

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2013 Legislative Session

Bill Version: CSHB 140(JUD)
Fiscal Note Number: 1
(H) Publish Date: 4/9/13

Identifier: HB140-OOG-OMB-03-15-2013
Title: NOTICE FOR REGULATION ADOPTION
Sponsor: REINBOLD
Requester: Judiciary

Department: Various
Appropriation: Various
Allocation: Various
OMB Component Number:

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2014	Included in	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
	Appropriation Requested	Governor's FY2014 Request	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Personal Services	***		***	***	***	***	***
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2013) cost: 0.0

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency?
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Initial version of fiscal note.

Prepared By:	Arnold Liebelt, Policy Analyst	Phone:	(907)465-4676
Division	Office of Management and Budget	Date:	03/15/2013 05:00 PM
Approved By:	Karen Rehfeld, Director	Date:	03/15/13
	Office of Management and Budget		

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS #1

STATE OF ALASKA
2013 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CSHB 140(JUD)

Analysis

HB 140 amends AS 44.62.190. Notice of Proposed Action.

Section 2 of the bill proposes changes to the statute that governs the requirements pertaining to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a state regulation. As part of the information included with certain notices of proposed regulations, state agencies would need to include, if applicable, an identification of the law, order, decision, or other action of the federal government or a federal court that requires the proposed action. This language is not expected to cause a fiscal impact to the state.

The bill would further require that a state agency include the estimated annual costs to:

- a) private persons to comply with the proposed action;
- b) other state agencies to comply with the proposed action; and
- c) municipalities to comply with the proposed action.

Without further detail as to this requirement, we cannot quantify with any level of accuracy the cost for implementing this requirement, and thus the cost impact to the state for this section is indeterminate.

As mentioned above, more detail is needed as to whether the estimate required is a general estimate of impacts for a group or set of municipalities or for each individual municipality, etc. Estimating costs to each municipality is not a function that agencies are staffed to perform. If estimates are for each individual entity, the estimated annual cost to provide this information to private persons and to municipalities could be a significant undertaking and would require additional staff and economic consultants.

For these reasons, the fiscal impact to the state cannot be quantified.