

Helen Phillips

From: Rep. Bill Stoltze
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 8:32 AM
To: Helen Phillips
Subject: FW: CZM Hearings and Vote

From: Reed Christensen [<mailto:Reed@dowlandbach.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 8:31 AM

To: Rep. Carl Gatto; Rep. Cathy Munoz; Rep. Bill Stoltze; Rep. Bill Thomas; Rep. Anna Fairclough; Rep. Bryce Edgmon; Rep. Reggie Joule; Rep. Mark Neuman; Rep. Tammie Wilson; Rep. Mia Costello; Rep. David Guttenberg; Rep. Les Gara; Rep. Mike Hawker

Cc: Sen. Cathy Giessel; Sen. Bettye Davis

Subject: CZM Hearings and Vote

Dear House Finance Committee:

I am sending this message to ask you to vote no on SB45 passed yesterday by the senate for the following reasons:

- The legislature, especially the “do nothing” senate should have dealt with this important issue during the normal session. Like the reasonable attempt with HB106. The senate wasted away the time during the normal session and during the first special session and continued to show their arrogance by the way they passed this bill yesterday, with no hearings at all, and then adjourned leaving no room for compromise.
- For the legislature on June 27th to now pretend this is some huge crisis is very disingenuous. It is not like we did not know when the program was set to expire, so this last second scrambling after many of the staff have left is throwing good money after bad by even holding this special session. It is a foolish, dangerous, and wasteful way to legislate producing inferior bills like SB45.
- The plea for jobs for bureaucrats in a program the legislature failed to address is very ironic when considered in the context of the number of jobs the program would prevent if passed in the current SB45 form. Delayed projects impact jobs. A permit review process or agency without appropriate staff and expertise kills jobs by their lack of ability to even physically handle the workload, let alone the technical issues needing to be considered by the same understaffed program.
- We need development of Alaska’s resources, we have no shortage of obstacles to do this and the last thing we need is yet one more unnecessary hurdle.
- The final straw is the lack of public input about a process that has such a huge public impact. Our current state senate is out of control. I would encourage a no vote as a matter of principle as a rebuttal of their arrogant, bullying style tactics they are so fond of using if they do not get what they want when they want it. Do not allow the senate to cram this down our throats.

Reed Christensen

5039 Bryn Mawr Ct
Anchorage, AK 99508

Helen Phillips

From: Rep. Bill Stoltze
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 8:30 AM
To: Helen Phillips
Subject: FW: Letter to the Alaska House Members regarding Coastal Zone Management

From: The Alliance [mailto:info@alaskaalliance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 8:26 AM
To: Rep. Bill Stoltze
Subject: Letter to the Alaska House Members regarding Coastal Zone Management



Dear House Member,

I am writing on behalf of the Alaska Support Industry Alliance with regard to SB 45, legislation to extend the life of the Coastal Zone Management program.

With the vast majority of the program's staff already gone, I am concerned about the ability of the program to function properly and review permits coming before them. Delays caused by lack of staff and program knowledge will result in delayed projects and jobs. I believe this is an unintended consequence of this legislation.

Further, I see SB 45 in its current form as a hindrance to further development in coastal regions of Alaska in the near future. It is no secret that the Alliance's mission is to promote resource development in our state, and we are not opposed to the coastal zone management program, however, we feel that the Legislature had 90 days of regular session and 30 days of special session to properly address this issue and failed to do so. The Alliance supported the passage of HB 106 and recognizes that each of you voted in favor of that legislation. We cannot, however, support the passage of SB 45 in its current form.

To pass this bill with no public input and no real consideration of the consequences to development will be devastating to projects that will need the approval of the coastal zone management board in the coming months. Without the appropriate, seasoned staff to manage the program, projects awaiting approval will be delayed and that is not something our state or our economy can afford at this time.

I urge you to vote no on SB 45 when it comes before the House.

Sincerely,

Mark Hylen, President
Alaska Support Industry Alliance

Helen Phillips

From: Darrell Breese
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 7:49 AM
To: Helen Phillips
Subject: FW: Vote Yes To Save CZM

From: Frank Kelty [<mailto:fkelty@ci.unalaska.ak.us>]
Sent: Saturday, June 25, 2011 11:58 AM
Subject: Vote Yes To Save CZM

State of Alaska Legislators:

I urge you to vote yes on Monday to continue the CZM program, my community of Unalaska/Dutch Harbor, is the nations #1 commercial port and centrally located in the heart of the North Pacific where this nations most abundant and valuable fisheries take place, 56% of this nations seafood comes from waters off the coast of Alaska. These fisheries provide the livelihood for harvesters, processors and are the economic engine for fishery dependant communities along Alaska coastline. These fisheries support a whole host of support sectors businesses statewide providing millions of dollars in revenues to communities and to the State of Alaska. To not have a voice in what happens off Alaska coastline that could impact are fisheries is unacceptable to me and my family, and should be as well to the legislators that were dooly elected to represent the residents of this state. With so much at stake, why would we want to take the risk of not having a seat at the table when development issues are being planned that could impact Alaska coastline. Once again, I urge you on Monday to vote in support of extending the CZM program it's the right thing to do for Alaska.

Frank Kelty
Unalaska/Dutch Harbor

Helen Phillips

From: Darrell Breese
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 7:49 AM
To: Helen Phillips
Subject: FW: ACMP? What?

From: Daniel J. [mailto:daniel_jwl@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 6:37 AM
To: daniel_jwl@yahoo.com
Subject: ACMP? What?

We read yesterday that the legislature is planning a special session to revive coastal zone management.

Why ?

The Alaska Miners Association **OPPOSES** re-enactment of ACMP in any form.

Contact executive director Steve Borell for details.

Why are legislators ignoring AMA?

Apparently a misinformed newspaper commentary has more influence over the legislature than AMA, the Parnell administration, or Alaskan constituents.

Special session needs to be prevented. Period.

Helen Phillips

From: Darrell Breese
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 7:49 AM
To: Helen Phillips
Subject: FW: **COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT**

Importance: High

From: Scott Stewart [<mailto:sstewart@arcticcontrols.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 7:37 AM

To: Scott Stewart

Subject: **COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT**

Importance: High

I urge you not to revive a program which was abandoned over 2 months ago...

- The Alliance is not opposed to a coastal zone management program.
- The Alliance supported passage of HB106 and thank the House for doing their job and passing reasonable legislation during the regular session, however, we cannot support SB45 in its current form.
- With only minimal staff still with the program (I believe they're down to 5) it will be impossible for them to adequately review permits coming before them in the coming months.

This will have a devastating impact on projects in the near term (e.g. Shell).

- Delayed projects will impact jobs.
- Another unintended consequence is the delay of further development in the Coastal regions of Alaska.
- This legislation has had no public input, no testimony and no vetting.

Best Regards,



Scott A. Stewart

Scott A. Stewart
President / Board Member "The Alliance"
Phone: (907) 277-7555
Fax: (907) 277-9295
URL: www.arcticcontrols.com



This message contains proprietary information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify

the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system.

Helen Phillips

From: Darrell Breese
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 7:48 AM
To: Helen Phillips
Subject: HF Coastal management Public Input

Please Print and deliver this to Rep. Stoltze before the House Finance Committee meeting today.

Darrell L. Breese
Legislative Aide to Rep. Bill Stoltze
907-376-4958 - office

RDC Letter:
Special Session Regarding ACMP Extension

Submitted via email

June 27, 2011

The Alaska State Legislature
State Capitol
Juneau, AK 99801

RE: Special Session Regarding Alaska Coastal Management Program Extension

Dear Members of the Alaska Legislature:

As you embark on today's special session, RDC would like to express its continued support for the version of HB106 that passed unanimously out of the House of Representatives on April 15. This version of the bill had broad support among different constituencies, balanced competing needs, adhered to the Governor's four guiding principles for potential changes to the program, and most importantly, went through a robust public process.

Despite significant discussion, we have decided to not take a position on version A.5 of HB106 that has been circulated, though not publicly released, for the forthcoming special session.

RDC's membership is extremely diverse and has members on every side of this contentious issue, including oil and gas, mining, fishing, and timber companies, Alaska Native Corporations, and local governments such as the North Slope Borough.

RDC's stated policy position regarding this important issue remains that we will oppose changes to the program that shift decision making authority from the Alaska Department of Natural Resources to other entities, add process, duplicate state or federal requirements, or impede or delay progress on resource development. We urge you to keep this position in mind when considering additional changes to the version of HB106 that passed unanimously out of the House.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments.

Sincerely,
Resource Development Council for Alaska, Inc.