

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2013 Legislative Session

Bill Version: CSHB 24(JUD)
 Fiscal Note Number: 1
 (H) Publish Date: 2/11/13

Identifier: HB024-DOA-OPA-2-01-13
 Title: SELF DEFENSE
 Sponsor: ** NEUMAN, ISAACSON
 Requester: House Judiciary

Department: Department of Administration
 Appropriation: Legal and Advocacy Services
 Allocation: Office of Public Advocacy
 OMB Component Number: 43

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2014	Included in	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
	Appropriation Requested	Governor's FY2014 Request	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues							
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2013) cost: 0.0

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
 If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Not applicable, initial version

Prepared By:	Richard Allen, Director	Phone:	(907)269-3504
Division	Office of Public Advocacy	Date:	02/01/2013 10:00 PM
Approved By:	Curtis Thayer, Deputy Commissioner	Date:	02/01/13
	Department of Administration		

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS #1

STATE OF ALASKA
2013 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CSHB 24(JUD)

Analysis

This bill amends the statute governing self-defense and eliminates the duty to retreat if the person claiming self-defense is "in any place where the person has a right to be." The question of whether a person has a duty to retreat is closely tied to the question of whether a person is justified in using deadly force.

Eliminating the duty in certain circumstances, therefore, is not likely to have a fiscal impact on the cases charged by the state. Accordingly, the Office of Public Advocacy submits a zero impact fiscal note.