

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2016 Legislative Session

Bill Version:	CSHB 147(JUD)
Fiscal Note Number:	1
(H) Publish Date:	4/7/2016

Identifier: HB147-DOA-OPA-01-29-16
 Title: ANIMALS: PROTECTION/RELEASE/CUSTODY
 Sponsor: VAZQUEZ
 Requester: House Judiciary

Department: Department of Administration
 Appropriation: Legal and Advocacy Services
 Allocation: Office of Public Advocacy
 OMB Component Number: 43

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2017	Included in	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
	Appropriation Requested	Governor's FY2017 Request	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2017	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2016) cost: 0.0 *(separate supplemental appropriation required)*
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2017) cost: 0.0 *(separate capital appropriation required)*
(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
 If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Not applicable, initial version.

Prepared By:	Richard Allen, Director	Phone:	(907)269-3504
Division:	Office of Public Advocacy	Date:	01/29/2016 10:25 AM
Approved By:	Sheldon Fisher, Commissioner	Date:	01/29/16
Agency:	Department of Administration		

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA
2016 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**Analysis**

This bill amends statutes related to the cost of care for seized animals and requires the consideration of an animal's well-being when making ownership decisions in divorce proceedings. This bill also allows judges to place limitations on respondents in protective order petitions related to animals and adds conditions under which a person can be charged with violating a protective order.

The Office of Public Advocacy does not anticipate a significant fiscal impact from this legislation and therefore, submits a zero fiscal note.