

Changes to SB 194

- 1) Page. 2, line 15; added “and retain” and changed language to “high quality” to subsection (4)
- 2) Page. 3, lines 27, 28 and 30; added “the degree of” to subsections (5), (6) and (8)
- 3) Page 4, line 7; changed “goverance” to “revitalization advisory” (This change occurs throughout the entire bill; specific instances will not be addressed individually.)
- 4) Page 4, line 8; deleted “or district” (This change also occurs throughout the entire bill; specific instances will not be addressed individually.)
- 5) Page 4, lines 10, 11; the phrase “in conjunction with the local school board and the district superintendent” are added.
- 6) Page 4, Line 11; “shall” is changed to “may”
- 7) Page 4, Lines 25, 26; “request the establishment of a governance council for a school” is changed to “may request the local school board to establish a revitalization advisory council for a school”
- 8) Page 4, line 31; “shall consist of 13 members” adds 13 as a total.
- 9) Page 5, lines 11-14; adds (d) “For a local school board consisting of more than five members, the board may appoint not more than three of its members to a revitalization advisory council. For a local school board consisting of five or fewer members, the board may appoint not more than two of its members to a revitalization advisory council.”
- 10) Page 7, lines 15-18; Edited and deleted parts of the previous section. Replaced with “(2) if no agreement can be achieved, not later than 45 days after the last meeting between the local school board and the revitalization advisory council, the local school board shall report to the department the reasons for rejecting the revitalization model developed by the revitalization advisory council;
- 11) Page 7, lines 19-24; Deleted section that required departmental decision making if board elects to maintain current status. Added “”or part” to the sentence concerning the boards adoption of a revitalization model.