

**LEGAL SERVICES**

**DIVISION OF LEGAL AND RESEARCH SERVICES  
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS AGENCY  
STATE OF ALASKA**

(907) 465-3867 or 465-2450  
FAX (907) 465-2029  
Mail Stop 3101

State Capitol  
Juneau, Alaska 99801-1182  
Deliveries to: 129 6th St., Rm. 329

**MEMORANDUM**

April 17, 2007

**SUBJECT:** Removal of a University Regent (HB 237)  
(Work Order No. 25-LS0839\A)

**TO:** Representative Bob Lynn  
Attn: Nancy Manly

**FROM:** Jean M. Mischel  
Legislative Counsel

You asked whether the governor may remove a University regent. In my opinion, the answer is yes. In fact, the constitutional authority of the legislature to restrict or otherwise control the removal or suspension of a regent by the governor is the subject of considerable debate. Unlike boards and commissions controlled by art. III, sec. 26 of the constitution, nothing in art. VII, sec. 3, which establishes the Board of Regents, provides for legislative control over removal of the regents and therefore the governor's removal authority appears to be unrestricted.

Article VII, sec. 3 provides:

The University of Alaska shall be governed by a board of regents. The regents shall be appointed by the governor, subject to confirmation by a majority of the members of the legislature in joint session . . . .

A limitation on removal of some executive officers may be interposed by law, but arguably only as to persons who hold appointment under art. III, sec. 26 of the state constitution. Article III, sec. 26 states:

When a board or commission is at the head of a principal department or a regulatory or quasi-judicial agency, its members shall be appointed by the governor, subject to confirmation by a majority of the members of the legislature in joint session, *and may be removed as provided by law . . . .*

Even with the additional legislative authority over sec. 26 boards, the Alaska Supreme Court interpreted that authority very narrowly. When the Court was faced with the question of the extent of legislative authority over sec. 26 boards and commissions, the Court found that the confirmation power of the legislature provided in art. III, secs. 25 (principal department heads) and 26, is merely a limited delegation of the executive appointment power to the legislature and stated:

As to this issue, we think the provision of Sections 25 and 26 of Article III are clear and unambiguous. Thus, we conclude that Sections 25 and 26

Representative Bob Lynn

April 17, 2007

Page 2

mark the full reach of the delegated, or shared, appointive function to Alaska's legislative branch of government. (Bradner v. Hammond, 553 P.2d 1, 7 (Alaska 1976))

The University's status as a "body corporate" does not imply a legislative role in removal of a regent (apart from the impeachment process available to the legislature as to all civil officers). Though the University, alone in the constitution, expressly holds corporate status, the Alaska Supreme Court has said that members of other public corporations established by law serve at the pleasure of the governor. (Walker v. Alaska State Mortgage Association, 416 P.2d 245 (Alaska 1966)) The Court stated:

The Association's three board members from the Board of Commissioners of the Alaska Housing Authority are appointed by the governor and serve at his pleasure. Therefore, the governor is empowered to remove any member of the governing board of the Association at his pleasure.

Id. at 240 (citations omitted).

I am aware of a recent informal attorney general opinion that suggests in a footnote that the legislature has some authority over removal of a regent without any judicial support for that position. The addition of legislative control over suspension of a regent is even more questionable. I do not know how a court would view the kind of intrusion proposed in HB 237 into the governor's appointment and removal authority, but perhaps the court would be persuaded by the governor's support of this concept.

If I may be of further assistance, please advise.

JMM:med

07-247.med