

Fiscal Note

State of Alaska
2020 Legislative Session

Bill Version: SB 150
Fiscal Note Number: _____
() Publish Date: _____

Identifier: SB150-DFG-DWC-2-14-20
Title: INTENSIVE MGMT SURCHARGE/REPEAL TERM
DATE
Sponsor: REVAK
Requester: (S)RESOURCES

Department: Department of Fish and Game
Appropriation: Wildlife Conservation
Allocation: Wildlife Conservation
OMB Component Number: 473

Expenditures/Revenues

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars)

	FY2021 Appropriation Requested	Included in Governor's FY2021 Request	Out-Year Cost Estimates				
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Personal Services							
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Positions

Full-time							
Part-time							
Temporary							

Change in Revenues

None							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2020) cost: 0.0 (separate supplemental appropriation required)

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2021) cost: 0.0 (separate capital appropriation required)

Does the bill create or modify a new fund or account? 0
(Supplemental/Capital/New Fund - discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No
If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version/comments:

Not applicable, initial version.

Prepared By: Rachel Hanke, Legislative Liaison
Division: Office of the Commissioner
Approved By: Dayna Mackey, Administrative Services Director
Agency: Office of Management and Budget

Phone: (907)465-6137
Date: 02/14/2020
Date: 02/14/20

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA
2020 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. SB 150

Analysis

This legislation repeals the sunset on the intensive management (IM) surcharge in § 33, ch. 18 SLA 2016. This proposal does not have any additional cost to the department. Should the surcharge sunset the department will see a significant decrease in revenue to pay for wildlife research and management.

Revenue from the IM surcharge totaled approximately \$1.1 million in each of the last two calendar years (CY18 and CY19). Most of those funds are used to match federal Pittman-Robertson dollars at a 1:3 ratio. That means, absent an appropriation for the match, the department will lose roughly \$4 million which would significantly hamper the department's ability to conduct IM activities.