## **Darrell Breese**

From: Sent: Dan Winters <dwinters@ci.unalaska.ak.us> Tuesday, February 05, 2013 10:51 AM

To:

Rep. Peggy Wilson

**Subject:** 

HB-19

Rep. Peggy Wilson,

My name is Dan Winters and I am the Director of Public Utilities for the City of Unalaska. I am writing this email to state my opposition to HB-19, which I believe you are meeting on today.

As Director of Public Utilities for the City of Unalaska, it is my responsibility to find ways to remove junk vehicles from our Island. We remove approximately 100 vehicles from our Island every year. In the Past, the City has spent as much as \$500 per vehicle to remove these vehicles. If HB-19 becomes law, we estimate that the City will be losing approximately \$35,081 per year, which will put an unreasonable burden on the City of Unalaska.

Thanks,

Dan Winters

City of Unalaska

Director of Public Utilities

907-581-1260

Cell- 907-359-5181

"The work we do has honor, meaning and purpose"



## 217 Second Street, Suite 200 • Juneau, Alaska 99801

Tel (907) 586-1325 • Fax (907) 463-5480 • www.akml.org

February 13, 2013

The Honorable Peggy Wilson House of Representatives Alaska State Capitol Room 409 Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182

Re: HB 19 Permanent Motor Vehicle Registration/Trailers

Dear Representative Wilson:

AML has now received the updated data from the Division of Motor Vehicles on the 16 cities and boroughs which levy MVRTs.

Based on this information, AML must, unfortunately and regrettably, register its concern with HB 19, especially Section 9, and the potential impacts.

Attached is a spread sheet showing the financial impacts on all cities and boroughs. (Anchorage as an example could lose \$5.7M starting in 2016 with growing annual losses thereafter) All 16 cities and boroughs could experiences losses depending on the size of the community and the MVRT rate.

While the legislation might allow for local levy and collection of amounts in excess of \$100, it would require a local duplicative collection program. The current DMV collection and refund mechanism is cost effective and efficient.

Many communities use the MVRT revenues to fund important local programs such as junk car removal, street maintenance and repair and grants to cities within the borough for similar services. Loss of these funds or increased costs of levying and collecting them will diminish important and popular local government services and programs.

We have shared the info with AML membership who will decide individually what position to take on the legislation in light of locally funded programs and priorities.

Please note that Section 9 was added to HB 64 last year in House Finance so this is a new section from the earlier version passed out of House Transportation last year.

(ロナトルで ししつききていいい

The effective date is also an issue. We would suggest delaying the effective date so as to allow municipalities sufficient time to comply with AS 28.10.431 which requires a one year notice to DMV to implement new rates.

Sincerely.

Kathie Wasserman Executive Director

Member of the National League of Cities and the National Association of Counties

## MVRT Collections by Community (HB19 Scenario)

Projected MVRT for vehicles 8 model years or older

Community	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Anchorage	\$5,987,310	\$6,818,910	(\$5,155,710)	(\$5,987,310)	(\$5,987,310)	(\$6,818,910)
Bethel	\$21,960	\$23,400	(\$20,520)	(\$21,960)	(\$21,960)	(\$23,400)
<b>Bristol Bay</b>	\$527,940	\$54,880	(\$525,840)	(\$52,780)	(\$527,940)	(\$54,880)
Cordova	\$17,296	\$18,336	(\$16,272)	(\$17,312)	(\$17,296)	(\$18,336)
Dillingham	\$12,592	\$13,312	(\$11,872)	(\$12,592)	(\$12,592)	(\$13,312)
Juneau	\$406,428	\$449,988	(\$362,868)	(\$406,428)	(\$406,428)	(\$449,988)
Kenai	\$754,440	\$813,870	(\$695,040)	(\$754,470)	(\$754,440)	(\$813,870)
Ketchikan	\$75,402	\$81,882	(\$68,922)	(\$75,402)	(\$75,402)	(\$81,882)
Kodiak	\$120,690	\$132,840	(\$108,540)	(\$120,690)	(\$120,690)	(\$132,840)
Mat-Su	\$2,643,480	\$2,889,180	(\$2,397,780)	(2,643,480)	(\$2,643,480)	(\$2,889,180)
Nenana	\$6,000	\$6,400	(\$5,616)	(\$6,016)	(\$6,000)	(\$6,400)
Nome	\$11,856	\$12,768	(\$10,944)	(\$11,856)	(\$11,856)	(\$12,768)
Petersburg	\$18,096	\$19,232	(\$16,976)	(\$18,112)	(\$18,096)	(\$19,232)
Sitka	\$41,824	\$44,720	(\$38,928)	(\$41,824)	(\$41,824)	(\$44,720)
Unalaska	\$93,728	\$97,788	(\$89,68\$)	(\$93,728)	(\$93,728)	(\$97,788)
Whittier	\$2,352	\$2,496	(\$2,224)	(\$2,368)	(\$2,352)	(\$2,496)

<sup>\*</sup>Based on DMV Estimates

Assume 90% of vehicles owners will choose permanent registration.

Vehicle counts are divided evenly between the 1st year and 2nd year they become eligible for permanent registration, because vehicles are registered on a biennial basis. DMV history suggests that 20% of vehicles in the 8th year will not register in the 10th year; 20% of vehicles in the 10th year will not register in the 12th year.

Assume all communities adopt the maximum MVRT for permanent registration beginning in 2015.

Non-commercial trailers not included

## Prepared by Alaska Municipal League

<sup>\*</sup>Projections reflect MVRT collections for vehicles 8 model years and older and do not reflect the 8% fee retained by DMV.

<sup>\*</sup>Other Assumptions: