AMENDMENT

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE

L

BY REPRESENTATIVE TUCK

TO: CSHB 69(), Draft Version "C"

1	Page 3, line 29, following "shall":
2	Insert new material to read:
3	"(1) apply for and maximize federal and private funding sources to
4	support the program established under this section;
5	(2) identify and engage private partners to support the program;
6	(3) limit the number of participating families to 650 for the first two
7	years of program implementation and thereafter increase the number of participating
8	families based on demand; and
)	(4)"

LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH REPORT

APRIL 7, 2009



REPORT NUMBER 09.219

EARLY EDUCATION PROGRAM COMPARISON

PREPARED FOR REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS TUCK

BY TIM SPENGLER, LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

You asked for a comparison between the Parents as Teachers early education program and any state-funded early education program in Alaska. Specifically, you wanted the report to include the following:

- Services provided by the programs;
- Annual costs per child or family;
- Number of children currently served;
- Ages of those children; and an
- Urban/rural disaggregation of children served.

Head Start is the only early education program currently receiving state funding.¹ The program serves children through five years of age from low income homes. Head Start services include education, health, dental, nutrition, mental health, special needs, and family services. The program's goals include increasing the child's social competence, such as the ability to deal with the everyday, present environment, and future responsibilities in life and school. Head Start also works with the families of these children to help educate and strengthen them by offering training and support to facilitate growth and change. Services are offered primarily in centers (classrooms) and sometimes in homes, where work with the family is emphasized. Typically children attend Head Start classrooms three and a half hours a day, four days a week, according to Dirk Shumaker, Vice President, Alaska Head Start Association.² In Alaska, there are 17 Head

¹ Head Start serves children aged three through five years while Early Head Start serves infants and toddlers until the age of three. For this report, we use "Head Start" to mean all children from birth through age five, served in the program. Information on Head Start comes from various sources including personal communication with Paul Sugar, Education Specialist, Department of Education and Early Childhood Development. Mr. Sugar can be reached at (907) 465-4862.

² Some Head Start programs offer full day classes that are six and a half hours per day. Dirk Shumaker can be reached at (907) 279-2021.

Start grantees providing services in approximately 100 communities. In fiscal year 2008, Head Start served around 3,500 students. 3

Parents as Teachers (PAT) is an early childhood parent education and family support program that attempts to help parents give their children a positive start in life. The program, which is administered in Alaska by the Rural Alaska Community Action Program, Inc. (RurAL CAP), works with parents prenatally and until their children are six years of age. Personalized home visits from a trained parent educator are the centerpiece of the PAT program. These visits can be weekly, bi-weekly, or monthly depending on the program's capabilities and the family's needs. During these visits, the parent educator helps parents understand what to expect in each developmental stage and offers practical suggestions on how to encourage learning, manage challenging behavior, and promote strong parent-child relationships. Parents as Teachers also facilitates group gatherings where a number of families learn together and share their successes and challenges. Additionally, PAT conducts developmental screenings to ensure that children are on-track and to detect possible delays. Referrals to other agencies are also offered with the family's consent. There are Parents as Teachers programs in 48 Alaska communities, and during the 2007-2008 school year, PAT served 963 children.

There are minimum requirements for both Head Start teachers and Parents as Teachers parent educators. All Head Start teachers must have attained a Child Development Associate (CDA). Among the CDA requirements are 120 hours of early childhood training and workplace observations by a CDA advisor. In 2011, all Head Start teachers will be required to have associate degrees in early childhood education (or a related field) and by 2013 half must have bachelor degrees. To become a certified parent educator for PAT individuals must attend a five to seven day Born to Learn Institute. Institute training includes child development education, parenting strategies, personal and group meeting facilitation, and screenings. Additionally, there are follow-up courses a parent educator must complete to retain his or her certification.

Both Head Start and Parents as Teachers have multiple grantees (primarily tribal entities, school districts, and non-profits organizations) that may administer their programs in slightly different ways depending upon available resources and community needs. Although both programs are geared at enriching the early education of children, they have different approaches—Head Start is classroom based and child focused, while PAT is home-visit based and parent focused—making it difficult to draw meaningful comparisons. Notwithstanding these differences, we present in Table 1, the most recent figures available comparing the number of children served, and costs for both programs. While Head Start is significantly costlier, it is important to remember that it usually serves children four days a week—three and a half hours a day—in a classroom, whereas PAT usually involves parent educators conducting one to four home-visits per month.

³ According to the Alaska Head Start Association, Fact Sheet, 2008-2009 Program Year, (found through http://www.akheadstart.org/) Head Start enrollment in fiscal year 2009 is around 3,100.

⁴ RurAL CAP is a private, statewide, nonprofit organization that attempts to improve the quality of life for low-income Alaskans (http://www.ruralcap.com).

⁵ Information on Parents as Teachers comes from various sources including direct correspondence with Melissa Pickle, PAT Alaska State Coordinator, (907) 865-7345.

⁶ PAT in Alaska has two trainers who live in the state and conduct the vast majority of the Born to Learn Institutes.

 $^{^{7}}$ For example, it would obviously be more costly to run a program that has the overhead associated with a center.

Table 1: Head Start and Parents as Teachers, Children Served and Costs

Table 1: Head Start and Parents as Teachers, Children Served and Costs					
	Head Start	Parents as Teacher			
Number of Children Served	3,557	963			
Estimated Annual Cost	\$8,000-12,000 (per child)	\$3,000 (per family)			

Notes: Head Start figures are for Fiscal Year 2008, while Parents as Teacher numbers are for program year 2007-2008 (both programs primarily operate for nine months during the school year). For a child in a Head Start urban setting, costs average around \$8,000 while costs can go upward of \$12,000 in the most remote rural areas. Parents as Teacher estimates are per family. During the 2007-2008 school year, PAT served 963 children from 809 families.

Sources: Paul Sugar, Education Specialist, Department of Education and Early Development, (907) 465-4862. Melissa Pickle, PAT Alaska State Coordinator, (907) 865-7345.

Melissa Pickle, Parents as Teachers, Alaska State Coordinator, notes that the cost of a PAT program varies from program to program. Costs depend on a variety of issues such as how often a parent educator visits a family, how frequently groups for parents are provided, where the program is located, and what services are offered. The PAT cost estimate of \$3,000 per family is based on a parent educator visiting 40 families once a month (or 20 families twice a month), and one group meeting per month, throughout the school year.

Alaska Head Start programs are primarily funded by the federal Department of Health and Social Services, according to Paul Sugar, Education Specialist, Department of Education and Early Development. Mr. Sugar explains that this funding goes directly to the local nonprofit and tribal grantee organizations that administer the programs. The state provides additional funding to ensure grantees meet the federal funds matching requirement and to improve program quality and serve additional children and families, whenever possible.

In Table 2 we provide a disaggregation of the ages of children served by Head Start and Parents as Teachers in Alaska. This reflects fiscal year 2008 data for Head Start and the 2007-2008 school year numbers for Parents as Teachers.

Table 2: Ages of Children Served, Head Start and Parents as Teachers

			i de l'oudriers						
Age	Prenatal	Under One	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Total	T
Head Start	N/A	149	143	213	1,169	1,549	334	3,557	
Parents as Teachers	31	122	212	230	208	108	52	963	

Notes: Children served in Head Start programs in Alaska are for fiscal year 2008. Parents as Teachers numbers are for the 2007-2008 school year. Complete specific age information for one of PAT's sites (Ft. Wainwright) was unavailable. At least 11 children, ages birth to three, were served at this site during this time period. For this table, we divide these 11 among the under one, one year, and two year categories. **Sources:** Paul Sugar, Education Specialist, Department of Education and Early Development, (907) 465-4862. Melissa Pickle, PAT Alaska State Coordinator, (907)865-7345.

According to Paul Sugar, approximately 40 percent of children currently in Head Start programs live in Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, or the Mat-Su Valley. The remaining 60 percent of program participants reside in more rural areas of the state. Parents as Teachers, meanwhile, also serves the majority of its clients in rural areas with only around 28 percent of its families hailing from these four urban centers, according to Melissa Pickle.⁸

According to all the sources we reviewed, there are myriad benefits educationally and socially for children with access to early childhood education. For instance, we include, as Attachment A, a report by the RAND Corporation that finds that early childhood education yields multiple benefits for both children and society at large. The benefits noted include academic achievement, delinquency and crime reduction, and labor market success. We also include, as Attachment B, fact sheets from Head Start and Parents as Teachers that highlight program successes.

We hope you find this information to be useful. Please let us know if you have questions or need additional information.

⁸ The vast majority of the children served by PAT in urban communities come from Fairbanks where the Fairbanks Native Association runs the PAT program.

⁹ The RAND Corporation is a non-profit research organization providing analysis and solutions for public and private sector issues. (http://www.rand.org.)

Attachment A

"Proven Benefits of Early Childhood Interventions," Rand Corporation, Research Brief, 2005



RESEARCH B R I E F

Proven Benefits of Early Childhood Interventions

RAND RESEARCH AREAS
THE ARTS
CHILD POLICY
CIVIL JUSTICE
EDUCATION
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE
INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
NATIONAL SECURITY
POPULATION AND AGING
PUBLIC SAFETY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SUBSTANCE ABUSE
TERRORISM AND
HOMELAND SECURITY
TRANSPORTATION AND
INFEASTRUCTURE

WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

here is increasing recognition that the first few years of a child's life are a particularly sensitive period in the process of development, laying a foundation in childhood and beyond for cognitive functioning; behavioral, social, and self-regulatory capacities; and physical health. Yet many children face various stressors during these years that can impair their healthy development. Early childhood intervention programs are designed to mitigate the factors that place children at risk of poor outcomes. Such programs provide supports for the parents, the children, or the family as a whole. These supports may be in the form of learning activities or other structured experiences that affect a child directly or that have indirect effects through training parents or otherwise enhancing the caregiving environment.

As part of a recent study, RAND researchers synthesized what is known from the scientifically sound research literature about the short- and long-term benefits from early intervention programs, the features that are associated with more-effective programs, and the economic gains that accrue from investing additional resources in early childhood. We summarize those findings here. A companion research brief focuses on the characteristics and number of children who may need help to overcome threats to healthy development, such as resource disparities in early childhood. It also addresses the consequences of those threats for educational outcomes and beyond.

A Range of Benefits

The study focused on programs that provide child development services from the prenatal period until kindergarten entry and that had scientifically sound evaluations. A literature review identified twenty such programs, nineteen of which demonstrated favorable effects on child outcomes. Fifteen of the effective programs were judged to have a "strong" evidence base because they measured outcomes at the time of kindergarten entry or beyond.

Key findings:

- Early childhood intervention programs have been shown to yield benefits in academic achievement, behavior, educational progression and attainment, delinquency and crime, and labor market success, among other domains.
- Interventions with better-trained caregivers and smaller child-to-staff ratios appear to offer more favorable results.
- Well-designed early childhood interventions have been found to generate a return to society ranging from \$1.80 to \$17.07 for each dollar spent on the program.

The remaining four were not judged to have a strong evidence base because, as of the last follow-up, the participants had not yet reached kindergarten age. Many or all of the children in those programs were as young as age 2 or 3, so there is less information as to the lasting effects of the program on outcomes of interest. The evidence base for these programs was designated "promising."

Although these programs represent varied approaches to early intervention, they fall into one of three broad approaches (see the accompanying table). Programs in the first group concentrate primarily on providing parent education and other family supports through home visiting or services provided in other settings (e.g., medical provider offices, classrooms in child-care centers). A second approach focuses on providing early childhood education, typically in a center-based setting, for one or two years prior to school entry. A third strategy combines the two approaches, with early childhood education services provided in centers

This product is part of the RAND Carporation research brief series. RAND research briefs present policy-oriented summaries of individual published, peer-reviewed documents or of a body of published work.

Corporate Headquarters

1776 Main Street
P.O. Box 2138
Santa Monica, California
90407-2138
TEL 310.393.0411
FAX 310.393.4818

© RAND 2005

www.rand.org

supplemented by parental education delivered in the same setting or through home visits.

These nineteen early intervention programs demonstrated significant and often sizable benefits in at least one of the following domains: cognition and academic achievement, behavioral and emotional competencies, educational progression and attainment, child maltreatment, health, delinquency and crime, social welfare program use, and labor market success. In some cases, the improved outcomes in these domains were demonstrated soon after the program ended; in other cases, the favorable impacts were observed through adolescence and in the transition to adulthood. In the case of the Perry Preschool Program, lasting benefits in multiple domains have been measured thirty-five years after the intervention ended.

Even though findings suggest that early benefits in terms of cognition or school achievement may eventually fade, the evidence indicates that there can be longer-lasting and substantial gains in outcomes such as special education placement and grade retention, high school graduation rates, labor market outcomes, social welfare program use, and crime. A few studies indicate that the parents

Effective Early Childhood Intervention Programs Included in Study

Home Visiting or Parent Education

DARE to be You

Developmentally Supportive Care: Newborn Individualized Developmental Care and Assessment Program*

HIPPY (Home Instruction Program for Preschool Youngsters) USA Incredible Years

Nurse-Family Partnership Program

Parents as Teachers*

Project CARE (Carolina Approach to Responsive Education)—without early childhood education

Reach Out and Read*

Home Visiting or Parent Education Combined with Early Childhood Education

Carolina Abecedarian Project

Chicago Child-Parent Centers

Early Head Start*

Early Training Project

Head Start

High/Scope Perry Preschool Project

Houston Parent-Child Development Center

Infant Health and Development Program

Project CARE—with early childhood education

Syracuse Family Development Research Program

Early Childhood Education Only

Oklahoma Pre-K

NOTES: All listed programs are judged to have a strong evidence base, except those marked with an asterisk. For the latter, a substantial number of children were as young as age 2 or 3 at the time of the most recent follow-up, so their evidence base is judged to be promising.

of participating children can also benefit from early intervention programs, particularly when they are specifically targeted by the intervention.

Features of Effective Programs

Policymakers and providers considering early childhood intervention programs may choose to adopt one of the proven program models shown in the table, several of which already operate on a large scale or are being replicated on a larger scale. Beyond these proven models, the literature offers some guidance about those features that are associated with better outcomes for children. Based on experimental and quasi-experimental evaluations of program design features, as well as comparisons of effects across model programs, three features appear to be associated with more effective interventions:

- Programs with better-trained caregivers appear to be more effective. In the context of center-based programs, this may take the form of a lead teacher with a college degree as opposed to no degree. In the context of home visiting programs, researchers have found stronger impacts when services are provided by nurse home visitors as opposed to a paraprofessional or lay professional home visitor.
- In the context of center-based programs, there is evidence to suggest that programs are more successful when they have smaller child-to-staff ratios.
- There is some evidence that more intensive programs are associated with better outcomes, but not enough to indicate the optimal number of program hours or how they might vary with child risk characteristics.

Ideally, we would like to know more about intervention features that generate better outcomes for children so that policymakers and practitioners can achieve optimal program designs for the children and families they serve. Thus, continued evaluation of model programs and effective program features is essential.

Economic Returns from Effective Early Intervention Programs

It is noteworthy that the features associated with more successful programs tend to be costly. This suggests that more money may need to be spent to obtain greater benefits—at least up to a point. It is therefore reasonable to ask whether devoting resources to achieve benefits associated with successful but more costly programs is worth the investment.

Notably, many of the benefits from early childhood interventions listed above can be translated into dollar figures and compared with program costs. For example, if school outcomes improve, fewer resources may be spent on grade repetition or special education classes. If improvements in school performance lead to higher educational attainment and subsequent economic success in adulthood, the government may benefit from higher tax revenues and reduced outlays for social welfare programs and the criminal justice system. As a result of improved economic outcomes, participants themselves benefit from higher lifetime incomes, and other

members of society gain from reduced levels of delinquency and crime.

Researchers have conducted benefit-cost analyses, using accepted methodologies, for a subset of the programs we identified as having favorable effects. For those programs with benefits that could readily be expressed in dollar terms and those that served more-disadvantaged children and families, the estimates of benefits per child served, net of program costs, range from about \$1,400 per child to nearly \$240,000 per child. Viewed another way, the returns to society for each dollar invested extend from \$1.80 to \$17.07. Some of the largest estimates of net benefits were found for programs with the longest follow-up, because those studies measured the impact for outcomes that most readily translate into dollar benefits (e.g., employment benefits, crime reduction). Large economic returns were found for programs that required a large investment (over \$40,000 per child), but returns were also positive for programs that cost considerably less (under \$2,000 per child). Programs with per-child costs in between these two figures also generated positive net benefits. The economic returns were favorable for programs that focused on home visiting or parent education as well as for programs that combined those services with early childhood education.

Because not all benefits can be translated into dollar values, these benefit-cost estimates for effective programs are likely to be conservative. Moreover, such analyses do not incorporate some of the other potential benefits that were not measured in the studies. These might include improved labor market performance for the parents of participating children, as well as stronger national economic competitiveness as a result of improvements in educational attainment of the future workforce. It is important to note that these findings represent the potential effects of well-designed and well-implemented interventions. They do not necessarily imply that all such early childhood interventions, delivered for any given amount of time, would generate benefits that offset costs.

For decisionmakers considering investments in early child-hood interventions, these findings indicate that a body of sound research exists that can guide resource allocation decisions. This evidence base sheds light on the types of programs that have been demonstrated to be effective, the features associated with effective programs, and the potential for returns to society that exceed the resources invested in program delivery. These proven results signal the future promise of investing early in the lives of disadvantaged children.

This research brief describes work for BAND 1	ohan and 0			
This research brief describes work for RAND L and Jill S. Cannon, MG-341-PNC (available at tribution Services (phone: 310-451-7002; toll fr and effective solutions that address the challen- clients and sponsors. RAND* is a registered to	ree 877-584-8642; or email: order@	2 17, 2000, 200 pages, 424	, 13014. 010330-3830-2, MG-34	is also available from RAND Dis-
RAND Offices Santa Monica • Washingto	on • Pittsburgh • New York • De	oha • Berlin • Cambridge •	Leiden	



LABOR AND POPULATION

THE ARTS
CHILD POLICY
CIVIL JUSTICE
EDUCATION

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT
HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

NATIONAL SECURITY

POPULATION AND AGING

PUBLIC SAFETY

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

SUBSTANCE ABUSE

TERRORISM AND HOMELAND SECURITY

TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

WORKFORCE AND WORKPLACE

This PDF document was made available from www.rand.org as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

This product is part of the RAND Corporation research brief series. RAND research briefs present policy-oriented summaries of individual published, peer-reviewed documents or of a body of published work.

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit research organization providing objective analysis and effective solutions that address the challenges facing the public and private sectors around the world.

Support RAND

Browse Books & Publications

Make a charitable contribution

For More Information

Visit RAND at <u>www.rand.org</u>
Explore <u>RAND Labor and Population</u>
View <u>document details</u>

Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use.

Attachment B

"Parents as Teachers: An Evidence-Based Home Visiting Program," Parents as Teachers, December 2007

"Benefits of Head Start and Early Head Start Programs," National Head Start Association



Parents as Teachers An Evidence-Based Home Visiting Program

A range of research studies conducted and supported through state governments, independent school districts, private foundations, universities and research organizations, demonstrate that Parents as Teachers makes a measurable difference in the lives of parents and their children. The following summary lists some of Parents as Teachers' evidence-based research outcomes from across the United States:

Parents as Teachers Helps All Children Enter School Ready to Learn

- 7,710 public school children from a stratified random sample of Missouri districts and schools were examined at kindergarten entry and at the end of third grade. Results showed that participation in Parents as Teachers, together with preschool, not only positively impacts children's school readiness and school achievement scores, but also narrows the achievement gap between children in poverty and those from non-poverty households. With at least 2 years of Parents as Teachers combined with a year of preschool, 82% of poor children were ready for school at kindergarten entry -- a level identical to nonpoverty children with no Parents as Teachers or preschool.
- Parents as Teachers children showed better school readiness at the start of kindergarten, higher reading and math readiness at the end of kindergarten, higher kindergarten grades, and fewer remedial education placements in first grade."
- Children in high poverty schools who participated in Parents as Teachers were equivalent to those of children
 at low poverty schools with no preschool enrichment (Parents as Teachers or preschool). In addition, when
 children attending high poverty schools participated in both Parents as Teachers and preschool, their scores
 were significantly higher than those of children in low poverty schools with no preschool enrichment (Parents
 as Teachers or preschool).
- 87% of Native American children served by Parents as Teachers through its Baby FACE program were ready for preschool by age 3.^{iv}

Parents as Teachers Supports Later School Achievement

- The aforementioned 2007 study of 7,710 Missouri public school children also showed that length of participation in PAT was a significant predictor of children's third grade achievement on the Missouri Assessment Program Communication Arts test.
- PAT children scored significantly higher on standardized measures of reading and math at the end of first grade than did comparison children. In addition, teachers rated PAT children's achievement progress higher than control group children's progress in all areas.^{vi}
- PAT children continued to perform better than non-PAT children on standardized tests of reading and math achievement in second grade. Compared to non-PAT children, PAT children required half the rate of remedial and special education placements in third grade.

Parents as Teachers Prevents Child Abuse

- The U.S. Advisory Board on Child Abuse and Neglect states, "home visiting and center-based programs with a parental focus can help prevent child abuse and neglect." The Task Force on Community Preventive Services recommends early childhood home visitation as an effective method for preventing child abuse and neglect.
- In a randomized trial, adolescent mothers who received case management and Parents as Teachers were significantly less likely to be subjected to child abuse investigations than control group mothers who received neither case management nor Parents as Teachers.*
- In another randomized trial, adolescent mothers in an urban community who participated in Parents as Teachers scored lower on a child maltreatment precursor scale than mothers in the control group. These adolescent mothers showed greater improvement in knowledge of discipline, showed more positive involvement with children, and organized their home environment in a way more conducive to child development.^{XI}

Parents as Teachers families had fewer documented cases of abuse and neglect in comparison to the Missouri state average.x

Parents as Teachers Increases Parental Involvement

- Results of a multi-site randomized trial showed that for families with very low income, those who participated in Parents as Teachers were more likely to read aloud to their child and to tell stories, say nursery rhymes, and sing with their child.xii
- A significantly higher proportion of Parents as Teachers parents initiated contacts with teachers and took an active role in their child's schooling. For example, 63% of parents of Parents as Teachers children versus 37% of parents of comparison children requested parent-teacher conferences.xiv
- Parents as Teachers parents demonstrated high levels of school involvement, which they frequently initiated, and supported their children's learning in the home.xv
- Parents as Teachers parents read more to their children, use more techniques to support book/print concepts, and have more children's books in the home xv

Parents as Teachers Improves Children's Health and Development Outcomes

- Annual health and developmental screenings is a core component of Parents as Teachers. Of the 200,000 plus children screened in the most recent program year, 13% were identified with possible health/developmental delays and were referred on for additional follow up services. 70% of those referred received follow-up services.xv
- Children participating in Parents as Teachers were much more likely to be fully immunized for their given age, and were less likely to be treated for an injury in the previous year.3
- At age 3, Parents as Teachers children performed significantly above national norms on a measure of schoolrelated achievement, despite the fact that the sample was over-represented on all traditional characteristics of risk. More than one-half of the children with observed developmental delays overcame these delays by age 3.xix

^{&#}x27;Pfannenstiel, J.C. & Zigler, E. (2007). Prekindergarten experiences, school readiness and early elementary achievement. Unpublished report prepared for Parents as Teachers National Center.

Drazen, S., & Haust, M. (1995). The effects of the Parents and Children Together (PACT) program on school achievement. Binghamton, NY.; Drazen, S. & Haust, M. (1996). Lasting academic gains from an early home visitation program. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, August 1996.

Pfannenstiel, J. C., Seitz, V., & Zigler, E. (2002). Promoting school readiness: The role of the Parents as Teachers program. NHSA Dialog: A Research-to-Practice Journal for the Early Intervention Field, 6, 71-86.

Research and Training Associates, Inc. (2006). BIA Baby Family and Child Education Program: 2005 Report. Executive Summary.

Zigler, E. & Pfannenstiel, J.C., (2007).

Pfannenstiel, J. (1989). New Parents as Teachers project: A follow-up investigation. Overland Park, KS: Research & Training Associates. " Drazen, S., & Haust, M. (1995).

Panel on Research on Child Abuse and Neglect (1993), p. 169.

Hahn, R.A., Bilukha, O.O., Crosby, A., Fullilove, M.T., Liberman, A., Moscicki, E.K., et al. (2003). First reports evaluating the effectiveness of strategies for preventing violence: Early childhood home visitation. Center for Disease Control, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 52, 109. Wagner, M.M. & Clayton, S.L. (1999). The Parents as Teachers Program: Results from Two Demonstrations. The Future of Children: Home Visiting: Recent Program Evaluations, 9(1), 91-115.

[&]quot;Wagner, M., lida, E. & Spiker, D. (2001). The multisite evaluation of the Parents as Teachers home visiting program: Three-year findings from one community. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.

Pfannenstiel, J., Lambson, T., & Yarnell, V. (1991). Second wave study of the Parents as Teachers program. Overland Park, KS: Research & Training Associates.

Wagner, M. & Spiker, D. (2001). Multisite Parents as Teachers Evaluation: Experience and outcomes for children and families. Menlo Park, CA: SRI, Int'l www.sri.com/policy/cehs/early/pat.html Pfannenstiel, J. (1998). New Parents as Teachers project: A follow-up investigation. Overland Park, KS: Research & Training Associates.

Pfannenstiel, J., Lambson, T., & Yarnell, V. (1996). The Parents as Teachers program: Longitudinal follow-up to the second wave study. Overland Park, KS: Research & Training Associates.

Research and Training Associates, Inc. (2006); Albritton, S., Klotz, J., & Roberson, T. (2004). The effects of participating in a Parents as Teachers program on parental involvement in the learning process at school and home. E-Journal of Teaching and Learning in Diverse Settings, 1, 188-208.

²⁰⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁰⁶ Parents as Teachers Annual Program Report.

xviii Wagner, M., Iida, E. & Spiker, D. (2001).

xx Pfannenstiel, J., Lambson, T., & Yarnell, V. (1991).

Benefits of Head Start (HS) and Early Head Start (EHS) Programs

The federal government's historical commitment to sponsor and encourage research and evaluations in the HS and EHS programs has generated a large corpus of research on HS and EHS. This research reveals that HS and EHS programs provide educational, economic, health, and law enforcement benefits.

Educational Benefits

Substantial research finds that HS and EHS programs provide educational benefits. Recent FACES data shows that HS graduates, by the spring of their kindergarten year, were essentially at national norms in early reading and early writing and were close to meeting national norms in early math and vocabulary knowledge.' By the spring of their kindergarten year, HS graduates' reading assessment scores reached national norms, and their general knowledge assessment scores were close to national norms.2 The HS Impact Study reveals small to moderate statistically significant positive impacts for 3- and 4-yearold children enrolled in HS on pre-reading, pre-writing, vocabulary, and parent reports of children's literacy skills.3 This study found that a higher proportion of HS parents read to their children more frequently than those parents of children who were not enrolled in HS.4 In another recent study funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, HS children were found to perform better on cognitive, language, and health measures than their comparison group counterparts did.5 Reliable studies have found that HS children experience increased achievement test scores and that HS children experience favorable long-term effects on grade repetition, special education, and graduation rates.5

Likewise, findings from the EHS Impact Study show that EHS children on average had a higher cognitive development score than their control group had. EHS children at age 3 had larger vocabularies than the control group children had. EHS children demonstrated a higher level of social-emotional development than their control group did.

Economic Benefits

Research shows that HS is a wise investment for society. The preliminary results of a randomly selected longitudinal study of more than 600 HS graduates in San Bernardino County, California, showed that society receives nearly \$9 in benefits for every \$1 invested in these HS children. These benefits include increased earnings, employment, and family stability, and decreased welfare dependency, crime costs, grade repetition, and special education.⁹ Properly trained HS parents can decrease Medicaid costs by \$198 per family.¹⁰

Health Benefits

Studies demonstrate that HS and EHS improve the health of the children and families they serve. The HS Impact Study demonstrated that a much higher proportion of HS children received dental care than those children who did not receive HS services." A higher proportion of parents with 3-year-old children in HS reported that their child was either in excellent or very good health as compared with those parents who did not have children enrolled in HS.12 The HS Impact Study showed that HS reduces the frequency and severity of problem behavior as reported by their parents.13 Recent research suggests that Head Start reduced the mortality rates for 5- to 9-years-old children from causes that could have been affected by the participation in Head Start when they were 3- and 4-year-olds.14 HS provides health and dental services to children and families who might otherwise not have them.15 Parents who participate in HS are found to have greater quality of life satisfaction; increased confidence in coping skills; and decreased feelings of anxiety, depression, and sickness.18 HS children are at least eight percentage points more likely to have had their immunizations than those children who did not attend preschool." Similarly, EHS children had a higher immunization rate than children in a control group.18

Law Enforcement Benefits

Along with improving the health of its children and families, HS benefits its children and society-at-large by reducing crime and its costs to crime victims. HS children are significantly less likely to have been charged with a crime than their siblings who did not participate in HS.20



- ¹ Zill, N. and Sorongon, A. (2004). Children's Cognitive Gains during Head Start and Kindergarten. Presentation at the National Head Start Research Conference, Washington, DC. June 28-30, 2004. ² Ibid.
- ³ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2005, June). Executive Summary, Head Start Impact Study First Year Findings, ii.
 ⁴ Ibid., xvi.
- SAbbott-Shim, M., Lambert, R., and McCarty, F. (2003). A Comparison of School Readiness Outcomes for Children Randomly Assigned to a Head Start Program and the Program's Wait List. Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk. 8(2), pp. 210-211.
- Barnett, W. (2002, September 13). The Battle Over Head Start: What the Research Shows. Presentation at a Science and Public Policy Briefing Sponsored by the Federation of Behavioral, Psychological, and Cognitive Sciences; Garces, E., Thomas, D. and Currie, J. (2002, September). Longer-Term Effects of Head Start. American Economic Review. 92, 4: 999.
- ⁷ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2002, June). Making a Difference in the Lives of Infants and Toddlers and Their Families: The Impacts of Early Head Start, Executive Summary, p. 3.
- "______. (2002). Research Practice, Talking Points: Overall Findings Presentation "Long Version." Early Head Start Research and Evaluation Project. Slide 12.
- Meier, J. (2003, June 20). Interim Report. Kindergarten Readiness Study: Head Start Success. Preschool Service Department, San Bernardino County, California.
- UCLA Johnson & Johnson Health Care Institute for Head Start. (2004, April 14). UCLA Study Shows Medicaid Costs Can Shrink Significantly When Head Start Parents Are Trained to Handle Kids' Common Ailments.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2005, June.)
 Executive Summary, Head Start Impact Study First Year Findings, xv.
 Ibid.
- 13 Ibid., xiii.
- Ludwig, J. and Miller, D. (2007). Does Head Start Improve Children's Life Chances? Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design. The Quarterly Journal of Economics. 122, 1: 159-208.
- ¹⁵ Hale, B., Seitz, V., and Zigler, E. (1990). Health Services and Head Start: A Forgotten Formula. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology. 11, 447-458.
- Parker, F., Piotrkowski, C., and Peay, L. (1987). Head Start as a Social Support for Mothers: The Psychological Benefits of Involvement. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry. 57, 2: 220-233.
- ⁷⁷ Currie, J. and Thomas, D. (1995, June). Does Head Start Make a Difference?. The American Economic Review. 85, 3: 360.
- ** U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2002). Research Practice, Talking Points: Overall Findings Presentation "Long Version." Early Head Start Research and Evaluation Project. Slide 11.
- Fight Crime: Invest In Kids. (2004). Quality Pre-Kindergarten: Key to Crime Prevention and School Success. Available at http://www.fightcrime.org/ on July 23, 2004, p. 1.
- 22 Garces et al. (2002, September). Longer-Term Effects of Head Start, p. 1011.

