



Tel (907) 586-1325 • Fax (907) 463-5480 • www.akml.org

January 30, 2012

Representative Peggy Wilson State Capitol Room 408 Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Representative Wilson,

I am writing to express the Alaska Municipal League's support for HB216, particularly the amendments to Section 2, Chapter 3 of AS 44.62.045.

It makes perfect sense to require the agencies to provide an informative summary of proposed regulations which describe how the amendments will change existing regulation "in clear, easily readable language that a person without a legal background is able to understand."

AML was forced to hire legal counsel (at a cost of over \$8,000) to analyze sections of the new regulations proposed by APOC dealing with comprehensive revisions to Public Official and Legislative Finance Disclosure and Campaign Disclosure. It is AML's job to keep local elected officials and their municipal employees current on legislation, regulations and statutes that affect their local governments. When the agencies are unwilling to help us understand these regulations, we must do what we can to obtain the information.

In late 2010, the Alaska Public Offices Commission (APOC) published proposed revisions for public review and comment. AML requested from APOC, some explanation of what changes were encompassed in the new proposed regulations, as the document was approximately 125 pages long. APOC refused to provide any detailed explanation of the changes; in fact, the staff told us they had been instructed not to answer any questions about the proposed regulations. Without clarification of the new regulations, it makes it much more difficult to comment. We then hired legal counsel to perform a side-by-side examination of the old regulations and the new regulations. Only after enlisting the support from the Governor's office and many legislators were we able to secure additional information from APOC.

Most municipalities have numerous boards and commissions made up of community volunteers who are subject to APOC financial disclosure regulations. These private citizens are not equipped by training, nor do they have the time to understand and translate new regulations without the help from APOC. A plain, clear, easily readable explanation of the changes would have helped local officials understand and comment

on the new regulations. It appears to me that APOC, while drafting changes, could have done computerized "track" changes as the drafting process was taking place.

The opportunity for public comment on new regulations will not result in any substantive input if the adopting agency does not assist the public in understanding new regulatory changes. It also is quite ironic that the agency tasked with keeping government more transparent refuses to help the public understand proposed regulations.

It should not be difficult for an agency to comply with HB216, as they presumably understand what changes they are proposing and how they will affect the public. It is unreasonable to expect members of the public to read, translate, cross-reference and understand new regulation without some explanation from the authors.

Again, AML supports the adoption of HB216, as it will assist elected and volunteer municipal officials better understand how they are regulated by state agencies.

Karned Wasserman

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Kathie Wasserman Executive Director