

## Joe Hayes

---

**From:** Jules Maid Service [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 25, 2025 7:54 AM  
**To:** Senate State Affairs  
**Subject:** SB 120

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Members of the Alaska State Senate State Affairs Committee,

I'm writing to express my concerns about SB 120, which would establish a Climate Change Emergency Response Commission. I deeply value Alaska's natural beauty and resources, but I worry this bill rests on shaky ground.

Just this month, a March 2025 study using AI suggested that natural forces, like solar patterns, might outweigh human CO<sub>2</sub> in driving climate changes. This challenges the narrative SB 120 seems built on. Science isn't static—claims of "settled" conclusions have unraveled before, and I fear we're betting too much on one perspective here.

So far, the testimony has felt uniform, missing input from groups like the CO<sub>2</sub> Coalition or dissenting researcher.

Sincerely,

Julia Inga

**Joe Hayes**

---

**From:** Rhonda Lewis <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 25, 2025 12:29 AM  
**To:** Senate State Affairs  
**Subject:** SB 120

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

I'm writing in response to the creation of The AK Climate Change Emergency Response Commission. This commission is not needed and is not being created in the best interests of Alaskans or Americans. Climate change is not settled, as we've seen, too many scientists will slant their research based on who is paying them. For every scientist who states there is a climate change emergency, I can find a scientist stating there is not a climate emergency. This commission has broad over reaching power and jeopardizes future investment in our state. It will increase already exorbitant costs for any projects in our state. Also why would we accept funds from international sources? Would that not make us beholden to these sources?  
Thank you  
Rhonda Lewis