

PRELIMINARY REPORT

TO THE ALASKA STATE LEGISLATURE

SUBMITTED JANUARY 30, 2014

Table of Contents

1	Foreword	1
2	Introduction	3
	The Alaska Arctic Policy Commission	3
3	Alaska's Arctic Policy	7
	The Alaskan Arctic Vision Statement	7
	The Alaskan Arctic Policy Statements	7
4	Strategic Recommendations	9
5	State of Alaska's Arctic	12
	5.1 Governance and Indigenous Perspectives	12
	5.2 Science and Research	23
	5.3 Planning and Infrastructure	33
	5.4 Oil, Gas, and Mineral Resources	39
	5.5 Security and Defense	48
	5.6 Marine Transportation	52
	5.7 Response Operations: Search and Rescue/Oil Pollution	59
	5.8 Energy and Power	69
	5.9 Fisheries and Wildlife	75
6	Appendices	84
	6.1 Commission Members Appendix A	85
	6.2 Acronyms Annendix A	97

6.3 A	APC Letter of Intent - Introduction Appendix A	92
6.4 A	RPA Map - Introduction Appendix B	102
6.5 G	overnance Appendix A	103
6.6 S	cience and Research Appendix A	112
6.7 P	lanning and Infrastructure Appendix A	115
6.8 P	lanning and Infrastructure Appendix B	117
6.9 P	lanning and Infrastructure Appendix C	125
6.10	Fisheries Appendix A – Governance of Arctic Fisheries	127
6.11	Fisheries Appendix B - State of Alaska Arctic Management	129
6.12	Fisheries Appendix C – Federal Arctic Management	131

Planning and Infrastructure

960	5.3 Planning and Infrastructure			
961	Introduction			
962	A full analysis of Planning and Infrastructure requires a collection and review of cross-border,			
963	national, state, regional and community economic development plans, transportation plans, and			
964	strategic planning documents. 14 The goal should be to identify ways in which multiple levels of			
965	planning are integrated and coordinated to support economic and community development, and			
966	response operations, in the Alaskan Arctic. Planning and Infrastructure should accommodate:			
967	Ports, Harbors, Places of Refuge, and Anchorages			
968	Telecommunications, Aids to Navigation, and Data Acquisition and Sharing			
969	Emergency Management and Response			
970	Transportation and Access to Resources			
971	Energy Extraction, Production and Delivery			
972	 Human Resources, Workforce Development, Research, Education and Training 			
973	Sewer and Water			
974	Critical to our understanding of these areas is the extent to which they are inter-linked as			
975	fundamental building blocks of sustainable development in the Alaskan Arctic. The vast majority			
976	of work to date in these areas has been intermittent (conducted on a project by project basis),			
977	uncoordinated (unresponsive to a direct point of contact or leadership team) and independent			
978	(unable to take into account inter-modal and cross-sector assets or processes). In order to ensure			
979	future prosperity in the Arctic, Alaska must implement strategic, integrated, and intentional			
980	planning that results in safe, secure, affordable, efficient, and reliable activities.			
981	Background ¹⁵			
982	When considering planning and infrastructure in the Alaskan Arctic, it is important to understand			
983	the scope of the region, its resources and broader issues of concern. The coastline from Dutch			
984	Harbor in the Aleutians to Barrow on the North Slope is the same distance as the coastline from			
985	Maine to the southern tip of Florida. Within the Alaskan Arctic, there is a vast array of resources.			
986	2012 saw the lowest level of summer sea ice, covering only 3.4 million square kilometers. Sea			
987	ice recovered somewhat in 2013, however the overall trend is decreasing sea ice at an aerial			
988	extent of 2.7% per decade and accelerating. Predictions are wide-ranging, but there could be a			
989	completely ice-free Arctic ocean (in summer months) as early as the 2030's. As ice melts,			
990	shipping though the Arctic will increase. Businesses can reduce shipping costs by as much as			
991	40% using Arctic routes rather than the Suez Canal. While this is still not (and may not ever be)			
992	a major shipping route, there is increasing activity - mainly along the Northern Sea Route (along			

¹⁴ See Pandl Appendix C Reference list
15 Adapted from the Alaska Northern Waters Task Force final report, which continues to be relevant

993 Russia's northern coast) and through the Bering Strait. According to the U.S. Committee on the 994 Marine Transportation System, a record 46 vessels transited the Northern Sea Route in 2012 995 compared to 36 in 2011 and 4 in 2010. According to Russian officials, 71 vessels transited the NSR in 2013. 16 In 2012, 1.2 million tons of cargo, up 50% from 2011, was shipped through the 996 997 Northern Sea Route. In Alaska, and specifically the Bering Sea, vessel traffic is also increasing. 998 Between 2008 and 2012, vessel transits in the Bering Sea rose from 220 to 480. On top of 999 minimal communication equipment, poor weather forecasts, and poor sea ice predictions, the 1000 nearest emergency response facilities are located in Anchorage, Kodiak and Dutch Harbor, 1001 which are at least 635 miles away from the maritime Arctic Circle. There is a critical need to 1002 improve infrastructure along the coast to support search-and-rescue efforts and oil spill response 1003 to keep up with additional marine traffic and other human activity. 1004 Clearly, the Arctic is experiencing profound change as it is confronted with the increasingly 1005 evident forces of globalization and climate change, as well as new economic challenges for its 1006 communities. But this area is not new to the world. Indigenous peoples have been living in the 1007 Arctic for thousands of years. It is home to many Alaska Native cultures that rely on subsistence 1008 hunting and fishing. It is also an area of heightened environmental importance. Even in a region 1009 that is characterized by harsh climates, extreme weather conditions, and times of constant light 1010 followed by constant darkness, there is an abundance of life. 1011 Increasing changes and activity in the Alaskan Arctic are likely to hold enormous implications 1012 for both existing and future construction of infrastructure. The ability to better predict and 1013 understand the effects of phenomena such as widespread thawing of permafrost will help Alaska

1015 Just as importantly, it will aid engineers when it comes to properly siting, designing, and 1016

constructing new infrastructure capable of withstanding future changes in their specific

1017 environments. The Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (ADOTPF) have 1018

also examined these important concerns in their report on the "Impact of Climate Change on

prepare for considerable maintenance issues on existing roads, airports, buildings, and pipelines.

1019 Alaska's Transportation Infrastructure."¹⁷

1014

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

These changes pose significant challenges to some communities in Arctic coastal and riverine areas, most notably those located along the Bering and Chukchi Seas. A number of communities are threatened with increased rates of coastal erosion and flooding as a result of storm activity and battered shorelines once protected by shore-fast ice. These problems could become chronic as the climate warms, seasonal sea ice retreats, and destructive coastal storms become more frequent. These important concerns have been recognized in reports issued by the state of Alaska's Climate Change Subcabinet Immediate Action and Adaptation work groups.

17 See reference, http://climate.dot.gov/documents/workshop1002/smith.pdf

¹⁶ See reference, http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/energy/2013/11/131129-arctic-shipping-soars-led-by-russia/

Planning and Infrastructure

1027	Immediate investment in Arctic infrastructure is a priority for Alaska and is relevant to the
1028	interests of the entire United States. Alaska will need to explore ways to attract substantial
1029	sources of capital investment in addition to state and federal funding. Action is needed to enable
1030	the responsible development of resources; facilitate, secure, and benefit from new global
1031	transportation routes; and safeguard Arctic residents and ecosystems. This investment will
1032	improve the safety, security, and reliability of transportation in the region—a goal established by
1033	the U.S. Arctic Policy signed by President Bush in 2009 and included in the 2013 U.S. National
1034	Strategy for the Arctic Region.
1035	Over the last 50 years, the state (through the Village Safe Water program within the Alaska
1036	Department of Environmental Conservation) and its federal funding partners (EPA, USDA Rural
1037	Development and Indian Health Service) have supported community sanitation systems in rural
1038	Alaska. However, DEC reports that the cost of addressing rural sanitation needs has increased
1039	substantially in recent years while annual funding has decreased substantially. If this long-term
1040	trend continues, many rural Alaska homes will never receive adequate water and sewer service,
1041	and many others will lose service as resources will be insufficient to replace all aging
1042	infrastructure.
1043	With transformation in the Arctic calling for a broad spectrum of new facilities on such a large
1044	scale, the state of Alaska must take an active role in regional planning efforts with communities
1045	and their stakeholders, while also keeping in mind the maintenance and upkeep of existing
1046	infrastructure. This will help communities develop local strategies and ensure that the state is
1047	getting the most return on investment for local projects. Some communities may not have the
1048	resources to adequately prepare for the future, and the state should take this opportunity to help
1049	increase local capacity for the benefit of all Alaskans.
1050	Discussion and Considerations
1051	Alaska is on a cusp; declining oil production and the reliance on that revenue stream have
1052	minimized the development of other resources and the infrastructure necessary for that
1053	development. Regardless of whether the development is of oil, gas, methane gas hydrates,
1054	minerals, geothermal, other renewable resources or the development of transportation
1055	capabilities, a new focus on the development of a statewide infrastructure system is necessary
1056	and timely. Regionalizing such a system - and beginning with emerging challenges and future
1057	scenarios in the Arctic - allows planning to take place that recognizes local and community
1058	concerns, prioritizes local resources differently, and provides the greatest leverage to address
1059	localized challenges and the greatest amount of opportunity. Infrastructure contributes to
1060	economic growth (acting through both supply and demand) as well as a peoples' quality of life.

1061 The state of Alaska, then, should consider as a fundamental aspect of its Arctic policy the active development of Arctic infrastructure. 18 Indeed, a robust Arctic infrastructure system is the best 1062 1063 answer to economic development planning. This will require the state to make public 1064 infrastructure investment decisions based on three components: good economic practices 1065 ensuring financial stability; minimizing the impact on the land ensuring environmental 1066 sustainability; and assuring the impact on the peoples of Alaska is always positive. 1067 The primary concern should be the meaningful evaluation of - and investment in - response 1068 capacity. Alaska must take a leadership role in its emergency management systems in order to 1069 reduce uncertainty. A tiered approach whereby Alaska is able to identify primary, secondary and 1070 tertiary response assets is warranted. Included in the mix should be consideration of private 1071 and/or industry-owned assets, which may be closer to an impacted area than public resources. 1072 Increasing attention should be paid to communications and navigational aids, as well as 1073 mapping, hydrography, and bathymetry. The state of Alaska can facilitate this to a large extent, 1074 working with federal partners and industry. The same is true for data sharing, increased research 1075 collaboration, and private-public partnerships in acquisition and value-added products. 1076 It is worth recognizing that differences in proximity, risk, geography, and scale of challenge 1077 make evaluation of response capacity and the need for infrastructure difficult—there is not a one-1078 size-fits-all approach to infrastructure development. 1079 Infrastructure development must be responsive to social, environmental and cultural impacts as a 1080 core element of sustainable development. This is important not only for transportation 1081 infrastructure, but for energy development and transmission. Furthermore, accessibility of high 1082 speed internet in rural communities is still a major obstacle for participation in decision making 1083 and is needed to foster more innovation for sustainable businesses in rural villages, and to inspire 1084 the state's young people to return after college. 1085 Creative funding strategies (i.e., public-private partnerships) for infrastructure cannot be ignored. 1086 Much of the critical infrastructure throughout the North is under the same influences of time, climate change and dwindling resources - planning should occur accordingly. At the same time, 1087 1088 investments in infrastructure should be leveraged—an intermodal approach and layering of 1089 resources has a multiplier effect on infrastructure development and a direct impact on economic 1090 and community development. In addition, planning and infrastructure development needs to 1091 account for global supply chains and staging infrastructure outside Alaska. 1092 Lack of adequate water and sewer service is posing a serious health risk in a number of 1093 communities in rural Alaska including in the Arctic. Residents without running water and flush 1094 toilets have a significantly higher incidence of serious infection than persons with sanitation

¹⁸ See Appendix A "Alaska Northern Waters Task Force" - Findings and Recommendations Pg. 18

Planning and Infrastructure

- service. Approximately 4,500 households in rural Alaska lack running water and flush toilets and many of the 30,000 homes currently connected to systems are in jeopardy of losing service due to system age, deterioration, and harsh weather conditions.
- Finally, Alaska's greatest resource is its people, who should be prepared to compete beyond the state for opportunities around the world. Only in this way can Alaska ensure its competitiveness.
- 1100 Adequate funding for education from pre-kindergarten through college will be necessary, as well
- 1101 as investment in technical trainings.
- 1102 Conclusion: Policy Recommendations
- 1103 Strategic Recommendations

1106

1107

1108 1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

- Conduct a comprehensive Arctic region economic and infrastructure assessment and planning process that integrates local, regional, state and federal planning efforts.
 - Encourage the development of an inter-agency and inter-governmental working group tasked with working with multiple levels of stakeholders to develop and implement a prioritization, funding and implementation mechanism for constructing and maintaining infrastructure and economic development.

Other Recommendations

- Sub-area plans have identified response sites but there is not enough funding to place container vans for all sites. Additionally, current planning does not identify public and private or industry-owned assets. Local communities are not up to date with National Incident Management System/Incident Command System (NIMS/ICS) nor are first responders trained in HAZWOPER, etc.
- A. The state of Alaska should work with industry to identify and develop primary, secondary and tertiary response infrastructure (and corresponding equipment) and train and sustain first responders.
 - B. The state of Alaska should recognize that local contingency plans listing assets must be included in the Sub-area plans.
 - 2. In federal waters the U.S. Coast Guard is in charge of navigational aids; NOAA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers are charged with mapping and bathymetry; and the Alaska Department of Natural Resources (DNR) is responsible for this in state lands. The private sector is also collecting data on leased areas.
 - A. The state of Alaska should support, invest in and complete increased communications and navigational infrastructure, mapping, hydrographic and bathymetry with data shared using collaborative research and private-public partnerships.

1128 1129 1130		B. The state of Alaska should coordinate with internal and external agencies and gather private sector data for completion of mapping, hydrographic and bathymetry data sharing.
1131 1132 1133 1134	3.	Each region or community has their own separate plans for infrastructure development, but these plans are not incorporated into a holistic Alaska Arctic plan. Infrastructure development should be responsive to social, environmental and cultural impacts and that intermodal infrastructure should benefit economic and community development.
1135 1136		A. The state of Alaska should initiate a comprehensive Arctic region economic and infrastructure assessment and plan.
1137 1138 1139		B. The state of Alaska should include in such a plan criteria (that identifies proximity, risk, geography and scale of challenge to include intermodal infrastructure) from which projects could be prioritized.
1140 1141 1142	4.	The state is operating under a banner of fiscal constraint – state, federal and local budgets are dwindling – while the vast majority of (and increasing) infrastructure projects are in the millions of dollars.
1143 1144 1145		A. The state of Alaska should establish infrastructure funding mechanisms for multiple infrastructure projects and should include incentives for cross-project planning and for public-private partnerships.
1146 1147	5.	The Alaskan Arctic's hub communities have regional training facilities. Some programs are for high school students only or for adults only.
1148 1149		A. The state of Alaska should create additional programs for adults and students in vocational training.
1150 1151 1152		B. The state of Alaska should develop Arctic workforce development and education opportunities for Alaska's workforce, to include ice navigation, marine mammal observation, spill response, SAR, pilotage, and engineering.
1153 1154	6.	The state and federal governments should continue to work together to assure reliable delivery of adequate water and sewer service in all Alaska Arctic communities.
1155 1156 1157		A. Alaska should work with interested parties within the United States and other Arctic nations to investigate alternative approaches that are less costly to build, operate and maintain in small Arctic communities.
1158 1159 1160		B. Alaska should continue to encourage the U.S. Department of State to include fostering new technological approaches for in-home water and sewer infrastructure as part of the agenda for the U.S. chairmanship of the Arctic Council in 2015-17.