Fiscal Note State of Alaska Bill Version: HB 127 2013 Legislative Session Fiscal Note Number: () Publish Date: Identifier: HB127-DOA-OPA-3-15-13.xls Department: Department of Administration Title: **OMBUDSMAN** Appropriation: Legal and Advocacy Services RLS BY REQUEST Office of Public Advocacy Sponsor: Allocation: Requester: House State Affairs OMB Component Number: 43 **Expenditures/Revenues** Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars) Included in FY2014 Governor's FY2014 Appropriation **Out-Year Cost Estimates** Requested Request FY 2017 FY 2019 **OPERATING EXPENDITURES** FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2018 Personal Services Travel Services Commodities Capital Outlay **Grants & Benefits** Miscellaneous *** **Total Operating** Fund Source (Operating Only) None **Total Positions** Full-time Part-time Temporary Change in Revenues Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2013) cost: 0.0 Estimated CAPITAL (FY2014) cost: 0.0 **ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS** Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed? Why this fiscal note differs from previous version: Not applicable, initial version Prepared By: Richard Allen, Director Phone: (907)269-3504 Division Office of Public Advocacy Date: 03/15/2013 03:15 PM

Date:

03/15/13

Department of Administration

Printed 3/16/2013 Page 1

Approved By:

Curtis Thayer, Deputy Commissioner

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STAT	TE OF ALASKA	
2013	LEGISLATIVE	SESSION

BILL NO.	HB127
----------	-------

Analysis

This bill places the Alaska Bar Association under the jurisdiction of the Ombudsman's Office. The bill also purports to prevent the waiver of the attorney-client privilege if such information is provided to the Ombudsman's office and to prevent further disclosure by the Ombudsman's office.
This bill would likely make attorney responses to bar grievances subject to disclosure to the Ombudsman's office should that office conduct an investigation into the Bar Association's action on a grievance filed against an attorney. This would prevent attorneys from fully responding to bar grievances due to the risk that client confidences would be revealed to a third-party in violation of Alaska Code of Professional Conduct.
The failure to respond fully to bar grievances is likely to result in additional expenses surrounding litigation of proper response to bar grievances and increased acceptance of grievances for investigation. The Office of Public Advocacy would need, on a case by case basis, to retain counsel to meet the ethical and legal demands of complying with and responding to additional Ombudsman's inquiries, investigations and hearings, and therefore submits an indeterminate fiscal note.

(Revised 1/15/2013 OMB) Page 2 of 2