

D. L. OLSON

25 JAN 2010

Notice, Disclaimer
AND enforcement
House Bill 146 cont.

Business trusts is too broad a subject.
1st Amendment challenge.

IF limited partnerships with an agency
is valid, then I counter challenge
DNR AND LABOR
divisionism within Agency by the governor or
Administration to over-ride statutory law of
partnership, limited partnerships.

The creator of a trust defines protectors
not the legislature.

I ~~had~~ ^{had} the right to alienate collective trust
of Walmart, AND I did it already.
It was for medical AND career purposes. (public welfare)
Alienation is valid exercise of law.
~~State~~ Beneficiaries are not of creation, nor
is the state a beneficiary

There is no circumstance favoring displaced
older women, not federal or state AND sex
based on religion is alleged. (Anglo-Saxon)
Veterans did recognize role of women. (brainwasting)
Mental capacity of Parkinson Disease
was environmentally caused, how can a state

deter to feds, who have no marriage standards,
of brain disease.
AN adversarial system of leaving children
into pieces was not in my childrens best
interest. I alienated the claim. (After
children grown). Its my right, not yours.

- 2 -
Dena J Olson

DANA L. OLSON
HE-35 box 5438
WASILLA, AK 99654
JAN 25, 2010

Notice, Disclaimer
and enforcement

House Bill 146 (Restrictions
on trusts)

I disclaim this Bill. (or amendments)

Without a law school, scholarly thought process
is diminished. Fix the law school, or I will.

Too often no thought in 90 days is given.
90 days is illegal, exercise on legislature.

If people restrict the legislature, then I restrict
you. (Notice of constitutional challenge).

(The right to scholarly debate) is not subjective.

To give fish, animals, wildlife, birds exclusive
collective trusts AND deny employment trusts
is rational, only if law is not involved.

Creditors already have legal definition ... law dictionary.
Creators desire; rules, not intent.

The terms of trusts cannot be layed out
by person.

Fraudulent transfer does not exist. Do we want
government overseeing everything? Indian cométaires
is Federal Law. Nyes in Tread Creek. (State known).

Child support order is only in a present tense
because the civil rule is a presumption.

It voidable. AND IF IT ISN'T, WHY NOT?
AND JUDGE ERIC SMITH CANNOT CHANGE IT, STAYING MULTIPLE JOBS

IAS Code mentions, FACE A CHALLENGE JUST BECAUSE
FROM SUNSHINE ACT. IT MAKES THE
STATE LIABLE.
(NOT CONSENTING
TO JOINED
WORKERS COMPENSATION).