

FISCAL NOTE No. 26

STATE OF ALASKA
1999 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Bill Version: CSB 24 (Jud)
 (S) Publish Date: 3-5-99

Revision Date/Time (Note if correction) _____ Dept. Affected Office of the Governor
 Title Relating to regulations; relating to administrative BRU Governmental Coordination
adjudications; amending Rule 65, Alaska Rules of Civil Component Governmental Coordination
 Sponsor Senator Donley
 Requester Judiciary, ~~Finance~~ Judiciary Component Serial No. 18

Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below.

OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Personal Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Travel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Contractual	55.5	56.5	57.5	58.8	59.6	60.7
Supplies	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Land & Structures	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Grants & Claims	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Miscellaneous	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL OPERATING	55.5	56.5	57.5	58.8	59.6	60.7

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES						
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHANGE IN REVENUES ()						
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

(Thousands of Dollars)

FUND SOURCE	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
1002 Federal Receipts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1003 GF Match	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1004 GF	55.5	56.5	57.5	58.8	59.6	60.7
1005 GF/Program Receipts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1037 GF/Mental Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other (Specify Type)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	55.5	56.5	57.5	58.8	59.6	60.7

Estimate of any current year (FY99) cost: 0.0

POSITIONS

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Full-time	0	0	0	0	0	0
Part-time	0	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary	0	0	0	0	0	0

ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)

If it is determined by the adopting state agency head that a cost-benefit analysis is not prohibitive, Section 4 of the proposed legislation (AS 44.62) would place new regulatory requirements on the Division of Governmental Coordination, including evaluation of the economic effects of the proposed regulatory change. The Division has determined the most efficient way to meet the new requirements would be to contract with an economic consultant. The consultant would prepare a cost-benefit analysis of the proposed regulatory change. The fiscal impact to the Division is based on the average of three regulatory projects per year that would require one month of a consultant's time per project. The inflation rate is based on current revenue forecasts.

Section 8 requires additional supplemental public notice and opportunity for comment before adopting the

Prepared by Gabrielle LaRoche, Acting Director Phone 465-3562
 Division Governmental Coordination Date/Time 3/2/99 2:35 PM
 Approved by Jim Ayers, Chief of Staff Date 3/2/99
 Agency Office of the Governor

PREPARER TO PROVIDE ALL DISTRIBUTION COPIES TO GOVERNOR'S LEGISLATIVE OFFICE

For further distribution information, call the Governor's Legislative Office

regulation. This section would increase the public notice costs and could result in additional public hearings. The cost of three additional public notices have been included in the contractual line.

Section 12 requires adoption of regulations within two years of a statute being enacted. In a complex project, the Division of Governmental Coordination may need an extended period to consult on requirements with the Coastal Policy Council and OCRM, the approving federal agency. Restarting a regulatory project could result in additional costs.

Section 14 establishes a 60-days time deadline which could be impossible to meet due to scheduling of the CPC, providing public notice of the meetings, and preparing materials for the CPC to review. This section would increase cost to the Division which have not been included in this fiscal note due to the difficulties in determining the actual costs. The Division could show an increase in the travel line as well as contractual line depending on the number of additional meetings held in a fiscal year. If the time line was not met and the respondent petitioned the court, the court proceedings would require more time consuming and expensive resolution.