

FISCAL NOTE

Fiscal Note Number: 4

STATE OF ALASKA
2001 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. CSSB 180 (FIN)
(S) Publish Date: 3/22/02

Revision Date	3/21/02	AMENDED	Dept.	
Title	Pay differentials based on geographical areas		Affected	<u>Alaska Court System</u>
			BRU	<u>Alaska Court System</u>
			Component	<u>Trial Courts</u>
Sponsor	<u>Senate Finance Committee</u>			
Requester	<u>Senate Finance Committee</u>			
			Component Serial No.	<u>768</u>

Expenditures/Revenues *(Thousands of Dollars)*

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008
Personal Services	(28.8)	(70.2)	(111.6)	(153.0)	(194.4)	(235.8)
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
TOTAL OPERATING	(28.8)	(70.2)	(111.6)	(153.0)	(194.4)	(235.8)

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

FUND SOURCE *(Thousands of Dollars)*

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF	(28.8)	(70.2)	(111.6)	(153.0)	(194.4)	(235.8)
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type)						
TOTAL	(28.8)	(70.2)	(111.6)	(153.0)	(194.4)	(235.8)

Estimate of any current year (FY02) cost: None

POSITIONS

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

ANALYSIS: *(Attach a separate page if necessary)*

See attached fiscal analysis.

Prepared by: C. S. Christensen III, Deputy Administrative Director Phone: 463-4736
Agency: Alaska Court System Date/Time: 3/21/02 4:40 PM

Approved by: Stephanie J. Cole, Administrative Director Date: 3/21/02
Agency: Alaska Court System

Alaska Court System
Fiscal Analysis
CSSB 180 (FIN) #4

CSSB 180(FIN)

	<u>FY 2003</u>	<u>FY 2004</u>	<u>FY 2005</u>	<u>FY 2006</u>	<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>
Geographical Cost of Living Increases <i>(assumed rate of turnover 10% a year and that replacement employees have no prior 'state' employment)¹</i>	\$12,600	\$12,600	\$12,600	\$12,600	\$12,600	\$12,600
Geographical Cost of Living Decreases <i>(assumed rate of turnover 10%, but that approximately 25% of replacement employees have prior 'state' employe</i>	<u>(41,400)</u>	<u>(82,800)</u>	<u>(124,200)</u>	<u>(165,600)</u>	<u>(207,000)</u>	<u>(248,400)</u>
Estimated Fiscal Impact	<u>(\$28,800)</u>	<u>(\$70,200)</u>	<u>(\$111,600)</u>	<u>(\$153,000)</u>	<u>(\$194,400)</u>	<u>(\$235,800)</u>

¹The regions positively impacted by the changed geo-diff amounts are rural locations and have fewer state jobs. For example, employees in Barrow will likely come from the North Slope Borough employers rather than from another state agency.

²Areas facing a reduced geo-diff are primarily urban and the possibility of hiring staff with prior state service is greater