

Joe Hayes

From: Penny Goodstein <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2025 10:10 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: please do NOT PASS SJR13

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

SJR13 is an executive branch power grab. Our current system to choose judges is -not broken and doesn't need to be altered. We have been able to have competent, non-parisan judges based on this system.

The constitution writers crafted a very well thought-out process. The resolution destroys the real function of the Judicial Council as a screening device to ensure only the most qualified candidates are sent for nomination to these courts. The Governor's unchecked power to pick judges without a robust review and nomination process was wisely withheld from the long list of powers that the Governor was given. The bill if adopted would allow the Governor to appoint anyone who meets the minimum qualifications of an Alaska bar license in Alaska to the bench. It could create a system of nomination if the nominee promises to support the Governor's agenda that is not possible under the current system.

The present system keeps politics out of the selection process.

The Alaska Judicial Council provides important guardrails against an incompetent or politicized judiciary through their ability to screen and nominate judicial applicants. We should be looking for judicial candidates with the MAXIMUM not allowing the minimum qualifications and politics to enter the decision process.

Penny Goodstein
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Joe Hayes

From: Joan Diamond <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2025 11:02 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Independent judiciary

I support 3 independent levels of government who have checks and balances on each other. If there is no independent judiciary then we could get some "chap" that only the governor wants. Dunleavy has no interest in the greater good, just his own. We have a system that works, leave it alone.

Joan Diamond
Anchorage, AK

Joe Hayes

From: Tam Agosti-Gisler [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2025 5:37 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Oppose SJR13

Dear Senators:

Please oppose SJR13. Our current system for selecting judges is not broken and DOES NOT need to be altered.

Keep politics out of the selection process!

Our Alaska Judicial Council provides the guardrails against incompetent or politicized judicial applicants.

Thank you,

Tam Agosti-Gisler
Anchorage
tamag5@me.com

Joe Hayes

From: joan Clover [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2025 7:50 PM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: SJR13

Senators: I can hardly think of anything more important to our democracy and to the rule of law, than a strong, competent *independent* judiciary. As an attorney, I can personally attest that our current system utilizing merit selection through the Judicial Council is excellent and has resulted in excellent judges. Do not change it. Thank you for considering my opinion, Joan Clover

From: David Landry <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2025 10:26 AM
To: Senate State Affairs
Subject: Letter in opposition to SJR13

During every legislative session since 2014, legislation has been introduced to compromise or eliminate Alaska's merit-based judicial selection system. This year, sadly, merit-selection is under attack again.

In early March, Governor Dunleavy proposed House Joint Resolution 12(<https://www.akleg.gov/basis/Bill/Detail/34?Root=HJR12>) and Senate Joint Resolution 13(<https://www.akleg.gov/basis/Bill/Detail/34?Root=SJR13>). These are companion resolutions that if passed would pave the way toward a constitutional amendment that would effectively end merit-selection of Superior Court judges and Supreme Court justices in Alaska. These resolutions gut the merit-selection process by removing the Alaska Judicial Council's constitutionally mandated mission of screening and nominating judicial applicants based on the Council's thorough analysis of the candidates. Instead, the Council would be required to forward ALL applicants that meet the state constitution's minimum requirements, which are only that they be US and Alaska citizens and licensed to practice law. In other words, everyone who meets these minimum qualifications are sent to the Governor for appointment.

If adopted this would be an Executive Branch power grab, at the expense of the Legislative Branch, whose confirmation of judicial council members would become meaningless, and the Judicial Branch and the ongoing integrity of Alaska's courts. It would provide no guardrails against politically-based judicial nominations. It would be a process weaker than even the current federal system, which requires only Senate confirmation of federal judges with no systematic and exhaustive examination of applicants' qualifications for the bench. If these proposed amendments are successful, Alaska would fall from having the gold standard of judicial selection to the worst, with no screening and review of candidates for judicial temperament, skill, industry and ethical history. One person should not have the power to select who will sit on the bench in a system that prioritizes politics above merit.

I oppose SJR13 because:

- The current system is not broken and doesn't need to be altered. Alaska has a solid bench of intelligent and fair and ethical judges. This has been the case since statehood.

- The resolutions, if adopted, would undo a very well thought-out process that was crafted by the writers of Alaska's Constitution. It makes the Judicial Council a non-entity who just checks for eligibility (a clerical task) and destroys its real function as a screening device to ensure only the most qualified candidates are sent for nomination to these courts. Although Alaska's Constitution provides the Governor with more executive power than many states, the unchecked power to pick judges without a robust review and nomination process was wisely withheld from the long list of powers that the Governor was given. The bill if adopted would allow the Governor to appoint anyone who meets the minimum qualifications of an Alaska bar license in Alaska to the bench if they promise to support the Governor's agenda—a tit for tat bargain not possible under the current system.

- Keeping politics out of the selection process — a key goal of the framers of the Alaska Constitution —makes for a more trustworthy judiciary. Litigants should be able to rely on their disputes being heard by competent, ethical,