Fiscal Note State of Alaska Bill Version: SB 174 2016 Legislative Session Fiscal Note Number: () Publish Date: Identifier: CSSB174-UA-SYSBRA-4-11-16 Department: University of Alaska Title: REG. OF FIREARMS/KNIVES BY UNIV. OF AK Appropriation: University of Alaska **KELLY** Budget Reductions/Additions - Systemwide Sponsor: Allocation: Requester: House Education OMB Component Number: 1296 **Expenditures/Revenues** (Thousands of Dollars) Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. Included in FY2017 Governor's FY2017 Appropriation **Out-Year Cost Estimates** Requested Request **OPERATING EXPENDITURES** FY 2017 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 Personal Services Travel Services 450.0 Commodities Capital Outlay **Grants & Benefits** Miscellaneous 450.0 0.0 **Total Operating Fund Source (Operating Only)** 1004 Gen Fund 450.0 Total 450.0 0.0 **Positions** Full-time Part-time Temporary Change in Revenues Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2016) cost: (separate supplemental appropriation required) (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section) Estimated CAPITAL (FY2017) cost: (separate capital appropriation required) (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section) **ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS** Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed? Why this fiscal note differs from previous version: Initial version

| Prepared By: | Michelle Rizk | Phone: | (907)450-8187 |
|--------------|----------------------|--------|---------------------|
| Division: | University of Alaska | Date: | 04/11/2016 08:00 AM |
| Approved By: | Michelle Rizk | Date: | 04/11/16 |
| Agency: | University of Alaska | _ | |

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA 2016 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

| BILL N | O. CSSB174 |
|--------|------------|
|--------|------------|

Analysis

| Under CSSB174, UA would be unable to restrict weapons in sensitive areas unless UA creates "restricted access areas" as defined in the bill ("area beyond a secure point where visitors are screened and does not include common areas of ingress and egress open to the public."). These areas might include those providing investigative and support services to those involved in issues such as sexual harassment, assault, and domestic violence; areas used during adjudication of discipline or disputes; areas used for K-12 programs; and other areas identified as being particularly sensitive. Based on the legislation, UA would hire a consultant to conduct a facilities and program inventory and security analysis. UA would need to determine: 1) the most effective and efficient way to configure restricted access areas as defined in the bill; 2) the appropriate type of screening at secure points; and, 3) the improvements needed to existing and newly |
|--|
| established secure access points to ensure compliance with the bill. The University is responsible for over 400 facilities at 3 universities, 12 community campuses and numerous outreach centers. The estimated consultant study cost is based on similar facility studies. |
| There will be other costs that are indeterminate until the results of the consultant study are available. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

(Revised 9/9/15 OMB/LFD) Page 2 of 2