

**Daniel George**

---

**Subject:** Use of the word "Salt" in 13PSUM

**From:** Bruce Schulte  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 27, 2015 3:09 PM  
**To:** Oren Brown  
**Cc:** Daniel George  
**Subject:** Use of the word "Salt" in 13PSUM

Good afternoon Oren,

My apologies for the delay, I had to do some research into this issue.

No one in our organization was able to figure out any context in which the term "Salt" would apply to the marijuana industry. In fact, in discussion, we recognized the unfortunate association with the term "Bath Salts" - referring to a class of synthetic compounds with a very bad reputation and something that we absolutely do **\*NOT\*** endorse or support or want anything to do with.

In researching it's inclusion in the initiative, I discovered that the text was taken, word-for-word, from the Federal law banning marijuana (and referencing other drugs). I can see why that seemed appropriate although, in the case of this one word, I would have to concede that it should not have been included, simply because it has no particular meaning in this context.

Therefore, if the drafters of the initiative have no objection, CRCL would not object to simply dropping this one word from the discussion. In fact, though probably not necessary, we would defend omitting this word from the law as a totally appropriate grammatical correction that would have no material effect on the law.

Please let Senators Stoltze and Coghill know that we appreciate them bringing this to light and we look forward to working with them on this issue in the future.

Regards,

***Bruce Schulte***  
*Coalition for Responsible Cannabis Legislation*