

## OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

1500 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1500

February 19, 2022

## Representative Chris Tuck Chair, House Military and Veterans' Affairs

Remarks of
Tammie L. Perreault
Northwest Region Liaison
United States Department of Defense-State Liaison Office

**Support of: HB297 – Military Member Child Protection** 

## **Testimony**

Mr. Chairman and honorable members of the Military and Veterans Affairs Committee, my name is Tammie Perreault. I am the northwest region liaison at the Defense-State Liaison Office, operating under the direction of Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness.

The Department of Defense is grateful for the opportunity to support the policies reflected in HB297, an act relating to child abuse and neglect, and hopes Alaska will join 31 other states that have passed similar legislation on this issue.

The Defense-State Liaison Office works to establish relationships with state leaders across the country who are concerned for troops and their families' welfare and wish to by harmonize state and federal law and resolve policy problems of national significance. These are identified by the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Military Departments, and the National Guard Bureau as areas where states can play a crucial role.

The DoD relies on working in collaboration with state and local governments to fulfill its statutory obligation to address child abuse and neglect.<sup>12</sup>

The bottom line up front, particularly for those that do not know the military way of life, is that unlike civilian employers, the military services have the obligation to know what is happening with our military members 24/7. It is part of how we do business. Being a military member is not a nine to five job. Information sharing between DoD and local authorities must be accomplished at the start of an abuse/neglect investigation – not after adjudication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 10 U.S.C. 1787 directs the Secretary of Defense to request each State to provide for the reporting to the Secretary of any report the State receives of known or suspected instances of child abuse and neglect in which the person having care of the child is a member of the armed forces (or the spouse of the member).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Public Law 103-337, Section 534(d)(2) establishes victim advocacy services for victims of family violence through the family advocacy programs of the military departments.

HB297 allows the reporting of child abuse to the appropriate military installation when the child is a military family member. This law ensures efforts to determine the military status of parents subject to abuse or neglect allegation, assists families as they seek medical and counseling services through the military installation, and sets forth minimum requirements for information sharing between DoD and state and local authorities.

Under DoD policy required by federal law, each military installation and/or unit (with 500 or more personnel must establish a family advocacy program and enter into a memorandum of understanding with the local child welfare agency for reporting cases, providing services, and defining responsibilities when responding to child abuse and neglect, domestic abuse, and problematic sexual behavior in children and youth. To meet this statutory obligation, DoD, in accordance with Section 1787 of Title 10 of the United States Code, established the Family Advocacy Program, or "FAP", to address prevention of and response to child abuse and neglect involving children in military families.<sup>3</sup>

The military's FAP is formally created by Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 6400.01, wherein section 3, the FAP incorporates prevention, education, and training efforts to make all personnel aware of the scope of child abuse and neglect, domestic abuse, and problematic sexual behavior in children and youth, as well as to facilitate collaborative and cooperative efforts. The federal Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act, or "CAPTA", and its attendant regulations (80 FR 11777) delineate the FAP as a federal entity subject to the requirement to protect children from abuse and neglect.

CAPTA further requires that a state such as Alaska has in effect, and is enforcing a State law, or has in effect and is operating a statewide program relating to child abuse and neglect that includes "provisions to require a State to disclose confidential information to any Federal, State, or local government entity, or any agent of such entity, that has a need for such information in order to carry out its responsibilities under law to protect children from child abuse and neglect"<sup>4</sup>, and "the cooperation of State law enforcement officials, court of competent jurisdiction, and appropriate State agencies providing human services in the investigation, assessment, prosecution, and treatment of child abuse and neglect."<sup>5</sup>

To effectuate these state-federal information sharing mandates, DoDI 6400.01 directs the military services to establish memoranda of understanding with state and local child welfare services to collaborate on the oversight of cases involving military families.

Currently in Alaska, the present framework relies on individualized, local MOUs to guarantee communication between the local authorities and the military community.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See supra at 1.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Secretary of Defense shall request each state to provide for the reporting to the Secretary of any report the state receives of known or suspected instances of child abuse and neglect in which the person having care of the child is a member of the armed forces (or the spouse of the member)." (10 U.S.C. § 1787)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 5101, 106(b)(2)(B)(ix)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Id.</u> § (xi)

Many installations and local authorities in Alaska have memorandums of understanding to ensure coordination for child abuse and neglect case. However, many of these MOUs are not regularly updated and it is possible for military families to live outside of the area an MOU covers.

Specific state level guidance that directs information sharing with the military will provide consistency among all branches of service and state and local agencies when there is an allegation of abuse or neglect involving a military family. The policy in HB297, which draws upon the best practice approach identified by DoD, will provide this consistency and support the MOU process.

Seventy percent of military families live off a military installation and in our communities, and so are likely to fall under the jurisdiction of state and local agencies. The military services have investigative, intervention, and rehabilitative support resources that can assist the county child welfare system in addressing allegations and provide follow-up family counseling when they have knowledge of an allegation.

The Family Advocacy Program may have information regarding incidents of allegations that occurred in other states where the Service member was stationed that the Department of Health and Social Services would not otherwise have access to during their investigation. Because military families move frequently across state lines, not sharing information could result in a family falling through the cracks.

The FAP personnel and DSS can share information and planning on the management of child abuse or neglect cases involving military families.<sup>7</sup>

In August 2019, the Defense Health Board noted "that child abuse can be difficult to quantify because of underreporting, and some studies suggest a lower rate of incidents being reported to the FAP if the incidents are first identified at a civilian facility." Therefore, it recommended, "in the absence of state legislation (emphasis added) that DOD ensure that all U.S. military installations have memorandums of agreement in place with state child welfare agencies for bilateral information sharing on child abuse cases."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 5 U.S.C. 552a; Privacy Act establishes the regulation of records maintained on individuals by any executive department, military department, Government corporation, Government controlled corporation, or other establishment in the executive branch of the Government.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The question has been asked about possible adverse impact on the Service member if cases are reported to the FAP and subsequently found to be inconclusive or unfounded. An excerpt from DoDM 6400.01 Vol. 3, Family Advocacy Program (FAP) Clinical Case Staffing Meeting (CCSM) and Incident Determination Committee (IDC), August 11, 2016, may be helpful:

<sup>&</sup>quot;(a) A commander may not take administrative or disciplinary action against a Service member based solely upon an incident status determination (ISD) for an act of child abuse or domestic abuse allegedly committed by that Service member; however, commanders may take disciplinary or administrative action based on legal or other appropriate advice independent of the ISD."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Defense Health Board Report, *Healthy Military Family Systems: Examining Child Abuse and Neglect* (Aug. 6, 2019).

Alaska has the opportunity for such state legislation with HB297. Statutory authority will support development of more consistent MOUs, allowing better coordination of local child welfare and military protective and rehabilitative services in support of military children and families.

A February 2020 report to Congressional requestors from the United States Government Accountability Office, highlighted the importance of state statutes that require the collection and reporting of military affiliation to the appropriate military authorities as part of state child abuse cases. The GAO found that the extent of collaboration between the military and other state and local authorities (such as child welfare agencies) varied among the installations in their review. 10

The report stated that service officials do not always have visibility over these incidents since they may first be reported to the relevant civilian authorities instead of to the military. The GAO found that state legislation was needed "because prior efforts to establish memorandums of agreement were only focused on information sharing and did not specify procedures for state and local child welfare agencies to use in determining whether a family involved in an incident had a military connection...a statutory basis is important because otherwise state laws that limit who child welfare agencies can share information with about child abuse cases may take precedence."

Mr. Chairman, DoD appreciates your recognition of the important relationship between the state and local child protective services and the associated military FAP offices in responding and providing support and services to address child abuse in military families. The importance/benefit of establishing a reciprocal information sharing requirement through state statute serves to support the establishment and ongoing collaboration with local CPS offices on MOUs statewide.

This is not a military law enforcement matter. This is a victim advocacy measure to protect our most vulnerable.

In closing, let me say that we are grateful for the tremendous effort that Alaska has historically given in supporting our Service members and their families. On behalf of the Department of Defense, we respectfully request your favorable report of HB297 and give a special thank you to Representative Hopkins for his sponsorship of this legislation.

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> GAO. CHILD WELFARE: Increased Guidance and Collaboration Needed to Improve DOD's Tracking and Response to Child Abuse, GAO-20-110 (Washington, D.C.: February 10, 2020)

<sup>10</sup> Id. p. 56

<sup>&</sup>quot;For example, child welfare agency officials in Virginia noted that state policies requiring that they notify the FAP about cases with a military affiliation have increased the amount of coordination between the state and the military. However, according to FAP officials at one installation we visited in North Carolina—where approximately 80 percent of dependent children live off the installation—it was rare to receive notification from some counties for child abuse cases with a military affiliation because, at the time of our visit, there was no state policy requiring it. DOD's continued focus on improving collaboration with the states that have not yet established such a requirement should help to increase the department's visibility over incidents occurring off the installation. It should also help to ensure that military families obtain the available FAP services for which they are eligible."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Ibid*.