

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2020 Legislative Session

Bill Version:	HB 230
Fiscal Note Number:	1
(H) Publish Date:	2/10/2020

Identifier: HB230-DFG-DWC-1-31-20
 Title: INTENSIVE MGMT SURCHARGE/REPEAL TERM
 DATE
 Sponsor: LINCOLN
 Requester: (H)RESOURCES

Department: Department of Fish and Game
 Appropriation: Wildlife Conservation
 Allocation: Wildlife Conservation
 OMB Component Number: 473

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2021	Included in	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
	Appropriation Requested	Governor's FY2021 Request	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2021	FY 2021					
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2020) cost: 0.0 *(separate supplemental appropriation required)*

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2021) cost: 0.0 *(separate capital appropriation required)*

Does the bill create or modify a new fund or account? 0
(Supplemental/Capital/New Fund - discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
 If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version/comments:

Not applicable, initial version.

Prepared By:	Rachel Hanke, Legislative Liaison	Phone:	(907)465-6137
Division:	Office of the Commissioner	Date:	02/01/2020 01:15 PM
Approved By:	Dayna Mackey, Administrative Services Director	Date:	02/01/2020
Agency:	Office of Management and Budget		

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA
2020 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

1

Analysis

This legislation repeals the sunset on the intensive management (IM) surcharge in § 33, ch. 18 SLA 2016. This proposal does not have any additional cost to the department. Should the surcharge sunset the department will see a significant decrease in revenue to pay for wildlife research and management.

Revenue from the IM surcharge totaled approximately \$1.1 million in each of the last two calendar years (CY18 and CY19). Most of those funds are used to match federal Pittman-Robertson dollars at a 1:3 ratio. That means, absent an appropriation for the match, the department will lose roughly \$4 million which would significantly hamper the department's ability to conduct IM activities.