

From: Rep. Paul Seaton
Subject: FW: Gas Pipeline comment

-----Original Message-----

From: Brad Henspeter [<mailto:crr@cvinternet.net>]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2012 9:22 AM
To: Rep. Paul Seaton
Subject: Gas Pipeline comment

Honorable Representative Paul Seaton
Alaska State Capitol Building
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Representative Paul Seaton,

I'm a thirty-year, full-time resident of the Copper River Basin. I operated my own Real Estate office for 22 years and worked for the Copper River School District for seven years back in the 80's. The Copper Basin needs good quality, year around jobs both now and in future years. The gas line to Valdez is the most feasible and reliable way to provide those jobs for current residents and for our children in future years. The high cost of energy in our District makes our area noncompetitive for many businesses. The gas line will help. Let's act now to ensure Alaska has affordable energy, and those needing reliable energy in the Lower 48 or abroad can count on Alaska to provide it. Please work to see that the gas line to Valdez is constructed. Thanks for your effort.

Brad Henspeter
Mile ½ Brenwick Craig Rd.
Copper Center, AK 99573
crr@cvinternet.net

--

This email is 'confidential', and meant for the purposes of the intended recipient only. If you feel you have received this email in error, please notify the sender.

From: Rep. Paul Seaton
Subject: FW: HB09

From: Larry Wood [mailto:Lawrence.D.Wood@terrawash.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2012 3:59 PM
Cc: sean.parnell@alaska.gov; jmacinak@alaska.net; 'Mike Porcaro'; 'Bill Walker'; 'April Moore'; 'Anna von Reitz'; bitney@acsalaska.blackberry.com; 'Candy Gibson'; 'larry devilbiss'; 'Dan Selley'; 'Larry Wood'; 'Sidney Wood'; 'Jim Sykes'; djpioneer@mtaonline.net; 'David Otness'; 'Linda Langley'; 'db~DebbieBrown'; emanny@gci.net; dianaIstraub@hotmail.com; 'The Rupright's'; 'Heather Resz'; 'William Warren'; Senator_Lyle_Hoffman@legis.state.ak.us; Sen. Joe Paskvan; Sen. Albert Kookesh; Sen. Bert Stedman; Sen. Bettie Davis; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Cathy Giessel; Sen. Charlie Huggins; Sen. Dennis Egan; Sen. Donny Olson; Sen. Fred Dyson; Sen. Gary Stevens; Sen. Hollis French; Sen. Joe Thomas; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Johnny Ellis; Sen. Kevin Meyer; Sen. Lesil McGuire; Sen. Linda Menard; Sen. Tom Wagoner
Subject: HB09

With HB09's introduction, there appears to be an attempt at a final disconnect to the voter's will expressed under AS 41.41, as mandated with the passage of Prop 3 in 2002.
Reps Chennault and Hawker have chosen to continually push the least viable of any pipeline alternative in-state offered to date.

With the finds in the Kenai/Cook Inlet fields, there is less incentive to bring this gas down a route that is not even finalized, much less had one permit issued after \$23,000,000 has been squandered.

Now, another \$200M will be squandered as if it was nothing.

It makes more sense to continue the work of ANGDA to move a line in the opposite direction from Nikkiski to FBKS, which more directly would complement the all-Alaska natural gas pipeline to Valdez.

This Franken-agency that is AGDC is made for failure from the start. Alaska Housing Financing Corp is the lead agency for a natural gas pipeline construction project?

I realize that it was about bonding, but, let's face it, were this project viable, the PF has the money.

All it would have taken is leadership to commit to make it happen, which are sadly lacking in Juneau.

Same for the all-Alaska natural gas pipeline to Valdez.

AGIA is dead, both versions.

Exxon will not compete with itself in Asia, neither will Conoco, or BP.

TransCanada has a conflict of interest with Foot Hills' interest in the Kittimat, B.C. LNG terminal project.

Canadian companies will not compete with a Canadian project, which AGIA is competition.

Two LNG export terminals are being brought on-line in LA, another permit has been issued for Coos Pass, OR.

That makes three LNG EXPORT terminals being permitted in the U.S. to export domestic shale gas.

How many times does the Governor and this Legislature have to be shown the error of their ways, when the information is readily available in the industry news?

Now, we are back to squandering money on Hawker's and Chennault's appeasement project for Enstar that must be subsidized for the life of the project, that is soooooo economically viable that LNG from Russia can be shipped to Cook Inlet cheaper.

I can understand the governor, he is just trying to keep the lid on and not stir the pot and have AGIA shown for the monumental screw up that it was so he can run against Begich.

The rest of you, it just amazes this peon how little you all think about the future of this great State.

What part of we have been shipping LNG to Japan for >40 years don't you understand that causes you to completely overlook the Japanese market for our LNG?

Our Gov sends delegates to the PRC, but not to Japan?

My understanding is that he actually refused to meet with a delegation from Japan just after the earthquake.

Again, Exxon, Conoco and BP all have projects in the Pacific—Exxon, New Guinea; Conoco, Australia; BP—Indonesia.

Then, there is the Qatar situation wherein Exxon and Conoco have to keep our gas out of the Asia market so they can ship that ME gas all the way to the PRC and Japan from Qatar that was supposed to go to U.S. domestic markets . . . before the shale gas production closed that market.

Pedro Van Meurs had an agenda, and he was apparently successful, because none question his utterances, or the motivations therefore.

The head shed for Conoco Alaska says “we intended to warehouse North Slope gas all along” when speaking about Denali and why it never made any progress.

Did anyone not get that?

Or was it just us great unwashed that hears and understands?

I guess that you all receive information from a higher source that we mere mortals would not fathom?

I do have to compliment messrs Hawker and Chennault for their perseverance.

Like that of the Captain of the Titanic, they may be wrong, but, full steam ahead!

Unlike him, however, they have had the economics and the disclosures to show that what they have been pushing is not viable on any level.

However, it is not their money, so who cares?

The gov?

No.

The Legislature?

You all gave them a total of \$214M to date, if I remember correctly, even in the face of all the arguments demonstrating the lack of viability.

Too bad we don't have any leadership in the gov's office . . . or in the Legislature.

Larry Wood,

Tel.: 907-746-4983 / Cell: 907-982-4460

From: Rep. Paul Seaton
Subject: FW: HB09
Attachments: Alaska's Sovereignty Resolution.docm

From: db~DebbieBrown [mailto:db@gci.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 5:30 PM
To: Larry Wood; Sean (Governor) Parnell
Cc: jmacinak@alaska.net; 'Mike Porcaro'; 'Bill Walker'; 'April Moore'; 'Anna von Reitz'; bitney@acsalaska.blackberry.com; 'Candy Gibson'; 'larry devilbiss'; 'Dan Selley'; 'Larry Wood'; 'Sidney Wood'; 'Jim Sykes'; djpioneer@mtaonline.net; 'David Otness'; 'Linda Langley'; emanny@gci.net; dianalstraub@hotmail.com; 'The Rupright's'; 'Heather Resz'; 'William Warren'; Senator_Lyle_Hoffman@legis.state.ak.us; Sen. Joe Paskvan; Sen. Albert Kookesh; Sen. Bert Stedman; Sen. Bettye Davis; Sen. Bill Wielechowski; Sen. Cathy Giessel; Sen. Charlie Huggins; Sen. Dennis Egan; Sen. Donny Olson; Sen. Fred Dyson; Sen. Gary Stevens; Sen. Hollis French; Sen. Joe Thomas; Sen. John Coghill; Sen. Johnny Ellis; Sen. Kevin Meyer; Sen. Lesil McGuire; Sen. Linda Menard; Sen. Tom Wagoner; Rep. Mike Chenault; Rep. Kurt Olson; Rep. Paul Seaton; Rep. Wes Keller; Mayor Sullivan; Mayor Mike Navarre; jackbrown@gci.net
Subject: Re: HB09

26 January 2012

Dear Governor Parnell, Alaska Legislators, fellow Alaskans:

I agree with points made by Mr. Larry Wood in his email letter included below dated 25 January, and compliments to the well intended perseverance of named Alaska legislators.

I would like to add, emphasis mine, thousands of Alaskans will be frustrated beyond measure regarding introduction of legislation HB09. Alaskans clearly recognize the concepts being forwarded are fiercely against the voter mandate of 2002. This and resulting actions of HB09, if supported by our Governor and the 2012 Alaska legislature will be a loud disrespect to our families who live in "all" regions of Alaska. There are plenty of Alaskans who understand and will remind those who do not.

I feel a deep responsibility to urge all involved to please follow the Act established in 2002 and corresponding State Statutes which existed prior to the Alaska Gasline Inducement Act and restrictive TransCanada contract.

Please, do not continue the direction of the Alaska Stand Alone Pipeline (ASAP line, formerly known as the bullet-line); it's AGIA compliant restriction to less than .5 BCF will result in a great shame, the diminishment of Alaska's ability to proceed freely with Article 8 of Alaska's constitution. We must preserve Alaska's ability to function as a sovereign state as was excellently expressed in HJR27 passed by Alaska's 26th Legislature. (please see attachment)

The outcome of these important natural resource related legislative actions in 2012 legislative sessions, will be well documented and recorded into the history books yet to be written.

Quick reference numbers:

AG's File Number: 663-02-0026

01GSLN - "The All-Alaskan Gasline Initiative: An Act establishing the Alaska Natural Gas Development Authority, to maximize revenues for Alaska and jobs for Alaskans."

Lieutenant Governor Ulmer certified the petition for this initiative as properly filed on March 12, 2002. The initiative appeared on the 2002 general election ballot (AS 15.45.150) and was approved by a vote of:

YES - 138,353

NO - 84,682

Alaska Statute 15.45.180 requires the lieutenant governor to prepare a ballot title and proposition for initiative petitions properly filed for placement on the ballot. On June 7, 2002 the lieutenant governor finalized the ballot language to read:

Initiative on Gas Pipeline Development Authority

This bill would create the Alaska Natural Gas Development Authority (Authority) as a public corporation of the State. The Authority would acquire and condition North Slope natural gas, and construct a pipeline to transport the gas. The Authority's powers would include buying property or taking it by eminent domain, and to issue state tax-exempt revenue bonds. The gasline route would be from Prudhoe Bay to tidewater on Prince William Sound and the spur line from Glennallen to the Southcentral gas distribution grid. The Authority would operate and maintain the gas pipeline, ship the gas, and market the gas.

Should this initiative become law?

YES - 138,353

NO - 84,682

It is my sincere belief that the State of Alaska should take immediate, swift action to get out of the AGIA/TransCanada contract. TransCanada's corporate partners ExxonMobil are the most litigating corporation on the face of the earth. They adhere to middle east culture which accepts lying as customary and traditional in business and war. Example: In November 2011, Exxon representative Andrew Swinger said "in terms of export from North America, whether it is the Gulf Coast or whether it is Western Canada, it is something we are actively looking at". What?! The state of Alaska is positioned to give back Point Thomson (8 TCF of gas) to Exxon who is looking to export LNG from the Gulf Coast and British Colombia? Notably absent is any mention of Alaska. Unbelievable! Stop the madness. Do not return Point Thomson to Exxon! Read the complete story at <http://www.allalaskagasline.com/>

I believe ExxonMobil and their major business associates are misrepresenting the truth. Why, because they/we are involved in international business wars. Control of Alaska real property and resources is the gem. Just imagine the millions\$ Exxon uses from its litigation fund annually. Exxon thumbed its nose with appeals against the state of Alaska and Alaskans for decades with regard to the Exxon Valdez Litigation settlements. In the end our U.S. Supreme Court saw the wisdom of Exxon's reasoning. Alaska/Alaskans cannot afford to wait for decades for the major oil & gas leaseholders to "align". Exxon will participate with TransCanada in deciding Alaska's future with regard to an "AGIA framework" gasline. But they will take decades to decide then explain how things are going to go. Like with any good land or resource deal, isn't the idea to get a good "steal" and then re-sell for maximum profits? Why should Alaska expect differently from the major oil & gas companies?

Please chart a course to protect Alaskan's sovereignty and ability to develop and utilize our natural resources as intended in Alaska's constitution. Our industries, homes, and small businesses need abundant, low-cost energy to thrive in this cold GREAT LAND. The hero legislators will introduce legislation in 2012 to build the large-volume All-Alaska gasline (spur lines & transmission lines) from North Slope to tidewater according to the Act passed in 2002. A large-volume gasline (not encumbered by AGIA/TransCanada contract) will

- provide 70,000 good paying jobs . . . (as was appreciated by Alaskans who built the TAPS line from North Slope to tidewater)*
- unrestricted supply of low-cost natural gas for industry growth and generation of electricity for Alaskans*
- unrestricted supply of natural gas to be cooled into LNG and shipped to premium Asian markets*
- ensure energy security for Alaskan's grandchildren and great grandchildren*
- protect Alaska's sovereignty as a state*
- appropriately act according to the tenth amendment of the US Constitution "states rights"*
- allow for Alaskans to potentially invest as stockholders in the gasline project*
- could generate upwards of \$400 Billion in revenues to the state . . . (please refer to the Wood Mackenzie study. This group of independent global energy analysts compared the economics of the large volume All-Alaska Gasline/LNG project to Valdez, which runs parallel to TAPS, with a spur line to Southcentral Alaska, with nine other LNG projects being advanced or under construction in Australia, Western Canada, and the Lower 48.)*

The Wood Mackenzie report objectively concluded that due to Alaska's competitive advantage and strong price forecasts for the Asian energy markets, the All-Alaska Gasline could generate State revenues of \$3 Billion the first year, increasing annually to \$5 Billion in Year 5 and up to \$24 Billion in Year 30. Wood Mackenzie estimates the project could generate between \$75 to \$419 Billion in total State revenues over a 30-year life. Currently the State of Alaska receives approximately \$5 Billion annually from oil revenues.

While the TransCanada/Exxon open season under AGIA has a Valdez LNG option, it is not the same thing as the large-volume All-Alaska line. The All-Alaska Gasline Project is founded upon state ownership of the gasline as infrastructure (Article 8 Section 5 of Alaska's Constitution) that would be built and operated by the private sector. A complete copy of the Wood Mackenzie study is available here. http://www.allalaskagasline.com/Documents/AGPA_Alaska_LNG_Exports_7-28-2011.pdf

Respectfully and sincerely,

*Debra Holle Brown
Kenai Peninsula resident, since winter 1973/1974
P.O. Box 592 Kasilof, Alaska
907-262-4551 tel*

----- Original Message -----

From: [Larry Wood](#)

Cc: sean.parnell@alaska.gov ; jmacinak@alaska.net ; 'Mike Porcaro' ; 'Bill Walker' ; 'April Moore' ; 'Anna von Reitz' ; bitney@acsalaska.blackberry.com ; 'Candy Gibson' ; 'larry devilbiss' ; 'Dan Selley' ; 'Larry Wood' ; 'Sidney Wood' ; 'Jim Sykes' ; djpioneer@mtaonline.net ; 'David Otness' ; 'Linda Langley' ; 'db~DebbieBrown' ; emanny@gci.net ; dianalstraub@hotmail.com ; 'The Rupright's' ; 'Heather Resz' ; 'William Warren' ; Senator_Lyle_Hoffman@legis.state.ak.us ; 'senator joe Paskvan' ; Senator_Albert_Kookesh@legis.state.ak.us ; senator_bert_stedman@legis.state.ak.us ; Senator_Bettye_Davis@legis.state.ak.us ; Senator_Bill_Wielechowski@legis.state.ak.us ; Senator_Cathy_Giessel@legis.state.ak.us ;