Fiscal Note

State of Alaska 2014 Legislative Session

islative Session	Bill Version:	HB 375
	Fiscal Note Number:	
	() Publish Date:	
HB375-DOA-PDA-03-28-14	Department: Department of Admin	nistration
CRIMINAL TRESPASS ON PRIVATE PROPERTY	Appropriation: Legal and Advocacy	Services
FINANCE	Allocation: Public Defender Age	ency

OMB Component Number: 1631

Requester: House Judiciary

Expenditures/Revenues

Identifier:

Sponsor:

Title:

Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. Included in FY2015 Governor's FY2015 Appropriation **Out-Year Cost Estimates** Requested Request **OPERATING EXPENDITURES** FY 2015 FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018

Personal Services	***		***	***	***	***	***
Travel							
Services							
Commodities							
Capital Outlay Grants & Benefits							
Grants & Benefits							
Miscellaneous							
Total Operating	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***

Fund Source (Operating Only)

None							
Total	***	0.0	***	***	***	***	***

Positions

Change in Revenues

Full-time				
Part-time				
Temporary				
	•			

Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2014) cost: (discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

(separate supplemental appropriation required) 0.0

Estimated CAPITAL (FY2015) cost: 0.0

(discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section)

(separate capital appropriation required)

ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? No If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version:

Not applicable, initial version

Prepared By:	Quinlan Steiner, Public Defender	Phone:	(907)334-4414
Division:	Public Defender Agency	Date:	03/28/2014 03:00 PM
Approved By:	Curtis Thayer, Commissioner	Date:	03/28/14
Agency:	Department of Administration		
		-	

(Thousands of Dollars)

FY 2020

FY 2019

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA 2014 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. HB 375

Analysis

HB375 repeals the privilege given to parties who trespass on unimproved and unused land with no criminal intention and without notice against trespass.

Repealing this privilege may result in increased charges for trespass. The Public Defender Agency cannot reliably predict the number of new cases this may generate. The agency therefore submits an indeterminate fiscal note.