

March 1, 2017 - 6 p.m.

KEEP Testimony on HB 111 – Bill Corbus House Resources Committee

Madam Chairman and members of the House Resources Committee, my name is Bill Corbus. I served as Commissioner of Revenue from 2003-2006. I have been working as a volunteer for KEEP Alaska Competitive since its inception. KEEP is co-chaired by Jim Jansen, Chairman of Lynden, and Marc Langland, formerly Chairman & CEO of Northrim Bank. KEEP's membership of 5,000 is composed of Alaskans from all walks of life, a wide variety of businesses and professions and does not accept funding from the oil industry to support its activities.

First, and foremost, the bill as currently drafted would raise taxes on oil companies primarily at low oil prices. In our view that is precisely the wrong approach: when prices are down, the industry is either losing money and/or not recovering enough profit to continue to invest \$4 to \$6 billion per year in capital investments on the North Slope. Your proposal changes our tax structure to take more even when the industry is taking all of the risk that prices will increase enough to justify those expenditures.

That was not the philosophy of the SB 21 when it was passed. The legislature made a decision to share the risk with the oil industry – when prices were high we would take a greater share of profits and when prices were low, we would share in the downside for the sake of stabilizing investment and encouraging continued or expanded flow in TAPS in recognition of the rapid decline in production.

Because we adopted a net profit approach to taxation, we started off at a high (35%) tax rate. If we had adopted a gross tax that ignores the cost of production, the rate would have been much lower if we wanted to maintain industry presence here.

This was supposed to achieve stability over time — Alaska would become a predictable and rational partner through both high and low oil price environments. That approach is starting to work. Even when oil prices went below \$30 barrel, the industry continued to invest on the North Slope, which is exactly what we want them to do. At higher tax rates, I do not believe this would have happened.

While many of the provisions of HB 111 deal with reversing course on SB 21, some deal with earlier provisions of ACES and other separate legislation that established the system of cashable credits resulting from Net Operating Losses (NOLs) as incentives for exploration.

Those provisions have done exactly what was intended which is to entice independent companies to Alaska. It has resulted in several new discoveries, which if developed, will provide us with future royalty and production income and maintain a workable flow in TAPS. At higher oil prices than exists today, this approach makes sense because we could afford to sacrifice some cash flow today for enhanced cash flow in the future.

KEEPALASKA

At low oil prices and because of Alaska's massive budget deficit, we do not argue that it is inappropriate for the legislature to look more closely at this part of our tax structure to see there are effective ways of achieving a similar result with a lesser impact on cash flow to the state at low oil prices.

But we do encourage the legislature to spend the time and attention necessary to fully understand the options and to engage both the oil industry and the most-qualified experts when doing the critical analysis needed to estimate the likely impacts of any such decision.

At this point, it seems that the proposals are one sided – the state desires to cut its expenditures – without adequate consideration of the cost/benefit ratio of the impacts to future production by the companies which have come to Alaska because of the exploration incentives and continue to overwhelmingly contribute revenue to Alaska's budget.

So to sum this up, our KEEP supporters have been watching the proceedings on HB111 and the discussion in your committee, that given Alaska's situation, how should the State react?

We believe that the discussion should be on a long-term focus, not short-term to fill the gap on the back of the industries that must remain healthy. We supported SB-21, to get Alaska away from the punitive tax system, to change the industry's incentive to invest and produce oil vs. simply spend money. We also recognize that the State does not have the resources to "cash out" tax credits in the future when the prices are low; however, that does not mean that we shouldn't encourage investments during times of low prices by allowing credits and NOL's against production taxes for some time into the future when risk and development costs are mitigated and allow all parties to benefit.

We also believe that the primary focus should be on fixing the fiscal problem, focus on restructuring the Permanent fund, cutting costs, supporting reasonable and broad based revenue solutions, but don't kill the investment in the very resources that have built our State. We need more oil, but we also need stable and growing investment in fishing and mining too. We have the potential to grow oil production and mining too. We need these investments in Alaska to continue to make our businesses grow and thrive into the future.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.



My name is Keith Silver; I do not work for an oil company or service company. I am opposed to HB 111. This bill represents the 7th oil tax law change in 12 years and the 3rd since 2013.

This bill does not take into account the fact that under the current tax and credit scheme, several multibillion barrel oil fields were discovered and announced. In 2016, Alyeska Pipeline had an increase in oil throughput, a fact that positively affects the state budget. These fields have not come online yet. Their profitability and the decisions to sanction these fields were determined under the current tax and credit law. Changes to that may put the development of these fields at risk and the affected oil companies may just pack up and go home. Alaska is already the most expensive place to develop an oil field. Constant fiscal uncertainty makes Alaska an unattractive place to do business. Production will then start to decrease and Alaska will end up with a much smaller economy.

Consider this: The current oil tax system is balanced, setting a higher minimum floor than the previous tax system, while setting a stable and predictable rate when oil prices rise again. At current prices, Alaska's oil tax policy has brought hundreds of millions of dollars more in tax revenue to the state than it would have under the previous system.

It takes an annual industry investment of \$3 to 4 billion just to keep production levels stable on the North Slope. This requires a durable and competitive tax policy to fund Alaska projects.

Let's amend HB111 to this: The current tax laws may not be changed for another 7 years. This will allow the state to fully analyze what needs to be fixed and what still works. It would also significantly decrease the fiscal uncertainty. Increased investment dollars will follow.

Thank you for your time.

ANCHORAGE LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION OFFICE

Email: Anchorage.LIO@akleg.gov 907-269-0111/ phone, 907-269-0229/fax

WRITTEN TESTIMONY

NAME: Eric Pickett
REPRESENTING: SELF
BILL#/ SUBJECT: HB 111 COMMITTEE &
HEARING DATE: 01 Mar 2017
on an already high cost environment when compared to other out gas producing regions in the world.
producing regions in the world.
MB114 makes Alaska less congetitue and could dink
investment to other places.
40 manage.
future investment to that Alaska continues to produce only for decades your decades.
future investment to that Alastra continues to produce
thereby leading to less production which means lass revenue to the state of fences JOBC.
revenue to the state & Jenses JOBS.
When it comes to a fiscal regime . Ak continues to revest
when it comes to a fiscal regime . Ak continues to revisit this oil fax usual year after year; this can lead to defined invisionent less production,



12480 Mendenhall Loop Road, Auke Bay, Alaska 99821 Phone 907-789-3350, Fax 907-789-3360

Project Name Project Name	TESTIMON Y
Project Number #\$ 111	Sht of 7
Calculated by	Months Date
Checked by	Date

- 600D EVE

- HISTORIC TIMES

DETERMINE IF WEREVERIND EADERSHIP NOW VILL

OF PROSPERITY OR IF WE HAVE A LENTE DRAWN

OUT LACKLUSTER RELEVANT ONCE OIL PRICES RESEAVED.

- MARK MORRIS BORNS PAISED IN JUNEAU, BUY WIFE AND I HAVE ONE DANCHTER IN COLLEGE IN HER SEROND YOTHR AND TWO SONS WHO CRADUATE FROM HS THIS YEAR AND OWNER COLLEGE IN THE FALL.
- ON THE NORTH SLOPE FIRM HERE IN JUNEAU. WE ON THE NORTH SLOPE
- WHEN THE PRICE OF OIL CRASHED, WE LAW OFF ALMOST ALL OF OUR EMPOYES. WE WENT FROM 10 TO 1 FULLTIME + 2 PART TIME.
- WE LIKE SO MANY IN THE STATE, ARE WAITHE FOR THE PRICE OF OIL TO REDOUND. WE ARE TRYING TO SURVIVE UNTIL THEN AS ALL OTHER GOVERNMENT APROJECT DUDGETS (STATE & LOCAL) ARE WAY DOWN.
- WE ARE COOKING IN WASHINGTON & PACIFIC NW WHICH IS BOOMING FOR CHORK.
- FAMILIES HANGING ON WATHER FOR & ENTINEERS,
 TORCHARS, TORCHARS AS SCHOOL FUNDING
 IS DROPPING AND EVANY OTHER
- JUST LIKE OURS WAITING FOR THE OIL PRICES TO GO REBOUND AND THEN THIS BILL COMES ALONG AND TAKES AWAY OUR HOPE OF A PROSPEROUS FUTURE.
- BECAUSE WHEN 900 TAX THE DIL INDUSMY
 WERE THE BILLIONS WE NOOD THOM TO EPOND HORE
 DEVALOPING OUR DIL AND THEY EPOND IT DEVOLUTE
 SOME OND BUSES DIL DIVETTO PRICE RECONDS



12480 Mendenhall Loop Road, Auke Bay, Alaska 99821 Phone 907-789-3350, Fax 907-789-3360

Project Name	PUBLIC	PROMIEST
	HB111	
Calculated by		Date
Checked by		Date

THAT'S WHY GOV HAMMOND AND A STATE
LETISLATURE CREATED A RATINY DAY FOND.
THEY KNEW THAT IF THE STATE ECONOMY
THRUSD THROSCH THE BONEFITS OF OIL RENEWLE
FROM ITS RICH OIL RESERVES, DAYS WOULD
COME WHEN THE PRICE OF OIL PLUMMETED AS
IT SOMETIMES DOSS.

THEN THE STATE WOULD NEED ITS RAMY DAY
FUND TO CARRY ITS CONSRIVENT AND PREVENT
THE ECONOMY FROM BEING DEVASTATED AS EVERY
COMMUNITY RECEIVES STATE REMINIES FOR SCHOOLE
HEALTH + SOCIAL SERVICES, WATER + SENER PROJECTS,
ROADWAY MANTENANCE, ENGLY ASSITANCE, AND MANY
OTHORS PLOCRAMS.

INSTEAD OF USING THIS RAIN'S DAY FUND TO CARRY US, THE LEGISLATURE HAS BURNED THROUGH MOST OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL BLOKET REPORTE AND NOW IS THRUTH TO INCREASE TAKES ON THE DIL INDUSTRY AND TO TAX US; HYRTHIT ALASKANS.

THIS WILL DRIVE OFF FUTURE INVESTMENT IN DEVELOPING UNTAPPED OIL RESERVES WHICH WILL PROVIDE JOBS TOM! FROM AND MANY ALASKAN COMPANIES.

INSTEAD OF BRUIGHT PROSPERITY TO AMASKANS
THROUGH MAINTAINING OUR STATE COUT SPENDING
THE CEGISLATURE IS CONSIDERING CUTTING STATE
FOR FUNDING FURTHER AND THEN TO ADD INSULT
TO INJURY, TAKING THE ALASKANS WHO ARE
HANGING ON, WAITING FOR THE PRICE OF OIL TO
REBOUND.

THIS IS SHORT SIGHTED CEADERSHIP THAT WILL
COST HUNDLEDS IF NOT THOUSANDS OF ALASKANS
THEIR JOBS THROUGH FURTHER STATE CUTS, TAKING
HARD WOLKING ALASKANS WHO ARE TRUING TO
HANG BN, AND THEN DENVING ALL ALASKANSA
PROSPERDOS FUTURE BY DRIVING OFF OIL COMPANY
INVESTMENT IN DEVEloping DUR ALASKANS UNTAPPED OIL
OFF.