

FISCAL NOTE

STATE OF ALASKA
2013 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Bill Version SB 22
 Fiscal Note Number 1
 (S) Publish Date 1/16/13

Identifier (file name) 0587-DPS-DET-01-11-13 Dept. Affected Public Safety
 Title Sex Trafficking and DVSA Crimes Appropriation Alaska State Troopers
 Allocation AST Detachments
 Sponsor Rules by Request of the Governor
 Requester Governor OMB Component Number 2325

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

	FY14 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY14 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates					
			FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19
OPERATING EXPENDITURES								
Personal Services								
Travel								
Services	1,693.1							
Commodities								
Capital Outlay								
Grants, Benefits								
Miscellaneous								
TOTAL OPERATING	1,693.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

FUND SOURCE		(Thousands of Dollars)						
1002	Federal Receipts							
1003	GF Match							
1004	GF	1,693.1						
1005	GF/Prgm (DGF)							
1037	GF/MH (UGF)							
1178	temp code (UGF)							
TOTAL		1,693.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

POSITIONS								
Full-time								
Part-time								
Temporary								

CHANGE IN REVENUES								

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY13) operating costs _____ (separate supplemental appropriation required)
 (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY14) costs _____ (separate capital appropriation required)
 (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? Yes
 If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended, or repealed? 7/1/2014 Discuss details in analysis section.

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version (if initial version, please note as such)

Not applicable, initial version.

Prepared by Kelly Howell, Special Assistant to the Commissioner
 Division Office of the Commissioner
 Approved by Joseph A. Masters, Commissioner
Department of Public Safety

Phone (907) 269-5591
 Date/Time 1/11/13 3:38 PM
 Date 1/11/2013

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS #1

STATE OF ALASKA
2013 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SB 22

Analysis

Sections 24 and 26 of the bill allow the court to order the respondent of a long-term domestic violence or stalking/sexual assault protective order to participate in a monitoring program using a global positioning device or similar technological means that meet the guidelines for a monitoring program adopted by the Department of Public Safety (DPS).

DPS does not have guidelines for a monitoring program and anticipates the need to adopt new administrative regulations to implement these guidelines. It is expected that work on the regulation project would begin once the bill is passed and regulations would be adopted by July 1, 2014.

In implementing a monitoring program, DPS envisions following a similar process to that of the Department of Corrections (DOC) whereby it would contract with a third-party vendor to conduct the monitoring. DOC reports an approximate daily cost of \$32.45 for electronic monitoring, which uses radio frequency technology to monitor offenders but does not track them. It is expected that the daily cost of monitoring of respondents using satellite-based technology to include tracking will be higher.

At any time, there are approximately 1200 active long-term domestic violence protective orders (valid for up to 12 months) and 250 active stalking/sexual assault protective orders (valid for up to six months). Assuming approximately 10 percent of respondents would be ordered to participate in the monitoring program, and assuming DPS would cover the costs of the monitoring, the calculation is as follows:

Calculation

$\$35/\text{day} \times 365 \text{ days} = \$12,775$ cost per domestic violence protective order respondent $\times 120$ respondents =
\$1,533,000 annual cost

PLUS

$\$35/\text{day} \times 183 \text{ days} = \$6,405$ cost per stalking/sexual assault protective order respondent $\times 25$ respondents =
\$160,125 annual cost

TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST = \$1,693,125

The true fiscal impact will be affected by the actual number of respondents that are ordered to participate in a monitoring program, and whether the court orders the respondent to pay for the cost of monitoring.