

# FISCAL NOTE

**STATE OF ALASKA**  
**2001 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

Fiscal Note Number: 2  
 Bill Version: CSHB 194(FIN)  
 (H) Publish Date: 4/18/01

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction): 4/10/01 11:13 AM Dept. Affected: Fish and Game  
 Title: Fees for commercial fishing licenses and BRU: Comm Fish (Limited) Entry Comm  
permits Component: Comm Fish (Limited) Entry Comm  
 Sponsor: Representative Stevens  
 Requester: House Finance Component Number: 471

**Expenditures/Revenues** (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Personal Services						
Travel						
Contractual						
Supplies						
Equipment						
Land & Structures						
Grants & Claims						
Miscellaneous						
<b>TOTAL OPERATING</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

<b>CAPITAL EXPENDITURES</b>						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<b>CHANGE IN REVENUES ( )</b>	<b>(120.0)</b>	*	*	*	*	*
-------------------------------	----------------	---	---	---	---	---

**FUND SOURCE** (Thousands of Dollars)

1002 Federal Receipts						
1003 GF Match						
1004 GF						
1005 GF/Program Receipts						
1037 GF/Mental Health						
Other (Specify Type)						
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

Estimate of any current year (FY2001) cost: 0.0

Check this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2002 budget proposal:

**POSITIONS**

Full-time						
Part-time						
Temporary						

**ANALYSIS:** (Attach a separate page if necessary)

**See attachment for explanation.** Enactment of this bill could reduce future state liabilities for payments of refunds and interest to non-resident fishermen for fees paid in fiscal year FY2002 and beyond depending upon final outcome of Carlson v. State lawsuit.

Prepared by: Roger Kolden Phone 790-6950  
 Division: Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Date/Time 4/10/01 11:13 AM  
 Approved by: Mary McDowell Date 04/10/2001  
 Agency: Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission

For distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

## HB 194 Fiscal Note - Estimates of Potential Fiscal Impacts\*

- If HB194 is enacted (with resident fees capped at \$300), CFEC's fee-generated revenues could drop by approximately \$136.0 per licensing year, beginning with licenses for 2002, which the Commission will begin issuing in the late fall of 2001.

A Supreme Court ruling in *Carlson v. State* is expected in the summer of 2002, making a final determination of an allowable method for calculating the maximum nonresident fee differential. That method would then determine the fiscal impacts of the provisions of HB 194 in future years.

If the state prevails in its arguments to the Supreme Court regarding what state expenditures may be included in the formula, the provisions of HB 194 will generate *more* revenue from nonresident fees than the provisions of existing statute would generate.

- If HB 194 is NOT enacted, and the state continues charging fees under its current fee structure, potentially large additional liabilities will continue to accrue to the state under the Carlson lawsuit. Under the Superior Court decision, the state is continuing to accrue new, additional refund and interest costs of approximately \$1.13 million per year. If the state wins on any of the issues it is appealing to the Supreme Court, that annual figure could be reduced, and if the plaintiffs win any of the issues they appeal, the additional liability that accrues to the state each year could be larger than that figure.
- If the state were to come into compliance with the Superior Court decision *without* changing the statute (that is, charge fees in accordance with both the existing statutory language, including the mandate that nonresident fees shall be three times the resident fees, *and* with the court's formula for calculating the maximum dollar amount by which nonresident fees may exceed resident fees), CFEC's fee-generated revenues would decline by more than \$3 million per year.

### \*Assumptions Used in Making Calculations:

1. *Numbers of permits distributed among five fee classes will be the same as in 2000 (the most recent licensing year figures available). Note that distribution of permits among fee classes varies considerably from year to year, so calculations made for one year based on fee class distribution in another year will necessarily be very rough estimates.*
2. *Continued use of five fee classes, with resident/base fees being increased by 20% in each class (HB194 caps resident/base fees at \$300, a 20% increase over current cap of \$250, so assume all classes increase in same proportion)*
3. *Estimate allowable nonresident permit differential for 2002 at \$135, which is average of allowable differentials over the most recent four years under provisions of Superior Court ruling in Carlson class action lawsuit (calculated by OMB).*
4. *Revenues generated from permit fees have been in decline since 1994 due to fewer permits being renewed and some fisheries moving into lower fee classes due to declines in economic returns. Assuming continuation of that trend, 2002 revenues will likely be around \$3.5 million under existing statute and use of the 3-to-1 fee differential.*