House Bill 6

"An Act relating to proscribing certain sexual conduct or activities as cruelty to animals."

Letters of Support

Joe Schmidt, Alaska Department of Corrections

Bryce Wrigley, Alaska Farm Bureau, Inc.

Angella Long, Alaska Peace Officers Association

Dave Pauli, Humane Society of the United States

Tracy Coppola, American Humane

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

SARAH PALIN, GOVERNOR

> P.O. Box 112000 Juneau, AK 99811-2000 PHONE: (907) 465-4652 FAX: (907) 465-3390

March 3, 2009

The Honorable Bob Lynn Alaska House of Representatives State Capitol Room 104 Juneau, Alaska 99811

Dear Representative Lynn:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on House Bill 6, "An Act Relating to the Cruelty of Animals." The connection between animal cruelty, including animal sexual abuse, and violence against people is well documented in the research and findings on domestic violence, sexual assault, child molestation and serial homicide. Although the Department of Corrections (DOC) does not yet have quantitative data on the sexual abuse of animals by sex offenders being managed in Alaska communities, there does appear to be information that is consistent with the research in this area.

Since the DOC began using the polygraph with sex offenders on probation and parole in March 2006, approximately 350 individuals have submitted to polygraph examination; however, we are still in the developmental stages of routine polygraph use. The bulk of our examinations to date (80%) have been for purposes of monitoring the offenders' current behaviors. This focus is for good reason, as these offenders are in the community and it is critical that we know everything we can about their current behaviors. Information about prior abuse of animals tends to come out during sex history polygraph examinations (sex history polygraph examinations are used to examine the offenders past sexual behavior). A routine question during these exams is whether the offender has had sexual contact with animals. Passing the sexual history polygraph examinations is directly tied to progress in treatment. As would be expected, comprehensive information about one's lifetime sexual behavior is not something that is provided without some motivation. The motivation to "come clean" on these issues usually comes as a function of making progress in treatment and sanctions applied for not passing the polygraph. Making progress in treatment tends to take a long time, particularly when treatment occurs only in the community and is less intense.

While DOC does not have a large quantity of data on this topic, we do have some information that is pertinent. The treatment providers and the polygraph examiners that currently provide services to sex offenders on supervision were queried. Three treatment providers were able to give percentages of offenders they had seen in their practices who had engaged in sexual behavior with animals. The range was from 4% to 18%. It should be noted that this information was gathered in the course of assessment and treatment sessions and did not include direct examination of the issue with polygraph. It's likely that more offenders would be identified as having animal victims if they were polygraphed on this issue. In a study of 180 sex offenders in community treatment, English, et al (2003) noted that 4.4% admitted to engaging in bestiality during the course of their lifetime pre-treatment and polygraph and 36.1% admitted post-treatment and polygraph. The sexual history polygraph exams resulted in 8.2 times more offenders admitting to bestiality. The authors have concluded that bestiality is far more prevalent than previously thought.

As noted, not very many sex history polygraphs have been completed. To date, there have been three cases in which the offender specifically admitted to sexually abusing animals (about 6% of the total sex history polygraphs done). Most likely, there are more cases that we have not yet identified. What was interesting to note, however, is that in all three cases the offenders not only had crossover behaviors involving animals, they had crossover behaviors into more than one age and/or gender group of humans. Specifically, one had both male and female child victims as well as adult male victims; a second had child and adult male and female victims; and a third had male and female child victims and also attacked incapacitated adult women. We know from the literature that some offender characteristics are associated with higher re-offense rates, including abuse of both genders and abuse of males. (Abel et al, 1988; Quinsey, et al, 1995).

It is important that sexual abuse of animals be given credence as a potential precursor to various forms of violence against humans. Our initial data would tend to suggest that offenders with a broader range of victim types have likely sexually abused animals at some point in their history. Generally speaking, the further away sexual offending behavior is from normative sexual behavior the higher the likelihood of recidivism. Once an offender crosses the line into bestiality there is little he won't do. Animals are vulnerable because they can't defend themselves and can't report an assault. Children and incapacitated or handicapped adults are also vulnerable and are likely targets for these offenders. Identifying men who sexually abuse animals will likely help DOC identify high risk sex offenders who target the most vulnerable in our communities.

If you require additional information or if we can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely

Joseph D. Schmidt Commissioner Enclosure: Continuum of Risk

References:

Abel, G., Becker, J., Cunningham-Rathner, J. Mittleman, M. & Rouleau, J. (1988). Multiple paraphilia diagnoses among sex offenders. *Bulletin of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, 16, 153-168.

English, K., Jones, L., Patrick, D., & Pasini-Hill, D. (2003). Sexual Offender Containment: Use of the Postconviction Polygraph. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 989, 411-427.

Quinsey, V.L., Lalumiere, M.L., Rice, M.E., & Harris, G.T. (1995). Predicting sexual offenses. In J.C. Campbell (Ed.), Assessing dangerousness: Violence by sexual offenders, batterers, and child abusers (pp. 114-137). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Transfer (1781)	TINUUM OF RISK	
Typical/Normal		Atypical/Deviant
Opposite Sex Adult Peer	Gender Same Sex	Both Sexes Pre Pubescent
Intimate/Close	Relationship Acquaintance	Stranger
Consent Pressu	Force ured Force	Sadism

The further away the offender is from what is typical the higher the risk of recidivism in general. Other non-typical items with higher rates of recidivism include bestiality and sexual acting out in prison.

A.M. Mander, PhD 2007



ALASKA FARM BUREAU, INC.

Bryce Wrigley, President bjwrigley@wildak.net

Jane Hamilton, Executive Director janehamilton99737@yahoo.com

February 24, 2009

Representative Bob Lynn Alaska State Legislature State Capitol (MS 3100) Juneau, Alaska 99807-1182

Dear Representative Lynn,

The Alaska Farm Bureau is committed to providing a safe and wholesome product to our consumers. Our farmers and ranchers raise their animals using good animal husbandry practices that provide a safe growing environment, free from cruel treatment.

We feel that all animals should be treated humanely and with respect. We, therefore, wholeheartedly support and endorse HB 6, protecting animals from sexual activity by humans.

We appreciate the specific references to accepted veterinary and animal husbandry practices being acknowledged as specific examples of normal treatment/conduct between humans and animals and not to be construed as sexual activity or cruelty to animals.

Thank you for keeping us involved with this legislation and giving our members a chance to speak to you abut this bill.

Please feel free to contact us at any time with questions and concerns regarding farming and ranching in Alaska.

Since ely,

Bryce Wrigley

President



Business Manager

Joseph Young Anchorage

Board of Directors

Angella Long, President Wasilla

John Lucking, Vice President Soldotna

Leo Brandlen, Past President Anchorage

Kim Wannamaker, Member Kenai

Pres. Kenai Chapter

Dan Frerich, Member Anchorage

Pres. Anchorage Chapter

John Jansen, Member Mat-Su

Pres. Mat-Su Chapter

Jim Janke, Member Fairbanks

Pres. Farthest North Chapter

Dan Boone, Member Juneau

Pres. Capital City Chapter

A.J. Charlton, Member Ketchikan

Pres. First City Chapter

Matt Betzen, Member Unalaska

Pres. Aleutian Islands Chapter

Thecla LaLonde, Member Wrangell Pres. Wrangell Chapter

Alaska Peace Officers Association

P.O. Box 240106

Anchorage, Alaska 99524-0106

Phone (907) 277-0515

Fax (907) 272-5355

February 17, 2009

Representative Bob Lynn House of Representatives State Capitol Juneau AK 99801-1182

Dear Representative Lynn:

On behalf of the Alaska Peace Officers Association (APOA), I would like to thank you for introducing HB 6, an act relating cruelty to animals.

The APOA State Board's Legislative Committee recently reviewed this proposed legislation and decided to unanimously support this bill.

We thank you for addressing this issue. Please contact the APOA office in Anchorage at 277-0515, if there is anything our organization can do to assist in the passage of this bill.

Sincere

Angella Long State President OFFICERS

STAFF VICE PRESIDENTS

DIRECTORS

February 25, 2009

Dear Legislator:

The Humane Society of the United States, on behalf of our more than 18,000 members and supporters in Alaska, strongly supports passage of HB 6 (Rep. Lynn, R-31), to criminalize the sexual assault of animals. In addition to protecting the animals themselves from cruel treatment, this bill is needed to give law enforcement additional tools to protect human victims from sexually deviant crime.

Several studies have highlighted the link between the sexual abuse of animals and sex crimes against human victims. For example:

- 96% of juveniles who had engaged in sex with nonhuman animals also admitted to sex offenses against humans and reported more offenses against humans than other sex offenders their same age and race. (Fleming, William M., Jory, Brian and Burton, David L. Characteristics of Juvenile Offenders Admitting to Sexual Activity with Nonhuman Animals. Society and Animals. Vol 10., No.1, pp.31-45, 2002)
- The FBI researched the backgrounds of serial sexual homicide perpetrators and found high rates of sexual assault of animals. (Ressler, R. K. et al Sexual homicide: patterns and motives. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books. 1988)
- Up to 37% of sexually violent juvenile offenders had a history of animal sexual assault. (Monique R. Frazier, Physically and Sexually Violent Juvenile Offenders: A Comparative Study of Victimization History Variables 99 (unpublished dissertation, Utah St. U. 1998) (on file with Utah St. U.).

Thirty-five states currently have criminal penalties for the sexual abuse of animals - twenty punish the crime as a felony.

HB 6 would make sexual conduct with an animal a Class A misdemeanor. Importantly, the bill would also provide penalties for inducing another person to engage in sexual conduct with an animal. Forcing another person to engage in sexual activity with an animal is one of the most abhorrent sex crimes imaginable, and such conduct fits the pattern of behavior common to sexually deviant criminals.

We strongly urge you to support passage of HB 6.

Sincerely,

Dave Pauli

Dave Pauli Western Region Director



Protecting Children & Animals Since 1877

February 25, 2009

To the Alaska Legislature:

On behalf of the American Humane Association, the nation's oldest non-profit organization dedicated to protecting animals and children from maltreatment, we thank Representative Bob Lynn for introducing House Bill 6, a critical initiative to make the crime of sexual conduct with an animal a Class A misdemeanor.

The Link® between violence to people and violence to animals, and the concept that children who harm animals can become desensitized to violence and go on to commit antisocial acts against people, formed the very basis of our organization more than 130 years ago. To this day, American Humane continues to directly address the Link® between animal abuse and other forms of societal violence. Our strong support for House Bill 6 reflects our commitment to this issue.

HB 6 was drafted to address the horror many Alaskans experienced upon hearing last April's Anchorage Daily News report of a registered child sex offender who allegedly taped the muzzle of a black Lab, tied it to a tree, and sexually assaulted it in the woods of Ketchikan. Because Alaska lacks a law that prohibits sexual molestation of an animal by a human, the alleged offender was merely charged with the low-level property tampering offense of criminal mischief

For the welfare of both humans and animals, the law must treat all acts of violence against animals seriously. Because HB 6 addresses the dynamic of violence, control, and exploitation prevalent in animal sexual abuse, it would be a grave mistake to view HB 6 as a bill that just protects animals. Deliberate abuse of companion animals rarely occurs in isolated instances. Instead, animal abuse is often part of a vicious cycle of violence that escalates to human abuse.

Studies illustrate a strong connection between sexual abuse and conduct with animals. The studies are so compelling that it hardly comes as a surprise that the American Psychiatric Association has labeled "abuse of animals" among the symptoms of conduct disorder and the FBI has found high rates of animal sex assaults in the backgrounds of serial sex homicide offenders.

¹ American Psychiatric Association, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV)* (Vol. 4, 1994). Among the symptoms listed for conduct disorder are those categorized under "aggression to people and animals" (which includes cruelty to people or to animals, stealing with confrontation of the victim, and forced sexual activity). *See also* Juvenile Justice Bulletin, *Animal Abuse and Youth Violence*, September, 2001, at http://www.ncjrs.gov/html/pjdp/pbul2001/) 2 pages arm!

² Ressler, R.K., Burgess, A.W., Hartmen, C.R., Douglas, J.E., & McCormack, A., *Murderers Who Rape and Mutilate* (Journal of Interpersonal Violence, v. 1, 1986 p. 273-287).

A 2002 study of juvenile offenders reveals that 96% who admitted to engaging in sex with animals also admitted to committing sex offenses against humans.³ Another study regarding the perpetrators of sexually motivated homicides reveals the perpetrators had not only been sexually abused as children, but also had sexually abused animals in the past.4

A 1998 study found that sexual abuse of animals is often linked to sexual abuse of women and children.⁵ That same year, another study reported that bestiality was often forced upon several children who were also forced to participate in pornographic activities. 6 Additionally, a study of several interviews with battered women revealed that bestiality was the sex act of preference for 41% of the abusive partners seeking to further dominate and terrorize their victims.⁷

Sexual molestation of an animal by a human is not a matter of personal privacy. Not only is bestiality severely inhumane, it can cause extensive physical harm and even death to the animal. It is important to note that even in cases where such harm does not occur, bestiality is still a cruel activity because animals lack the ability to clearly consent or refuse. Dr. Frank Ascione, Professor of Psychology at the University of Utah, likens this dynamic to adult sexual activity with a child: "consent is presumed to be impossible." Bestiality is no more a personal sexual activity than is sexual abuse of children or rape of adults.

It is time Alaska joined the thirty-three other states that currently prohibit and penalize bestiality as a form of cruelty toward animals. To help ensure a more humane Alaska for future generations, please pass House Bill 6.

Sincerely,

Allie Phillips, J.D. Director of Public Policy

Tracy Coppola, J.D., M.S.E.L. Legislative Analyst

Office of Public Policy Dr. Seasta Margar gara sarah Sagar

Volvated a Copera (220). Treating for the Copera (220)

www.americanhumane.org

³ Fleming, W.M., Jory, B.; Burton, D.L.., Characteristics of Juvenile Offenders Admitting to Sexual Activity with Nonhuman Animals (Society and Animals. 10 (1), 31-45), (2002).

⁴ Ressler, et. al.

⁵ Kowal, L.W.., Recognizing Animal Abuse: What Veterinarians Can Learn from the Field of Child Abuse and Neglect, Chapter 6: Recognizing and Reporting Animal Abuse: A Veterinarian's Guide (American Humane Association, p. 40-49) (1998).

⁶ Itzin, C. Pornography and the Organization of Intra-and Extra-Familial Child Sexual Abuse, G.K. Kantor and J.L. Jasinski, Ed., Sage Publications, 1998, pp. 58-79).

Walker, L.E., The Battered Woman Syndrome (Springer Publishing, 1984).

⁸ Ascione, Frank R., Children Who are Cruel to Animal: A Review of Research and Implications for Developmental Psychology (Anthrozoos, vol. 6, p. 226).

The following felony statutes address bestiality: AZ ST 13-1411; DE ST T. 11 § 777; GA ST 16-6-6; ID ST 18-6605; 720 ILCS 5/12-35; IN ST 35-46-3-14; KS ST 21-3505; MASS GEN LAW CH 272 § 34; MCLA 750.158; MS ST 92-29-59; Okla. Stat. Tit. 21 § 886; RI GEN LAWS 11-10-1; SC ST 16-15-120; SD ST 22-22-42; VA ST 18.2-361; WA ST 16.52.205. The following misdemeanor statutes address bestiality: ACA 5-14-122; Cal. Penal Code 286.5; CRS 18-9-201-202 (subsequent offenses are felonies); IA ST 717C.1; LSA-RS 14:89; MD CRIM LAW CODE ANN 3-322; 17 MSRA 1031 (1); MN ST 609.294; MO ST 566.11; NE ST 28-1010; ND ST 12.1-20-12; NY PENAL LAW 130.20; GSNC 14-177; OR ST 167.333; 18 PCSA 3129; UT ST 76-9-301.8; WI ST 944.17.