
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 5:58 PM
To: House Finance; Senate Finance Committee
Cc: Sen. Gary Stevens; Sen. Cathy Giessel; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Elvi Gray-Jackson
Subject: VOTE NO on Brett Huber to AOGCC

Dear Legislators.

Please vote NO on Brett Huber on Brett Huber's confirmation to the Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation Commission.

RE: Rep. Hannan's question if Mr. Huber can separate his political interests from AOGCC business.

Even though Mr. Huber claims he can separate the two. Mr. Huber has been primarily focused on the advancement of Mike Dunleavy's political interests for most of the last couple of decades. Do you honestly expect Mr. Huber to suddenly change that continued long-term focus as he continues to work for Gov. Dunleavy? Do you really expect that Mr. Huber can divide his loyalties between Dunleavy and the public interest and to now begin to consider only the interest of the Alaskan public as he regulates the oil and gas industry?

Mr. Huber statement to the House Finance committee is a prime example of how he cannot be loyal to both at the same time. He mentioned that the resume included in his packet did not contain his recent extensive partisan political experience that included political campaign fundraising. **This was not an inadvertent act on Mr. Huber's part in relation to Mr. Huber's high-level professional positions in partisan political campaign management, strategy development, and most importantly, fundraising for money/contributions, and includes**

This period of time Mr. Huber chose not to include in his resume is so questionable, irregular and sordid that it culminated in a (still on-going) Alaska Public Offices Commission complaint about Mr. Huber's political dealings as they relate to violations to Alaska campaign finance laws and conflict of interest laws.

Is history repeating itself?

Alaska history has shown that political operatives who have been extensively engaged in politics, political campaigns and campaign fundraising from the oil and gas industry are unfit for the Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation Commission. The unethical episodes of former AOGCC commissioners Randy Ruedrich and Sarah Palin is but one example of how appointing political operatives to the AOGCC is a recipe of corruption, among other unsavory things.

Please remember that one cannot serve two masters, as was the case in the corrupt AOGCC Ruedrich/Palin affair. Political operatives who are in the business of collecting political contributions cannot concurrently be in the business of government regulation and enforcement of the oil and gas industry.

At least back in March of 2003, after much debate, the legislature was wise enough to protect the public interest as it exacted a condition for Randy Ruedrich's appointment on his confirmation to the AOGCC - on the record - to not be involved in state political fundraising while in the position as AOGCC commissioner. We all know what happened when Ruedrich

violated that condition.

I attended the APOC commission meetings related to the complaints against Mr. Huber. He was evasive, lied while under oath and claimed total ignorance about very pointed and clear questions regarding electronic transfer/deposits to his personal bank account from the Republic Governor's Association. He stated several times that he didn't know how they got there or who sent them!!!!

Is that the kind of person you all want at the AOGCC? Someone who lacks the integrity to answer questions in a forthright manner. A competent person would, at the very least, question and seek explanations to questionable electronic payments into one's personal bank account.

Mr. Huber is not the kind of person we need at the AOGCC to regulate the oil and gas industry. Please vote NO on Brett Huber's confirmation to the AOGCC

Sincerely,
Andrée McLeod

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Primary v secondary source of information?

Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2023 18:02:59 -0400

From: mcleodak@alaska.net

To: representative.andy.josephson@akleg.gov

Hi Andy.

Just watched the Brett Huber confirmation in House Finance.

What did you mean when you asked Wieber if he was the primary or secondary source of information when he stated that Huber lied under oath when he was before the APOC commissioner?

What's the difference between primary or secondary source, as you posed it?

Andrée

PS: Vote NO on Brett Huber. I also was at the APOC commission meetings where Huber lied through his teeth and claimed total ignorance about very pointed and clear questions about electronic deposits to his personal bank account from the Republic Governor's Association. That's not the kind of person we need at the AOGCC to regulate the oil and gas industry.