

03-05-2013 **House Journal** **0453**
HB 83

The Judiciary Committee considered:

03-05-2013 **House Journal** **0454**

HOUSE BILL NO. 83

"An Act relating to certain federal statutes, regulations, presidential executive orders, and secretarial orders; relating to the duties of the attorney general; and providing for an effective date."

and recommends it be replaced with:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 83(JUD)

"An Act relating to certain federal statutes, regulations, presidential executive orders and actions, and secretarial orders and actions; relating to the duties of the attorney general; and providing for an effective date."

The report was signed by Representative Keller, Chair, with the following individual recommendations:

Do pass (5): Millett, Gruenberg, Pruitt, Foster, Keller

The following fiscal note(s) apply to CSHB 83(JUD):

1. Zero, Dept. of Law

HB 83 was referred to the Rules Committee for placement on the calendar.

03-13-2013 **House Journal** **0504**

The question being: "Shall CSHB 83(JUD) pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 83(JUD)
Third Reading
Final Passage

YEAS: 36 NAYS: 0 EXCUSED: 3 ABSENT: 1

Yeas: Austerman, Chenault, Costello, Edgmon, Feige, Foster, Gara, Gattis, Gruenberg, Hawker, Herron, Higgins, Holmes, Hughes, Isaacson, Johnson, Josephson, Kawasaki, Keller, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeDoux, Lynn, Munoz, Nageak, Neuman, Olson, Pruitt, Reinbold, Saddler, Seaton, Stoltze, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, P.Wilson, T.Wilson

Excused: Guttenberg, Kerttula, Millett

Absent: Drummond

And so, CSHB 83(JUD) passed the House.

Representative Pruitt moved and asked unanimous consent that the roll call on the passage of the bill be considered the roll call on the effective date clause. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

Representative Pruitt later gave notice of reconsideration of the vote on CSHB 83(JUD), and reconsideration was taken up then.

Representative Pruitt moved and asked unanimous consent that reconsideration of CSHB 83(JUD) be taken up on the same day. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

The following was before the House in third reading:

CS FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 83(JUD)

"An Act relating to certain federal statutes, regulations, presidential executive orders and actions, and secretarial orders and actions; relating to the duties of the attorney general; and providing for an effective date."

The question to be reconsidered: "Shall CSHB 83(JUD) pass the House?" The roll was taken with the following result:

CSHB 83(JUD)
Third Reading
Final Passage
Reconsideration

YEAS: 30 NAYS: 0 EXCUSED: 4 ABSENT: 6

Yeas: Austerman, Chenault, Costello, Feige, Gara, Gruenberg, Hawker, Herron, Higgins, Holmes, Hughes, Isaacson, Johnson, Josephson, Keller, Kreiss-Tomkins, LeDoux, Lynn, Munoz, Nageak, Neuman, Olson, Pruitt, Reinbold, Saddler, Stoltze, Tarr, Thompson, Tuck, P.Wilson

Excused: Drummond, Guttenberg, Kerttula, Millett

Absent: Edgmon, Foster, Gattis, Kawasaki, Seaton, T.Wilson

And so, CSHB 83(JUD) passed the House on reconsideration.

Representative Pruitt moved and asked unanimous consent that the roll call on the passage of the bill be considered the roll call on the effective date clause. There being no objection, it was so ordered.