

I am opposed to HB 17.

Voting to redefine pregnancy in 1959 does not make it not an abortion. Plan B is an abortion. The FDA and Barr Pharmaceuticals agreed that it was still abortion.

Thank you  
Daniel  
North Pole

Dear Representative:

I am contacting you in opposition to HB 17, a bill that would provide a year's worth of contraceptives and another component which would cause abortions if a woman had conceived.

The contraceptives should be offered for 90 days and a telephonic doctors conference could be used to renew, if necessary. The second component should not be provided at all. It is birth control via abortion and that needs to stop.

Pray for Our Country,

Sally Duncan, Chair  
District 34-Q Republican Party

Vote "NO" on HB 17. It's STILL a developing child you are helping kill.

Dear Representative:

I am writing in opposition to HB 17. This bill has been presented as merely a contraceptive provision. But the emergency contraception is anything but. This contraception keeps any fertilized egg from adhering to the uterus but does not prevent fertilization. Life begins as conception and a fertilized egg is a human embryo. Hence, an abortion has occurred.

I have attached an article that should dispel any doubt. Please take a close look at "Plan B"

Members,

I am calling to oppose HB 17. What this bill does is require insurance companies to cover the cost of particular medications to address an elective activity. This is not a life saving medication like metformin or levothyroxine, but a medication that is aimed to address the impact of an optional activity- unprotected sex.

First the bill forces companies to cover the cost of "emergency contraception," or the morning after pill. The whole discussion of tissues and abortifacients strains a gnat to swallow a camel and obscures the issue. This is medication taken after the act of sex and before a pregnancy test. It is intended to abort a pregnancy shortly after the moment of conception. This is essentially requiring a business to pay for an abortion.

The cost of this requirement on the insurance company would be imposed on individuals who object to this medication as a matter of faith or conscience. The state should never impose a requirement for others to pay for abortions when they object to the procedure.

Second, the bill requires insurance companies to cover a 12 month supply of contraceptive medication. This is not the practice followed by medications such as metformin or levothyroxine. Best practices would be to issue a 90 day supply with a follow up after 60 days with a telemed appointment to adjust medication.

Third, the state should not be in the business of mandating the sort of services a business should cover. There are faith based companies out there who would be forced to offer services that are of little interest to their customers or are against their customers morals.

Please vote against HB 17.

Thank you,  
Christine Robbins  
Fairbanks, AK  
99712

I am writing to request that you vote against HB 17 that would require insurance companies to pay for abortion pills.

[REDACTED]

Hello, my name is Lisa Poirier, I am a woman, a mother and a long time Alaskan and I am writing in support of House Bill 17.

Birth control is prescribed for multiple reasons and making it more accessible benefits everyone in a community. I have experienced personally and witnessed the difficulties that can be caused by not being able to have a ready supply of birth control. Especially living in a state that has many rural communities and inclement weather. Out of state school schedules, vacations, illness all can impact the ability to fill a prescription.

Access to birth control helps encourage healthier pregnancies and saves the state money.

That is why I urge you to pass House Bill 17 out of committee.

Thank you.  
Lisa Poirier

Dear House Members,

I oppose the use of requiring insurance companies to cover the cost of emergency contraceptives from unprotected sex. Insurance companies offer several options under their plans to cover preparation for sexual consent. This is not a healthy option for females to have the ability to abort a fetus as a morning after thought....PLAN and THINK ahead which is not a taught process in today's society.

House members, Please vote NO on HB 17 to protect our Alaskan woman!

Kindly,  
Rita Trometter

North Pole