COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

November 17, 2010

The Editor *Time*Time & Life Building, Rockefeller Center New York, NY 10020-1393

Via email to: letters@time.com

To the Editor:

Time's editors must have been watching too many mad scientist movies if they consider genetically-engineered salmon among the 50 best inventions of the year (Nov. 22, page 73).

Despite the supposed advantage of growing twice as fast as wild salmon, serious concerns remain about the environmental and human health impacts of these gene-spliced fish. There are glaring deficiencies in the Food and Drug Administration's closed-door review process and public opposition to eating these creatures is so strong proponents are fearful of labeling their product what it is: genetically-engineered.

Want more salmon? Here's a better idea: protect its natural habitat, maintain water quality and manage wild stocks for sustainability. That's what Alaska has done for over 50 years and now returns of wild salmon are at historically high levels. And wild salmon taste a lot better than anything you'll ever cook up in a laboratory.

Let's leave "Frankenfish" on the operating table and not the dinner table.

Sincerely,

Mark Begich

United States Senator