#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

50% discount on annual permit fees for non-profit organizations

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Entities receiving this benefit are non-profit organizations.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(r)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$7,200

FY 2012 - \$7,200

FY 2013 - \$7,200

FY 2014 - \$7,200

FY 2015 - \$7,200

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

501(c)(4), (c)(10), or (c)(19) tax exempt organizations: 58 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$7,200

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$124

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

50% discount on annual permit fees for start-up businesses

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

This allows a small pro-ration of fees for facilities that commence business in the last two months of the billing year.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(u)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

To prevent unfair penalties for businesses based on start-up period.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$8,800

FY 2012 - \$8,800

FY 2013 - \$8,800

FY 2014 - \$8,800

FY 2015 - \$8,800

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

New establishments: 48 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$8,800

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$183

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for body art facility operators

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

DEC does not have authority to charge fees even though the Department performs the inspections. Cost for inspections is reimbursed through an RSA with DCCED.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 23

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Body art facility operators: 71 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

Indirect Expenditure Name
Inspection fee exemption for body art facility
operators

## Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend removal from this report. The cost of inspections is reimbursed by DCCED through the cost of licensing, so no revenue is foregone.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for non-profit entities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

It is a benefit to tax-exempt entities to not have a fee for fundraisers for their organizations, as a fee would reduce the funds raised.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(p)(1)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$90,300

FY 2012 - \$90,300

FY 2013 - \$90,300

FY 2014 - \$90,300

FY 2015 - \$90,300

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

501(c)(3) tax exempt organizations: 283 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$90,300

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$319

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for schools and Head Start programs

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

By statute, the program cannot charge these facilities a fee.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(p)(2)/ AS 44.46.025(d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$159,600

FY 2012 - \$159,600

FY 2013 - \$159,600

FY 2014 - \$159,600

FY 2015 - \$159,600

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Schools and Head Start Programs: 408 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$159,600

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$391

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent provided by the agency is unclear, it is reasonable to exempt

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Inspection fee exemption for schools and Head Start programs

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated? (cont.) schools from fees.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for school clubs

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

By statute, the program cannot charge these facilities a fee.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(t)/ AS 44.46.025(d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$4,600

FY 2012 - \$4,600

FY 2013 - \$4,600

FY 2014 - \$4,600

FY 2015 - \$4,600

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

School, class, or school club: 33 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$4,600

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$139

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent provided by the agency is unclear, it is reasonable to exempt schools from fees.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for pool and spa operators

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on an annual basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.020

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Pools and spas operators: 125 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend termination. These are high-risk facilities that require annual safety inspections. The legislature should

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Inspection fee exemption for pool and spa operators

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated? (cont.)

require that operators pay for a permit to offset the cost of these inspections.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for hair and nail salon operators

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 23 / AS 44.46.020

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Hair and nail salon operators: 637 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Inspection fee exemption for hair and nail salon operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend reconsideration. While no fee is charged, inspections were paid for by an RSA from the appropriate licensing board. DEC discontinued the inspections due to budget limitations that reduced the capacity of the division. The RSA may need to be restructured to fully offset the costs of inspecting these facilities.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Plan review fee discount of 20% for multiple types of operation within a single establishment

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Reduced time required to review multiple applications from a single operator within the same establishment allows for a reduction in the fees.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,100

FY 2012 - \$1,100

FY 2013 - \$1,100

FY 2014 - \$1,100

FY 2015 - \$1,100

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Operators with three (3) or more types of operations within a single food establishment: 51 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$1,100

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$22

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name

Plan review fee discount of 20% for multiple types of operation within a single establishment

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Plan review fee discount of 20% for operators of both food and bar service

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Reduced time required to review multiple applications from a single operator within the same establishment allows for a reduction in the fees.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(e)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$44,400

FY 2012 - \$44,400

FY 2013 - \$44,400

FY 2014 - \$44,400

FY 2015 - \$44,400

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Operators with both food service and bar/tavern service: 654 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$44,400

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$68

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# **Indirect Expenditure Name**Plan review fee discount of 20% for operators of

both food and bar service

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Plan review fee discount of 50% for hot beverage facilities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

There is a very limited risk for establishments that serve only hot beverages and/or non-potentially hazardous food received commercially packaged.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(f)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$6,800

FY 2012 - \$6,800

FY 2013 - \$6,800

FY 2014 - \$6,800

FY 2015 - \$6,800

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Hot beverage facilities: 85 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

## (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$6,800

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$80

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Plan review fee discount of 50% for hot beverage facilities

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Sanitation fee exemption for schools

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.025 (d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Schools - Sanitation only: 518 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation. Eliminating the exemption would shift costs from the state to local school districts.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for overnight accommodations facilities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.025

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Overnight accommodations facilities: 2486 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

**Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

Indirect Expenditure Name
Inspection fee exemption for overnight
accommodations facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

## (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend reconsideration. With declining UGF funding to support these inspections, a permit and fee would be necessary to ensure facilities are inspected. The legislature should consider whether the public health risk posed by these facilities outweighs the cost of requiring a permit.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for public toilets, showers and laundromats

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.025

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Public toilets, showers, and laundromat operators: 223 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

## **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for public toilets, showers and laundromats

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend reconsideration. With declining UGF funding to support these inspections, a permit and fee would be necessary to ensure facilities are inspected. The legislature should consider whether the public health risk posed by these facilities outweighs the cost of requiring a permit.

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for cheese testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

No fees in regulation

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,400

FY 2012 - \$800

FY 2013 - \$400

FY 2014 - \$0

FY 2015 - \$0

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses required to perform cheese testing: 0 operators as of FY14

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$0

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$0

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for dairy testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Public Industry Health

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

No fees in regulation

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$35,400

FY 2012 - \$39,400

FY 2013 - \$40,900

FY 2014 - \$34,400

FY 2015 - \$33,500

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses required to perform dairy testing: 2 operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$33,500

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$16,750

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for fishmeal testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Grandfathered-No fee in regulation

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations. These fee reductions are grandfathered into the industry by regulation.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,000

FY 2012 - \$1,000

FY 2013 - \$800

FY 2014 - \$1,000

FY 2015 - \$900

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses submitting fishmeal for testing: 1 facility

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$900

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$900

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

Laboratory Services

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for Paralytic Shellfish Toxin testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Public Industry Health

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (4) Year Enacted

Not provided.

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$71,300

FY 2012 - \$65,600

FY 2013 - \$60,100

FY 2014 - \$66,500

FY 2015 - \$65,300

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Commercial Shellfish Harvesters: 268 operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$65,300

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$244

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for shellfish growing waters testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (4) Year Enacted

Not provided.

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$13,900

FY 2012 - \$8,500

FY 2013 - \$6,900

FY 2014 - \$8,400

FY 2015 - \$7,700

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Commercial Shellfish Harvesters: 268 operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$7,700

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$29

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for slaughter facility testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Grandfathered-No fee in regulation, historically charged \$17, similar test in food reg is \$25 for a \$8 discount

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations. These fee reductions are grandfathered into the industry by regulation.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,300

FY 2012 - \$1,100

FY 2013 - \$1,200

FY 2014 - \$1,300

FY 2015 - \$1,200

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses required to perform HACCP for slaughter and meat processing facility: 6 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$1,200

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$200

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

Municipal Grants and Loans

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Discounted Interest Rates on State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans to municipalities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Discounted Interest Rates on SRF Loans to municipalities

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

AS 37.05.035

#### (4) Year Enacted

Not provided.

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to encourage municipalities to use the program.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$2,960,400

FY 2012 - \$859,600

FY 2013 - \$5,505,000

FY 2014 - \$10,150,000

FY 2015 - \$3,150,000

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Municipalities that receive loans for Clean Water or Drinking Water Projects: In any given year we have appx. 150-160 active loans.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$3,150,000

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$21,000

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Municipal Grants and Loans

# Indirect Expenditure Name Discounted Interest Rates on State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans to municipalities

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation. The reduced rate encourages municipalities to participate in the loan program.

#### **Applicable Program**

Municipal Grants and Loans

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Principal forgiveness on State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans to municipalities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Principal Forgiveness based on certain criteria for disadvantaged communities

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

EPA Requirement to receive Capitalization Grants

#### (4) Year Enacted

SFY 2010

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

This is an EPA Requirement to receive Capitalization Grants.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$5,898,200

FY 2012 - \$3,598,400

FY 2013 - \$1,235,000

FY 2014 - \$3,589,000

FY 2015 - \$2,596,000

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Municipalities that qualify for partial principal forgiveness on loans for Clean Water and Drinking Water Projects: In any given year we have appx. 150-160 active loans - not all loans qualify for partial principal forgiveness.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$2,596,000

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$17,307

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

**Applicable Program**Municipal Grants and Loans

Indirect Expenditure Name
Principal forgiveness on State Revolving Fund
(SRF) Loans to municipalities

## **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated? Recommend continuation. This is a federal requirement.

#### **Applicable Program**

Solid Waste

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for composting facilities

## Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

To encourage and promote non-disposal activities. Facility is exempt from fee per regulation.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 60.700(i)(1)

#### (4) Year Enacted

1998

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$900

FY 2012 - \$0

FY 2013 - \$0

FY 2014 - \$0

FY 2015 - \$0

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Composting facilities: 2 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$0

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$0

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent is not provided, the exemption is reasonable.

#### **Applicable Program**

Solid Waste

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for landpsreading of biosolids

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

To encourage and promote non-disposal activities. Facility is exempt from fee per regulation.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 60.700(i)(2)

#### (4) Year Enacted

1998

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$4,800

FY 2012 - \$4,800

FY 2013 - \$4,800

FY 2014 - \$4,800

FY 2015 - \$4,800

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Landspreading of biosolids: 4 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$4,800

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$1,200

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent is not provided, the exemption is reasonable.

## **Applicable Program**

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Discounted wastewater fees for small businesses

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Decreased State regulation fees for small businesses.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 72.956-7

#### (4) Year Enacted

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to prevent excessive hurdles for small businesses that could inhibit their start-up or sustainability

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Businesses with fewer than 20 employees: Unknown universe of wastewater discharge businesses across AK with less than 20 employees.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Not enough information to make a recommendation. Without knowing the scope of foregone fees, it is unknown

# Applicable Program

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Discounted wastewater fees for small businesses

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated? (cont.) whether the benefits outweigh the cost.

#### **Applicable Program**

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Stormwater and wastewater fee exemption for public schools

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Reduces State costs to State funded public schools

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

AS 44.46.020(5)(C) & AS 44.45.025

#### (4) Year Enacted

1993

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

12-31-12

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,400

FY 2012 - \$1,400

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Public schools discharging wastewater. Regulation covers all charitable organization exempt from federal taxation: 3 waivers between 2004-2011 and 2 waivers between 2012-2013 for Wastewater permit fees waived. BillQuick shows zero waivers between FY13-15 on activity code 08:05

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

### **Applicable Program**

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Stormwater and wastewater fee exemption for public schools

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

No recommendation as the exemption expired in 2012.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

50% discount on annual permit fees for non-profit organizations

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Entities receiving this benefit are non-profit organizations.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(r)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$7,200

FY 2012 - \$7,200

FY 2013 - \$7,200

FY 2014 - \$7,200

FY 2015 - \$7,200

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

501(c)(4), (c)(10), or (c)(19) tax exempt organizations: 58 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$7,200

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$124

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

50% discount on annual permit fees for start-up businesses

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

This allows a small pro-ration of fees for facilities that commence business in the last two months of the billing year.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(u)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

To prevent unfair penalties for businesses based on start-up period.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$8,800

FY 2012 - \$8,800

FY 2013 - \$8,800

FY 2014 - \$8,800

FY 2015 - \$8,800

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

New establishments: 48 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$8,800

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$183

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for body art facility operators

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

DEC does not have authority to charge fees even though the Department performs the inspections. Cost for inspections is reimbursed through an RSA with DCCED.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 23

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Body art facility operators: 71 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

Indirect Expenditure Name
Inspection fee exemption for body art facility
operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend removal from this report. The cost of inspections is reimbursed by DCCED through the cost of licensing, so no revenue is foregone.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for non-profit entities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

It is a benefit to tax-exempt entities to not have a fee for fundraisers for their organizations, as a fee would reduce the funds raised.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(p)(1)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$90,300

FY 2012 - \$90,300

FY 2013 - \$90,300

FY 2014 - \$90,300

FY 2015 - \$90,300

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

501(c)(3) tax exempt organizations: 283 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$90,300

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$319

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for schools and Head Start programs

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

By statute, the program cannot charge these facilities a fee.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(p)(2)/ AS 44.46.025(d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$159,600

FY 2012 - \$159,600

FY 2013 - \$159,600

FY 2014 - \$159,600

FY 2015 - \$159,600

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Schools and Head Start Programs: 408 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$159,600

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$391

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent provided by the agency is unclear, it is reasonable to exempt

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Inspection fee exemption for schools and Head Start programs

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated? (cont.) schools from fees.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for school clubs

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

By statute, the program cannot charge these facilities a fee.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(t)/ AS 44.46.025(d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$4,600

FY 2012 - \$4,600

FY 2013 - \$4,600

FY 2014 - \$4,600

FY 2015 - \$4,600

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

School, class, or school club: 33 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$4,600

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$139

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent provided by the agency is unclear, it is reasonable to exempt schools from fees.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for pool and spa operators

#### **Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095**

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on an annual basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.020

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Pools and spas operators: 125 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend termination. These are high-risk facilities that require annual safety inspections. The legislature should

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Inspection fee exemption for pool and spa operators

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated? (cont.)

require that operators pay for a permit to offset the cost of these inspections.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for hair and nail salon operators

#### **Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095**

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 23 / AS 44.46.020

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Hair and nail salon operators: 637 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Inspection fee exemption for hair and nail salon operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend reconsideration. While no fee is charged, inspections were paid for by an RSA from the appropriate licensing board. DEC discontinued the inspections due to budget limitations that reduced the capacity of the division. The RSA may need to be restructured to fully offset the costs of inspecting these facilities.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Plan review fee discount of 20% for multiple types of operation within a single establishment

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Reduced time required to review multiple applications from a single operator within the same establishment allows for a reduction in the fees.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,100

FY 2012 - \$1,100

FY 2013 - \$1,100

FY 2014 - \$1,100

FY 2015 - \$1,100

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Operators with three (3) or more types of operations within a single food establishment: 51 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$1,100

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$22

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name

Plan review fee discount of 20% for multiple types of operation within a single establishment

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Plan review fee discount of 20% for operators of both food and bar service

#### **Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095**

#### (1) Description of Provision

Reduced time required to review multiple applications from a single operator within the same establishment allows for a reduction in the fees.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(e)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$44,400

FY 2012 - \$44,400

FY 2013 - \$44,400

FY 2014 - \$44,400

FY 2015 - \$44,400

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Operators with both food service and bar/tavern service: 654 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$44,400

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$68

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# **Indirect Expenditure Name**Plan review fee discount of 20% for operators of

both food and bar service

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Plan review fee discount of 50% for hot beverage facilities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

There is a very limited risk for establishments that serve only hot beverages and/or non-potentially hazardous food received commercially packaged.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 31.050(f)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to streamline the efficiency and increase compliance within the permitting process

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$6,800

FY 2012 - \$6,800

FY 2013 - \$6,800

FY 2014 - \$6,800

FY 2015 - \$6,800

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Hot beverage facilities: 85 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$6,800

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$80

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

# Indirect Expenditure Name Plan review fee discount of 50% for hot beverage facilities

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation based on meeting legislative intent.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Sanitation fee exemption for schools

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.025 (d)

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Schools - Sanitation only: 518 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation. Eliminating the exemption would shift costs from the state to local school districts.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Inspection fee exemption for overnight accommodations facilities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.025

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Overnight accommodations facilities: 2486 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

**Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

Indirect Expenditure Name
Inspection fee exemption for overnight
accommodations facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend reconsideration. With declining UGF funding to support these inspections, a permit and fee would be necessary to ensure facilities are inspected. The legislature should consider whether the public health risk posed by these facilities outweighs the cost of requiring a permit.

#### **Applicable Program**

Food Safety & Sanitation

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for public toilets, showers and laundromats

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Facilities are inspected on a complaint basis. No permit is issued, so no fee is charged. Inspections were discontinued in FY16 due to budget reductions.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 30 / AS 44.46.025

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Public toilets, showers, and laundromat operators: 223 facilities as of FY15. Facility count is no longer maintained.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

# **Applicable Program**Food Safety & Sanitation

### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for public toilets, showers and laundromats

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend reconsideration. With declining UGF funding to support these inspections, a permit and fee would be necessary to ensure facilities are inspected. The legislature should consider whether the public health risk posed by these facilities outweighs the cost of requiring a permit.

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for cheese testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

No fees in regulation

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,400

FY 2012 - \$800

FY 2013 - \$400

FY 2014 - \$0

FY 2015 - \$0

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses required to perform cheese testing: 0 operators as of FY14

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$0

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$0

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for dairy testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Public Industry Health

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

No fees in regulation

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$35,400

FY 2012 - \$39,400

FY 2013 - \$40,900

FY 2014 - \$34,400

FY 2015 - \$33,500

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses required to perform dairy testing: 2 operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$33,500

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$16,750

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for fishmeal testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Grandfathered-No fee in regulation

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations. These fee reductions are grandfathered into the industry by regulation.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,000

FY 2012 - \$1,000

FY 2013 - \$800

FY 2014 - \$1,000

FY 2015 - \$900

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses submitting fishmeal for testing: 1 facility

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$900

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$900

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

Laboratory Services

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for Paralytic Shellfish Toxin testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Public Industry Health

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (4) Year Enacted

Not provided.

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$71,300

FY 2012 - \$65,600

FY 2013 - \$60,100

FY 2014 - \$66,500

FY 2015 - \$65,300

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Commercial Shellfish Harvesters: 268 operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$65,300

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$244

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for shellfish growing waters testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (4) Year Enacted

Not provided.

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Legislature funded an increment so the industry wouldn't be charged.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$13,900

FY 2012 - \$8,500

FY 2013 - \$6,900

FY 2014 - \$8,400

FY 2015 - \$7,700

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Commercial Shellfish Harvesters: 268 operators

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$7,700

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$29

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

**Laboratory Services** 

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for slaughter facility testing

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

**Public Industry Health** 

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

Grandfathered-No fee in regulation, historically charged \$17, similar test in food reg is \$25 for a \$8 discount

#### (4) Year Enacted

Prior to FY08

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to comply with existing state statutes or regulations. These fee reductions are grandfathered into the industry by regulation.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,300

FY 2012 - \$1,100

FY 2013 - \$1,200

FY 2014 - \$1,300

FY 2015 - \$1,200

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

All businesses required to perform HACCP for slaughter and meat processing facility: 6 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$1,200

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$200

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

۷۵٥

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

#### **Applicable Program**

Municipal Grants and Loans

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Discounted Interest Rates on State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans to municipalities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Discounted Interest Rates on SRF Loans to municipalities

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

AS 37.05.035

#### (4) Year Enacted

Not provided.

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to encourage municipalities to use the program.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$2,960,400

FY 2012 - \$859,600

FY 2013 - \$5,505,000

FY 2014 - \$10,150,000

FY 2015 - \$3,150,000

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Municipalities that receive loans for Clean Water or Drinking Water Projects: In any given year we have appx. 150-160 active loans.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$3,150,000

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$21,000

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

# **Applicable Program**Municipal Grants and Loans

# Indirect Expenditure Name Discounted Interest Rates on State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans to municipalities

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

Recommend continuation. The reduced rate encourages municipalities to participate in the loan program.

#### **Applicable Program**

Municipal Grants and Loans

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Principal forgiveness on State Revolving Fund (SRF) Loans to municipalities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Principal Forgiveness based on certain criteria for disadvantaged communities

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

EPA Requirement to receive Capitalization Grants

#### (4) Year Enacted

SFY 2010

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

This is an EPA Requirement to receive Capitalization Grants.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$5,898,200

FY 2012 - \$3,598,400

FY 2013 - \$1,235,000

FY 2014 - \$3,589,000

FY 2015 - \$2,596,000

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Municipalities that qualify for partial principal forgiveness on loans for Clean Water and Drinking Water Projects: In any given year we have appx. 150-160 active loans - not all loans qualify for partial principal forgiveness.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$2,596,000

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$17,307

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Yes

**Applicable Program**Municipal Grants and Loans

Indirect Expenditure Name
Principal forgiveness on State Revolving Fund
(SRF) Loans to municipalities

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated? Recommend continuation. This is a federal requirement.

#### **Applicable Program**

Solid Waste

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for composting facilities

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

To encourage and promote non-disposal activities. Facility is exempt from fee per regulation.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 60.700(i)(1)

#### (4) Year Enacted

1998

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$900

FY 2012 - \$0

FY 2013 - \$0

FY 2014 - \$0

FY 2015 - \$0

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Composting facilities: 2 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$0

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$0

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent is not provided, the exemption is reasonable.

#### **Applicable Program**

Solid Waste

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Fee exemption for landpsreading of biosolids

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

To encourage and promote non-disposal activities. Facility is exempt from fee per regulation.

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 60.700(i)(2)

#### (4) Year Enacted

1998

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$4,800

FY 2012 - \$4,800

FY 2013 - \$4,800

FY 2014 - \$4,800

FY 2015 - \$4,800

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Landspreading of biosolids: 4 facilities

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

\$4,800

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

\$1,200

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Recommend continuation; while legislative intent is not provided, the exemption is reasonable.

#### **Applicable Program**

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Discounted wastewater fees for small businesses

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Decreased State regulation fees for small businesses.

#### (2) Type

Discount

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

18 AAC 72.956-7

#### (4) Year Enacted

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

Not provided.

#### (6) Legislative Intent

In order to prevent excessive hurdles for small businesses that could inhibit their start-up or sustainability

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \*

FY 2012 - \*

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Businesses with fewer than 20 employees: Unknown universe of wastewater discharge businesses across AK with less than 20 employees.

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

#### (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown

#### (4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated?

Not enough information to make a recommendation. Without knowing the scope of foregone fees, it is unknown

# Applicable Program

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Discounted wastewater fees for small businesses

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be Continued, Modified or Terminated? (cont.) whether the benefits outweigh the cost.

#### **Applicable Program**

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

#### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Stormwater and wastewater fee exemption for public schools

#### Department of Revenue Submission per AS 43.05.095

#### (1) Description of Provision

Reduces State costs to State funded public schools

#### (2) Type

Exemption

#### (3) Authorizing Statute, Regulation or Other Authority

AS 44.46.020(5)(C) & AS 44.45.025

#### (4) Year Enacted

1993

#### (5) Sunset or Repeal Date

12-31-12

#### (6) Legislative Intent

Not provided.

#### (7) Public Purpose

Not provided.

#### (8) Estimated Revenue Impact

FY 2011 - \$1,400

FY 2012 - \$1,400

FY 2013 - \*

FY 2014 - \*

FY 2015 - \*

#### (9) Cost to Administer

\$0

#### (10) Number of Beneficiaries / Who Benefits

Public schools discharging wastewater. Regulation covers all charitable organization exempt from federal taxation: 3 waivers between 2004-2011 and 2 waivers between 2012-2013 for Wastewater permit fees waived. BillQuick shows zero waivers between FY13-15 on activity code 08:05

#### Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235

# (1) Estimate of Annual Revenue Foregone by the State

Unknown

#### (2) Estimate of Annual Monetary Benefit to Recipients

Unknown

#### (3) Legislative Intent Met?

Unknown, none provided

### **Applicable Program**

Wastewater Discharge Permitting

### **Indirect Expenditure Name**

Stormwater and wastewater fee exemption for public schools

#### **Legislative Finance Analysis per AS 24.20.235**

(4) Should it be continued, modified or terminated?

No recommendation as the exemption expired in 2012.



#### Chair:

Rep. Neal Foster Capitol Room 410 465-3789

#### Members:

Rep. Adam Wool Capitol Room 412 465-4976

Rep. Ivy Spohnholz Capitol Room 421 465-4940

Rep. Matt Claman Capitol Room 118 465-4919

Rep. Dean Westlake Capitol Room 24 465-3473

Rep. Jennifer Johnston Capitol Room 430 465-4949

Rep. DeLena Johnson Capitol Room 405 465-4958

Rep. George Rauscher Capitol Room 426 465-4859

Rep. Lance Pruitt Capitol Room 415 465-3438

Committee Aide: Graham Judson 465-4858

# 30th Alaska State Legislature House Finance Budget Subcommittee Department of Environmental Conservation FY18 Operating Budget

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION FY2018 HOUSE FINANCE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE NARRATIVE REPORT February 23, 2017

#### **SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS:**

The House Finance Budget Subcommittee for the Department of Environmental Conservation held five meetings with the Department during the review of the FY18 budget request.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The Chair of the House Finance Budget Subcommittee for the Department of Environmental Conservation recommends that the House Finance Committee accept the Governor's FY18 budget without further amendment:

Fund Source: (dollars are in thousands)

Total	\$81,888.2
Federal Funds	\$23,896.6
Other Funds	\$12,801.5
Designated General Funds (DGF)	\$29,892.2
Unrestricted General Funds (UGF)	\$15,297.9

The Unrestricted General Fund difference from FY15 Management Plan to the FY18 Governor Amended budget is a reduction of \$7.2 million, a decrease of 31.9 percent.

#### **Positions:**

Permanent Full-time	488
Permanent Part-time	0
Temporary	1
Total	489

#### **Statutory Change Proposals:**

The following <u>statutory recommendation</u> is also submitted to the House Finance Committee for consideration.

#### For Consideration by House Resources Committee:

 Amend AS 44.46.020, AS 44.46.025, AS 37.10.052, AS 37.10.054, AS 37.10.056, and AS 37.10.058 to authorize and/or change permitting, permit fees, fees, and fee structures. This change is important because it would enable the Department of Environmental Conservation to capture and collect fees associated with the costs of regulated inspections and permits, including fees identified in the Legislative Finance Division 2017 Indirect Expenditure Report.

This recommendation was approved without objection.

#### **GOVERNOR'S AMENDMENTS:**

The Governor did not submit any amendments for this agency.

#### **ATTACHED REPORTS:**

The House Finance Budget Subcommittee for the Department of Environmental Conservation adopts the attached report:

- Allocation Summary Report (All Funds) Between FY2018 Governor and House Subcommittee (HSub)
- o Multi-Year Agency Totals FY18 House Structure

Respectfully submitted,

Representative Neal Foster, Chair

House Finance Budget Subcommittee for the Department of Environmental Conservation