

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Mike Soik [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 10:23 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

HB 89 and all "red flag" laws are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process. The government would be able to seize firearms based on vague and possibly false accusations which has happened in other states like Colorado, New Jersey, and Maryland. Please do your duty and uphold the constitutional rights of the citizens of Alaska.

I ask that you reject House Bill 89.

Sincerely,

Mike Soik  
Anchorage, AK

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Shawn Kelly [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 8:45 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

I SHAWN KELLY oppose HB 89

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Donald Thompson <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 8:25 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** HB89 Red flag

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Absolutely NOT these rules/laws are grating away at our Constitution and are prohibited in the 2nd amendment "SHALL NOT BE INFRINGED UPON" Was written as was intended for the defense of our God given Rights and proclaimed in one and four of our properly signed in the Amendments of and into the Constitution of Our United States of America

Donald Thompson

[REDACTED]

Fairbanks Ak 99710

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Rick McClure [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 2:07 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs; Rep. Ashley Carrick  
**Cc:** Rep. Andi Story; Rep. Rebecca Himschoot; Rep. Ky Holland; Rep. Sarah Vance; Rep. Kevin McCabe; Rep. Elexie Moore  
**Subject:** Opposition to HB89  
**Attachments:** 1,670 Alaska Gun Rights Members Oppose HB 89.pdf; AGR ePetitions Red Flag - 3-12-25.pdf; 2025 AGR Letter HB89.pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

To House State Affairs Committee,

Thousands of Alaskans oppose HB89/Red Flag Law, attached are 2 digitally signed petition files.

Please add the petitions/pdf files attached to the public record against HB89 along with the attached letter.

Sincerely,

Rick McClure  
President  
Alaska Gun Rights

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** c commerce [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 2:00 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** Written testimony RE: HB89

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Chair Carick and Committee Members:

Please TABLE OR VOTE NO on proposed HB 89 "Gun Violence Protective Orders" for among the following reasons:

1. Several provisions of the proposed bill incorporate prospective, subjective, vague, and over-broad language that would not pass constitutional muster. That said, the bill is poorly worded, generally.
2. Several provisions permit a household member's speculation to underlie severe penalties, which is bad because household members historically have employed the law to persecute other household members, and gain "power advantage" over them, especially in domestic relations situations.
3. Household member is defined extremely broadly - it includes any person(s) who is or was in a "substantial dating relationship". The absence of a time limit and the absence of definitive language for the subjective notion of "substantial" makes this proposed bill a very bad idea.

There are many other reasons which came to mind as I read the proposed bill but these stood out. Passing this proposed bill out of committee would waste time, energy, and funds that the legislature could better apply elsewhere.

Finally, Alaska law already exists that covers protective orders and the removal of firearms in potentially hazardous situations.

Thank you for listening.

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Andrew Ault [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 9:49 AM  
**To:** Rep. Ashley Carrick; House State Affairs  
**Subject:** Very strong concerns with HB 89

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

3/12/25

Dear Representatives,

Please read the forwarded email below that I sent to a Senate committee last year. I and many other firearm owners have strong valid concerns with the proposed legislation in HB 89.

While there have been some improvements made to the proposed “Red Flag” legislation over the past year, it is still in need of major improvements. As our elected leaders you should strive to enact legislation that minimizes or extinguishes possible legal challenges; such legislation is both financial costly and time consuming to the State.

I see a few easily winnable areas of challenge:

-The 24 hour disposal period. This is not practical in our state. Just this past winter the Elliott highway experienced roughly a one week time frame when it was impassible. Air service is often disrupted to communities.

-Disposal only to law enforcement or licensed firearms dealer. Big time personal freedom infringement. A person should be allowed to sell or gift a firearm to whom ever they so desire. There may be family heirlooms involved. A person may desire to pass along a rare firearm to another collector or museum.

-Challenges to due process, right infringement, and discrimination. While other State’s have weathered legal challenges, Alaska’s constitution is somewhat different than most States.

I will keep this short, and end by saying I am open for more discussion and you are welcome to reach out to me.

Thank you,

Andrew Ault

5/1/2024

Dear Senators,

I have just today become aware of SB 229 and am very concerned with its provisions and possible unintended costly consequences. I am sending this email because testifying tomorrow is not an option for me.

Red Flag laws in general are seldom used in locations that have them, Chicago with more than 8500 shootings in roughly a two year time frame, saw the law used only four times. While proponents of Red Flag legislation will speak of saving lives and reducing injuries, how many injuries and lives lost might be caused from improper application of a Red Flag law due to some malicious intent? What are the consequences for people that use such malicious intent?

Domestic disputes and child custody disputes are known to cause people to act in vindictive ways, Red Flag laws could be abused. While law enforcement professionals are mostly very ethical, a few are not; could a Red Flag law create an avenue for bribery? Could a coworker that is upset with an individual cause grief? Could this law be used to intimidate a witness scheduled to testify in a trial? The answer to these questions is yes. And I have a personal story to support maliciousness by a coworker, and another story about being intimidated before testifying at a trial; in both cases my personal ownership of firearms was maliciously used in an attempt to harm me.

Another unintended consequence that is more prevalent in Alaska is the possibility of an individual being wrongfully disarmed and then being attacked by wildlife in the outdoors; pepper spray does not always work. But the most concerning is the costs that an individual could incur.

There have been a few instances of individuals having their firearms held by law enforcement and not returned in the original condition. After the long procedure to get them back, they have been sometimes returned damaged, sometimes "lost", and sometimes are "accidentally" destroyed. A close friend of mine had a \$25,000 irreplaceable firearm stolen, recovered by law enforcement, held through the trial, and then destroyed after the agency failed to return it to him.

The 24 hour surrender time in SB 229 is concerning. Many large firearms collectors would not be able to find a local dealer or dealers with enough purchasing power to buy collections. The immediate transfer of firearms falling under the NFA (machine guns, suppressors, destructive devices, AOW, SBR, and SBS) would to the best of my knowledge violate federal transfer laws, thus subjecting the item(s) to forfeiture without compensation and possible criminal penalties for both parties. Alaska has a very high percentage of firearm owners with NFA items, our state may in fact have the highest per capita in the nation.

While Red Flag laws are meant to save lives, do they effectively accomplish that task? A person that is suicidal has tools/methods other than a firearm at their disposal. A person that is determined to cause others harm also has other tools/methods at their disposal.

I am asking that you table this bill and place it in the trash can.

Thank you,

Andrew A. Ault

[REDACTED]

Senate district P resident





## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Shawn Ratliff [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 9:00 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Shawn Ratliff

[REDACTED]

Zip: 99623

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 8:06 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Mike Brown

[REDACTED]

Kodiak, Ak 99615

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Sergey Serebrennikov [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 12, 2025 7:48 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

*House State Affairs Committee:*

*All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.*

*I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.*

*Sincerely,*

*Sergey Serebrennikov*

99645

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Sheryl Powell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 11, 2025 3:01 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Powell

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Jeanie Burtch [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 11, 2025 1:18 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89: No Thank you

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

Though I understand why some people think this might help, I disagree wholeheartedly. This bill seems an irreparable and likely permanent option to an often temporary situation. Can addicts get clean and live law abiding and productive lives? Yes. Can people move on after a tumultuous separation/divorce? Yes. What about guns that are a joint asset in marriage? Does this bill effectively strip both husband and wife of their second amendment rights? It seems so. Unacceptable. How can the government take away someone's constitutional rights because of how someone else feels in the moment? Also, guns are often family heirlooms. From what I read, the only options were to forfeit them to law enforcement or sale to a gun dealer - losing these heirlooms forever for the family. Can't sell/gift them to a brother/cousin out of state, but keeping them in the family? We don't take people's cars because they MIGHT commit a crime with it. How is this different, outside the larger scope of the construction?

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights based on what MIGHT happen.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Jeanie Burtch  
Wasilla AK  
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Mike Bowles [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 11, 2025 1:08 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Michael Bowles  
Palmer, AK

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** michael stayrook [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 11, 2025 9:22 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Michael Stsyrook  
Zip: 99705

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Mary Hutchins [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 11, 2025 8:00 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Mary Hutchins



## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** delbert van natta [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 8:51 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Delbert Van Natta

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Eileen Brado [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 8:32 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee: All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations. I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately. This will not fly in Alaska of all places!  
Sincerely, Eileen Brado

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** L Lousberg [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 7:13 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Loretta Lousberg

Sent from my iPad

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Dan Blashill [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 6:58 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Daniel Blashill  
North Pole, AK

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Chad Greenhagen <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 6:36 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Chad Greenhagen  
Fairbanks, Ak 99712

+++

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Barry Munsell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 4:43 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding Alaskan citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations. Laws, such as these, are too easily abused and have no place in our state.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Barry R Munsell

[REDACTED]

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Logan, Dan <dlogan@kpb.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 1:25 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

You folks were elected to tackle serious problems like your insatiable desire to spend the citizens of our state into oblivion. Please work on these real issues and let your desire to control your subjects dissipate. Gun control laws are unconstitutional. There are laws on the books already to cover these issues with the unstable criminally insane etc. etc.

You should take note in President Trumps speech where he stated legislators for years have wanted more laws to control illegals entering the country, but what really needed to happen was to elect a president who is willing to use the already established laws. Promises made promises kept.....

**All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable.** HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately or change it to apply strictly to Alaska Legislators.

Sincerely,

Daniel Logan

Soldotna

**Dan Logan**

**Safety Specialist**

**Maintenance**

**Office:** (907) 262-4011 **Direct:** (907) 262-2047

---

---



**Kenai Peninsula Borough, Poppy Lane**  
47140 East Poppy Lane, Soldotna, AK 99669  
[kpb.us](http://kpb.us)

**PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE:** This email and responses to this email may be considered a public record under the law.



## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Henry Symons [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 1:07 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely, Henry symons, Soldotna ,Alaska

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Francesca A [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 12:49 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** No to House Bill 89. It is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I oppose HB 89. All representing public officials who took an oath to uphold the Constitution should resign if you put this bill forward. This bill is unconstitutional and is a violation of our Unalienable rights. The second amendment shall not be infringed. Our rights to due process shall not be ignored or infringed upon.

*By KEVIN MCCABE*

House Bill 89 (HB 89), introduced in the 34th Alaska Legislature by Representatives Andy Josephson and Sara Hannan, is scheduled for review by the House State Affairs Committee on March 13. This legislation proposes the establishment of Gun Violence Protective Orders (GVPOs)—commonly known as “red flag” laws—representing a significant overreach by the state into the constitutional rights of Alaskans, particularly those protected under the Second Amendment.

The bill seeks to authorize the temporary confiscation of firearms from individuals deemed a potential danger to themselves or others, based on minimal evidence and seriously lacking robust due process that Alaskans expect.

While proponents say that such measures enhance public safety, even a cursory critical examination reveals that HB 89 sets a dangerous precedent, prioritizing government control over individual liberties with insufficient justification.

Under HB 89, a trooper, law enforcement officer, or *household member* may petition a court for a GVPO based on a “reasonable belief” that an individual poses a threat (AS 18.65.815). This standard is inherently subjective, requiring neither a criminal conviction nor even a formal accusation, but rather relying on unverified allegations to completely suspend a fundamental constitutional right.

The absence of a high threshold of evidence at the outset undermines the integrity of the process, exposing individuals to arbitrary removal of their firearms. In many states with red-flag laws the firearms descend into an abyss of state bureaucracy never to be seen again.

The further provisions for ex parte and emergency Gun Violence Protective Orders (AS 18.65.820) significantly elevate this concern. These provisions allow a judicial officer to issue an order without notifying the affected individual, enabling firearm confiscation prior to any opportunity for defense or even discussion. This mechanism is highly susceptible to abuse and weaponization, where personal disputes, false claims, or political motivations could lead to the unjust loss of Second Amendment rights, and property for law-abiding citizens.

Even when a hearing is convened (AS 18.65.815(b)), the “clear and convincing” evidence standard falls short of the “beyond a reasonable doubt” threshold typically required for significant rights infringements, further weakening oversight.

The claimed usefulness of red flag laws, including HB 89, lacks factual data-driven support. Studies often cited by proponents, such as those from the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, fail to adequately provide for extenuating factors like changes in policing strategies or mental health interventions.

A 2021 RAND Corporation analysis of Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPOs)—the federal analogue to GVPOs—concluded that evidence of their effect on reducing homicides, suicides, or mass shootings is inconclusive. Without credible, long-term data, the assertion that HB 89 will enhance safety in Alaska is completely unsubstantiated.

The bill also imposes unreasonable burdens, particularly on rural Alaskans. The mandate to surrender firearms within 24 hours to law enforcement or a firearms dealer (AS 18.65.830) is unworkable in regions where such entities are hours away and dependent on the vagaries of transportation and weather. The requirement to submit written proof of compliance within 48 hours (AS 18.65.830(b)) assumes access to administrative resources that many lack. Additionally, the potential for law enforcement to confront armed individuals unaware of ex-parte orders increases the risk of dangerous, avoidable escalations.

The establishment of a central registry of protective orders (AS 18.65.540) further compounds these issues, and creates a virtual “pre-crime” database that raises privacy concerns and allows expanded government surveillance over individuals who will likely never face criminal charges.

Constitutionally, HB 89 conflicts with both federal and state protections. The U.S. Supreme Court’s decision in *District of Columbia v. Heller* (2008) affirmed the individual right to bear arms, a precedent undermined by red flag laws that permit confiscation without due process or conviction. The Fifth Amendment’s due process clause is similarly violated by the bill’s allowance for property deprivation without fair proceedings. Alaska’s Constitution (Article I, Section 19) explicitly safeguards the right to keep and bear arms, recognizing their critical role in subsistence hunting, self-defense, and rural life—values disregarded by HB 89’s urban-centric approach.

The conditional effect clause (Section 9), requiring a two-thirds legislative vote to amend court rules, signals limited support and underscores concerns regarding the bill's constitutionality, legality, and practicality. Rather than pursuing this flawed legislation, Alaska should prioritize evidence-based alternatives. Expanding mental health services, particularly in rural areas, would more effectively address suicide and violence rates. Strengthening domestic violence prevention programs and enhancing law enforcement resources to respond to verified threats offer targeted solutions without infringing on constitutional rights.

HB 89 is an unnecessary and violates the constitution with its overreach. By enabling firearm confiscation based on subjective standards, imposing unworkable mandates, and lacking proven effectiveness, the bill fails to enhance public safety while throwing Alaskan liberties down the outhouse hole.

This state does not require imported gun control policies that ignore its unique realities. As legislators, our obligation is to uphold constitutional protections and pursue practical, proven strategies to ensure community safety. This is, quite simply, allowing the camel's nose under the tent and I remain steadfastly opposed to any legislation that compromises the constitutional rights of Alaskans.

*Rep. Kevin McCabe serves District 30 (formerly called District 8), the Big Lake area.*

Again, I oppose HB 89

Francesca Allegrezza

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Kenny Overvold [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 9:52 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Kenny Overvold

[REDACTED]

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Phil Young [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 7:26 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Roy Jensen [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 7:13 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

[Yahoo Mail - Email Simplified](#)

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Adelaide Rush [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 12:16 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad



## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Whitney Cook [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2025 12:16 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Shawn imbach [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 8:56 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

SINCERELY,

Shawn I  
99705

[Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer](#)

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Dave Neetz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 7:54 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional--Do not pass

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague and even blatantly false accusations.

It is imperative this bill not see the light of day. Please do all in your power to stop it.

Sincerely,

David Neetz

Fairbanks, Alaska

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Shawn Duval [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 7:37 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 5:34 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Tmliedes ❤️

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Adam Trujillo [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 3:26 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Randall Sipple <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 2:50 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

TV House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Hank Bartos [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 12:03 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Hank Bartos

Sent from my iPhone



## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Eric Nyholm [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 10:08 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Eric Nyholm

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Don O'Hara [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 9:31 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

These ridiculous laws have no place in our state, or in our Country !  
I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,  
Don OHara  
Sent from my iPad

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Mark Squire [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 9, 2025 8:36 AM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Mark Squire

Sent from my iPad

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Louis Fry [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 10:20 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

HB89 is unconstitutional as written and unacceptable. Any red flag law must include corroboration of the charges and also allow restoration of rights under due process at any time requested by the accused. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Thanks

Louis Chris Fry

99833

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** james cottrell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 8:57 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Hello,

You do not have the right to take what is not given by you, God gave us the rights for self defense. Please proceed to lowering taxes and REMOVING REGULATIONS instead of adding new unnecessary regulations. Why don't you work on understanding that CRIMINALS don't follow laws, so making a new one only hurts LAW abiding citizens, not the ones you're trying to stop.

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone  
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Randy Rogers [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 7:50 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

Reading through the subject bill has me concerned in several areas. As a father of two girls, grandfather to 2 grandchildren, and husband to a wonderful wife, I believe protective orders are a necessary tool. Way too many people have been seriously harmed, or worse, due to inaction related to violence. My major concerns are that too often a false accusation is made and it takes time to get the situation squared away. HB89 would require the surrender or sale of a persons firearms and ammunition within 24 hours of notice. To begin with that is not enough time. For those that would say a respondent could use a firearm in their possession to do harm after receiving notice, they would have a full 24 hours anyway. Suggesting firearms may be the only tool used for violence is naive, at best. Why would a respondent not be able to sell their firearms legally to another eligible citizen instead of a FFL holder? I am opposed to the surrendering of firearms, especially to just a dealer or law enforcement because both entities will absolutely catalog make, model and serial number of said firearms. The dealer is required to do so by law and law enforcement would have to for accurate records for when and if the firearms are returned. You can bet these records would be kept instead of destroyed. Honestly, these actions appear too much like a registration scheme and appear to be an assault on a respondent's second amendment right, that which shall not be infringed.

I believe we can shore-up the remaining aspects of violence protective orders without involving the surrender or sale of a respondent's firearms and ammunition.

Sincerely,

Randy Rogers  
Soldotna

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** David Hamilton [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 7:26 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

David Hamilton  
Fairbanks

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** hunter kuznicki [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 7:07 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional, Vote No

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations. I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely, A concerned Alaskan,  
Hunter Kuznicki

Thank you for taking the time to read my email.



## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Aaron [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 7:05 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable.

HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Article I, Section 19 rights without due process, allowing the government to seize a person's property based on vague accusations.

I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

There is already a process in place to involuntarily commit people that are truly a danger to themselves or others.

Sincerely,

Aaron Busche-Vold  
Homer, AK

## Stuart Relay

---

**From:** Michael Vaillancourt [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 8, 2025 6:18 PM  
**To:** House State Affairs  
**Subject:** House Bill 89 Is Unconstitutional

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

House State Affairs Committee:

All gun-grabbing schemes are unconstitutional and unacceptable. HB89 would strip law-abiding citizens of their Second Amendment rights without due process, allowing the government to seize an innocent victim's only means of defense, based on vague accusations. I urge that you kill House Bill 89 immediately.

Sincerely,

**Michael Vaillancourt**

*Owner/Operator*  
M S DEFENSE ARMS  
PO BOX 876291  
WASILLA, AK 99687  
(907) 631-2582

