

AMENDMENT

OFFERED IN THE HOUSE

BY REPRESENTATIVE MCKAY

TO: CSHB 349(RES), Draft Version "R"

Page 10, lines 21 - 30:

Delete "addressing the qualifications of a prospective licensee, including whether the prospective licensee has previous experience with renewable energy projects, how the proposed project would accommodate concurrent use of the land, consistency with existing state area or management plans, and all matters set out in AS 38.05.035(e). If the finding concludes that the state's best interests would be served by issuing a feasibility license, the finding must describe the limitations, stipulations, conditions, or changes from the initiating proposal or competing proposals that are required to make the issuance of the feasibility license conform to the best interests of the state and, if only one proposal was submitted, identify the prospective licensee whom the commissioner finds should be issued the feasibility license"

Insert "and determination under AS 38.05.035(e) whether issuing the prospective licensee a feasibility license is in the best interests of the state. If only one proposal was submitted, the finding must identify the prospective licensee whom the commissioner finds should be issued the feasibility license"

Page 11, lines 2 - 15:

Delete "The commissioner may not issue a feasibility license under this section unless the written findings under AS 38.05.035(e) include

(1) reasonably foreseeable effects that a renewable energy project may have on the state or local economy, including potential effects on mining, timber, and other resource development sectors;

(2) anticipated annual revenue that the renewable energy project may

1 yield to the state;

2 (3) an assessment and consideration of the known mineral potential,
3 including current claim status, within the feasibility license area;

4 (4) the renewable energy project's value to the state and potential
5 revenue to the state; and

6 (5) a summary of public comments received in response to the
7 solicitation under (c) or (d) of this section and the department's response to those
8 comments."