

House Finance Committee

Public Testimony HB 69 – Operating Budget FY22

Emails received to
HouseFinance@akleg.gov

April 9, 2021
PART 2

From: Daniella Ambrosino May <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:17 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Cc: Rep. Kelly Merrick <Rep.Kelly.Merrick@akleg.gov>
Subject: Public testimony Governor's budget

My name is Daniella May, and I live in District 14 represented by Kelly Merrick. I am emailing today to voice my opposition to Governor Dunleavy's budget cuts that actively harm sexual assault and domestic violence survivors. The state of Alaska has some of the highest rates of sexual assault, and domestic violence including violence against children. We cannot cut funding to services that are vital to those who have survived sexual and domestic violence.

Thank you,
Daniella

--

Regards,
Daniella N. Ambrosino May

From: Darlene Holmberg <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:13 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Subject: Kuskokwim Ice Road

Hi, we've had quite the winter for the ice road (Aniak). While it's served some people well, it's not something that serves communities. There have been days that the road has been cleared but the weather repeatedly voided the work within 24 hours. If there is more funding, there will be more waste. The entities that receive the funding will be willing to waste time and equipment because there is money to waste. The very weather we can't drive an automobile in is usually the perfect weather for snow machines. The people that dress for snow machining are much safer than those that get in an automobile dressed too lightly to walk home if they encounter impassable conditions or vehicle trouble. I have had to leave my truck between villages in a blizzard, to hitch a ride home with another traveller, and ask relatives to tow my truck to town. I was in a caravan and inappropriately dressed for otherwise.

There isn't just a safety issue. I carry insurance, I suspect few village drivers do. If we had to make any report, wouldn't the fact that we were off of town roads void any claim? or if the equipment clearing the ice road goes through the ice with the operator, who's liable? We can assume, based upon the fact that it hasn't happened yet, that it will not happen, but we don't pay for insurance based upon that fact, or accompanying assumptions. We buy insurance because there is a risk. Fuel tanker trucks have traveled between villages, and while there was no incident, the drivers didn't feel safe once they were on the ice.

We virtually leave our brains home when we get on that river. Because the riverbank is usually a distance away, it feels like we're going 25 miles an hour while we are going 40 to 80 miles an hour, with little or no experience handling an vehicle going at that speed. A commercial or private entity should not be paid to encourage the inherent risks. We've been fortunate to lose as few as we have, considering

how fast snow machines can go. My father was in a serious snow machine accident because the day lighting he was operating in made the terrain look flatter and smoother than it was and he was traveling at a high rate of speed. He didn't walk away from his accident, he was picked up by others he was traveling with and taken to the nearest town - not home. Plowing the road almost endorses a sense of safety. I've been on the ice road with berms so high (4 feet high) I couldn't see if a snow machine was coming from the opposite direction if there was any bend in the river/road, so I drove about 25mph to the curve. I came off a drive and couldn't drive 25 without watching the speedometer. Even though I can testify that it felt like I was traveling slowly on the wide open river because the riverbanks were far away, once I was back on the city road, it also felt like I was traveling slowly and I exceeded the speed limit unless I watched the speedometer.

Putting more money in the pot means increasing risks and risk-taking, endorsing those risks as negligible. Let the entities who benefit from the efforts put their money where their whine is. Taxpayers shouldn't be forced to benefit so few - those who are paid to do the job and those who take the risks, knowingly or not.

Respectfully,
Darlene Holmberg
Aniak

From: Ronald Johnson <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:10 PM

To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>

Cc: Rep. Grier Hopkins <Rep.Grier.Hopkins@akleg.gov>; Rep. Bart LeBon <Rep.Bart.Lebon@akleg.gov>;

Rep. Adam Wool <Rep.Adam.Wool@akleg.gov>

Subject: AK operating budget

I urge you to make sticking with the 5 % POMV draw a cornerstone of our operating budget going forward. Ad hoc draws cripple the ability of the AKPF Corporation to make wise investment decisions that will provide for a sustainable financial future.

With over 2/3 of our UGF revenue coming from the POMV draw, paying out unsustainable PFDs greatly hurts our ability to maintain essential state services. The playing field has reversed since the current statutory PFD formula was enacted decades ago. Then, none of the UGF money came from the PF, So, we could have given all of the PF earnings to dividends with no effect on the UGF outlay. If we did this today, our State could not function.

AK will receive over \$ 1B this yr from the current \$1.9 B federal stimulus bill. This would allow a family of 4 to receive close to \$ 8,000 in the form of cash or tax credits. This greatly reduces the need for a large PFD this year.

I support the house bill to double our gasoline tax to 16 cents per gallon.
This would raise about \$ 33 M annually. If enacted, this tax would tie us with Hawaii for the 2nd lowest tax in the nation.

--

Ron Johnson

[REDACTED]

Fairbanks, AK 99709

From: Mariajose Stewart <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:52 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>; Finance <finregs@akleg.gov>
Subject: Operating Budget Cuts

Honorable members of the AK House Finance Committee,
Hope you and yours are well. I am writing because you are considering and deliberating HB 69 (Operating Budget) and HB71(Mental Health Budget) in your committee this week. As you have likely been made aware by now, CDVSA (Council on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault) has been informed that all victim service providers in the state will suffer a 30-33% funding cut as of July 1 due to a reduction in funding that has occurred with the Federal Victim of Crime Act's (VOCA) Crime Victims Fund (CVF) - an non-taxpayer source of funding that supports thousands of crime victims services providers serving millions of victims of crime annually. This massive cut to funding for Alaska's community-based victim services agencies will be devastating to communities throughout the state.

I ask that you please consider offsetting a decrease in federal VOCA funds by appropriating state funds to support the numerous victim service agencies across the state. I am a board member for STAR (Standing Together Against Rape) and I have seen firsthand the commitment and dedication of the staff of these organizations, their efficient operations, and the real need that they serve in communities. To have 1/3 of a non-profit organization budget eliminated means laying off staff and a dramatic reduction in services to Alaskans who have been the victims of sexual assault and domestic violence.

I hope you will consider helping, thank you so much for your time and for your service to our amazing state.

Sincerely,

Mariajose

--

Mariajosé Echeverría Stewart
Creative Strategy
[REDACTED]

From: Tom McGrath <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:50 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Cc: Suzi Peterson <suzi_p@awaic.org>; Sen. Tom Begich <Sen.Tom.Begich@akleg.gov>; Rep. Cathy Tilton <Rep.Cathy.Tilton@akleg.gov>; Rep. Kelly Merrick <Rep.Kelly.Merrick@akleg.gov>; Rep. Zack Fields <Rep.Zack.Fields@akleg.gov>
Subject: Please protect victims of domestic violence and sexual assault!

Dear Representatives:

I am writing to express my support for AWAIC and the many victim service agencies across our state. The reduction in funding from the Council on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault will be devastating to the agencies who serve victims of domestic violence and sexual assault. This could result in services being denied to victims who have no other safe place to go, which would be life threatening in many situations. I urge the legislature to help to fill this gap in funding.

It is unconscionable to make cuts to the area of domestic violence and sexual assault. The former Attorney General recently came to Alaska because of the high number of missing women. There was also a series in the Anchorage Daily News about this subject as well as a mention the the latest crime statistics.

If you were just pouring good money after bad with no results I would understand cuts but AWAIC has an 80% success rate. In other words, if AWAIC is involved to turning around a persons life 80% of the time that person never needs to come back for help. I don't think any Other sector or Government Agency is so successful.

My wife and I are donors to AWAIC and have been for many years. We support AWAIC because of the proven track record that they produce and have produced for many years.

Sincerely,

Tom and Judy McGrath

From: David Popiel <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:47 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Subject: State of Alaska Operating Budget Public Testimony

Good afternoon,

My name is David Popiel from Anchorage, I am testifying on my own behalf.

I value government services, they benefit everyone and I believe that those services need to be supported through contributions of those served. I support broad based taxes as a way to fund government services; in particular an increased fuel tax and an income tax. Thank you for your time and attention to the budget, please don't avoid this problem by relying on Federal pandemic fiscal relief or spending down the Permanent Fund.

Thank you,

David Popiel

[REDACTED]

Anchorage

From: Nancy Bird <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:47 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Subject: written testimony in lieu of spoken

Dear House Finance Committee members,
I was in the queue for testimony today but decided to instead submit my comments in writing.

My name is Nancy Bird and I'm testifying for myself from my home in Cordova.

I am generally in support of HB69 and HB71. It's refreshing to not be fighting major cuts to departments and services, although listening to prior testimony makes clear there are still proposed cuts to critical programs and significant needs by the smaller municipalities for state assistance. I endorse the prior comments made by Cordova City Manager Helen Howarth.

What most concerns me is the continued resistance to enacting new revenue sources. I gather that both the improved oil prices and American Rescue Plan dollars coming to the state may give us another year or two to debate these issues.

I urge you to remain firm and not draw more than the 5 percent of market value from our Permanent Fund earnings account.

I urge you to enact a personal income tax. Alaska's smaller communities almost all have sales taxes and we cannot afford an additional state sales tax.

Finally, I also urge that Permanent Fund dividends be limited to no more than \$1,500 per person.

Thank you for your patience to listening to everyone's testimony.

Nancy Bird - Cordova

From: mark leary <napaimute@gci.net>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 2:47 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Subject: Testimony in Support of Full Funding for Kuskokwim Ice Road
Importance: High

Subject: Testimony in Support of Full Funding for Kuskokwim Ice Road
Importance: High

Please accept the following testimony on behalf of the Native Village of Napaimute:

The Native Village of Napaimute leads the annual establishment and maintenance of the Kuskokwim River Ice Road. This is a road we plow entirely on the Kuskokwim River. It can stretch as long as 350 miles and connect dozens of communities. There are NO OTHER intra-community roads in our region. The social/economic benefit of the Kuskokwim Ice Road to our region is great. Nearly every individual, public and private entity uses the ice road saving thousands on their operating budgets.

This includes State agencies such as DOT and the Alaska State Troopers.

With the COVID pandemic its importance became even greater. It allowed for greater access from the outlying communities to the only hospital located at Bethel and greatly facilitated the distribution of the COVID vaccine.

Our expenses for the maintaining the Kuskokwim Ice Road are \$220 for establishment and \$110 per mile for maintenance. Our original 2021 Ice Road Budget was \$370,000. The funding for this budget comes entirely from contributions – about 30 federal, state, city, tribal and commercial entities. Each year the amount of funding is highly variable – always dependent on who can contribute and how much. This determines how often the Kuskokwim Ice Road can be kept open. The support provided this year was unprecedented and a clear demonstration of the importance of the Kuskokwim Ice Road to our region. For the past 3 months our crew has worked long hours to keep the ice road open almost daily for the People along the River.

With the changing climate and the related continual stormy snowy weather pattern, keeping the Kuskokwim Ice Road plowed and open for has been a never ending battle with never ending expense. Our budget rapidly became exhausted with each inch of snow that fell.

We are aware that ice roads in other parts of the State have been funded for years and respectfully request that the Alaska State Legislature give our region the same consideration.

The Native Village of Napaimute is asking the Legislature to put \$370,000 in stable annual Kuskokwim Ice Road funding into the State Operating Budget.

We would be happy to provide further information to support this request should the legislature need it for their consideration.

Thank you.

Mark Leary
Director of Development and Operations
Native Village of Napaimute
P.O. Box 1301
Bethel, Alaska 99559
Ph: 907.545.2877

From: Polly-Beth Odom <pollybeth@daybreakmhsc.com>

Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:18 PM

To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>

Subject: Written testimony on the FY22 Proposed Operating Budget

Good Afternoon,

my name is Polly-Beth Odom, I am the Executive Director of Daybreak Inc. I am testifying on my own behalf this afternoon. I am calling to provide testimony on the FY22 Proposed Operating Budget, specifically continued grant funding of Behavioral Health Treatment & recovery services.

Daybreak currently provides comprehensive mental health case management and rehab. services for over 100 Alaskan adults experiencing serious mental illnesses and traumatic brain injury living in the Mat-Su and Anchorage area.

Daybreak receives State grant funding and Medicaid funding under state plan-services and the newly formed services under the 1115 Medicaid waiver demonstration project. Daybreak has utilized grant funds to bridge gaps in services that were not reimbursed by state plan services during FY21. Some examples are:

- to keep Daybreak operating when we faced three months of delays in Medicaid reimbursement as we transitioned to the ASO and the requirements under the 1115 demonstration project.
- Paying for new staff hired while we wait nearly 2 months for State background checks and for staff to complete all the State's requirements for the 1115 Medicaid waiver.
- transportation to attend appointments with the consumer to assist in advocacy and community recovery support services in the community)

I anticipate utilizing grant funding in FY22 to make up for the reduced reimbursement rates for several of the services under the 1115 waiver while the division of behavioral health and CMS work through identified issues in the demonstration project. One example is the reduced service hours for Community Recovery Support Services. Under the State Plan, there were 240 hours of skill building services available annually to assist consumers in gaining the skills needed to complete recovery plans and graduate from services. The new plan has been reduced to 70 hours annually. With such a large reduction it will be impossible to meet the needs of our most vulnerable Alaskans.

In closing, I want to thank the members of the House Finance Committee for taking the time to understand the importance of the Behavioral Health Treatment and Recovery grants as you work on the State Budget. Keeping grant funds in place for FY22 to support behavioral health service delivery will be vital as providers and the division work through the unknowns of the new 1115 waiver demonstration as

providers fully transition to the 1115 services. Maintaining the current levels of grant funding of behavioral health services should be viewed as essential,

Thank you again for your time.



Polly-Beth Odom, MS BSW
Executive Director
Daybreak Incorporated
907-745-6012
550 South Alaska Street, Suite 202
Palmer, AK 99645

From: melissa@kwrcc.org <melissa@kwrcc.org>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:38 PM
To: Rep. Neal Foster <Rep.Neal.Foster@akleg.gov>
Cc: Rep. Kelly Merrick <Rep.Kelly.Merrick@akleg.gov>; Melissa Austin <melissa@kwrcc.org>; House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Subject: VOCA Cuts

04/09/2021

My name is Melissa Austin, I am a Case Manager for KWRCC, Kodiak Women's Resource and Crisis Center, I am writing this letter on the behalf of my agency and as a valid community member.

I appreciate Governor Dunleavy's recognition of April as Sexual Assault awareness month, also for recognizing the need for more prosecuting Attorney's in the Department of Law's budget, to respond to Sexual Assault backlog cases. I am so grateful for him making Alaska the safest state in the country for our most vulnerable women and children. Thank you to Representative Merrick and the DPS subcommittee for their work to fully fund victim services through the CDVSA, Council on Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault. Unfortunately, we just Learned that federal funding for LIFE-SAVING advocacy and shelter services for victims and survivors is being cut. This will have devastating impact across Alaska.

On 04/01/2021, community based victim services agencies received a memo from CDVSA stating that the State of Alaska FFY 2021 VOCA, Victims of Crime Act, funds would be reduced by \$4.1

Million dollars and that all victim services should expect a 30% cut in their grants starting FY 2022. Crime victims should not be expected to bear the brunt of these cuts. These cuts will have a
Devastating impact on survivors, victims, their families and our community work. This last year, KWRCC, Kodiak Women's Resource and Crisis Center, had contact and helped 632 clients and
Responded to 500 community based inquiries for information, referrals, and safety planning. We are a temporary home where women and their children can relax and feel safe while they try
To put their lives back together. Advocates are actively involved in restructuring their lives, from helping them find housing, resources, crisis intervention, information and referrals, employment,
Education via discussion sessions, reading materials and support groups. KWRCC, Kodiak Women's Resource Crisis Center also do outreach programs to the Kodiak community, Coast Guard Base
And Kodiak Island Villages.
We are reaching out to you to let you know that this is happening now. Please HELP US in Kodiak by finding a solution to this crisis before the end of session. Please consider allocating State General Funds to cover the gap created by VOCA shortfall. Alaska Continues to have some of the highest rates of Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence against
Children. Now is NOT the TIME to cut life saving services to victims and survivors of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault.

Melissa Austin

Lead Advocate & Case Management
Kodiak Women's Resource and Crisis Center
422 Hillside Drive
Kodiak, Alaska 99615
907-486-6171
907-486-4264 fax
melissa@kwrcc.org
www.kwrcc.org



From: Stacie Evans <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:23 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Subject: HB69 Public Testimony

Greetings,

Please accept this email as public testimony regarding HB 69 – APPROP: OPERATING BUDGET/LOANS/FUNDS.

I would specifically like to address funds appropriated for the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority (AIDEA).

As a resident of Haines, Alaska, I do not approve of the amount of funding slated for AIDEA in the proposed budget. Their public process does not conform to the state's Open Meetings Act, leaving the public in the dark as the board meets in excessive executive sessions and non-public discussions. Their budget should be cut drastically unless they are able to commit to:

- compliance with both the letter and spirit of the state Open Meetings Act regarding Executive Sessions, minimizing the time spent in non-public discussions.
- 30 day allowance for the public to comment on proposed AIDEA resolutions and regulations in writing followed by oral comments from the public during the AIDEA board meeting.
- publicly available, written justification for AIDEA board decisions including responses to public comments.
- expanded public notice requirement for both regular and special AIDEA board meetings
- unique standing start times for AIDEA and AEA meetings

These practices are particularly important for Upper Lynn Canal residents who have either dealt with AIDEA's irresponsible practices regarding the ore terminal in Skagway, or fear similar treatment in Haines as they set their sights toward a new ore terminal in the Lutak Inlet. AIDEA is responsible for the highly contaminated facility in Skagway, yet a board member expressed the desire to hand Skagway the keys and walk away. Most Haines residents rightfully fear that a new ore terminal in the Lutak Inlet would pose similar risks to the environment and we have no reason to believe that AIDEA would assume responsibility if an incident occurred. If AIDEA elects to build this new ore terminal, they will meet organized and steady opposition from local residents. To ensure that the public is involved in a more productive way, the legislature should cut AIDEA's operating budget if they are not able to commit to a better public process and require legislative approval, as well as an independent analysis of payback, for all decisions that require more than \$5 million.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this testimony.

Regards,

Stacie Evans
[REDACTED]
Haines, AK 99287
[REDACTED]

From: Perry R. Ahsogeak <pahsogeak@fairbanksnative.org>

Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:14 PM

To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>

Subject: testimony



Behavioral Health Services

April 9, 2021

Representative Neal Foster
Representative Kelly Merrick
Representative Dan Ortiz
Representative Adam Wool
Representative Andy Josephson
Representative Sara Rasmussen
Representative Steve Thompson
Representative Bart LeBon
Representative Ben Carpenter
Representative DeLena Johnson

On behalf of Fairbanks Native Association (FNA), Behavioral Health Services (BHS) we would like to thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony on several issues that have an impact on the people we serve.

BHS provides treatment services for both substance use and mental health serving residents from throughout the State of Alaska. We provide services for both youth and adults (an average of over 100/day) through six residential facilities, four outpatient programs, and five prevention programs for youth for substance use including opioid addiction, mental health, and suicide. We also have a mental health treatment program for individuals that have been impacted because of the COVID19 virus.

Over the last few years our grant funding has been dramatically reduced for our residential treatment programs and with the misconception that grants are not necessary with the Medicaid 1115 Demonstration Waiver we would like to offer that not everyone we serve are eligible for Medicaid, private insurance does not totally pay for treatment, nor do they have enough resources to be able to pay for the service. Behavioral Health grants are necessary to support treatment.

We are now noticing that the COVID-19 pandemic has had an impact on Alaska residents and that there is an increase of individuals wanting treatment, not only for substance use, but mental health as well. We have over 65 individuals waiting for treatment from one of our residential treatment programs. Our mental health COVID 19 program is currently serving 25 consumers that have been impacted by the virus.

315 Wendell Avenue, Fairbanks, AK 99701
* Phone 907-452-6251 * Fax 907-452-1001 * www.fairbanksnative.org *



Behavioral Health Services

We are currently looking at expanding both substance use as well as mental health services but there are no start-up funds to implement these new services. New services require funding, staff recruitment and training, and space that all require an upfront investment. Funding required to expand existing services to meet increased community need for behavioral health with heightened demands for services was evident pre-COVID and increased as the pandemic affected so many Alaskans.


Our "ASK" is that the committee continues to fund treatment and recovery grants to meet the need, do not reduce Medicaid health coverage or funding, do not agree to the Governor's proposal to eliminate funding for Alaska's sobering centers, support the HSS subcommittee to increase funding for public assistance field services, and Office of Children's services, do not transfer funds from the Mental Health Trust Reserve Fund without trust approval. Now is not the time to cut these critical and life-saving services. Cutting funds has broad implications that impact the behavioral healthcare that all Alaskans should have a right to.

As a Behavioral Health provider, we understand more than most what is needed to effectively support the needs of the people we serve, that is all Alaskans needing substance use and/or mental health treatment.

We appreciate your consideration of our concerns and that we are available to provide an opportunity for you to visit any of treatment programs and if you have any questions please let me know.

Thank you for your attention to this request

Sincerely,



Perry R. Ahsogeak
Director, Behavioral Health Services

From: Brooke Ivy <ivy@aoga.org>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 3:31 PM
To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>
Cc: Kara Moriarty <moriarty@aoga.org>; Tamara Sheffield <sheffield@aoga.org>
Subject: AOGA Testimony on HB69: Operating Budget

TO: House Finance Committee

Thank you again for the opportunity to testify on House Bill 69: Operating Budget. Attached please find a written copy of my verbal public testimony for your records.

Thank you kindly,

Brooke Ivy
External Affairs Manager
Alaska Oil and Gas Association
121 W. Fireweed Lane, Ste 207
Anchorage, AK 99503
D: 907.222.9606
C: 907.229.3182
ivy@aoga.org



Alaska Oil and Gas Association



121 W. Fireweed Lane, Suite 207
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-2035
Phone: (907) 272-1481 Fax: (907) 279-8114
Email: info@aoga.org

AOGA Public Testimony on House Bill 69: Operating Budget
House Finance Committee
April 9, 2021

Good afternoon. For the record, my name is Brooke Ivy, External Affairs Manager for the Alaska Oil and Gas Association, commonly referred to as "AOGA."

AOGA is the professional trade association for the oil and gas industry here in Alaska. One of our organization's main priorities is to maintain fiscal stability and consistency, which includes advocating for a long-term solution for the payment of approximately \$744 million in outstanding liability for the refundable tax credit program.

AOGA recognizes the serious budget challenges the State of Alaska is facing; thus, we are not advocating a full immediate payout of the credits. However, we do support the State funding the minimum statutory payment as outlined AS43.55.028 and updated per the Department of Revenue Spring Forecast.

Given the State was waiting for the Alaska Supreme Court to decide the fate of the bonding program, no payments of credits were made over the past two budget years, not even the minimum statutory payment. Now that the court has made its decision and deemed the bonding proposal unconstitutional, it is increasingly important for the State to send a message to investors, producing companies, explorers, refineries, and financial institutions that Alaska is an attractive place to do business. Providing funding for the full statutory minimum payment is very important for over half of AOGA's members.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, for your consideration, and for your service to our state.

From: Mike Warena <Mike.Warena@akleg.gov>

Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:44 AM

To: House Finance <House.Finance@akleg.gov>

Subject: Testimony from Charles McKee

Citizen Charles McKee asked that the attached PDFs be entered into Public Testimony on the Operating Budget.

His cell number is [REDACTED]

Thank you,

Mike Warena

Incredible Legal Remedy to Get Any Case Dismissed in Court

Start Here: What is a "Ces tui Qui Trust" (pronounce set-a-kay) and why should you care?

FILED

APR 26 2019

APPELLATE COURT
OF THE
STATE OF ALASKA

In 1666, in London, during the black plague, and great fires of London Parliament enacted an act, behind closed doors, called Cestui Que Vie Act 1666.

<http://www.opsi.gov.uk/RevisedStatute...>

The act being debated the Cestui Qui act was to subrogate the rights of men and women, meaning all men and women were declared dead, lost at sea/beyond the sea. (back then operating in admiralty law, the law of the sea, so lost at sea).

The state (of London) took custody of everybody and their property into a trust, the state became the trustee/husband holding all titles to the people and property, until a living man comes back to reclaim those titles and can also claim damages. (Reclaim using UCC 1 and PPSA)

The rule of the use of CAPITAL LETTERS used in a NAME: when CAPITAL letters are used anywhere in a NAME this always refers to a LEGAL ENTITY/FICTION, COMPANY or CORPORATION no exceptions.

e.g. John DOE or Doe: JANE (PASSPORT, DRIVER LICENSE, MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE and BIRTH CERTIFICATE)

CEST TUI QUE TRUST: (pronounced setakay) common term in NEW ZEALAND and AUSTRALIA or STRAWMAN common term in USA or CANADA is a LEGAL ENTITY/FICTION created and owned by the GOVERNMENT whom created it. I repeat owned by the GOVERNMENT.

Legally, we are considered to be a FICTION, a concept or idea expressed as a NAME, a symbol. That LEGAL PERSON has no consciousness; it is a juristic PERSON, ENS LEGIS, a NAME/word written on a piece of paper.

This traces back to 1666, London is a state, just like Vatican is a state, just like Washington DC is a state. The Crown is an unincorporated association. Why unincorporated, its private, the temple bar is in London, every lawyer called to the "bar" swears allegiance to the temple bar. You can't get called,

liable" is how they steal our cash.

I think the real question is, how can we render worthless the CQV trust account, so there will be nothing for them to charge, even if they do track down the account? If we all did this, it would end this insane system. They would prefer to keep it alive but the only way to do this, once we threaten to kill the accounts, is to begin to behave honourably and follow their rules.

"To find your life you must lose it". I'll bet this means: "Our life is commerce; commerce is our life." The only way to lose our life is to get out of commerce. The only way to get out of commerce is to CLOSE THE CQV TRUST ACCOUNT.

The only way to close the CQV account is to claim our body to be in the Divine Trust. This will bring their fraud to a screeching halt.

<http://spiritualeconomicsnow.net/?p=176>

HB No. 271 What happened to the Workers Compensation under workers = like Liberty insurance. What happened to the Alaska state? Words have meaning. What happened to the "Judiciary"? (The same thing is going on with the courts)

AS 45.77.020. Definitions.

In this chapter,

(1) "foreign trade zone" means a foreign trade zone established under 19 U.S.C. secs. 81a - 81u (Foreign Trade Zones Act), as amended, or any laws of the United States enacted as replacements for those provisions;

(2) "private corporation" means a corporation, other than a public corporation, formed under the laws of the State of Alaska for the purpose of establishing, operating, and maintaining a foreign trade zone;

(3) "public corporation" means the State of Alaska, a political subdivision of the state, a public authority, commission, agency, or corporate instrumentality of the state or of a political subdivision of the state, or any combination of these entities. What lawful authority over a man who is NOT in the corporation - in private capacity or nature?

"Foreign" to what? Foreign to the Constitutional Republic form of government.

Too bad most people do not understand the meaning of this:

1. - "public corporation" means the "State of Alaska";
2. - the "State of Alaska", a "political subdivision of the state";
3. - "private corporation" means a corporation, other than a public corporation, (State of Alaska), formed under the laws of the State of Alaska, (the "public corporation" - see above); (A.S. 45.77.020);

"Not actual 'law' but 'color of law'"

How does any corporation's "private copyright color of law" apply to someone who is NOT "within their corporation? (Look in their "court rules" - they are all "copyrighted", (this is why you need a BAR card to use "their" color of law". (A/K/A "practicing 'law'", (actually - "color of law"), w/o a license"). So are Alaska Statutes. (Created by a private group of BAR members, "Uniform State Law Commission")

See 'private civilian'

Therefore, "law enforcement officers" are actually "color of law" enforcement officers, enforcing "private copyright color of law" which does not apply to anyone NOT within their corporation.

→ The corporate (BAR) overthrow of the constitutional republic form of government established and guaranteed for all "states". Were the terms of your contract 'acceptance' fully disclosed? |

Where are the "states"?

What happened to the "state" - which is really 'the people'? ←

→ Why then, do people claim to live in a 'public corporation' instead of the actual state? This claim confirms that they are a "person" and not a "people".

Where are the lawful courts of the Judiciary created in accordance with Session Laws of Alaska, 1959?

If the "ALASKA COURT SYSTEM" was the lawful Judiciary, they would use the lawful Seal of Court, mandated in Session Laws of Alaska, 1959, but they do NOT. The Alaska Court System is registered as a corporation on Dun & Bradstreet - DUNS #069810660. A.C.S. is a "non-governmental organization" - NOT the lawful court of record mandated by law for the people. A.C.S. does not have a business license to operate either.

Too many people have abandoned the constitutional republic form of government, opting to waive all of their secured rights for corporate 'benefits', which can be revoked at any time.

State of Alaska is NOT the state. (This is the same in every "STATE".)

The "United States" is NOT the constitutional form of government, United States of America. (See 28 U.S.C. § 3002(15)) this is a corporation too.

→ Words have meanings. Words have been used to deceive the people.

→ Now read the Alaska constitution, Article 1 § 1 to see the "obligations of a "person".

→ Do you really know what a "person" is in legal definitions? This is very important.

by: charles edison mckee
A/R W/R

non-negotiable 4/1/16

Applicable Law and Definitions

Due to the abdication of the original monumental seal, through tacit dedication (Of property for public use is dedication arising from silence or inactivity, without express contract or agreement.), (*Goree v. Midstates, Oil Corporation*, 205 LA, 988.1850, 2d 591.596) copyright holder in due course (TXU 545.416) claim it as a common law ruling.

(Tacit Law which means a law that derives its authority from the common consent of the people without any legislative enactment.)

(Source: TheLaw.com Law Dictionary & Black's Law Dictionary 4th Ed.)

We are still on the subject of market share, and that a corporation – even public cannot make law, public law i.e. common law. “Public” in this case, would not be a corporate legal fiction, right!

Let us not “deny” the (secretive equitable enslavement) “offer” that has been handed out by the public corporations across the country, as a mass production for market share control. Are you still with me, this “is” what you are calling State Governments, which they have been fashioned by the Barr Association's for market share control. First you must get rid of these rights that the Public own, setting them up to except a legal fiction status by separating them from those rights affectively denying you your inherent rights.

The “all caps NAME, or “nom de guerre” and “Capitis diminution maxima”: is “The highest or most comprehensive loss of status.” This occurred when a man's condition was changed from one of freedom to one of bondage, when he became a slave. It swept away with it all rights of citizenship and all family rights”. (Source: TheLaw.com Law Dictionary & Black's Law Dictionary 2nd Ed.)

The all caps “name” on the Certification of Birth, _____ State File # _____ is in violation of Government Printing Office Styles Manual, Chicago manual of Styles, Oxford English Dictionary. This “all caps NAME” is NOT, and can NOT, ever be the lawful ‘name’ of a living man. This all caps NAME is an estate/trust ACCOUNT, held at 31 USC 1321, and “the owners whereabouts is unknown”, (at 31 USC 1322).

This unlawful conversion by a legal process without full disclosure changes the creditor into a debt slave for all intents and purpose. However, this was NOT disclosed and is therefore deemed VOID for non-disclosure.

Without Prejudice

By: Charles Edison-McKee

A/R W/R nonnegotiable Fed 10th, 2017.

Lawful Money is Equitable Title to Labor-Credit Asset

This explanation is proposing a much needed paradigm shift in our mind regarding the bills we receive in the mail from corporations, including the United States Corporation (8 USC 1101 (a) (22) a), and what lawful money is.

If everything commercial is a Trust since 1933 because lawful money was taken out of circulation, then a "Bill" cannot be a Bill. They cannot be charging anyone for anything since they know we have no money to pay for anything. Checks and all liability currency are promises to pay, and essentially are a dishonor because **payment is delayed**. However, in commerce, this **MIS-TAKE** can be forgiven.

So, then what is a "Bill"? Logically, it must be a request for us to authorize the release of assets held in trust by the Trustee as the payment (asset/credit – liability/debit = 0). This "payment by **EQUITABLE TITLE TRANSFER**" results in the extinguishment of debit. Notice that the amount on the bill is a positive number a **CREDIT**. It does not have parentheses around it, or a minus sign in front of it, which commonly indicates a negative number.

This **positive number** represents an asset that will offset a liability held by the corporation for a commercial transaction. They just need our authorization (indorsement on the back of the bill) to get ownership of that asset amount so that they can then apply it to discharge the liability on their books for that same amount. We have the equitable title to that amount. When we write "**lawful money is demanded for all transactions 12 USC 411**" on the FRONT of a Bill, and then indorse the back of a Bill, then the legal and equitable titles to the asset (credit) are now vested in that one piece of paper, and when that indorsed instrument is returned to the party that sent it, then that party is now the Holder in due course of the legal and equitable titles to both the asset and liability amounts for that account and must then **EXTINGUISH** the debt by operation of law.

The Corporation is already holding both **legal** and **equitable** titles to the **Liability**. They are also holding the **legal** title to the **Asset** as implied by them sending you the Bill (the US Corp (8 USC 1101 (a) (22) a) and all their sub-corps (A.S. 45.77.020) hold legal title to all assets since 1933 and are trustees, or agents thereof, per the purpose and intent of the HJR 192, June 5, 1933 **TRUST**, codified in 31 US 5118). The only thing they are missing is the Equitable title to the Asset so that they can easily do the discharge to balance the books and extinguish the debt. They have the charge (DEBIT/DEBT) amount – they just need the discharge (CREDIT/ASSET) amount to balance books to zero. Having both of the titles for the asset/credit amount now allows them to use that asset/credit amount to perform their duty as Trustee to extinguish (discharge) the Liability/Debit (debt) amount by operation of law – the trust laws that are involved when the legal and equitable titles are merged.

So The Bill is NOT a BILL – it is an **asset credit voucher** containing the **labor/asset/credit** amount (that is as good as gold and silver as real Substance as lawful money) that we must release to the Trustee (or agent thereof) by indorsing the **Back of the Bill** and writing "**lawful money is demanded for all transactions 12 USC411**" on the Front of the Bill, and returning it. This is the duty that We the Beneficiaries (or agents thereof), have been failing to perform.

In this scenario, **Lawful Money is Equitable Title** to the people's Labor Credit asset value held in trust by the United States Treasury since April 5, 1933. And **PAYMENT is EQUITABLE TITLE TRANSER**. Your Cestuique Estate/Trust is being held at 31 USC 1321 and "the owners whereabouts is unknown", (at 31 USC 1322). Now since the "M.O.A." is a sovereign city, and they have a working agreement with the Alaska District Court System. The Alaska Bar Discharge credit from all the Cestuique accounts though the M.O.A. Treasury = remember now, They are unclaimed.

In care of:
Charles McKee
P.O. #243053
Anchorage, Ak

AWCB#

Speaker of the house is he speaking to us: We the People. Or the United States Corporation 8 U.S.C. 1101 (a)(22) a. and its subsidiaries (A.S. 45. 77.020) State of Alaska 1 to 100, ect al.

I didn't know it was necessary condition to procure a business license in all caps in session with my given name and then pay a fee with same to record it, in all caps as well – making a pimp of myself – just to do business with this (A.S. 45. 77.020) a public corporation.

The reason I am compelled to do this is to avoid being conceived as a legal fiction!

It begins with the birth of this Nation, that is your right of inheritance – you = We The People of this Great Nation. Without an inheritance tax or clouded title.

Until which time, that we go back to United States of America currency so that we own the growth of it, I am compelled to procure a business license in all caps fashion as with (A.S. 45. 77.020) and to avoid a sales tax in the M.O.A.

Without Prejudice, Jan 9th, 2017

By: Charles Edison – McKee

A/R W/R nonnegotiable

The term strawman or all caps NAME, or Nomme De Gerre (French for a war name) is a fiction, which goes away and shows up as a Cestuique Estate/ Trust Account, (you are now legally missing), the public corporations, the U.S. Corp and the one you are currently living in start applying claims for credit from your U.S. Treasury Account, to hide this, the 300 million people have been combined into what is called Chirs & Clapper Accounts and they know where you were born at and your file # on your Birth Certificate

A O 20016-159 To: M.O.A. Six Pages

Received Office of Municipal Clerk Jan 10, 2017

DOL/WC Anchorage Jan 10, 2017

UCC FINANCING STATEMENT

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS (front and back) CAREFULLY

A. NAME & PHONE OF CONTACT AT FILER (optional)

McKee, Charles Edison

B. SEND ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO: (Name and Address)

CHARLES EDISON MCKEE
P.O. BOX 243053
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA
99524

THE ABOVE SPACE IS FOR FILING OFFICE USE ONLY

1. DEBTOR'S EXACT FULL LEGAL NAME - insert only one debtor name (1a or 1b) - do not abbreviate or combine names

1a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

CHARLES EDISON MCKEE

OR

1b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME

FIRST NAME

MIDDLE NAME

SUFFIX

1c. MAILING ADDRESS

P.O. Box 243053

CITY

Anchorage

STATE

POSTAL CODE

AK

99524

COUNTRY

US

1d. SEE INSTRUCTIONS

ADDL INFO RE
ORGANIZATION
DEBTOR

1e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

1f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION

1g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

☐ NONE2. ADDITIONAL DEBTOR'S EXACT FULL LEGAL NAME - insert only one debtor name (2a or 2b) - do not abbreviate or combine names

2a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

CHARLES E MCKEE

OR

2b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME

FIRST NAME

MIDDLE NAME

SUFFIX

2c. MAILING ADDRESS

P.O. Box 243053

CITY

Anchorage

STATE

POSTAL CODE

AK

99524

COUNTRY

US

2d. SEE INSTRUCTIONS

ADDL INFO RE
ORGANIZATION
DEBTOR

2e. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

2f. JURISDICTION OF ORGANIZATION

2g. ORGANIZATIONAL ID #, if any

☐ NONE3. SECURED PARTY'S NAME (or NAME of TOTAL ASSIGNEE of ASSIGNOR S/P) - insert only one secured party name (3a or 3b)

3a. ORGANIZATION'S NAME

OR

3b. INDIVIDUAL'S LAST NAME

FIRST NAME

MIDDLE NAME

SUFFIX

McKee

Charles

Edison

3c. MAILING ADDRESS

P.O. Box 243053

CITY

Anchorage

STATE

POSTAL CODE

Alaska

99524

COUNTRY

USA

4. This FINANCING STATEMENT covers the following collateral:

- All property belonging to Debtor was conveyed by private execution of Deed of Conveyance to Secured Party.
- Debtor is a Transmitting Utility.
- Debtor is a Trust.
- Secured Party is the Beneficiary of this cestui que Trust and beneficiary of the foreign cestui trust: CHARLES E MCKEE, account #
- The living body man, McKee, Charles Edison's superior claim of ownership of the body valued priceless.
- Certificate of Live Birth, Birth

GON: Certification of Birth

5. ALTERNATIVE DESIGNATION (if applicable)	LESSOR/LESSOR	CONSIGNEE/CONSIGNOR	BAILEY/BAILOR	SELLER/BUYER	AG. LIEN	NON-UCC FILING
6. THIS FINANCING STATEMENT is to be filed for recording (for recording) INITIALS	7. CREDIT REQUEST SEARCH REPORT(s) on Debtor(s)		All Debtors Debtor 1 Debtor 2			
8. OPTIONAL FILER REFERENCE DATA						

I=Inspired

T=Thought

L=Light

©1992


TXU 545,416

In Care of


Charles Edison McKee

PO Box 243053


Anchorage, AK 99524



Title of Origin



Make money through
Collective Marketing
(907) 229-5815



1766

TREASURY

*the original organic
"the only one with any legality"*

EXHIBIT C

this is defacto



No 2

To Make My Case of the Gospel

The magnitude of harm belongs to the corporate pernicious dogma of the corporate-controlled court. The non-disclosure agreement (N.D.A.) doctrine or rule widely or ardently maintained the continuity of harm.

“United We Stand, Divided We Fall.”

That was the motto in the 1700’s. Then someone in the legal arts came across a printing press and witnessed the divided word. The upper-case/lower-case of A to Z. In that time period, printing the Gospel was in demand.

This converging conundrum of how to “best” the private American National, Cestui que, a de jure Private Civilian American National was on his mind.

He then surmised that if he could enfranchise enough legal artists, he could then produce a legal fiction arena—using the uppercase TYPE set—like a bull-fighting ring, dividing the man, pitting him up against his own lie.

The man will be asked, for the record what is your name to the court, remember now the N.D.A. is in effect, he is now in their legal fiction world, of all upper-case lettering. He will be speaking in all upper-case words and he is not dead yet, but for the record, under oath, he had just spoken the dead name? Using the oath to god and the court to tell the truth.

He lied! “I” on the other hand, “said”, “under oath” to the Judicial Officer “Nesbett”.

→ Your honor I have here a notarized signature as to who I am. I am the beneficiary of the MCKEE Trust. You, on the other hand are my trustee, and your clerk is the other trustee and the State of Alaska “prosecutor” is the one bringing the controversy and carrying the liability.

I: Debra-Jean: Blatchford: w/all rights reserved I was at this hearing held at Nesbett Court Build. And witnessed mckee stating facts, On above date stated.
Sept 23, 2020
Debra Jean Blatchford.

10:52 AM

Yes indeed, this is a theocentric outlook, but what is the goal of this corporate court?

Oh, by the way, technically speaking, Alaska's jurisdiction is in the territorial range of authority____under *your* jurisdiction.

--Charles E. McKee

by: charles-edison:mckee
without prejudice/vccz-2072308

The easiest way to blunt off anger on the part of the court is to say, "I am forbidden to embellish my response or to say anything more

13. Collateral estoppel doctrine. "When an issue of ultimate fact has been determined by a valid judgment, that issue cannot be again litigated between same parties in future litigation." Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition. In 96 STAT 1211 the Bible is held by Congress to be the Word of God and that we should apply it's teachings to our lives. In *Seger v US* 380 US 163, the Supreme Court upheld that the understandability of a person's religious beliefs cannot be used as a test of those beliefs by the government. The only test allowed is; are those beliefs truly and sincerely held and are they religious?
14. "The First amendment's guarantee of the free exercise of religion requires that our procedural rules be interpreted flexibly to protect sincerely held religious beliefs and practices." *Gordon v. Idaho*, at pg 1400, citing *Callahan v Woods*, 736 F2nd 1269 at 1272.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

STATE OF ALASKA

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHARLES EDISON MCKEE,

Defendant.


FILED in the Trial Courts
State of Alaska Third District
OCT 02 2019
By Clerk of the Trial Courts
Deputy

Case No: 3AN-19-08780CR

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES OF DOCUMENTS FILED BY MR. McKEE

The defendant Charles McKee filed a stack of documents with the court. At a representation hearing on September 30, 2019, the court appointed the Public Defender Agency to represent Mr. McKee. The court has enclosed the documents and is sending them to the Public Defender Agency and the State. *The court did not send all documents* To the extent that Mr. McKee makes any requests in the documents, they are denied at this time but can be reconsidered if they are put in the form of a motion by Mr. McKee's attorney and sent to the State for any response. A pre-trial conference will be held on October 28, 2019 at 9:30 a.m. in the Boney Courthouse.

DATED this *2nd* day of October, 2019 in Anchorage, Alaska.


J. Patrick Hanley
District Court Judge

I certify that on *10/2/19* a copy was mailed
to each of the following at their address of record:

SDA-E
Deputy Clerk/Administrative Assistant

PD-E
with *PIO* and all
attachments

IN THE DISTRICT/SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA
AT ANCHORAGE

COPY

☒ STATE OF ALASKA
☐ MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE
Plaintiff,

vs.
Charles Edison McKee
Defendant.

CASE NO. 3AN-19-8780 CR

DOB: 9-8-1953
APSIN: _____ ATN: _____ DL/ID: _____ ST: 0 Comm. Lic. ☐

FOR REQUEST AND ORDER
Notice of Cure

DEFENDANT'S REQUEST (type or print neatly)

CERTIFICATION. I certify that this document and its attachments do not contain (1) the name of a victim of a sexual offense listed in AS 12.61.140 or (2) a residence or business address or telephone number of a victim of or witness to any crime unless it is an address used to identify the place of the crime or it is an address or telephone number in a transcript of a court proceeding and disclosure of the information was ordered by the court.

I, _____, request that _____

Reason: See ATTACHED

Date _____ Defendant's Signature _____
Defendant's Mailing Address _____ Daytime Phone _____ Email Address _____

PROSECUTOR'S REPLY (☐ not necessary)

Prosecutor ☐ does ☐ does not oppose this request. Reason: _____

Date _____ Prosecutor's Signature _____ ABA# _____

COURT'S ORDER

☐ Hearing ordered. Date: _____ Time: _____ Courtroom: _____
☐ Request granted. ☐ Request denied.

NOT USED

Date _____ Judge _____

Type or Print Judge's Name _____

I certify that on _____ a copy of this order was given or sent to: ☐ DA/MA ☐ Deft ☐ DPS ☐ DOC ☐ Jail
☐ DMV ☐ AASAP ☐ CWS ☐ DVIP ☐ MOA/SOA Collections ☐ TCA ☐ Jail ☐ _____ by Clerk: _____

NOTICE OF CURE

STATE OF ALASKA/OR SUREIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA:

IT HAS RECENTLY COME TO MY ATTENTION; HERE ON THESE MATTERS; CASE NO: 3AN-19-08780CR, IN OFFENSE LISTED IN ATN: 117246393 003: RECKLESS ENDANERMENT LOG # 94010, HEARING DATE SET FOR: 9/30/2019. ON SEPTEMBER 3, 2019, HAVE BEEN AND ARE ALREADY IN COMPLIANCE, DOG IS IN A KENNAL AT LEAST TWO HOURS AWAY FROM MY LOCATION. AS FOR (JL) ON PHONE AS WITNESS, I DIDNOT KNOW I COULD REBUT WHAT WAS BEING SAID; I NEVER DID CAUSE HER HARM SO I DONOT UNDERSTAND WHY (JL) WOULD BE SCARED OF ME. I DID NOT GET A CHANCE TO ASK WHERE THE DOCUMENTS OF PROOF OF HARM FROM HOSPITAL FOR HER DOG BITE. AS FOR YOU CLAIMING, ME FLEEING THE SEEN, I WAS IN A HURRY TO LEAVE TOWN BEFORE THE TRAFFIC GOT TOO THICK, AS SO NOT TO GET BOGGED DOWN IN THE HEAVY TRAFFIC. THERE IS NO STOP LIGHT IN PLACE WHERE IT IS CLAIMED, THAT I KNOW OF. MY DOG WAS LET OUT ONE BY ONE NOT ALL TOGETHER OR ALL AT ONCE. THESE MATTERS AT HAND AS DIRECTOR AND BENEFICIARY OF MY LEGAL PERSON AND CORPORATION; YOU, OFFICERS AND COURT CLERK OR DEPUTY OF COURT, HAVE (5) FIVE DAYS TO PROVIDE PROOF OF CLAIM WITH EVIDENCE THAT YOU HAVE LEGAL RIGHT AND LAWFUL AUTHORITY AS MY PUBLIC SERVANT TO BOTHER ME AT ALL. FOR I DO NOT UNDERSTAND, AND PLEASE GIVE PROOF OF YOUR SILVER BOND, AS WELL AS THE CUSIP NUMBER OF EACH PERSON'S APPLACTION, INCLUDED. OBTAINING POSSESSION OF PROPERTY BY FRAUD, TRICK OR DEVICE WITH PRECONCEIVED DESIGN OR INTENT TO APPROPRIATE CONVERT OR STEAL IS LARCENY. IN CASE OF YOUR NON-DISCLOSURE, SO I UNDERSTAND THIS WAS A MISTAKE. I NOW EXPECT THIS TO HAVE A CURE, AS IN CORRECTED. YOUR SILENCE IS CONSENT. I DO EXPECT BY YOUR NON-DISCLOSURE, ARTIFICIAL PERSON, AND NOW HAVING OPPORTUNITY TO CURE THESE MATTERS. I NOW EXPECT YOU TO HAVE OR WILL NOW HAVE CLEARED ALL AND ANY FEES, FINES, CHARGES, ANY SLANDER OF MY NAME BE RESTORED SLANDER OF MY CREDIT IS SLANDER OF MY NAME, ALL AND ANY BACK RENT DUE FOR ALL THE HARM DONE TO ME AND MY FAMILY, AND RETURN TO ME ALL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY. AS WELL AS ALL AND ANY PAYMENTS AND OR COSTS FOR OR BY MY DOGS THAT HAVE ACCUMULATED TO BE PAID IN FULL. AND FOR MY DOGS TO BE RELEASED BACK TO ME, ASAP. AS TO NOT CAUSE ANY MORE HARM IN ANYWAYS. YOU WILL RELEASE TO ME, \$80,000,000.00 (MILLION) AS WELL AS; \$3,300.00 A MONTH, IMMEDIATELY, FOR BOTHERING ME, TO BE PUT IN MY TRUST FOR MY DOGS TO BE CARED FOR PROPERLY, UNTIL THEY PASS. AS TRUSTEE I WILL CARE FOR THEM AND THEIR FUTURE CARE AND THEIR FUTURE FAMILIES. FOR THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAWS OR FICTIONALMODIFICATION-LAW ARE WITH THESE CLAIMS OF THESE CORRECTION AND COMMUNICATION-LAWS, FICTIONAL-MODIFICATION WRONGS BY THESE PERSONS. OFFICERS OF THE COURTS AND FIDUCIARY-POSITION, WILL UPHOLD YOUR OATH OF OFFICE. *please see ATTACHMENT 1 to*

WITHOUT PREJUDICE UCC 1-308

BY:

DATE:

without swearing this allegiance. The Crown already owns North America and everything in it.

Your only way out is to reclaim your dead entity (strawman) that the Crown created, become the trustee of the cestui qui trust and remove yourself from the admiralty law that holds you in custody.

The subrogation of your rights

When London burned the subrogation of mens and womens rights occurred.

The responsible act passed... CQV act 1666 meant all men and women of UK were declared dead and lost beyond the seas. The state took everybody and everybody's property into trust. The state takes control until a living man or woman comes back and claims their titles by proving they are alive and claims for damages can be made.

This is why you always need representation when involved in legal matters, because you're dead. The legal fiction is a construct on paper, an estate in trust. When you get a bill or summons from court it is always in capital letters, similar to tomb stones in grave yards. Capital letters signify death. They are writing to the dead legal fiction. A legal fiction was created when someone informed the government that there was a new vessel in town, based upon your birth. Birth certificates are issued at birth, just as ships are given berth certificates.

Your mother has a birth canal, just like a ship. All this information relates to how the general public are still legally tied. Through admiralty law, through this ancient legal construct we can be easily controlled. Learning about your legal fiction helps you to unlock yourself. Otherwise you are just a vessel floating on the sea of commerce. It is possible to be free from financial stress and debt.

Parents are tricked into registering the birth of their babies. In about 1837 the Births, Deaths and Marriages act was formed in UK and the post of registrar general was established. His job was to collect all the data from the churches which held the records of birth.

Regis - from queen or crown. All people are seen to be in custody of, "The Crown". This allows people to function in commerce and to accept the benefits provided by state.

So we are in custody. Worldwide - under the IMF the majority of people are fed, sheltered and provided for, however now it is the system that is

benefitting while many are suffering, are poorly fed, housed and water is contaminated. Many people are now getting sick and dying as a result - not to mention that as people evolve, they now seek to be independent of any system that seeks to control or oppress and harms the earth that this is all taking place on.

We have legally elected representatives. We have to understand who we are as men and women and how we can relate in the system.

The City of London is a centre for markets, where merchants work. Then there is mercantile law. It comes from Admiralty. Look at the symbols in the City of London that relate to Admiralty.

Our national banks are not our banks. The private shareholders from the private banks own the banks. It is all private, not public as we are led to believe. "OF" also means "without", eg. The bank without England. Private banks issue private currency.

With WWI a change happened where money was not backed by gold or silver anymore, it is now based on peoples labour. People are now pledged to the IMF as the surety to pay back the creditors in the global bankruptcy. Men and women are not bankrupt, they are the only source of credit. The public is bankrupt.

Regarding the currency that gets issued at the Bank of England, people are the gold or the treasure. The government issues bonds or treasury bills that are bought by investors. The money goes back into the economy in order to pay for the people to build things, e.g. an Olympic Stadium. However, the people are paying taxes for the privilege of using someone else's currency and paying back the principal and the interest on the original loan that was given against the treasury bonds, bills and notes. It is a private corporation that will own the Olympic stadium, be responsible for running it, be able to sell commercial rights, yet the people are actually the ones who own it and should be profiting from it. However, principal and interest is coming through the people in order to raise the money.

So where you have commerce and money, you also have "justice". You need to understand the bankruptcy before you can understand the judiciary. You need to accept the bankruptcy. We have accepted the claim to accept the summons. There is an obligation to accept any liability which has been created. All you can do is accept the bankruptcy. We are operating in admiralty. A not guilty plea dishonours the bankruptcy. The strawman, aka legal fiction is always guilty. It needs to be accepted for

value. Barriers and solicitors make a living out of creating controversy. By creating a controversy you become liable for the case.

Honour and dishonour. To remain in honour you have to accept a claim and settle it. Then you add conditions. I accept on proof of claim and proof of loss. This gives the liability back to them. The legal fiction is always guilty. Only in the high courts, can the real man or woman appear. Games are played on courts; hence the name court is a game with actors (acting on acts). It has to be treated as a game and just business. Court room dramas are misinformation. In the public, we are operating in bankruptcy and you receive benefits. It takes a lot of time, effort and study to use these tools. You have to be prepared to go fully through the process, get the right tool out of your toolbox at the right time. People need to learn how to act as creditors.

In summary...

- Money is backed by labour.
- We cannot exchange it fairly for gold or silver.
- Capitalisation of "name" means a dead entity, a legal fiction.
- Know who you are, you are not your strawman or dead fictitious entity.
- Learn how to become a creditor in commerce.

IMPORTANT... Check out these links for valuable information on CQV Trust and knowing who you are...

<http://spiritualeconomicsnow.net/?p=164> and
<http://spiritualeconomicsnow.net/?p=176>

All the best with this!!! Contact us for more information about what we are discovering - sincere inquiries by men and women who are committed to the road of a Sul Juris

Winston Shrout addresses Cestui Qui Act/Trust

An Intro into the ideas of how your (entity, strawman, allcaps name) was created. In 1666 an act of parliament created during the black plague, and great fires of London, behind closed doors, was called Cestui Que Vie Act 1666 you can read the act here:

<http://www.opsi.gov.uk/RevisedStatute...>

The act being debated was the Cestui Qui act which was to subrogate the

rights of men and women, meaning all men and women were declared dead, lost at sea/beyond the sea. This was done during a crisis. The state took custody of everybody and their property into a trust, the Cestui Qui trust, the state became the trustee/husband holding all titles to the people and property, until a living man comes back to reclaim those titles and can also claim damages.

The Cestui Qui act or Trust created is an ALL-CAPITALIZED NAME, a 'dead entity' who had all his belongings put into a trust. This act still exists, and this trust still exists.

This is how it started. The videos by Winston Shrout, Irene Gravenhorst, Jordan Maxwell, ACriticalState, all speak about this subject. The basis of how bankers use the law to hijack an all-caps name that you didn't even know existed is briefly touch on in this 5 min video.

The intention is to give you a peek at the ideas from freedom based videos. If you were born on earth, if you have a birth certificate, this applies to you. The only way to claim your trust and get free from admiralty law, is to understand who you really are, and that admiralty law does not apply to you, but in order to get free you must do some homework, file forms and know how commerce applies to you.

COURT: Who's Who and What to Say

- Posted by [Eoghan](#) on December 19, 2010 at 15:37 in [Tír na Saor - Top Discussions](#)
- [View Discussions](#)

My position on going to court has always been: never voluntarily go to court. Live men and women are not meant to be in any place designed solely for the business of fictional entities. When we attend court, we are deemed dead, in fact, they cannot deal with us until we admit to being dead....a legal fiction....a trust. Court is for titled persons: judge, prosecutor, defendant, bailiffs, cops, and attorneys. Live men and women are not recognized, so it makes sense to send in a dead person—an attorney—to handle our cases except for one thing: they do not know how the system works, due to their indoctrination. If you can find one to do as you say, then you will prevail, but most of them would rather hang onto their BAR cards than behave honourably. The only thing that dead, fictional entities want from us is our life energy, and the only way they can get it is by our agreement. Without us, they cannot function, so, they are desperate to get us into court, to have us pay the debt which they created by charging the trust.

Since common law courts no longer exist, we know that the case never has anything to do with "facts" or live men and women and so, anyone who testifies (talks about the facts of the case) is doomed. ALL courts operate in trust law, based upon ecclesiastical canon law— ritualism,

superstition, satanism, etc.—which manifests as insidious, commercial law and we are in court to take the hit, if they can get us to do so. They use every trick in the book—intimidation, fear, threat, ridicule, rage, and even recesses, in order to change the jurisdiction, when they know they are losing, in order to make us admit that we are the name of the trust. When we do so, we are deemed to be the trustee—the one liable for administering the trust. Ergo, until now, it has been a waste of our time, energy, and emotion to go to a place where it is almost certain that we will be stuck with the liability.

We all know from our indoctrination, programming, and schooling that judges are impartial and have sworn an oath to this effect. This means he must not favour either plaintiff or defendant. But, our experience reveals that he does, indeed, favour the plaintiff, indicating a glaring conflict of interest—that the prosecutor, judge, and clerk all work for the state—the owner of the CQV trust. So, as the case is NOT about “justice”, it must be about the administration of a trust. They all represent the trust owned by the state and, if we are beneficiary, the only two positions left are Trustee and Executor. So, if you detect the judge’s partiality, although I doubt the case will get this far, you might just want to let them know that you know this.

If you consider court as entertainment and if you can stand the evil emanating from its officers, the fear and angst oozing from the walls, and the treacherous atmosphere, then go, knowing that under trust law we cannot be the trustee or the executor of a trust, whilst being beneficiary, as that would be a conflict. The position of beneficiary may lack clout, but the other positions hold liability. Since state employees want to be the beneficiaries of the trust, the only way they can do so is to transfer, to us, the liability which they hold, as trustees and executors, because they also cannot be both the administrators and beneficiary of the trust. So, trusteeship and executorship, i.e.: suretyship, becomes a hot potato and everyone wants to toss it so s/he can be beneficiary of the credit from the trust.

→ When we were born, a trust, called a Cestui Que Vie Trust (“CQV”) was set-up, for our benefit. Evidence of this is the birth certificate. But what is the value which must be conveyed to the trust, in order to create it? It was our right to property (via Birth into this world), our body (via the Live Birth Record), and our souls (via Baptism). Since the state/province which registered the trust is the owner, it is also the trustee.... the one that administers the trust. Since they, also, wanted to be beneficiary of this trust, they had to come up with ways to get us, as beneficiary, to authorize their charging the trust, allegedly, for our benefit (via our signature on a document: citation, application, etc.), and then, temporarily transfer trusteeship, to us, during the brief time that they want to be the beneficiary of a particular “constructive” trust. In a corporate controlled 'court' to establish a “Credibility gap.” So what is your Reprieval when

This means that a trust can be established anywhere, anytime, and the parties of the trust are quickly, albeit temporarily, put into place. But, since a beneficiary cannot charge a trust—only a trustee can do so—it is the state that charges the trust, but they do so for their benefit, not ours. (albeit occasionally we do reap some benefit from that charge but nowhere near the value which they reap. Think bank loan..... we reap a minute percentage of what they gain from our authorization). So, the only way, under trust law, for them to be able to charge the trust is to get the authorization from the beneficiary—us, and the only way for them to benefit from their charge is to get us to switch roles—from beneficiary to trustee (the one responsible for the accounting), and for them to switch their role—from trustee to beneficiary because no party can

Demand Truth!!

→ Integrity

be both, at the same time, i.e.: within the same constructive trust. They must somehow trick us into accepting the role of trustee. Why would we do so when the trust is for our benefit? ... and how do they manage to do this?

Well, the best way is to get us into court and trick us into unwittingly doing so. But, if we know what has transpired, prior to our being there, it is easy to know what to say so that this doesn't happen. The court clerk is the hot shot, even though it appears as if the judge is. The clerk is the trustee for the CQV owned by the state/province and it is s/he who is responsible for appointing the trustee and the executor for a constructive trust—that particular court case.

So s/he appoints the judge as trustee (the one to administer the trust) and appoints the prosecutor as executor of the trust. The executor is ultimately liable for the charge because it was s/he who brought the case into court (created the constructive trust) on behalf of the state/province which charged the CQV trust. Only an executor/prosecutor can initiate/create a constructive trust and we all know the maxim of law: Whoever creates the controversy holds the liability and whoever holds the liability must provide the remedy. This is why all attorneys are mandated to bring their cheque-books to court because if it all goes wrong for them.... meaning either they fail to transfer their liability onto the alleged defendant, or the alleged defendant does not accept their offer of liability, then someone has to credit the trust account in order to off-set the debt. Since the prosecutor is the one who issues bogus paper and charges the trust, it is the Prosecutor/Executor ("PE") who is in the hot-seat. //

When the Name (of the trust), e.g.: JOHN DOE, is called by the Judge aka Administrator aka Trustee ("JAT"), we can stand and ask. "Are you saying that the trust which you are now administrating is the JOHN DOE trust?" This establishes that we know that the Name is a trust, not a live man.

What's the JAT's first question? "What's your name?" or "State your name for the record".

The Judge in The Court Room after you have been sworn in!

We must be very careful not to identify with the name of the trust because doing so makes us the trustee. X and = liable = you do NOT want Them Too!

What does this tell you about the judge? If we know that the judge is the trustee, then we also know that the judge is the Name, but only for this particular, constructive trust. Now, think about all the times that JATs have become so frustrated by our refusal to admit to being the Name that they issue a warrant and then, as soon as the man leaves, he is arrested. How idiotic is that? They must feel foolish for saying, "John Doe is not in court so I'm issuing a warrant for his arrest" and then, the man whom they just admitted is NOT there is arrested because he IS there. Their desperation makes them insane. They must get us to admit to being the name, or they pay, and we must not accept their coercion, or we pay.

Because the JAT is the trustee—a precarious position, the best thing to say, in that case, is "JOHN DOE is, indeed, in the court!" Point to the JAT. "It is YOU! As trustee. YOU are JOHN DOE, today, aren't you?!"

you could say, I am the beneficiary of "what" your LAST name is in all CAPS of say your STATE business license. To avoid a sales tax in say The M.O.A. please see Table A020016-159 at the Municipal Clerk's Office.

7 of 18

And here is a copy of my notarized signed name in small case print without prejudice/Dec 1-308.

During their frustration over our not admitting to being a trust name—the trustee and/or executor of the trust, we ought to ask who they are. “Before we go any further, I need to know who YOU are.”

Address the clerk of the court—the trustee for the CQV trust owned by the state/province, “Are you the CQV’s trustee who has appointed this judge as administrator and trustee of the constructive trust case #12345? Did you also appoint the prosecutor as executor of this constructive trust?” Then point to the JAT: “So you are the trustee”, then point to the prosecutor, “and you are the executor? And I’m the beneficiary, so, now we know who’s who and, as beneficiary, I authorize you to handle the accounting and dissolve this constructive trust. I now claim my body so I am collapsing the CQV trust which you have charged, as there is no value in it. You have committed fraud against all laws!”

Likely, we will not get that far before the JAT will order “Case dismissed” or, even more likely, the PE, as he clings tightly to his cheque-book, will call, “We withdraw the charges”.

We have exposed their fraud of the CQV trust which exists only on presumptions. The CQV has no corpus, no property, ergo, no value. Trusts are created only upon the conveyance of property and can exist only as long as there is value in the trust. But, there is no value in the CQV trust, yet, they continue to charge the trust. That is fraud! The alleged property is we men and women whom they have deemed to be incompetent, dead, abandoned, lost, bankrupts, or minors, but that is an illusion so, if we claim our body, then we collapse the presumption that the trust has value. They are operating in fraud—something we’ve always known, but now we know how they do it. Our having exposed their fraud gives them only three options:

1. They can dissolve the CQV trust—the one for which the clerk of the court is trustee and from which s/he created a constructive trust—the case—for which s/he appointed the judge and prosecutor titles which hold temporary liability—trustee and executor, respectively. But they cannot dissolve the CQV or the entire global system will collapse because they cannot exist without our energy which they obtain via that CQV trust.

2. They can enforce the existing rules of trust law which means, as trustee, they can set-off their debt and leave us alone. Now they know that we are onto their fraud and every time they go into court to administer a trust account, they will not know if we are the one who will send them to jail.

↓
The trustee (judge) is the liable party who will go to jail, and the executor (prosecutor) is the one who enforces this. This is why they want us to take on both titles, because then, not only do we go to jail but also, by signing their paper, we become executor and enforce our own sentence. They cannot afford to violate the ecclesiastical canon laws, out of fear of ending their careers, so they are, again, trapped with no place to run.

3. They can dismiss the cases before they even take the risk of our exposing their fraud which also makes no sense because then their careers, again, come to a screeching halt.

What's a court clerk to do!? Pretty soon, none of these thugs will take any cases because the risk is too great. This will be the end of the court system. 'Bout bloody time, eh?

Knowledge—not procedure—is power.

The means by which we have attempted to assuage our problems, inflicted upon us by the PTW (powers that were) have all been superficial, compared to the origins of all the black magic, superstition, satanic ritualism, trickery, mind-control, and clandestine practices. Under commercial law, dating back to the Code of Ur-Nammu—around 2100 BCE—the use of another's property without permission puts one into dishonor and makes him liable for any debts. So, our using UCC forms, bills of exchange, AFV, or bonds, and altering documents of the Roman System can create penalties, as this is trading and/or using the property of a corporation we do not own the birth certificate proves that the "name" is, in fact, the property of the corporation which issued it. We can do all the paper perfectly but, in the end, they say, "Sorry; you're not one of us." But, now, we get to inflict fear onto them. When we are forced to court, knowing that the Judge acts as the Trustee and the prosecutor acts as Executor of the CQV Trusts is empowering. It gives us two choices:

1. If we wish to expose the fraud of presumptions, by which the CQV trusts still exist, then the court is the perfect opportunity to have them dissolved or to prove the fraud because the Trustee is sitting on the bench. Dissolving the first CQV, dissolves them all; or,
2. If we are not inclined to use something like the Ecclesiastical Deed Poll to expose the fraud of the CQV Trusts, then, at least, we ought to know that everything the judge says—even if it sounds like a command, order, or sentence—is actually an offer which we can choose to decline ("I do not consent; I do not accept your offer"). This is a fundamental principle of testamentary trusts..... the beneficiary can accept or decline what the trustee offers.

For 15 years, I have watched the alleged solutions in commerce come and go and nothing has worked for enough people on enough occasions to call anything a consistent win. Paying for information is insanity because those who sell information clearly have not prevailed or they wouldn't need to sell anything, would they? Buying express, private-contract trusts, e.g.: NACRS, is a huge waste of time and money because the entire process is too complicated for anyone with an IQ below 400 and "no refunds". I have found no solution in commerce because those who claim to have solutions still insist upon treating symptoms rather than curing the cause—the fraudulent CQV trust.

If we send an Ecclesiastical Deed Poll as a response to a summons or arrest warrant

(see: http://one-heaven.org/canons_positive_law/article_1330.htm), then the judge who issues them has to think long and hard: "Am I willing to gamble that the man who walks into my court might call me on my role of trustee and expose the fraud that the CQV Trusts are still in place?

Canons of Positive Law: http://one-heaven.org/canons_positive_law/article_0000.htm

This knowledge is your power. — Frank O'Collins

History of Trusts

<http://one-heaven.org/home.asp>

The 1st Trust of the world

Unam Sanctam is one of the most frightening documents of history and the one most quoted as the primary document of the popes claiming their global power. It is an express trust deed. The last line reads: "Furthermore, we declare, we proclaim, we define that it is absolutely necessary for salvation that every human creature be subject to the Roman Pontiff." It is not only the first trust deed in history but also the largest trust ever conceived, as it claims the whole planet and everything on it, conveyed in trust.

Triple Crown of Ba'al, aka the Papal Tiara and Triregnum

In 1302 Pope Boniface issued his infamous Papal Bull Unam Sanctam—the first Express Trust. He claimed control over the whole planet which made him "King of the world". In celebration, he commissioned a gold-plated headdress in the shape of a pinecone, with an elaborate crown at its base. The pinecone is an ancient symbol of fertility and one traditionally associated with Ba'al as well as the Cult of Cybele. It also represents the pineal gland in the centre of our brains—crystalline in nature—which allows us access to Source, hence, the 13-foot tall pinecone in Vatican Square. Think about why the Pontiffs would idolize a pinecone.

See: Pharmacratic Inquisition: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tnvEHObMMH4>

The 1st Crown of Crown Land

Pope Boniface VIII was the first leader in history to create the concept of a Trust, but the first Testamentary Trust, through a deed and will creating a Deceased Estate, was created by Pope Nicholas V in 1455, through the Papal Bull Romanus Pontifex. This is only one of three (3) papal bulls to include the line with the incipit "For a perpetual remembrance." This Bull had the effect of conveying the right of use of the land as Real Property, from the Express Trust Unam Sanctam, to the control of the Pontiff and his successors in perpetuity. Hence, all land is claimed as "crown land". This 1st Crown is represented by the 1st Cestui Que Vie Trust, created when a child is born. It deprives us of all beneficial entitlements and rights on the land.

The 2nd Crown of the Commonwealth

The second Crown was created in 1481 with the papal bull Aeterni Regis, meaning "Eternal Crown", by Sixtus IV, being only the 2nd of three papal bulls as deeds of testamentary trusts. This Papal Bull created the "Crown of Aragon", later known as the Crown of Spain, and is the highest sovereign and highest steward of all Roman Slaves subject to the rule of the Roman Pontiff. Spain lost the crown in 1604 when it was granted to King James I of England by Pope Paul V after the successful passage of the "Union of Crowns", or Commonwealth, in 1605 after the false flag operation of the Gunpowder Plot. The Crown was finally lost by England in 1775, when it was returned to Spain and King Carlos I, where it remains to this day. This 2nd Crown is represented by the 2nd cestui Que Vie Trust, created when a child is born and, by the sale of the birth certificate as a Bond to the private central bank of the nation, depriving us of ownership of our flesh and condemning us to perpetual servitude, as a Roman person, or slave.

The 3rd Crown of the Ecclesiastical See

The third Crown was created in 1537 by Paul III, through the papal bull Convocation, also meant to open the Council of Trent. It is the third and final testamentary deed and will of a testamentary trust, set up for the claiming of all "lost souls", lost to the See. The Venetians assisted in the creation of the 1st Cestui Que Vie Act of 1540, to use this papal bull as the basis of Ecclesiastical authority of Henry VIII. This Crown was secretly granted to England in the collection and "reaping" of lost souls. The Crown was lost in 1816, due to the deliberate bankruptcy of England, and granted to the Temple Bar which became known as the Crown Bar, or simply the Crown.

The Bar Associations have since been responsible for administering the "reaping" of the souls of the lost and damned, including the registration and collection of Baptismal certificates representing the souls collected by the Vatican and stored in its vaults. This 3rd Crown is represented by the 3rd Cestui Que Vie Trust, created when a child is baptized. It is the parents' grant of the Baptismal certificate—title to the soul—to the church or Registrar. Thus, without legal title over one's own soul, we will be denied legal standing and will be treated as things—cargo without souls—upon which the BAR is now legally able to enforce Maritime law.

The Cestui Que Vie Trust

A Cestui Que Vie Trust is a fictional concept. It is a Temporary Testamentary Trust, first created during the reign of Henry VIII of England through the Cestui Que Vie Act of 1540 and updated by Charles II, through the COV Act of 1666, wherein an Estate may be effected for the Benefit of a Person presumed lost or abandoned at "sea" and therefore assumed "dead" after seven (7) years. Additional presumptions, by which such a Trust may be formed, were added in later statutes to include bankrupts, minors, incompetents, mortgages, and private companies. The original purpose of a CQV Trust was to form a temporary Estate for the benefit of another because some event, state of affairs, or condition prevented them from claiming their status as living, competent, and present, before a competent authority. Therefore, any claims, history, statutes, or arguments that deviate in terms of the origin and function of a CQV Trust, as pronounced by these canons, is false and automatically null and void.

A Beneficiary under Estate may be either a Beneficiary or a COV Trust. When a Beneficiary loses direct benefit of any Property of the higher Estate placed in a CQV Trust on his behalf, he does not "own" the CQV Trust; he is only the beneficiary of what the Trustees of the CQV Trust choose to provide. As all COV Trusts are created on presumption, based upon original purpose and function, such a Trust cannot be created if these presumptions can be proven not to exist.

Since 1933, when a child is borne in a State (Estate) under inferior Roman law, three (3) Cestui Que (Vie) Trusts are created upon certain presumptions specifically designed to deny, forever, the child any rights of Real Property, any Rights to be free, and any Rights to be known as man or woman, rather than a creature or animal, by claiming and possessing their Soul or Spirit.

The Executors or Administrators of the higher Estate willingly and knowingly:

1. Convey the beneficial entitlements of the child, as Beneficiary, into the 1st Cestui Que (Vie) Trust in the form of a Registry Number by registering the Name, thereby also creating the Corporate Person and denying the child any rights to Real Property; and,

2. Claim the baby as chattel to the Estate. The slave baby contract is then created by honoring the ancient tradition of either having the ink impression of the baby's feet onto the live birth record, or a drop of its blood, as well as tricking the parents to signing the baby away through the deceitful legal meanings on the live birth record which is a promissory note, converted into a slave bond, sold to the private reserve bank of the estate, and then conveyed into a 2nd and separate COV Trust, per child, owned by the bank. When the promissory note reaches maturity and the bank is unable to "seize" the slave child, a maritime lien is lawfully issued to "salvage" the lost property and is monetized as currency issued in series against the COV Trust.
3. Claim the child's soul via the Baptismal Certificate. Since 1540 and the creation of the 1st COV Act, deriving its power from the Papal Bull of Roman Cult leader Pope Paul III, 1540, when a child is baptized and a Baptismal Certificate is issued, the parents have gifted, granted, and conveyed the soul of the baby to a "3rd COV Trust owned by Roman Cult, which has held this valuable property in its vaults ever since. Since 1815, this 3rd Crown of the Roman Cult and 3rd COV Trust representing Ecclesiastical Property has been managed by the BAR as the reconstituted "Galla" responsible, as Grim Reapers, for reaping the souls.

Each Cestui Que Vie Trust, created since 1933, represents one of the 3 Crowns representing the three claims of property of the Roman Cult: Real Property (on Earth), Personal Property (body), and Ecclesiastical Property (soul). Each corresponds exactly to the three forms of law available to the Galla of the BAR Courts: corporate commercial law (judge is the 'landlord'), maritime and canon law (judge is the banker), and Talmudic law (judge is the priest).

What is the real power of a court 'judge'?

Given what has been revealed about the foundations of Roman Law, what is the real hidden power of a judge when we face court? Is it their superior knowledge of process and procedure or of magic? Or is it something simpler and far more obvious?

It is unfortunate that much of the excitement about Estates and Executors has deliberately not revealed that an Estate, by definition, has to belong to a Trust—to be specific, a Testamentary Trust or COV Trust.

When we receive legal paper or have to appear in court, it is these same COV Trusts which have our rights converted into the property contained within them.

Instead of being the Trustee, or the Executor, or Administrator, we are merely the Beneficiary of each COV Trust, granted only beneficial and equitable use of certain property, never legal title. So if the Roman Legal System assumes we are merely the beneficiary of these COV Trusts, when we go to court, who represents the Trustee and Office of Executor? We all know that all cases are based upon the judge's discretion which often defies procedures, statutes, and maxims of law. Well, they are doing what any Trustee or Executor, administering a trust in the presence of the beneficiary, can do under Roman Law and all the statutes, maxims, and procedures are really for show because under the principles of Trust Law, as first formed by the Roman Cult, a Trustee has a wide latitude, including the ability to correct any procedural mistakes, by obtaining the implied or tacit consent of the beneficiary, to obviate any mistakes.

The judge is the real and legal Name. The judge is the trust, itself.

We are the mirror image to them—the ghost—the dead. It is high sorcery, trickery, and subterfuge that has remained “legal” for far too long. Spread the word.

ROLES of PARTIES to the TRUST

If we were to act as anything other than the beneficiary of the Cestui Que Vie (CQV) trust, we could assist in settling these matters but, as the Clerk is the Trustee of the CQV trust, she has appointed the judge as trustee and the prosecutor as executor of the constructive trust (case #...), so it is their job to settle the accounting. The prosecutor charged the trust account and created the debt, so it is he who is liable for repaying and balancing it.

They break their own rules, by their fraud of failing to perform their duties. Saying, “I will appeal this, as a matter of law, to a higher court, if court rules are broken” means the judge won’t get his commission, based upon his fraud.

TRUSTEESHIP

Since no one can be trustee of both the CQV (BC) Trust and the Constructive Trust (Case#...), at same time, they do a “bait and switch”. They use the CQV trust to create a temporary, constructive trust—the case. The matter/case is a trust apart from, but attached to, the CQV trust. The bonds are added to the constructive trust, at clerk’s office.

THREE FORMS of COURT

- 1 - UCC, equity, commerce: it’s all about property rights
- 2 - Maritime, Canon: only now can the judge cite for contempt, impose fines, jail time, etc.
- 3 - Talmud: the judge returns as priest. Most do not go this far, as, by now, he already knows that we know, and so he knows it’s over for him.

RECESS

When the judge calls for a recess, it means he is going for a bigger stick. He intends to change courts. We ought to say, “I do not consent to the form of court being changed. For and on record, I object to judge changing the form of court from Equity to Canon/Admiralty. If you insist, I’ll reassert my rights upon your return.”

FORECLOSURE

The clerk is the Senior Ecclesiastical Officer and can assign Title over to the bank. We are tenant, the mortgage is the lien/lease/loan. We do not and cannot 'own' anything. The bank does NOT want us to pay the mortgage which is the interest, aka rent, because they want us to be delinquent, i.e.: incompetent in performance--which is the main point of the contract--in order that we lose our claim. When we pay some form of rent, even if only \$50 a month, we change our status from 'delinquent' to 'competent' and this allows us to remain in honour which is the entire matter. They do not want us to behave honourably. The bank/court cannot force us to leave property, yet, we must address our "standing". Then we can use their procedure against them. We must challenge the CQV Trust.

If one gets to court re: foreclosure: "As a tenant in this matter, with only 'right of use' of property, I ask for a stay as I haven't exhausted my administrative options." We can do this in order to buy time to do an Ecclesiastical Deed Poll (EDP) on original issue, through the clerk (protonotary/trustee of the CQV trust) or the chaplain of the court. They must forgive, in open court, or they have lost their Ecclesiastical authority.

A woman told the attorney for the bank, "Just so you know, we will be coming in at a superior, property-holder, competent position and we know and understand the three forms of court." The attorney rang her later and said the case is dropped.

PLEA

When asked how we plea, we can say, **"I wish to ask, as a matter of law, are you presiding as Trustee of this matter--the Constructive Trust--case #1234?"** If a negative response, **"Without seeking an appeal to another court, as a matter of law, I merely ask, are you presiding as administrator of CQV trust, from which the Constructive Trust is created?"**

It is not good to mention "contract" because they DO have a contract... with our parents, which is how the CQV trust was created.

JAIL

There are 3 CQV trusts: name, flesh, soul. Due to this, we have no property rights, so they can 'lawfully' hold us, but if a friend of one who is

incarcerated establishes himself as competent, he can demand that his property be returned. So, he changes his standing and demands his property be returned, as he has a higher claim. OR, the friend can send an EDP so, at the hearing, the EDP is in the court. "As friend of court, I seek leave of the court so my friend can complete EDP, witnessed by court." If declined, "I seek leave of court for an appeal on a matter of law that you are not permitting this man a fair defence and to establish his standing."

I know of a woman who was being transported from jail to prison. When she arrived, she was asked her name. As a body, she was worthless to them; they needed the name and they needed her to BE the name. She surprised them by saying, "If you don't know who I am, how can you imprison me?" and they let her go because she refused to give them the name of the account which they intended to charge and against which to float the bond.

EDP (Ecclesiastical Deed Poll)?

All matters are about property and trusts. The EDP establishes us as property-holder and so, then we have rights and can claim our property, whether it is our friends in jail or our homes. All they have left is force, fear, threats, intimidation. They are criminals, pirates, and parasites.

For ANY presentment we receive, we ought to send an EDP. http://one-heaven.org/ecclesiastical_deed_poll/edp_introduction.htm

"PAPER"

Attacking commerce commercially, -- from the level of commerce-- is a powerless venture. Since 1983 Canon Law, it is our "paper" which condemns us. The only paper which will work for us is the EDP process on blue paper, signed with our blood. We must attack commerce, ecclesiastically-- from the only level which the parasites respect, fear, and derive their alleged power. They can--and do--change the codes (e.g.: UCC) and rules of commerce which they operate, however, they are powerless to change Ecclesiastical Canon Law because they are under it and must obey it; they do not and cannot 'operate' it.

VEHICLES

I might go over to MVD (DMV)/Registry and put down the Certificate of Title and ask, "Who owns the vehicle represented by this?" She will look at it and read, "JOHN DOE". Then, I'd ask, "Who owns the JOHN DOE Trust?" She won't know so I would tell her, "It is owned by STATE (PROVINCE) OF ____." How do I know this? This (birth certificate) document proves it. Since the

owner of anything is always the trustee and the trustee is always the liable party, then, "owner always pays". (If $A=B$ and $B=C$, then, $A=C$). Accordingly, since the vehicle is owned by STATE OF ____, then STATE OF ____ is the one which must pay for the insurance, registration, taxes, etc. If you claim I am inaccurate or that I am the one liable to pay, then, you'll have to prove it." We could just put the titles of our cars into the name of the state/province, as it already owns them, anyway. In a sense we will be donating the car, but, why not? How can the cops steal a car from the state when it belongs to the state?

ALLOCATION

This is the time when the judge must quote all law he is using to sentence and it is also when we can comment on their offer of sentence. You are free to decline. Anything done or signed under duress has no legal standing. To "comply" under duress is not the same as to "consent". "I state, for and on the record, that I was under threat of physical assault, so I signed. Now, I am lodging my appeal to a higher court, as a matter of law, because all I said or did was under duress and therefore unlawful. I was psychologically and physically abused." If we, as beneficiary, accept the sentence, aka benefit, then they make us executor which means that it is we who enforce our own sentencing.

COURT

I still will not go to court. No one has the authority to tell me what to do. Send an EDP, in advance, or have someone go in your place, so that you will not, inadvertently, admit to being the trustee. What's the worst that can happen to the representative, when he can prove he is someone other than the trust/trustee.

We must show our competence by asking questions, politely. We can stand at bar and say, "I have an important paper to give to clerk. May I ask, with respect, as a matter of law, before I cross bar, what role each of you is playing here today, with respect to the trust which is being charged."

Ask a second and THIRD time. "As a matter of law, is the Prosecutor acting as Executor of the Trust and are you acting as Trustee of the Trust of this matter?"

They'll likely be rude, but don't sink to their level. "Respectfully, it is a matter of law for me to know the roles we're all playing and then I'll be willing cross the bar and engage. If you don't answer, I'll be lodging an appeal and I appeal now that this matter be suspended so I can request an interlocutory argument and have this question answered. If you are the

Trustee, then here is my EDP." The judge does not want the case appealed because, if it is over-turned, then he will pay, from his own trust account which is his commissions.

We are of absolutely NO value to them until we give them details of an account which they can charge. They cannot gain from us unless we give them the name of a trust to charge. The name, not we, is the only value we can offer. "Are you JOHN DOE?" "I couldn't possibly be a trust account."

We do not require a name for anything other than commercial purposes—school, services, doctor, memberships, bank account, benefits, etc. This is why they are so adamant that we carry "ID". What if we had nothing to identify the trust? Their system would crash if they couldn't get any of us to BE the trust, in order to authorize them to use it. They would starve to death. We, as just plain-old men and women, without names, are no good to them. We are not slaves via our bodies; we are slaves, only via the account.

In California, the people complained that the "undocumented Mexican immigrants", aka "illegal aliens", were causing violence, crimes, vandalism, etc. and the cops and the courts were doing nothing about it. It seemed as if "illegal aliens" were the problem. But, the District Attorney said, "Anything short of murder we won't prosecute." If only the people knew what he meant, they would shift their rage from the "illegal aliens" to the DA and his ilk.

"Undocumented" means there is no trust account to charge, which is why the government wants them all to have driver licences. The DA won't prosecute because he can't; there is nothing and no one to charge. Men and women cannot be charged; only trust accounts can be charged and, since there is no account, due to no documents to create them, there is no way to make money off these "immigrants". Murder is a different story. This means the "illegals" took out of the commerce game someone with an account and so, in that case, the DA will prosecute. Wait until the people of California figure out that the real "problem" of the "undocumented Mexican immigrants" is, as always, "ALL ABOUT MONEY".

What about the cost to repair and compensate? Well, it comes from the Public Trust, as does everything else which requires public funding. They want us connected to 'Named' trust accounts which make up the Public Trust, solely to confiscate our cash. This is tantamount to "uninsured" drivers or people without passports. We don't really require car insurance or passports because all payments for injuries come from the public trust which WE have already funded. Causing us to believe that we are "personally"