-Chairs of the Finance Committees, not later than June 30, 2022, a report listing the financial and investment assets of the state, a political subdivision, a public enterprise, or a public corporation of the state whose management by the Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation would be in the public interest."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 41 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 41 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 41

**YEAS: 14 NAYS: 25 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**



Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 41 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 42 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 4, following line 12:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that this appropriation not be used to implement or maintain the collection of biometric data compatible with facial recognition software."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 42 be adopted.

Representative Fields objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 42 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 42

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Tuck, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 42 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 43 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 4, following line 12:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Department of Administration provide to the Legislative Finance Division and the Co-Chairs of the Finance Committees, not later than December 1, 2021, a plan to acquire the equipment necessary to produce an Alaska driver's license that does not require sending the personal information of Alaska residents to a foreign-owned corporation or foreign government."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 43 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 43 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 43

**YEAS: 25 NAYS: 14 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Gillham, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Snyder, Stutes, Tilton, Tuck, Vance

Nays: Claman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Schrage, Spohnholz, Story, Tarr, Thompson, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 43 was adopted.

Amendment No. 44 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that an appropriation for the purpose of paying salary and benefits for a state position may not be expended for any other purpose if the position has been continuously vacant for longer than 18 months."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 44 be adopted.

Representative Fields objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 44 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 44

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Stutes, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 44 was adopted.

Amendment No. 45 was offered by Representative Johnson:

Page 20, line 22:

Delete "**2,336,917,700**"

Insert "**2,304,917,700**"

Delete "**564,729,200**"

Insert "**532,729,200**"

Page 20, line 31:

Delete "2,309,913,200"

Insert "2,277,913,200"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Johnson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 45 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 45 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 45

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 45 was not adopted.

Representative Edgmon moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 44 (page 971). There being no objection, it was so ordered.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 44 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 44

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 44 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 46 was offered by Representative Johnson:

Page 5, lines 6 - 7:  
Delete all material.

Page 15, line 5:  
Delete "**13,275,800**"  
Insert "**13,486,600**"  
Delete "**10,093,000**"  
Insert "**10,303,800**"

Page 15, line 6:  
Delete "11,115,700"  
Insert "11,326,500"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Johnson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 46 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 46 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 46

**YEAS: 19 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 46 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 47 was offered by Representative Johnson:

Page 19, following line 7:

Insert new material to read:

"The amount allocated for Nursing includes a \$1,250,000 increment that is a one-time appropriation and is not intended to recur for fiscal year 2023."

Representative Johnson moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 47 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 47 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 47

**YEAS: 19 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 47 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 51 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 10, line 28, following "endorsement":

Insert ", be submitted to the legislature"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 51 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 51 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 51

**YEAS: 31 NAYS: 8 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Gillham, Hopkins, Johnson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Vance, Wool

Nays: Claman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Josephson, Tuck, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 51 was adopted.

Amendment No. 52 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that, to the extent legally permissible, no appropriation be made to an entity that requires its employees or members of the public to receive a vaccination for novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) or that discriminates against an employee or member of the public for not having received a vaccination for COVID-19."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 52 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 52 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, line 7 of the amendment:

Insert:

""(b) It is the intent of the legislature that the Department of Education & Early Development shall restrict and regulate all local school districts and REEA's from implementing curriculum that applies critical race theory including other concepts that are defined as:

- (1) one race or sex is inherently superior to another race or sex;
- (2) an individual, by virtue of the individual's race or sex, is inherently racist, sexist, or oppressive, whether consciously or unconsciously;
- (3) an individual should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment solely or partly because of the individual's race;
- (4) members of one race cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to race;
- (5) an individual's moral character is necessarily determined



- by the individual's race or sex;
- (6) an individual, by virtue of the individual's race or sex, bears responsibility, fault, or blame for past actions by other members of the same race or sex;
- (7) an individual should feel discomfort, guilt, anguish, or another form of psychological distress because of the individual's race or sex; and
- (8) meritocracy or traits such as having a hard work ethic are racist or sexist, or were created or promoted by a particular race or sex to oppress another race or sex."

Reletter the following sections accordingly."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 52 be adopted.

The Speaker ruled Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 52 out of order.

Representative Eastman objected.

The question being: "Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 52/Ruled out of order

Sustain Ruling of the Chair

**YEAS: 25 NAYS: 14 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the ruling of the Chair was sustained.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 52 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 52

**YEAS: 18 NAYS: 21 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

Shaw changed from "NAY" to "YEA"

And so, Amendment No. 52 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 53 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that no state funds be used to promote the creation or expansion of a borough where one does not already exist unless the residents in the affected jurisdiction have expressed the desire to reside in a borough through a public vote on the matter."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 53 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 53 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 53

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 53 was adopted.

Amendment No. 55 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that no state appropriation result in the creation of an unfunded mandate."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 55 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 55 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 55

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Stutes, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 55 was adopted.

Amendment No. 56 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that any money appropriated for the purpose of paying salaries and benefits for state employees that is not expended for that purpose lapses into the general fund at the end of the fiscal year."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 56 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 56 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 56

**YEAS: 19 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 56 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 57 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that departments continue to identify additional means of reducing spending so that per capita state spending is reduced to not more than twice the national average by the end of fiscal year 2023."

Page 106, line 19, following "20,":

Insert "29(b),"

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 57 be adopted.

Representative Kreiss-Tomkins objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 57 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 57

**YEAS: 12 NAYS: 27 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 57 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 58 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that a department that has submitted a fiscal note to the legislature promptly notify the legislative finance division if it appears that an expenditure by the department is likely to exceed the amount previously reported to the legislature."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 58 be adopted.

Representative Hopkins objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 58 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 58

**YEAS: 17 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 58 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 59 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 77, line 6:

Delete "COSTS OF JOB RECLASSIFICATIONS."

Insert "LEGISLATIVE INTENT. (a)"

Page 77, following line 8:

Insert a new subsection to read:

"(b) It is the intent of the legislature that an appropriation to the Community Assistance Program may not be distributed to individuals who, under 3 AAC 180.110(b)(1), are not considered a social unit."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 59 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 59 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 59

**YEAS: 12 NAYS: 27 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Prax, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 59 was not adopted.

The Speaker stated that, without objection, the House would recess to 3:05 p.m.; and so, the House recessed at 2:50 p.m.

#### **AFTER RECESS**

The Speaker called the House back to order at 3:09 p.m.

**HOUSE BILLS IN THIRD READING****HB 69**

The following was before the House in second reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 69(FIN) am

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; making appropriations under art. IX, sec. 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska, from the constitutional budget reserve fund; and providing for an effective date."

Amendment No. 60 was offered by Representative Kurka:

Page 20, line 22:

Delete "**Medicaid Services**"

Insert "**Medicaid Services Except Services For or Relating to Abortion**"

Page 20, following line 33:

Insert new material to read:

**"Medicaid Services For or Relating to Abortion      0   0   0"**

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 60 be adopted.

Representative Spohnholz objected.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 60 was offered by Representative Kurka:

Page 1, following line 3 of the amendment:

Insert new material to read:

Delete "**2,336,917,700**"

Insert "**2,336,567,700**"

Delete "**564,729,200**"

Insert "**564,379,200**"



Page 20, line 31:

Delete "2,309,913,200"

Insert "2,309,563,200"

Page 1, following line 7 of the amendment:

Insert new material to read:

"Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly."

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 60 be adopted.

Representative Tarr objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 60 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 60

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 60 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 60 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 60 as amended

**YEAS: 21 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Stutes, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 60 as amended was adopted.

Amendment No. 66 was offered by Representative Kurka:

Page 20, following line 6:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that if the Department of Health and Social Services pays for abortion or abortion-related services, the salaries of the commissioner and deputy commissioner of health and social services be reduced by 50 percent."

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 66 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 66 was offered by Representative Kurka:

Page 1, lines 3 - 5 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"If the Department of Health and Social Services pays for abortion or abortion-related services, the appropriation made from the general fund to the Department of Health and Social Services, departmental support services, commissioner's office, for the salaries of the commissioner and deputy commissioner of health and social services is reduced by \$215,713."

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 66 be adopted.

Representative Fields objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 66 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 66

**YEAS: 7 NAYS: 32 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Kurka, McCabe, Nelson, Rauscher, Tilton

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 66 was not adopted.

Representative Kurka moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 66. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Amendment No. 67 was offered by Representatives Snyder, Foster, and Merrick:

Page 18, line 16:

Delete "**273,378,200**"

Insert "**276,883,200**"

Delete "**109,800,700**"

Insert "**111,517,100**"

Delete "**163,577,500**"

Insert "**165,366,100**"

Page 18, following line 16:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature to revisit long-term vacant positions within the Division of Public Assistance and explore additional changes in response to technological efficiencies."

Page 18, line 28:

Delete "53,354,500"

Insert "56,859,500"

Page 43, line 17:

Delete "2,070,413,100"

Insert "2,072,201,700"

Page 43, line 18:

Delete "748,056,400"

Insert "749,772,800"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Snyder moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 67 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 67 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 67

**YEAS: 23 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 67 was adopted.

Representative Ortiz moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 31 (page 961).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 31?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 31  
Rescind

**YEAS: 23 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the motion passed.

Representative Eastman rose to a point of order regarding the decorum while voting and that it is not proper to attempt to influence a vote while the vote is being taken.

The Speaker ruled that the point was well taken and advised the members to refrain from speaking while the roll was open.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 31 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 31

**YEAS: 19 NAYS: 20 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 31 was not adopted.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 26 (page 828).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in adopting Amendment No. 26?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 26  
Rescind

**YEAS: 21 NAYS: 18 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the motion passed.

Representative Tarr, citing Section 123 of Mason's Manual, rose to a point of order regarding impugning the motives of other members.

The Speaker cautioned the member to confine remarks to the amendment.

Representative Tuck rose to a point of order regarding debating the amendment and not the rescission.

The Speaker stated that the point was well taken.

The Speaker requested the member to be seated.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 26 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 26

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 26 was adopted.

Amendment No. 68 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 2, line 12:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Centralized Administrative Services 95,564,900 12,177,800  
83,387,100  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 3, line 10:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Shared Services of Alaska 18,244,900 5,659,400 12,585,500"**

**Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 3, line 20:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Office of Information Technology 56,771,100      56,771,100  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 3, line 23:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Administration State Facilities Rent      506,200      506,200  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 3, line 26:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Public Communications Services      3,596,100      3,496,100  
100,000  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 3, line 32:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Risk Management      40,525,700      40,525,700  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 4, line 6:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Legal and Advocacy Services 57,369,300 56,011,900 1,357,400  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 4, line 9:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Alaska Public Offices Commission      1,052,700      1,052,700  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**



Page 4, line 12:

Delete all material and insert:

**"Motor Vehicles 17,896,400 17,336,000 560,400  
Except for Facilitation of the Collection  
of Union Dues"**

Page 4, following line 13:

Insert new material to read:

**"Facilitation of the Collection of 1,000 1,000  
Union Dues**

Facilitation of the Collection of 1,000  
Union Dues"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 68 be adopted.

Representative Josephson objected.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 68 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am  
Second Reading  
Amendment No. 68

**YEAS: 17 NAYS: 22 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Rauscher, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 68 was not adopted.

Amendment No. 70 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 30, following line 19:

Insert new material to read:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Alaska Police Standards Council provide to the Legislative Finance Division and the Co-Chairs of the Finance Committees, not later than December 1, 2021, a report detailing the extent to which police, probation, parole, and correctional officers receive, before receiving certification in the state, instruction concerning their duty to intervene."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 70 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 70 was not offered.

Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 70 was offered by Representatives Kreiss-Tomkins, Edgmon, Merrick, Rasmussen, Fields, Wool, and Foster:

Page 1, line 7 following "intervene.":

Insert "In addition, it is the intent of the legislature that the Department of Public Safety submit a report detailing options for boroughs with over 100,000 population and sufficient tax base to assume financial responsibility for the state-provided public safety services they receive."

Representative Kreiss-Tomkins moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 70 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 70 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CASHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 70

**YEAS: 37 NAYS: 2 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Eastman, Kurka

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 2 to Amendment No. 70 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 70 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 70 as amended

**YEAS: 33 NAYS: 6 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Josephson, Tarr, Vance, Wool

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 70 as amended was adopted.

Amendment No. 32 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 10, lines 20-21:

Delete "It is the intent of the legislature that federal funds be used to create statewide standards for instruction in social and emotional learning."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 32 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 32 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 1, lines 1-4 of the amendment:

Delete all material and insert:

"Page 10, following line 21 insert:

"It is the intent of the legislature that the Department of Education & Early Development shall restrict and regulate all local school districts and REEA's from implementing curriculum that applies critical race theory including other concepts that are defined as:

- (1) one race or sex is inherently superior to another race or sex;
- (2) an individual, by virtue of the individual's race or sex, is inherently racist, sexist, or oppressive, whether consciously or unconsciously;
- (3) an individual should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment solely or partly because of the individual's race;
- (4) members of one race cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to race;
- (5) an individual's moral character is necessarily determined by the individual's race or sex;
- (6) an individual, by virtue of the individual's race or sex, bears responsibility, fault, or blame for past actions by other members of the same race or sex;
- (7) an individual should feel discomfort, guilt, anguish, or another form of psychological distress because of the individual's race or sex; and
- (8) meritocracy or traits such as having a hard work ethic are racist or sexist, or were created or promoted by a particular race or sex to oppress another race or sex."

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 32 be adopted.

The Speaker, citing Section 402.5 of Mason's Manual, ruled Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 32 out of order.

Representative Eastman objected.

The question being: "Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Sustain the ruling of the Chair

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 32/Ruled out of order

**YEAS: 23 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the ruling of the Chair was sustained.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to withdraw Amendment No. 32. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent to rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No 42 (page 969).

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall the House rescind previous action in failing to adopt Amendment No 42?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 42

Rescind

**YEAS: 26 NAYS: 13 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Foster, Gillham, Hopkins, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Edgmon, Fields, Hannan, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Ortiz, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the motion passed.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 42 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 42

**YEAS: 20 NAYS: 19 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance

Nays: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 42 was adopted.

Amendment Nos. 36, 48-50, and 54 were not taken up.

CSHB 69(FIN) am was automatically in third reading.

The question being: "Shall CSHB 69(FIN) am pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Third Reading

Final Passage

**YEAS: 23 NAYS: 16 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, CSHB 69(FIN) am passed the House.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the appropriations from the constitutional budget reserve fund (Article IX, Section 17(c), Constitution of the State of Alaska) be adopted.

The question being: "Shall the House adopt the appropriations from the constitutional budget reserve fund?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am

Third Reading

Constitutional Budget Reserve Appropriations

**YEAS: 24 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, lacking the required 30 votes, the appropriations from the constitutional budget reserve fund were not adopted, and the new title follows:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 69(FIN) am(brf sup maj fld)

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan

HOUSE JOURNAL

1000

May 10, 2021

program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations; and providing for an effective date."

Representative Tuck moved the effective date clause.

The question being: "Shall the effective date clause be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 69(FIN) am(brf sup maj fld)

Third Reading

Effective Date

**YEAS: 24 NAYS: 15 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, lacking the required 27 votes, the effective date clause was not adopted, and the new title follows:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 69(FIN) am(brf sup maj fld)(efd fld)

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and loan program expenses of state government and for certain programs; capitalizing funds; amending appropriations; and making capital appropriations, supplemental appropriations, and reappropriations."

CSHB 69(FIN) am(brf sup maj fld)(efd fld) was referred to the Chief Clerk for engrossment.



**THIRD READING OF HOUSE BILLS****HB 71**

The following, which was moved to the bottom of the calendar (page 943), was read the third time:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 71(FIN)

"An Act making appropriations for the operating and capital expenses of the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program; making supplemental appropriations; and providing for an effective date."

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that CSHB 71(FIN) be returned to second reading for all amendments. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Tuck, citing Section 357(b) of Mason's Manual, moved and asked unanimous consent to limit debate to two minutes on HB 71 and the amendments to the bill.

Representative Eastman objected.

The question being: "Shall the debate be limited to 2 minutes on CSHB 71(FIN)?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN)

Second Reading

Limit debate to 2 minutes

**YEAS: 22 NAYS: 17 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Cronk, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the motion passed.

HOUSE JOURNAL

1002

May 10, 2021

Amendment No. 1 was offered by Representatives Wool, Thompson, Merrick, Foster, Edgmon, and Stutes:

Page 7, line 24:

Delete "**5,167,100**"

Insert "**4,667,100**"

Delete "**987,200**"

Insert "**487,200**"

Page 7, line 25:

Delete "4,679,900"

Insert "4,179,900"

Page 9, line 21:

Delete "138,785,200"

Insert "138,285,200"

Page 9, line 23:

Delete "20,624,500"

Insert "21,124,500"

Page 10, line 13:

Delete all material.

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative Wool moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN)

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1

**YEAS: 39 NAYS: 0 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool, Zulkosky

Excused: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 1 was adopted.

Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative McCarty:

Page 4, line 20:

Delete "**5,626,900**" in both places

Insert "**2,226,900**" in both places

Page 4, line 23:

Delete "3,548,500"

Insert "148,500"

Page 9, line 24:

Delete "10,825,900"

Insert "7,425,900"

Adjust fund sources and totals accordingly.

Representative McCarty moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

There was objection.

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2 was offered by Representative McCarty:

Page 1, line 10 of the amendment:

Delete: "10,825,900"

Insert: "14,225,900"

Representative McCarty moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2

**YEAS: 31 NAYS: 8 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1**

Yeas: Carpenter, Claman, Cronk, Eastman, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kreiss-Tomkins, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Merrick, Nelson, Prax, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Vance, Wool

Nays: Drummond, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Ortiz, Spohnholz, Story, Zulkosky

Absent: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 1 to Amendment No. 2 was adopted.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 2 as amended be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 2 as amended

**YEAS: 16 NAYS: 23 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Thompson, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Absent: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 2 as amended was not adopted.

Amendment No. 3 was offered by Representative Eastman:

Page 6, lines 28-29:

Delete all material

Representative Eastman moved and asked unanimous consent that Amendment No. 3 be adopted.

There was objection.

The question being: "Shall Amendment No. 3 be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN) am

Second Reading

Amendment No. 3

**YEAS: 12 NAYS: 27 EXCUSED: 0 ABSENT: 1**

Yeas: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Johnson, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McKay, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Tilton, Vance

Nays: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Prax, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Absent: Patkotak

And so, Amendment No. 3 was not adopted.

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that Representative Patkotak be excused from the call of the House today. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

CSHB 71(FIN) am was automatically in third reading.

The question being: "Shall CSHB 71(FIN) am pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN) am

Third Reading

Final Passage

**YEAS: 25 NAYS: 14 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

HOUSE JOURNAL

1006

May 10, 2021

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, Merrick, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Schrage, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Kaufman, Kurka, McCabe, McCarty, McKay, Nelson, Prax, Rauscher, Shaw, Tilton, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, CSHB 71(FIN) am passed the House.

Representative Tuck moved the effective date clause.

The question being: "Shall the effective date clause be adopted?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 71(FIN) am

Third Reading

Effective Date

**YEAS: 31 NAYS: 8 EXCUSED: 1 ABSENT: 0**

Yeas: Claman, Cronk, Drummond, Edgmon, Fields, Foster, Hannan, Hopkins, Johnson, Josephson, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeBon, McCabe, McCarty, Merrick, Nelson, Ortiz, Rasmussen, Rauscher, Schrage, Shaw, Snyder, Spohnholz, Story, Stutes, Tarr, Thompson, Tilton, Tuck, Wool, Zulkosky

Nays: Carpenter, Eastman, Gillham, Kaufman, Kurka, McKay, Prax, Vance

Excused: Patkotak

And so, the effective date clause was adopted.

CSHB 71(FIN) am was referred to the Chief Clerk for engrossment.

**LEGISLATIVE CITATIONS**

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the House approve the citations on the calendar. There being no objection, the following citations were approved and sent to enrolling:

Honoring - Susan West  
By Representative McKay

Honoring - Robin Jones  
By Representative Edgmon

Honoring - Deb Hickok  
By Senator Kawasaki; Representatives Thompson, Hopkins

Honoring - Kent Fielding, Drama, Debate, and Forensics 2021 Coach  
of the Year  
By Senator Kiehl; Representatives Hannan, Story

Honoring - Golden Valley Electric Association  
By Senators Kawasaki, Bishop; Representative Hopkins

In Memoriam - Terrence Cole  
By Representatives Thompson, Hopkins; Senator Kawasaki

In Memoriam - Roger Marty  
By Senator Kawasaki

### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the following members be excused from a call of the House. There being no objection, the members were excused as noted:

Representative Drummond – from 7:00 a.m., June 6 to 8:00 a.m., June 9

Representative Ortiz – from 6:00 a.m., June 8 to 10:00 p.m., June 15

Representative Claman – from 7:00 a.m., June 16 to 11:00 p.m., June 29

Representative Cronk – from 12:00 p.m., June 18 to 12:00 p.m., June 22

### **SB 21**

The Speaker added a Finance Committee referral to the following:

HOUSE JOURNAL

1008

May 10, 2021

SENATE BILL NO. 21

"An Act relating to mobile intensive care paramedics; relating to duties of the State Medical Board and the Department of Health and Social Services; and providing for an effective date."

SB 21 was referred to the Finance Committee.

**ENGROSSMENT**

**HB 69**

CSHB 69(FIN) am(brf sup maj fld)(efd fld) was engrossed, signed by the Speaker and Chief Clerk, and transmitted to the Senate for consideration.

**HB 71**

CSHB 71(FIN) am was engrossed, signed by the Speaker and Chief Clerk, and transmitted to the Senate for consideration.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

House committee schedules are published under separate cover.

The following meeting today was changed:

Labor & Commerce Committee

CANCELED

**ADJOURNMENT**

Representative Tuck moved and asked unanimous consent that the House adjourn until 11:00 a.m., May 11 for a joint session. The House adjourned at 6:50 p.m.

Crystaline Jones  
Chief Clerk