

# LEGAL SERVICES

DIVISION OF LEGAL AND RESEARCH SERVICES  
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY  
STATE OF ALASKA

(907) 465-3867 or 465-2450  
FAX (907) 465-2029  
Mail Stop 3101


State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182  
Deliveries to: 129 6th St., Rm. 329

## MEMORANDUM

January 28, 2016

**SUBJECT:** Sectional summary  
(CSHB 147( ); Work Order No. 29-LS0302\D)

**TO:** Representative Max Gruenberg  
Attn: Nicoli Bailey

**FROM:** Megan A. Wallace   
Legislative Counsel

You have requested a sectional summary of the above-described bill.

As a preliminary matter, note that a sectional summary of a bill should not be considered an authoritative interpretation of the bill and the bill itself is the best statement of its contents.

Section 1 amends AS 03.55.110(b) by replacing the word "take" with "seize."

Section 2 removes the definition of "peace officer" from AS 03.55.110(c) and adds it to sec. 10 of the bill; replaces the word "take" with "seize."

Section 3 amends AS 03.55.120(c) to require notice to be posted at a premises from which an animal is removed under AS 03.55.120. Replaces the words "removing," "removed," and "removal" in AS 03.55.120(a), (b), and (c) with "seizing," "seized," and "seizure," respectively.

Section 4 amends AS 03.55.130(a) by replacing the word "removed" with "seized."

Section 5 amends AS 03.55.130(b) by replacing the word "removed" with "seized."

Section 6 amends AS 03.55.130(d) to allow a custodian to prevent the adoption or destruction of a seized animal. Replaces the word "removed" with "seized." Removes a provision that allowed the posting of a bond or security sufficient to care for an animal to prevent the adoption or destruction of the animal, this provision is replaced by AS 03.55.130(e) (sec. 7).

Section 7 repeals and reenacts AS 03.55.130(e). Allows a court on its own or in response to a filing by the custodian or owner of an animal, to enter an order for the cost of care of

Representative Max Gruenberg

January 28, 2016

Page 2

an animal. The order may include a required bond or security. Failure to comply may result in forfeiture of the animal.

Section 8 amends AS 03.55.130(f) by replacing the words "a removal" and "removed" with "the seizure" and "seized," respectively. Replaces a description of "cost of care" with a reference to "cost of care," as "cost of care" is defined under sec. 10 of the bill.

Section 9 adds a new subsection to AS 03.55.130 that states that nothing in AS 03.55.130(d) or (e) is to shift the burden of proof from the party that would otherwise have that burden.

Section 10 adds new definitions to AS 03.55.190 for "cost of care" and "cruelty to animals;" adds a new paragraph for the definition of "peace officer," as that definition previously appeared in AS 03.55.110(c) (sec. 2 of the bill).

Section 11 amends AS 11.56.740(a) to clarify that it is a crime to violate one or more of the provisions of a domestic violence protective order.

Section 12 amends AS 11.61.140(a) to clarify that a person commits cruelty to animals if the person "has a legal duty to care for the animal" and with criminal negligence fails to care for an animal.

Section 13 amends AS 18.65.520(a) to require that the form providing notice to a victim of domestic violence must state that a protective order may grant a victim possession of a pet regardless of the ownership of that pet.

Section 14 adds a definition of "pet" at AS 18.65.590.

Section 15 amends AS 18.66.100(c) to allow a domestic violence protective order issued under AS 18.66.100(c) to grant a petitioner possession of a pet, regardless of the ownership of the pet.

Section 16 amends the definition of "domestic violence" to include cruelty to animals under AS 11.61.140(a)(5) if the animal is a pet.

Section 17 adds a definition of "pet" at AS 18.66.990.

Section 18 amends AS 22.15.030(a) to give the district court the jurisdiction over cases involving cruelty to or seizure, destruction, adoption, or costs of care of animals under AS 03.55.100 - 03.55.190.

Section 19 amends AS 25.24.160(a) to allow a court to consider the well-being of an animal when considering ownership or joint ownership of an animal as part of a divorce proceeding.

Representative Max Gruenberg

January 28, 2016

Page 3

Section 20 amends AS 25.24.200(c) by adding a requirement that, before a spouse can waive an appearance at a dissolution, or hearing if an animal is owned, the spouses have agreed to the ownership of the animal, taking into consideration the well-being of the animal.

Section 21 adds a new subsection to AS 25.24.200 to provide that a husband and wife may provide for the ownership or joint ownership of an animal in a petition filed under (a) or (b) of that section.

Section 22 amends AS 25.24.201(e) to provide that a petition filed by both spouses under AS 25.24.200(a) may provide for the ownership or joint ownership of an animal.

Section 23 amends AS 25.24.220(d) requiring that the court consider whether the written agreements between the spouses concerning the ownership or joint ownership of an animal, taking into consideration the well-being of the animal.

Section 24 amends AS 25.24.220(g) allowing the court to amend the written agreements between the spouses relating to the ownership or joint ownership of an animal, taking into consideration the well-being of the animal.

Section 25 amends AS 25.24.230(a) requiring court to consider whether the written agreements between the spouses concerning the ownership or joint ownership of an animal take into consideration the well-being of the animal in issuing a final decree of dissolution.

Section 26 adds a definition of "animal" at AS 25.24.990.

MAW:lem

16-053.lem