

School Meals are Essential for Student Health and Learning



Each day, millions of students fuel their minds and bodies with the good nutrition provided by the National School Lunch Program and School Breakfast Program. There is considerable evidence of the effective role that participation in these programs plays in alleviating food insecurity and poverty, and in providing the nutrients students need for growth, development, learning, and overall health, especially for the nation's most vulnerable children and adolescents. This brief reviews the many benefits of the school meals programs, and summarizes the latest research on recent policy changes and innovative strategies that are increasing program access and improving student outcomes.

School Meals Play a Critical Role in Student Health, Well-Being, and Academic Success

More than 14.6 million students eat a school breakfast and 29.7 million students eat a school lunch on a typical school day, based on data from the 2018–2019 school year.¹ The sentence should say this: The vast majority of these students are from low-income households and receive a free or reduced-price meal.

A considerable body of evidence shows that the school meals programs are profoundly important for students, especially low-income students, with well-documented benefits.

School Meals Alleviate Food Insecurity and Poverty

School meals are a critical component of the U.S. safety net. Multiple studies find improvements in food security through participation in the school meals programs.^{2,3,4,5,6} For example, school breakfast availability reduces low food security and very low food security among elementary school children.⁷ For school lunch, participation is associated with a 14 percent reduction in the risk of food insufficiency



among households with at least one child receiving a free or reduced-price school lunch.⁸ Conversely, research shows that rates of food insecurity and food insufficiency among children are higher in the summer — a time when students do not have access to the school meal programs available during the academic year.^{9,10,11}

Nationally, school lunch also lifted 1.2 million people — including 722,000 children — above the poverty line in 2017, based on Census Bureau data on poverty and income in the U.S.¹²

School Meals Support Good Nutrition

School meals support good nutrition throughout the school day. Program participants are less likely to have nutrient inadequacies and are more likely to consume fruits, vegetables, and milk at breakfast and lunch.^{13,14} For school breakfast, similar dietary benefits are observed among students attending schools that provide breakfast at no cost to all students, when compared to students who eat away from school or through a traditional means-tested breakfast program.^{15,16} For school lunch, researchers conclude “school lunches provide superior nutrient quality than lunches obtained from other sources, particularly for low-income children.”¹⁷ This is consistent with other studies comparing school lunches to packed lunches brought from home or elsewhere.^{18,19,20}

The school meals programs also have favorable impacts on overall dietary quality, as measured by the Healthy Eating Index.^{21,22} In a national assessment conducted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), school lunch participants and school breakfast participants consumed lunches and breakfasts of higher nutritional quality, respectively, than their nonparticipating peers.²³ In many cases, particularly for school lunch participants, these differences in overall dietary quality persisted over a 24-hour time period. Meaning, school meal participants had better dietary quality not just at school, but throughout the entire day. Similarly, there is evidence that more frequent school meal consumption has nutritional advantages for daily dietary intake: elementary and middle school students who eat school breakfast every day consume more fruits and vegetables, whole grains, dairy, fiber, and calcium per day, when compared to students who eat school breakfast less frequently (i.e., 0 to 4 days per week).²⁴ Students who eat school lunch daily consume more dairy and calcium per day compared to those who eat school lunch less frequently. As Frisvold and Price write, “exposure to healthier meals at school increases the healthfulness of foods acquired by children throughout the day.”²⁵

School Meals Improve Health Outcomes

School meals support and improve student physical and mental health, including weight-related outcomes. For instance, free or reduced-price school lunches reduce rates

of poor health by at least 29 percent and rates of obesity by at least 17 percent, based on estimates using national data.²⁶ Multiple studies find an association between school breakfast participation and lower body mass index (BMI), lower probability of being overweight, and lower probability of obesity.^{27,28,29,30,31} School breakfast, including breakfast offered at no cost to all students in a school, also has been linked with fewer visits to the school nurse, particularly in the morning,³² and positive impacts on mental health, including reductions in behavioral problems, anxiety, and depression.^{33,34}

School Meals Boost Learning

School meals programs are linked with improvements in the classroom. Students who participate in school breakfast programs have improved attendance, behavior, academic performance, and academic achievement as well as decreased tardiness, based on decades of research on the topic.^{35,36,37,38,39,40} These effects also are observed when implementing innovative models to increase breakfast participation. For example, providing students with breakfast in the classroom is associated with lower rates of tardiness, fewer disciplinary office referrals, improved attendance rates, and improved math and reading achievement test scores.^{41,42,43}

Improvements in student behavior have been observed with the Community Eligibility Provision* as well: multiple out-of-school suspension rates fell by about 15

percent for elementary students and 6 percent for middle school students after implementation of community eligibility in one study.⁴⁴ These reductions were even larger, at about 25 percent, for elementary school students in counties with high rates of food insecurity.

Finally, research demonstrates that the impacts of program participation can be long-lasting. In a study examining the effects of school lunch participation between 1941 and 1956 on adult outcomes, participation was associated with long-term educational attainment for men and women.⁴⁵



*Under the Community Eligibility Provision created by the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) of 2010, high-poverty schools and school districts can offer school meals at no charge to all students.

Updated School Meals Nutrition Standards Improve Student Dietary Intake Without Harming Program Participation

The Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) of 2010 created a process for enhancing the quality of all food and beverages served and sold in schools by empowering USDA to set new nutrition standards for school meals and for “competitive foods.”[†] These new nutrition standards are vital to improving the dietary intake and health of students, especially low-income students. USDA issued a final rule on the school meal nutrition standards in January 2012. Overall, the rule required schools to offer more fruits, vegetables, and whole grain-rich foods; offer only fat-free or low-fat (1 percent) fluid milk; limit saturated fat and sodium; minimize trans fat; and limit the calories that can be offered in a meal. The lunch standards began to take effect in the 2012–2013 school year; the breakfast standards began to take effect in the 2013–2014 school year.

An analysis by FRAC in 2016 found that the revised nutrition standards have had a positive impact on the school nutrition environment as well as student food selection and consumption, especially for fruits and vegetables.⁴⁶ Research published since then supports these conclusions.^{47,48,49} Perhaps most notably, USDA recently issued the first national, comprehensive assessment of school meal programs since the implementation of the updated school meal nutrition standards.⁵⁰ The nutritional quality of school lunches increased by 41 percent, and by 44 percent for school breakfasts, after the implementation of the nutrition standards. The assessment also found that serving lunches of higher nutritional quality was associated with higher school lunch participation rates, but not with higher costs per lunch.

In addition to the favorable nutrition impacts, there is growing evidence that the standards have not had a negative impact on school meal participation over time (as some had feared) and, in fact, may contribute to modest improvements in participation.^{51,52} For instance, the number of students choosing a school meal (versus no school



meal) increased by 13.6 percent after the implementation of improved school meal and competitive food nutrition standards in Massachusetts.⁵³

In spite of widespread support, overwhelming evidence of compliance, and positive nutrition impacts, efforts have been underway to roll back the nutrition standards issued in January 2012.^{54,55,56} Unfortunately, such efforts were successful with the weakening of the standards for whole grains, sodium, and milk in a final rule issued by USDA in December 2018. USDA scaled back the whole grain requirements, delayed the requirement to further lower sodium levels in school meals, and allowed low-fat flavored milk (instead of only allowing non-fat flavored milk). In response, FRAC released a statement that “USDA’s final rule on nutrition standards is a step backwards for children’s health and learning.”⁵⁷ Regardless of this setback, FRAC will continue to work with schools and districts to implement the stronger nutrition standards issued in January 2012, since those aspects of the standards issued in December 2018 are optional for schools. On the national level, FRAC will work with allied organizations in efforts to protect the nutrition standards from rollbacks, and advocate for USDA to ensure adequate support, technical assistance, and resources for schools to continue robust implementation of the nutrition standards.

[†] The new competitive foods standards rule, known as the Smart Snacks in School rule, is a separate initiative governing foods provided or sold in schools (e.g., vending machines, food sold in competition with federal meals) other than those from the federal nutrition programs. It was issued by USDA in June 2013 and began to take effect in the 2014–2015 school year. In general, these standards promote whole grains, low-fat dairy, fruits, vegetables, and leaner protein, while limiting the calories, fat, sugar, and sodium of items.

Innovative Policies and Practices for Providing School Meals Increase Program Access

Across the country, innovative school meal policies and practices are being implemented to increase access to these critical and effective programs. For school breakfast and lunch, this includes implementing community eligibility. For breakfast, this includes providing breakfast at no cost to all students (possibly through community eligibility), and using breakfast in the classroom, “grab and go” breakfast, and second chance breakfast models. Such approaches can address common barriers to program participation, such as stigma, cost, and, for breakfast, arriving to school too late. (For more information and resources on these policies and models, visit www.frac.org.)

Research shows that these strategies are effective in increasing program participation. According to an analysis by FRAC, 28,542 schools (64 percent of those eligible) participated in community eligibility in the 2018–2019 school year, compared to 14,214 in the 2014–2015 school year when the provision first became available nationwide.⁵⁸ While community eligibility has only been implemented nationwide a few years, preliminary evidence indicates that the provision increases student participation in school breakfast and lunch,^{59,60} and FRAC’s analysis points to a consistent increase in the number of students enrolled in schools offering community eligibility.

The evidence is clear that programs offering breakfast at no cost to all students and breakfast in the classroom increase breakfast participation.^{61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68} (Typically, breakfast in the classroom is offered at no cost to all students.) For example, in a study of North Carolina public schools, serving breakfast at no cost to all students boosted breakfast participation, including among students otherwise ineligible for free or reduced-price meals.⁶⁹ The participation impacts were larger when breakfast at no cost to all students was implemented in combination with breakfast in the classroom, second chance breakfast, or breakfast in the classroom plus “grab and go.”

“Grab and go” and second chance breakfasts show particular evidence of success for middle and high school students, although these models tend to receive less attention in the research literature.^{70,71} In an evaluation of a “grab and go” breakfast program in Minnesota high schools, average school-level breakfast participation



increased from 13 percent to 22.6 percent of students after implementation.⁷² Among a subsample of students with irregular breakfast habits, breakfast participation increased among students eligible for free or reduced-price school meals (from 13.9 to 30.7 percent) and among students paying full price for school meals (from 4.3 to 17.2 percent).

The Case for Healthy School Meals for All

The current pandemic has underscored the importance of providing children, especially those from economically disadvantaged communities, with access to nutritious school meals. With as many as 12 million children suffering from food insecurity⁷³ and sharp decreases in overall School Breakfast Program (SBP) and National School Lunch Program participation rates reported from fiscal year 2019 to 2020 (drops of 16.8 percent and 24.3 percent, respectively),⁷⁴ it is crucial that school meals be offered to all students at no charge, commonly called Healthy School Meals for All or Universal School Meals/Meal Programs. Investing in this approach would ensure that all children, regardless of family income, can obtain healthy meals throughout the year and have the best chance to learn and thrive in school. Healthy School Meals for All also would build on the pre-pandemic successful efforts to increase participation in SBP. According to a recent FRAC analysis, these gains include 37 million additional free or reduced-priced breakfasts served from September 2019 to February

2020 compared to the same months of the previous school year, and a total of more than 12.6 million children who received a free or reduced-priced breakfast on an average school day during the same time period.⁷⁵

Additional research studies that have explored the effect of Healthy School Meals for All measures across the U.S. have yielded promising results that link the approach to benefits. They show that the nutritional value of school meals and student diet quality, along with increased meal participation, improved academic performance, and lack of weight gain, are maintained when schools offer free school meals to all. For example, a longitudinal study conducted by the Maxwell School Center for Policy Research showed that New York City's Universal Free Meals program led to increases in academic performance and school lunch participation for both low-income and non-low-income middle school students.⁷⁶ No evidence was found that these programs are correlated with an increased probability of overweight, obesity, or increased average body mass index (BMI).

A qualitative study examining the impact of Vermont's free school meals for all program indicated that it was associated with increased readiness to learn among students, in addition to improved academic performance and school climate.⁷⁷ Other perceived social gains associated with Healthy School Meals for All include decreases in student stress, family financial stress, and administrator stress, and income differences being less visible. An analysis of the School Nutrition and Meal Cost study demonstrated that total costs among medium and large schools offering free school meals to all students decreased moderately for lunch, and decreased significantly for breakfast.⁷⁸ Additionally, this analysis showed that Healthy Eating Index scores were not negatively impacted by offering school meals to all students at no charge. These results suggest that offering school meals to all students at no charge can lead to reduced meal costs without compromising students' diet quality.

Studies' findings connecting Healthy School Meals for All to steady trends in student BMI and boosts in meal participation were supported by a recent systematic review examining the association between this approach and multiple outcomes.⁷⁹ Studies that evaluated the impact of the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) support the claim that there is value in administering CEP and other universal school meal provisions. For example, these studies' results reveal that CEP is linked to benefits, including better attendance;⁸⁰ increased total meal participation⁸¹ and meal participation among low-income students;^{82, 83} improvements in academic achievement^{84, 85} and in favorable child health outcomes, such as an increased percentage of students with healthy body weight; and decreased average student BMI.⁸⁶

Conclusion

Research shows that the school breakfast and lunch programs are effective in alleviating food insecurity and poverty, supporting good nutrition, and improving health and learning. In addition, recent policy changes (e.g., community eligibility, updated nutrition standards) and innovative models of program delivery (e.g., breakfast in the classroom) are connecting more students to these critical programs and producing more positive and healthier outcomes. Recent studies evaluating Healthy School Meals for All programs find that in addition to ensuring that all children have access to healthy school meals, they also are associated with many of the benefits linked to traditional school nutrition programs. Continuing to increase access to, and strengthen, the school meals programs will further their role in supporting and improving student health and well-being.

The original version of this paper was prepared by FRAC Senior Researcher in Nutrition Policy and Community Health Heather Hartline-Grafton, DrPH, RD; and was updated by FRAC Director of School and Out-of-School Time Programs Crystal FitzSimons and FRAC Research and Policy Analyst Vanessa Gomez.

Endnotes

- ¹ U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2019). National Level Annual Summary Tables: FY 1969–2018 (preliminary data for Fiscal Year 2018). Available at: <https://www.fns.usda.gov/pd/child-nutrition-tables>. Accessed on July 23, 2019.
- ² Bartfeld, J., Kim, M., Ryu, J. H., & Ahn, H. (2009). The School Breakfast Program participation and impacts. *Contractor and Cooperator Report*, 54. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- ³ Bartfeld, J. S., & Ahn, H. M. (2011). The School Breakfast Program strengthens household food security among low-income households with elementary school children. *Journal of Nutrition*, 141(3), 470–475.
- ⁴ Bartfeld, J. S., & Ryu, J. H. (2011). The School Breakfast Program and breakfast-skipping among Wisconsin elementary school children. *Social Service Review*, 85(4), 619–634.
- ⁵ Gundersen, C., Kreider, B., & Pepper, J. (2012). The impact of the National School Lunch Program on child health: a nonparametric bounds analysis. *Journal of Econometrics*, 166, 79–91.
- ⁶ Arteaga, I., & Heflin, C. (2014). Participation in the National School Lunch Program and food security: an analysis of transitions into kindergarten. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 47(3), 224–230.
- ⁷ Fletcher, J. M., & Frisvold, D. E. (2017). The relationship between the School Breakfast Program and food insecurity. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 51(3), 481–500.
- ⁸ Huang, J., & Barnidge, E. (2016). Low-income children's participation in the National School Lunch Program and household food insufficiency. *Social Science & Medicine*, 150, 8–14.
- ⁹ Nord, M., & Romig, K. (2006). Hunger in the summer: seasonal food insecurity and the National School Lunch and Summer Food Service programs. *Journal of Children and Poverty*, 12(2), 141–158.
- ¹⁰ Nalty, C., Sharkey, J., & Dean, W. (2013). School-based nutrition programs are associated with reduced child food insecurity over time among Mexican-origin mother-child dyads in Texas Border Colonias. *Journal of Nutrition*, 143, 708–713.
- ¹¹ Huang, J., Barnidge, E., & Kim, Y. (2015). Children receiving free or reduced-price school lunch have higher food insufficiency rates in summer. *Journal of Nutrition*, 145(9), 2161–2168.
- ¹² Fox, L. (2018). *The Supplemental Poverty Measure: 2017*. Current Population Reports, P60–265. U.S. Census Bureau.
- ¹³ Clark, M. A., & Fox, M. K. (2009). Nutritional quality of the diets of U.S. public school children and the role of the school meal programs. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(2 Supplement 1), S44–S56.
- ¹⁴ Condon, E. M., Crepinsek, M. K., & Fox, M. K. (2009). School meals: types of foods offered to and consumed by children at lunch and breakfast. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(2 Supplement 1), S67–S78.
- ¹⁵ Crepinsek, M. K., Singh, A., Bernstein, L. S., & McLaughlin, J. E. (2006). Dietary effects of universal-free school breakfast: finding from the evaluation of the School Breakfast Program Pilot Project. *Journal American Dietetic Association*, 106(11), 1796–1803.
- ¹⁶ Polonsky, H. M., Davey, A., Bauer, K. W., Foster, G. D., Sherman, S., Abel, M. L., Dale, L. C., & Fisher, J. O. (2018). Breakfast quality varies by location among low-income ethnically diverse children in public urban schools. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 50(2), 190–197.
- ¹⁷ Vernarelli, J. A., & O'Brien, B. (2017). A vote for school lunches: school lunches provide superior nutrient quality than lunches obtained from other sources in a nationally representative sample of US children. *Nutrients*, 9(9), E924.
- ¹⁸ Farris, A. R., Misyak, S., Duffey, K. J., Davis, G. C., Hosig, K., Atzaba-Poria, N., McFerren, M. M., & Serrano, E. L. (2014). Nutritional comparison of packed and school lunches in pre-kindergarten and kindergarten children following the implementation of the 2012–2013 National School Lunch Program standards. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 46(6), 621–626.
- ¹⁹ Hubbard, K. L., Must, A., Eliasziw, M., Foltz, S. C., & Goldberg, J. (2014). What's in children's backpacks: foods brought from home. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 114(9), 1424–1431.
- ²⁰ Caruso, M. L., & Cullen, K. W. (2015). Quality and cost of student lunches brought from home. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(1), 86–90.
- ²¹ Hanson, K. L., & Olson, C. M. (2013). School meals participation and weekday dietary quality were associated after controlling for weekend eating among U.S. school children aged 6 to 17 years. *Journal of Nutrition*, 143, 714–721.
- ²² Ritchie, L. D., Rosen, N. J., Fenton, K., Au, L. E., Goldstein, L. H., & Shimada, T. (2015). School breakfast policy is associated with dietary intake of fourth- and fifth-grade students. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 116(3), 449–457.
- ²³ Fox, M. K., & Gearan, E. (2019). *School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study: Summary of Findings*. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service.
- ²⁴ Au, L. E., Gurzo, K., Gosliner, W., Webb, K. L., Crawford, P. B., & Ritchie, L. D. (2018). Eating school meals daily is associated with healthier dietary intakes: The Healthy Communities Study. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition & Dietetics*, 118(8), 1474–1481.
- ²⁵ Frisvold, D., & Price, J. (2019). The contribution of the school environment to the overall food environment experienced by children. *Southern Economic Journal*, published online ahead of print.
- ²⁶ Gundersen, C., Kreider, B., & Pepper, J. (2012). The impact of the National School Lunch Program on child health: a nonparametric bounds analysis. *Journal of Econometrics*, 166, 79–91.
- ²⁷ Gleason, P. M., & Dodd, A. H. (2009). School breakfast program but not school lunch program participation is associated with lower body mass index. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 109(2 Supplement 1), S118–S128.
- ²⁸ Millimet, D. L., Tchernis, R., & Husain, M. (2010). School nutrition programs and the incidence of childhood obesity. *Journal of Human Resources*, 45(3), 640–654.
- ²⁹ Millimet, D. L., & Tchernis, R. (2013). Estimation of treatment effects without an exclusion restriction: with an application to the analysis of the School Breakfast Program. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 28, 982–1017.

- ³⁰Wang, S., Schwartz, M. B., Shebi, F. M., Read, M., Henderson, K. E., & Ickovics, J. R. (2017). School breakfast and body mass index: a longitudinal observational study of middle school students. *Pediatric Obesity*, 2(3), 213–220.
- ³¹Kenney, E. L., Barrett J. L., Bleich, S. N., Ward, Z. J., Craddock, A. L., & Gortmaker, S. L. (2020). Impact Of The Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act On Obesity Trends. *Health Affairs*, 39(7), 1122–1129.
- ³²Bernstein, L. S., McLaughlin, J. E., Crepinsek, M. K., & Daft, L. M. (2004). Evaluation of the School Breakfast Program Pilot Project: final report. *Nutrition Assistance Program Report Series*, CN-04-SBP. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition, and Evaluation. (The findings on school nurse visits were only observed for the 2001–2002 school year in this report.)
- ³³Kleinman, R. E., Hall, S., Green, H., Korzec-Ramirez, D., Patton, K., Pagano, M. E., & Murphy, J. M. (2002). Diet, breakfast, and academic performance in children. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 46(Supplement 1), 24–30.
- ³⁴Murphy, J. M., Pagano, M. E., Nachmani, J., Sperling, P., Kane, S., & Kleinman, R. E. (1998). The relationship of school breakfast to psychosocial and academic functioning: cross-sectional and longitudinal observations in an inner-city school sample. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 152(9), 899–907.
- ³⁵Murphy, J. M. (2007). Breakfast and learning: an updated review. *Journal of Current Nutrition and Food Science*, 1, 3–36.
- ³⁶Basch, C. E. (2011). Breakfast and the achievement gap among urban minority youth. *Journal of School Health*, 81(10), 635–640.
- ³⁷Frisvold, D. E. (2015). Nutrition and cognitive achievement: an evaluation of the School Breakfast Program. *Journal of Public Economics*, 124, 91–104.
- ³⁸Murphy, J. M., Pagano, M. E., Nachmani, J., Sperling, P., Kane, S., & Kleinman, R. E. (1998). The relationship of school breakfast to psychosocial and academic functioning: cross-sectional and longitudinal observations in an inner-city school sample. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 152(9), 899–907.
- ³⁹Bartfeld, J. S., Berger, L., Men, F., & Chen, Y. (2019). Access to the School Breakfast Program Is Associated with Higher Attendance and Test Scores among Elementary School Students, *The Journal of Nutrition*, 149(2), 336–343.
- ⁴⁰Ptomey, L. T., Steger, F. L., Schubert, M. M., Lee, J., Willis, E. A., Sullivan, D. K., Szabo-Reed, A. N., Washburn, R. A., & Donnelly, J. E. (2016). Breakfast Intake and Composition Is Associated with Superior Academic Achievement in Elementary Schoolchildren. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 35(4), 326–333.
- ⁴¹Nutrition Consortium of NYS. (2005). *Academics & Breakfast Connection Pilot: Final Report on New York's Classroom Breakfast Project*. Albany, NY: Nutrition Consortium of NYS.
- ⁴²Anzman-Frasca, S., Djang, H. C., Halmo, M. M., Dolan, P. R., & Economos, C. D. (2015). Estimating impacts of a breakfast in the classroom program on school outcomes. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(1), 71–77.
- ⁴³Imberman, S. A., & Kugler, A. D. (2014). The effect of providing breakfast in class on student performance. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 33(3), 669–699.
- ⁴⁴Gordon, N. E., & Ruffini, K. J. (2018). School nutrition and student discipline: effects of schoolwide free meals. *NBER Working Paper*, 24986.
- ⁴⁵Hinrichs, P. (2010). The effects of the National School Lunch Program on education and health. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 29(3), 479–505.
- ⁴⁶Hartline-Grafton, H. (2016). *Research Shows that the School Nutrition Standards Improve the School Nutrition Environment and Student Outcomes*. Washington, DC: Food Research & Action Center.
- ⁴⁷Lin, B. H., Guthrie, J. F., & Smith, T. A. (2019). Dietary guidance and new school meal standards: schoolchildren's whole grain consumption over 1994–2014. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 57(1), 57–67.
- ⁴⁸Cohen, J. F. W., Gorski Findling, M. T., Rosenfeld, L., Smith, L., Rimm, E. B., & Hoffman, J. A. (2018). The impact of 1 Year of healthier school food policies on students' diets during and outside of the school day. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 118(12), 2296–2301.
- ⁴⁹Mozer, L., Johnson, D. B., Podrabsky, M., & Rocha, A. (2019). School lunch entrées before and after implementation of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 119(3), 490–499.
- ⁵⁰Fox, M. K., & Gearan, E. (2019). *School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study: Summary of Findings*. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service.
- ⁵¹Johnson, D. B., Podrabsky, M., Rocha, A., & Otten, J. J. (2016). Effect of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act on the nutritional quality of meals selected by students and school lunch participation rates. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 170(1), e153918.
- ⁵²Vaudrin, N., Lloyd, K., Yedidia, M. J., Todd, M., & Ohri-Vachaspati, P. (2018). Impact of the 2010 US Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act on school breakfast and lunch participation rates between 2008 and 2015. *American Journal of Public Health*, 108(1), 84–86.
- ⁵³Cohen, J. F. W., Gorski Findling, M. T., Rosenfeld, L., Smith, L., Rimm, E. B., & Hoffman, J. A. (2018). The impact of 1 Year of healthier school food policies on students' diets during and outside of the school day. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 118(12), 2296–2301.
- ⁵⁴Kogan, R. (2019). *Rollback Of Nutrition Standards Not Supported By Evidence* (Health Affairs Blog). Available at: <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20190312.130704/full/>. Accessed on July 16, 2019.
- ⁵⁵Schwartz, M. B., Brownell, K. D., & Miller, D. L. (2019). Primer on US food and nutrition policy and public health: protect school nutrition standards. *American Journal of Public Health*, 109(7), 990–991.
- ⁵⁶Food Research & Action Center. (n.d.) *Four Key Facts in Support of the Improved School Nutrition Standards*. Washington, DC: Food Research & Action Center. Available at: <https://frac.org/research/resource-library/frac-facts-four-key-facts-support-improved-school-nutrition-standards>. Accessed on July 17, 2019.
- ⁵⁷Food Research & Action Center. (2019). *USDA's Final Rule on Nutrition Standards is a Step Backwards for Children's Health and Learning*. Press Release. Available at: <https://frac.org/news/usdas-final-rule-on-nutrition-standards-is-a-step-backwards-for-childrens-health-and-learning>. Accessed on July 17, 2019.
- ⁵⁸Maurice, A., Rosso, R., FitzSimons, C., & Furtado, K. (2019). *Community Eligibility: The Key to Hunger-Free Schools (School Year 2018–2019)*. Available at: <https://frac.org/wp-content/uploads/community-eligibility-key-to-hunger-free-schools-sy-2018-2019.pdf>. Accessed on July 24, 2019.

- ⁵⁹ Levin, M., & Neuberger, Z. (2013). *Community Eligibility: Making High-Poverty Schools Hunger Free*. Washington, DC: Food Research & Action Center and Center on Budget and Policy Priorities.
- ⁶⁰ Logan, C. W., Connor, P., Harvill, E. L., Harkness, J., Nisar, H., Checkoway, A., Peck, L. R., Shivji, A., Bein, E., Levin, M., & Enver, A. (2014). *Community Eligibility Provision Evaluation*. Prepared by Abt Associates for the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service.
- ⁶¹ Bernstein, L. S., McLaughlin, J. E., Crepinsek, M. K., & Daft, L. M. (2004). *Evaluation of the School Breakfast Program Pilot Project: final report*. Nutrition Assistance Program Report Series, CN-04-SBP. Alexandria, VA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition, and Evaluation. (The findings on school nurse visits were only observed for the 2001–2002 school year in this report.)
- ⁶² Bartfeld, J., Kim, M., Ryu, J. H., & Ahn, H. (2009). The School Breakfast Program participation and impacts. *Contractor and Cooperator Report*, 54. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- ⁶³ Murphy, J. M., Pagano, M. E., Nachmani, J., Sperling, P., Kane, S., & Kleinman, R. E. (1998). The relationship of school breakfast to psychosocial and academic functioning: cross-sectional and longitudinal observations in an inner-city school sample. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 152(9), 899–907.
- ⁶⁴ Nanney, M. S., Olaleye, T. M., Wang, Q., Motyka, E., & Klund-Schubert, J. (2011). A pilot study to expand the school breakfast program in one middle school. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 1(3), 436–442.
- ⁶⁵ Corcoran, S. P., Elbel, B., & Schwartz, A. E. (2016). The effect of breakfast in the classroom on obesity and academic performance: evidence from New York City. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 35(3), 509–532.
- ⁶⁶ Anzman-Frasca, S., Djang, H. C., Halmo, M. M., Dolan, P. R., & Economos, C. D. (2015). Estimating impacts of a breakfast in the classroom program on school outcomes. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(1), 71–77.
- ⁶⁷ Crepinsek, M. K., Singh, A., Bernstein, L. S., & McLaughlin, J. E. (2006). Dietary effects of universal-free school breakfast: finding from the evaluation of the School Breakfast Program Pilot Project. *Journal American Dietetic Association*, 106(11), 1796–1803.
- ⁶⁸ Farris, A. R., Roy, M., Serrano, E. L., & Misyak, S. (2019). Impact of Breakfast in the Classroom on participation and food waste. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 51(7), 893–898.
- ⁶⁹ Soldavini, J., & Ammerman, A. S. (2019). Serving breakfast free to all students and type of breakfast serving model are associated with participation in the School Breakfast Program. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 119(7), 1142–1149.
- ⁷⁰ Soldavini, J., & Ammerman, A. S. (2019). Serving breakfast free to all students and type of breakfast serving model are associated with participation in the School Breakfast Program. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 119(7), 1142–1149.
- ⁷¹ Nanney, M. S., Leduc, R., Hearst, M., Shanafelt, A., Wang, Q., Schroeder, M., Grannon, K. Y., Kubik, M. Y., Caspi, C., & Harnack, L. J. (2019). A group randomized intervention trial increases participation in the School Breakfast Program in 16 rural high schools in Minnesota. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 119(6), 915–922.
- ⁷² Larson, N., Wang, Q., Grannon, K., Wei, S., Nanney, M. S., & Caspi, C. (2018). A low-cost, grab-and-go breakfast intervention for rural high school students: changes in School Breakfast Program participation among at-risk students in Minnesota. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 50(2), 125–132.
- ⁷³ U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2021). Biden-Harris Administration's Actions to Reduce Food Insecurity Amid the COVID-19 Crisis. Available at: <https://www.usda.gov/media/press-releases/2021/03/03/biden-harris-administrations-actions-reduce-food-insecurity-amid>. Accessed on March 31, 2021.
- ⁷⁴ U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2021). National Level Annual Summary Tables: FY 1969–2020 (preliminary data for Fiscal Year 2020). Available at: <https://www.fns.usda.gov/pd/child-nutrition-tables>. Accessed on March 31, 2021.
- ⁷⁵ Hayes, C., & FitzSimons, C. (2021). School Breakfast Scorecard, School Year 2019–2020. Washington, DC: Food Research & Action Center.
- ⁷⁶ Schwartz, A. E., & Rothbart, M. W. (2019). Let Them Eat Lunch: The Impact of Universal Free Meals on Student Performance. Center for Policy Research, Maxwell School, Syracuse University.
- ⁷⁷ Taylor, J., Garnett, B., Horton, M. A., & Farineau, G. (2020). Universal Free School Meal Programs in Vermont Show Multi-domain Benefits. *Journal of Hunger and Environmental Nutrition*, 15(6), 753–766.
- ⁷⁸ Long, M. W., Marple, K., & Andreyeva, T. (2021). Universal Free Meals Associated with Lower Meal Costs While Maintaining Nutritional Quality. *Nutrients*, 13(2), 670.
- ⁷⁹ Cohen, J. F. W., Hecht, A. A., McLoughlin, G. M., Turner, L., & Schwartz, M. B. (2021). Universal School Meals and Associations with Student Participation, Attendance, Academic Performance, Diet Quality, Food Security, and Body Mass Index: A Systematic Review. *Nutrients*, 13(3), 911.
- ⁸⁰ Bartfeld, J. S., Berger, L., & Men, F. (2019). Universal Access to Free School Meals through the Community Eligibility Provision Is Associated with Better Attendance for Low-Income Elementary School Students in Wisconsin. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 120(2), 210–218.
- ⁸¹ Pokorney, P. E., Chandran, A., & Long, M. W. (2019). Impact of the Community Eligibility Provision on meal counts and participation in Pennsylvania and Maryland National School Lunch Programs. *Public Health Nutrition*, 22, 3281–3287.
- ⁸² Turner, L., Guthrie, J. F., & Ralston, K. (2019). Community eligibility and other provisions for universal free meals at school: impact on student breakfast and lunch participation in California public schools. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 9(5), 931–941.
- ⁸³ Tan, M. L., Laraia, B., Madsen, K. A., Johnson, R. C., & Ritchie, L. (2020). Community Eligibility Provision and School Meal Participation among Student Subgroups. *The Journal of School Health*, 90, 802–811.
- ⁸⁴ Gordanier, J., Ozturk, O., Williams, B., & Zhan, C. (2020). Free Lunch for All! The Effect of the Community Eligibility Provision on Academic Outcomes. *Economics of Education Review*, 77, 101999.
- ⁸⁵ Ruffini, K. (2018). *Universal Access to Free School Meals and Student Achievement: Evidence from the Community Eligibility Provision*. IRLE Working Paper No. 102-18. Available at: <http://irle.berkeley.edu/files/2018/10/Universal-Access-to-Free-School-Meals-and-Student-Achievement.pdf>. Accessed on April 1, 2021.
- ⁸⁶ Davis, W., & Musaddiq, T. (2018). Estimating the Effects of Subsidized School Meals on Child Health: Evidence from the Community Eligibility Provision in Georgia Schools. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 10.2139/ssrn.3155354.