

#1

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY 2026

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Integrated Victim Assistance

**ALLOCATION:** Violent Crimes Compensation Board

**ADD:** \$0

**DELETE:** \$826,100.00, Crime Victim Compensation Fund, Fund Code 1220.

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment would cut all of the funding for VCCB. This funding is based on the size of the PFD. The size of the PFD has been decreasing over the years as the legislature and Governor decides to use more and more to fund state services. With the direction the House Majority is moving with education funding and HB 69, there could be zero dollars available in this fund in as little as two years. If the legislature increases the base student allocation to the amounts proposed by HB 69, the restorative justice account would no longer exist and there would be no other funds available.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY 2026

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Integrated Victim Assistance  
**ALLOCATION:** Violent Crimes Compensation Board

**ADD:** \$0

**DELETE:** \$464,500.00, Crime Victim Compensation Fund, Fund Code 1220.

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment would maintain the prior fiscal year level of funding. This funding is based on the size of the PFD. The size of the PFD has been decreasing over the years as the legislature and Governor decides to use more and more to fund state services. With the direction the House Majority is moving with education funding and HB 69, there could be zero dollars available in this fund in as little as two years. If the legislature increases the base student allocation to the amounts proposed by HB 69, the restorative justice account would no longer exist and there would be no other funds available.

H 3

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers  
**ALLOCATION:** Dispatch Services

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$123,800.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #2 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

#4

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$50,000.00, MHTAAR, 1092

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #3 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$50,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #4 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$1,485,600.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #5 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$489,100.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #6 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

H 8

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$2,348,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #7 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.



**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$500,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #8 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska State Trooper Detachments

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$65,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #9 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

# 11

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers  
**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Bureau of Investigation

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$1,075,500.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #10 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Bureau of Investigation

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$270,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #11 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Bureau of Investigation

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$50,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #12 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

H 14

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers  
**ALLOCATION:** Aircraft Section

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$400,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #13 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers  
**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Wildlife Troopers

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$323,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #14 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers  
**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Wildlife Troopers

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$106,900.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #15 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.



#17

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Wildlife Troopers

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$300,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #16 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

#18

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska State Troopers

**ALLOCATION:** AST Facility Maintenance and Operations

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$980,000.00, I/A Receipts, 1007

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #19 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Village Public Safety Operations

**ALLOCATION:** Village Public Safety Operations

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$1,215,200.00, I/A Receipts, 1007

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #20 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Village Public Safety Operations

**ALLOCATION:** Village Public Safety Operations

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$1,660,500.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #21 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

#21

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Village Public Safety Operations

**ALLOCATION:** Village Public Safety Operations

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$1,660,500.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #22 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Alaska Police Standards Council

**ALLOCATION:** Alaska Police Standards Council

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$80,000.00, MHTAAR, 1092

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #24 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Statewide Support  
**ALLOCATION:** Commissioner's Office

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$151,100.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #32 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

#24

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Statewide Support  
**ALLOCATION:** Laboratory Services

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$347,000.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #33 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.



**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety  
**APPROPRIATION:** Statewide Support  
**ALLOCATION:** Laboratory Services

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$124,600.00, UGF, 1004

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #34 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.

**2025 SESSION OPERATING BUDGET AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

**OFFERED IN:** The House Finance Subcommittee for the Department of Public Safety

**TO:** HB 53

**OFFERED BY:** Representative Prax

**FISCAL YEAR:** FY26

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Safety

**APPROPRIATION:** Statewide Support

**ALLOCATION:** SWS Facility Maintenance and Operations

**ADD:**

**DELETE:** \$30,000.00, I/A Receipts, 1007

**POSITIONS:**

**EXPLANATION:** This amendment seeks to reverse the changes proposed in item #35 of the BA sheet. It raises a critical concern that if the legislature increases the base student allocation as outlined in HB 69, the funding for this increase would have to come from other state services.

We are facing a financial shortfall where available resources are insufficient to fund all of our desired initiatives. To navigate this challenge, we must implement results-based budgeting, as mandated by the Executive Budget Act. This approach will allow for a clearer, more efficient process for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various divisions and programs, ultimately making it easier to allocate funds where they will have the greatest impact.

However, even with this structured budgeting approach, the passage of HB 69 would likely require difficult decisions. Even highly cost-effective programs and divisions may face cuts as we make adjustments to align with the state's financial limitations.