

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** KenR <ken.rosentrater@gci.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 12:41 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. Since that falls into the realm of the sovereignty of God, after all.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you! Abortionist, being human, is not qualified to make those judgments.

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.



Ken Rosentrater

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** JUANITA ROSS <juanita99669@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 6:00 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +Senator.Pete.Kelly@akleg.gov; +Senator.John.Coghill@akleg.gov;  
+Senator.Bill.Wielechowski@akleg.gov; +Senator.Mia.Costello@akleg.gov;  
+Senator.Click.Bishop@akleg.gov; +PatMartin@alaskarighttolife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee.

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
  - She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
  - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
  - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
  - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
  - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.  
Juanita Ross

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Vic Fonov <vicfonov@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 10:37 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Click Bishop; PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee, I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee. It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby. There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby. But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies. While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion. In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year. SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others. - Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her? - She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER! I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you! What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria? - An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb? - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby. - The mother's physical or emotional will being is at risk? - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere. SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124. Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250. Thank you.

Victor Fonov

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Vic Fonov <vicfonov@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 10:38 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Click Bishop; PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee, I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee. It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby. There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby. But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies. While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion. In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year. SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others. - Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her? - She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER! I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you! What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria? - An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb? - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby. - The mother's physical or emotional will being is at risk? - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere. SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124. Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250. Thank you.

Victor Fonov

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Cyndi Saunders <cyndi@tecpro.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 10:43 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Click Bishop; PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee.

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

Have a super grand day,  
Cyndi  Saunders

Sent from my iPhone



## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii <ppaction@ppvnh.org> on behalf of Cheryl Ferucci <ppaction@ppvnh.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 3:38 PM  
**To:** Sen. John Coghill  
**Subject:** Stop interfering with personal health care decisions

Mar 8, 2018

State Senator John Coghill  
State Capitol, Room 119  
120 Fourth Street  
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear State Senator Coghill,

Senate Bill 124 would limit abortions later in pregnancy and restrict doctors' ability to exercise their professional expertise about what is best for their patients. This bill places politicians squarely between doctors and their patients, forcing physicians to abandon their own judgment and good medical expertise or deny women the care they need. Pregnant women in Alaska need access to high-quality medical care, not interference from politicians who presume to know better.

This bill attacks women and families already facing the heart-wrenching decision of ending a pregnancy. Abortions later in pregnancy are performed almost exclusively in cases of serious fetal abnormalities. These bills do not include exceptions in cases of serious fetal anomaly, meaning they would force women to carry a pregnancy to term regardless of its outcome or the family's wishes. This is a cruel restriction for families already facing tragic circumstances.

This is a dangerous, unsafe, and unfounded precedent motivated by politics, not medicine.

It is time to stop interfering with personal health care decisions and substituting the agendas of politicians for the expertise of health care professionals.

I urge you to oppose Senate Bill 124.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Ferucci  
1543 E 27th Ave  
Anchorage, AK 99508-3921  
(907) 830-8914  
cferucci@gmail.com

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii <ppaction@ppvnh.org> on behalf of Bette Reed <ppaction@ppvnh.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 3:38 PM  
**To:** Sen. John Coghill  
**Subject:** Stop interfering with personal health care decisions

Mar 8, 2018

State Senator John Coghill  
State Capitol, Room 119  
120 Fourth Street  
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear State Senator Coghill,

Senate Bill 124 would limit abortions later in pregnancy and restrict doctors' ability to exercise their professional expertise about what is best for their patients. This bill places politicians squarely between doctors and their patients, forcing physicians to abandon their own judgment and good medical expertise or deny women the care they need. Pregnant women in Alaska need access to high-quality medical care, not interference from politicians who presume to know better.

This bill attacks women and families already facing the heart-wrenching decision of ending a pregnancy. Abortions later in pregnancy are performed almost exclusively in cases of serious fetal abnormalities. These bills do not include exceptions in cases of serious fetal anomaly, meaning they would force women to carry a pregnancy to term regardless of its outcome or the family's wishes. This is a cruel restriction for families already facing tragic circumstances.

This is a dangerous, unsafe, and unfounded precedent motivated by politics, not medicine.

It is time to stop interfering with personal health care decisions and substituting the agendas of politicians for the expertise of health care professionals.

I urge you to oppose Senate Bill 124.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bette Reed  
7533 E Jim Cottrell Cir  
Palmer, AK 99645-8940  
(907) 745-3403  
bette@mtaonline.net

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii <ppaction@ppvnh.org> on behalf of Gina Chalcroft <ppaction@ppvnh.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 3:38 PM  
**To:** Sen. John Coghill  
**Subject:** Stop interfering with personal health care decisions

Mar 8, 2018

State Senator John Coghill  
State Capitol, Room 119  
120 Fourth Street  
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear State Senator Coghill,

Senate Bill 124 would limit abortions later in pregnancy and restrict doctors' ability to exercise their professional expertise about what is best for their patients. This bill places politicians squarely between doctors and their patients, forcing physicians to abandon their own judgment and good medical expertise or deny women the care they need. Pregnant women in Alaska need access to high-quality medical care, not interference from politicians who presume to know better.

This bill attacks women and families already facing the heart-wrenching decision of ending a pregnancy. Abortions later in pregnancy are performed almost exclusively in cases of serious fetal abnormalities. These bills do not include exceptions in cases of serious fetal anomaly, meaning they would force women to carry a pregnancy to term regardless of its outcome or the family's wishes. This is a cruel restriction for families already facing tragic circumstances.

This is a dangerous, unsafe, and unfounded precedent motivated by politics, not medicine.

It is time to stop interfering with personal health care decisions and substituting the agendas of politicians for the expertise of health care professionals.

I urge you to oppose Senate Bill 124.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Chalcroft  
2210 Raven Rod  
Douglas, AK 99824  
(907) 500-8214  
seakmvp@gmail.com

## **Jordan Shilling**

---

**From:** Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii <ppaction@ppvnh.org> on behalf of Sue Libenson <ppaction@ppvnh.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 3:38 PM  
**To:** Sen. John Coghill  
**Subject:** Stop interfering with personal health care decisions

Mar 8, 2018

State Senator John Coghill  
State Capitol, Room 119  
120 Fourth Street  
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear State Senator Coghill,

Senate Bill 124 would limit abortions later in pregnancy and restrict doctors' ability to exercise their professional expertise about what is best for their patients. This bill places politicians squarely between doctors and their patients, forcing physicians to abandon their own judgment and good medical expertise or deny women the care they need. Pregnant women in Alaska need access to high-quality medical care, not interference from politicians who presume to know better.

When I want your opinion on intimate health decisions, I'll come to your office ask. Do not legislative women's health.

This bill attacks women and families already facing the heart-wrenching decision of ending a pregnancy. Abortions later in pregnancy are performed almost exclusively in cases of serious fetal abnormalities. These bills do not include exceptions in cases of serious fetal anomaly, meaning they would force women to carry a pregnancy to term regardless of its outcome or the family's wishes. This is a cruel restriction for families already facing tragic circumstances.

This is a dangerous, unsafe, and unfounded precedent motivated by politics, not medicine.

It is time to stop interfering with personal health care decisions and substituting the agendas of politicians for the expertise of health care professionals.

I urge you to oppose Senate Bill 124.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Libenson  
PO Box 1064  
Haines, AK 99827-1064  
(907) 303-0022  
suelibenson@gmail.com

## **Jordan Shilling**

---

**From:** Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii <ppaction@ppvnh.org> on behalf of Sarah Burtner <ppaction@ppvnh.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 3:38 PM  
**To:** Sen. John Coghill  
**Subject:** Stop interfering with personal health care decisions

Mar 8, 2018

State Senator John Coghill  
State Capitol, Room 119  
120 Fourth Street  
Juneau, AK 99801-1182

Dear State Senator Coghill,

Senate Bill 124 would limit abortions later in pregnancy and restrict doctors' ability to exercise their professional expertise about what is best for their patients. This bill places politicians squarely between doctors and their patients, forcing physicians to abandon their own judgment and good medical expertise or deny women the care they need. Pregnant women in Alaska need access to high-quality medical care, not interference from politicians who presume to know better.

This bill attacks women and families already facing the heart-wrenching decision of ending a pregnancy. Abortions later in pregnancy are performed almost exclusively in cases of serious fetal abnormalities. These bills do not include exceptions in cases of serious fetal anomaly, meaning they would force women to carry a pregnancy to term regardless of its outcome or the family's wishes. This is a cruel restriction for families already facing tragic circumstances.

This is a dangerous, unsafe, and unfounded precedent motivated by politics, not medicine.

It is time to stop interfering with personal health care decisions and substituting the agendas of politicians for the expertise of health care professionals.

I urge you to oppose Senate Bill 124.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sarah Burtner  
3406 Greenland Dr  
Anchorage, AK 99517-2061  
(907) 272-1271  
kumorizora@yahoo.com

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** jjmccallak@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 12:30 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because: It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

This bill while attempting to provide for care for babies born alive during a late term abortion, by the nature of the wording of this bill, is putting stamp of approval on late term abortions.

There are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere. SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 pre born babies killed last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you,

Joy McCall  
Chugiak, Alaska

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 1:08 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary  
**Subject:** Testimony for SB 124 Hearing in Judiciary Committee March 7 at 1:30  
**Attachments:** Roe v. Wade, (full text) \_\_ 410 U.S. 113 (1973) \_\_ Justia US Supreme Court Center.pdf; Warren Hern on Late Term Abortions.pdf; Alaska Right To Life ITOP Report Analysis.pdf; Alaska Right To Life Opposes Passage of SB 124.pdf

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

Please add the following email and documents to the public testimony for today's hearing on SB 124.

***Would you ever vote for a law that gives an abortionist permission to kill a pre-born baby?***

If your answer to that question is 'NO,' then you must vote against passing SB 124 out of the Senate Judiciary Committee.

***When is it okay to kill a baby in the womb?***

Under what conditions ***would you allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby?***

Size - what if the baby's is really small? Is it okay to kill the baby?

- Her level of **dependency** is significant - is it okay to kill her?
  - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. Is it okay to kill her?
  - She was **born premature** at 20 weeks. Is it okay to kill her?
  - She **has spina bifida**. Is it okay to kill her?
  - She **has a congenital heart defect**. Is it okay to kill her?
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
  - **She has Down Syndrome**. Is it okay to kill her?
  - **Her heart isn't beating yet** Is it okay to kill her?
  - **Her brainwaves haven't been detected** Is it okay to kill her?
  - **Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet**. Is it okay to kill her?
  - **She's not yet viable**. Is it okay to kill her?
- Her environment - **she's still in the womb**, not yet born - is it okay to kill her?

- **Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk.** Is it okay to kill her?

## **Would you ever vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not?**

Would you ever vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby?

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- **An innocent pre-born baby is viable** - can live outside of the womb?
  - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
  - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- **The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?**
  - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother **emotional stress**, he can kill her pre-born baby.
  - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother **physical stress**, he can kill her pre-born baby.

## ***Understanding The Myths of SB 124***

SB 124 is marketed as an abortion survivor's bill, guaranteeing life saving health care to babies that survive a late term - 2nd or 3rd trimester abortion.

In order for **SB 124** to provide medical care for an abortion survivor, it first **affirms the gruesome practice of late term abortions.**

Back to our original question: under what conditions **would you allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby?**



**What kind of abortion procedures are performed to kill pre-born babies at 24 weeks?**

Dilation & Evacuation (D&E)

- Could a baby ever survive a D&E abortion?
- If not, why try to regulate it?
- **Induction Abortion - Injection and Stillbirth**
  - Could a baby ever survive an Induction abortion?
    - Dr. Warren Hern (wrote the instruction manual) says there's "no chance."
  - If not, why try to regulate it?

When performing a D&E or Induction abortion, the abortionist is going to:

- **Poison** - repeatedly, if necessary - the baby.
- Take her amniotic fluid away from her.
- **Violently rip her body into pieces**
  - ***She'll feel every bit of the horror until she bleeds to death***
- Or the poison will have worked, and the abortionist will ***deliver her two days after her death.***

What are the chances of surviving such a horrible attack?

Thankfully, despite Warren Hern's bravado, ***some babies do actually survive the Induction abortion!***

In that case, ***Senator Giessel should withdraw SB 124*** and propose a law that:

1. ***Opposes abortion*** in all forms, for all reasons, at all stages and ages
2. ***Affirms that life begins at conception***
3. ***Affirms that since a human embryo is a human being, and therefore deserves the same human rights and protections that you and I enjoy***

4. ***Requires that any baby born alive***, regardless of previous intention ***must be given the very best life saving medical treatment available*** by qualified and certified Neonatal Intensive Care Unit doctors and nurses, within the local municipal jurisdiction

**Remember the original questions:**

***Would you ever vote for a law that gives an abortionist permission to kill a pre-born baby?***

If your answer to that question is 'NO,' then you must vote against passing SB 124 out of the Senate Judiciary Committee.

For LIFE,

Pat

**Patrick Martin**

Outreach & Development Director

**Alaska Right To Life, Inc.**

(907) 232-2211 **MOBILE**

**[PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org](mailto:PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org)**

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Ruth & Rudy Poglitch <rpoglitch@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 1:17 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary  
**Cc:** patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee, I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because: It IS NEVER okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby. There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby. But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies. While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion. SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

Kill SB 124.

I look forward to your speedy reply on this important matter.

Rudy Poglitch

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Gary Kuhn <garykuhn@alaska.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 2:05 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Natasha Von Imhof; Sen. Cathy Giessel; Sen. Peter Micciche; Sen. Tom Begich; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Click Bishop  
**Cc:** Rep. David Eastman  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

March 7, 2018

Senate Health and Social Services Committee

RE: SB124, Duties of physicians and health care practitioners when performing abortion

Dear Senate Health and Social Services Committee Members,

The language in SB124 promoted by Senator Giessel, as amended, is totally unacceptable and needs serious change consideration and I oppose it as written. This legislation should be withdrawn from consideration. The fundamental rights of an unborn child must be protected in all cases and manners practical to the medical practitioners. This bill undermines the basic premise that life begins at conception and that is where the rights of an unborn child begins. Any child that is conceived and ultimately born alive, no matter by natural or induced causes must be afforded the very best medical treatment by medical practitioners regardless of the circumstances of its conception and mothers wishes. Only a hedonistic society provides for the practice of abortion. If we allow ourselves to decide that life does not begin at conception then we fail as a people to allow for the sanctity of life that we were also afforded, and strip that unborn person of their rights and protection of a lawful society.

Your thoughtful consideration and withdrawal of SB124 should be undertaken immediately as the language proposed is fundamentally flawed as is the underlying law written into AS 18.16. Abortion in all forms, for all reasons, at all stages and ages is taking of a human life and it is unacceptable in all circumstances. You are very bright people shouldered with the responsibilities that will be judged in heaven as in the court of opinion today; please do the right thing and recall this effort on SB124 and re-write the laws to outlaw all abortion in Alaska, and protect all our children from conception.

Brenda B Kuhn  
7305 E Finger Cove Drive  
Palmer, Alaska 99645

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** john bradley <johnbradley122@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 7:13 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Vic Fonov <vicfonov@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 10:37 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Click Bishop; PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee, I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee. It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby. There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby. But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies. While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion. In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year. SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others. - Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her? - She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER! I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you! What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria? - An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb? - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby. - The mother's physical or emotional will being is at risk? - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere. SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124. Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250. Thank you.

Victor Fonov

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Vic Fonov <vicfonov@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 10:38 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Click Bishop; PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee, I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee. It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby. There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby. But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies. While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion. In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year. SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others. - Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her? - She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her! - Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her! - She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT! - Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER! I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either. I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you! What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria? - An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb? - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby. - The mother's physical or emotional will being is at risk? - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby. Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere. SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124. Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250. Thank you.

Victor Fonov



## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Cyndi Saunders <cyndi@tecpro.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 10:43 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Click Bishop; PatMartin@AlaskaRightToLife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on SB 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee.

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

Have a super grand day,  
Cyndi  Saunders

Sent from my iPhone

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Ted Franke <ted@akmissionconnection.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 08, 2018 12:16 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +Senator.Pete.Kelly@akleg.gov; +Senator.John.Coghill@akleg.gov;  
+Senator.Bill.Wielechowski@akleg.gov; +Senator.Mia.Costello@akleg.gov;  
+Senator.Click.Bishop@akleg.gov; +PatMartin@alaskarighttolife.org  
**Subject:** Vote NO on Senate Bill 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

SB 124 deserve a NO vote from you in the Senate Judiciary Committee.

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
  - She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
  - Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
  - If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
  - If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
  - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
  - If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

I'm putting my name to the above statement because I agree with it even though I didn't write it. I hope and pray you agree also.

Sincerely,

Ted Franke  
421 N. Pioneer View Cir.  
Palmer, AK 99645

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Jerzy Maselko <jmaselko2@alaska.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 7:16 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Michael Tavoriero <michael.tavoriero@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 7:48 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Senate State Affairs; Sen. Click Bishop; Sen. Mia Costello; Sen. Pete Kelly; Sen. Shelley Hughes; Sen. Bert Stedman; Sen. Cathy Giessel; Sen. Berta Gardner; Sen. David Wilson; Sen. Dennis Egan; Sen. Donny Olson; Sen. Gary Stevens; Sen. Kevin Meyer; Sen. Lyman Hoffman; Sen. Natasha Von Imhof; Sen. Tom Begich; Sen. Peter Micciche; Sen. Mike Shower; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Bill Wielechowski  
**Subject:** I Oppose SB124

Hi All,

This is really a no brainer. Let's start with protecting the unborn and stop these piecemeal compromises.

Stop public funding for all abortions.

Best Wishes,

Michael Tavoriero  
24618 Wilma Circle  
Eagle River, Alaska 99577  
Cell: (907) 230-1992

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic mail transmission, and any attachment to it, contains privileged and confidential information intended only for the personal and confidential use of recipient(s) designated above. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender and please destroy the original message and all copies. Thank you.

## **Jordan Shilling**

---

**From:** Meghann Lipse <meghannm\_24@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 8:05 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

**It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.**

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.



I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

Meghann Lipse

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Autumn Posey <harmony.studio.ak@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 8:38 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
  
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you. Harmony Posey

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Robertson, Shannon E <Shannon.E.Robertson@marshmc.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 8:44 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.  
Shannon Robertson

Sent from my iPhone

---

\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail, including any attachments that accompany it, may contain information that is confidential or privileged. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it was intended to be addressed. If you have received this e-mail and are not an intended recipient, any disclosure, distribution, copying or other use or retention of this email or information contained within it are prohibited.

If you have received this email in error, please immediately reply to the sender via e-mail and also permanently delete all copies of the original message together with any of its attachments from your computer or device.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Erik & Heidi <erikandheidi2009@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 8:59 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** Please vote NO on Senate Bill 124!

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against SB 124 because:

**It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.**

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
  
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you,

**Heidi Swanson**  
Wasilla, Alaska

## **Jordan Shilling**

---

**From:** Ashley Breshears <ashleyb@live.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 9:06 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

**It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.**

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.



I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Ashley Breshears <ashleyb@live.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 9:06 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected it is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

Ashley Breshears  
Sent from my iPhone

## **Jordan Shilling**

---

**From:** Angela Buursma <livnlif@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 06, 2018 10:24 PM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
  
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

Angela Buursma

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Ellen Scott <ellenscottak@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 6:52 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
  
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Buursma, Jason L LTC USARMY CEPOA (US) <Jason.L.Buursma@usace.army.mil>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 7:23 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.



I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

New Alaskan voter,

Jason Buursma

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Naomi Hassler <kittygrandmahassler@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 7:48 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
  
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Jennifer Psenak <jkennedy313@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 7:49 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!

I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Rick McClure <rjmcclureak@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 10:19 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

Regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on a companion bill to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you for your time and commitment to the State of Alaska working in the state legislature.

Sincerely,

Rick McClure

## Jordan Shilling

---

**From:** Bob Bird <bob.bird@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 10:35 AM  
**To:** patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org; Rep. Gabrielle LeDoux; Rep. Chuck Kopp; Rep. Lora Reinbold; Rep. David Eastman; Rep. Matt Claman; Rep. Jonathan Kreiss-Tomkins; Rep. Louise Stutes; Senate Judiciary  
**Subject:** SB 124

To: Senate Judiciary Committee  
From: Bob Bird  
Subj: SB 124

As you know by now, Alaska Right to Life is opposed to SB 124.

This legislation merely cements the claim of being "prolife" at election time, while there are far more effective means at hand.

1. Nibbling at the edges of the abortion/death culture is useless.
2. The legislature, in what would be a very moderate response, yet has always lacked the will, could effect something very significant: activate Art. I, Sec. 22 of the Alaska Constitution and take control of the implementation of the privacy clause away from the judiciary. This would also arguably end public funding.
3. As Alaska and other states have nullified federal statutory law in regards to marijuana, it could certainly do so with a mere case law, which is what *Roe v. Wade* is. Calling abortion a "constitutional right" is a concoction of the abortion industry that has nothing to do with the United States Constitution but everything with maintaining an outrageous judicial usurpation. A candidate for Governor of Oklahoma is running on this very premise, and has appeared on my radio talk show. Any "Personhood Act" would effectively be doing this.

It is my presumption that you put more *gravitas* into the words of legislative lawyers, who think that judicial case law supersedes the legislature. It has never been effectively argued, on a constitutional basis, as to why this should be so. The lawyer class jealously defends its turf.

Always at your service,  
Bob Bird, President Emeritus, Alaska Right to Life  
Kenai

## **Jordan Shilling**

---

**From:** Nils Degerlund <ndegerlund@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2018 10:59 AM  
**To:** Senate Judiciary; +patmartin@alaskarighttolife.org;  
+Representative.Gabrielle.LeDoux@akleg.gov; +Representative.Chuck.Kopp@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Lora.Reinbold@akleg.gov; +Representative.David.Eastman@akleg.gov;  
+Representative.Matt.Claman@akleg.gov; +Representative.Jonathan.Kreiss-Tomkins@akleg.gov; +Representative.Louise.Stutes@akleg.gov  
**Subject:** I oppose Senate Bill 124 and you should too.

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I would like each of you to vote against passing out of your committee SB 124 because:

It IS NEVER Okay To Kill a Pre-Born Baby.

There are no conditions under which I would allow an abortionist to kill an innocent pre-born baby.

But SB 124 allows abortionists to continue killing babies.

While abortion rates have declined nearly every year for a decade, the legislature has failed to pass and enforce a single piece of legislation that would save babies from the horror of abortion.

In the same time, the state has increased its funding of abortions from 34% just a few years ago to 51% last year.

SB 124 provides zero meaningful protections to pre-born babies in Alaska, and where it seeks to provide protection - infants born alive, SB 124 totally neglects all the others.

- Size - what if the baby's is really small? It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her level of dependency is significant - It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's 100% dependent for everything in the womb. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She was born premature at 20 weeks. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has spina bifida. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She has a congenital heart defect. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Level of development - what if she's not fully developed? Is it okay to kill her?
- She has Down Syndrome. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her heart isn't beating yet It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her brainwaves haven't been detected It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- Her lungs can't breathe oxygen yet. It is NEVER okay to kill her!
- She's not yet viable. Is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her environment - she's still in the womb, not yet born - is it okay to kill her? ABSOLUTELY NOT!
- Her mother's emotional state of well being is at risk. Is it okay to kill her? NEVER!



I would NEVER vote for a law that allows an abortionist to decide whether he should perform an abortion or not, I expect that you will not either.

I would NEVER vote for a law that tells an abortionist that if the baby isn't viable, or if the pregnancy causes any unwanted physical or emotional stress on the mother, he should kill the pre-born baby - and neither should you!

What limitation would you actually be placing on the abortionist with such subjective criteria?

- An innocent pre-born baby is viable - can live outside of the womb?
- If the baby is viable, he must plan for a live birth
- If the baby is not viable, he can kill the pre-born baby.
  
- The mother's physical or emotional well being is at risk?
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother emotional stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.
- If continuing the pregnancy causes the mother physical stress, he can kill her pre-born baby.

Again, regardless of the appeals of Senator Giessel, there are much better ways to protect innocent pre-born babies and ensure that those few that survive the horrors of abortion are cared for and given the very best medical treatment available anywhere.

SB 124 tells Alaskans - and abortionists - that it's okay to kill innocent pre-born babies, but if they survive the abortion attempt, they should be cared for. The 1,255 babies murdered last year in Alaska deserve better than SB 124.

Instead of offering incomplete, ineffective and simply unjust legislation, the Senate should focus on crafting and proposing other bills that will incrementally reduce and eliminate abortion while you craft a Senate companion to the Life At Conception Act, HB 250.

Thank you.