## HB 90: \$1000 Permanent Fund Dividend

Rep. Zack Fields

## Alaska's Constitution, Amended 1976

|  | YES | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ballot Proposition No. 1 Action on Veto of Bills Constitutional Amendment | 71,829 | 39,980 |
| Ballot Proposition No. 2 Permanent Fund From NonRenewable Resource Revenue Constitutional Amendment | 75,588 | 38,518 |
| Ballot Proposition No. 3 Administration and Review of State Land Disposals Constitutional Amendment | 46,652 | 64,744 |
| Ballot Proposition No. 4 Direct Financial Aid to Students Constitutional Amendment | 54,636 | 64,211 |
| Ballot Proposition No. 5 Initiative to Repeal Limited Entry Initiative | 44,304 | 75,125 |
| Ballot Proposition No. 6 Advisory Vote on Unicameral Legislature Initiative | 58,782 | 55,204 |

State of Alaska

## OFFICIAL RETURNS

## By

Election Precinct

## General Election



- $\leqslant$ bentays.


## Governor

 Hammond's Plan (1980)"In 1980, the state legislature enacted the Permanent Fund dividend program (ch. 21 SLA 1980, hereinafter "the 1980 Act") to distribute annually a portion of the Permanent Fund's earnings directly to the state's adult residents. Under the 1980 Act, each Alaska resident 18 years of age or older would receive one dividend per each year of residency since 1959, the year of statehood. The dividends would be paid yearly, starting with a value of $\$ 50$ per dividend in 1979. The initial payment to long-term residents would be \$1,050 each, while a new resident, having lived only one month of 1979 in Alaska, would receive $\$ 4$ (1/12th of the $\$ 50$ dividend for 1979)."

- Beattie Through Beattie v. United States, March 13, 1986


## 1982 Statute:

First Dividend = \$1000

| Dividend Year | State Population | Applications Received | Applications Paid | Dividend Amount | Percent Change | Total Disbursed Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 733,583 | 665,764 | 625,912 | \$2,622.00 | 294.80\% | \$2,055,495,008.00 |
| 2021 | 732,670 | 674,454 | 636,895 | \$1,114.00 | 12.30\% | \$709,501,030.00 |
| 2020 | 728,903 | 673,371 | 630,937 | \$992.00 | -38\% | \$625,889,504.00 |
| 2019 | 732,734 | 678,738 | 633,243 | \$1,606.00 | 3.70\% | \$1,016,988,258.00 |
| 2018 | 736,239 | 670,759 | 639,247 | \$1,600.00 | 45.50\% | \$1,022,795,200.00 |
| 2017 | 737,847 | 670,706 | 633,005 | \$1,100.00 | 7.60\% | \$696,305,500.00 |
| 2016 | 739,828 | 674,939 | 638,178 | \$1,022.00 | -50.70\% | \$652,217,916.00 |
| 2015 | 737,625 | 678,308 | 641,561 | \$2,072.00 | 10.00\% | \$1,329,314,392.00 |
| 2014 | 735,601 | 676,467 | 637,289 | \$1,884.00 | 109.30\% | \$1,200,652,476.00 |
| 2013 | 736,399 | 672,603 | 634,366 | \$900.00 | 2.50\% | \$570,929,400.00 |
| 2012 | 732,298 | 679,633 | 641,644 | \$878.00 | -25.20\% | \$563,363,432.00 |
| 2011 | 722,190 | 677,888 | 644,959 | \$1,174.00 | -8.40\% | \$757,181,866.00 |
| 2010 | 710,231 | 670,399 | 637,873 | \$1,281.00 | -1.80\% | \$817,115,313.00 |
| 2009 | 692,314 | 660,290 | 624,888 | \$1,305.00 | -36.90\% | \$815,478,840.00 |
| 2008 | 679,720 | 648,532 | 616,484 | \$2,069.00 | 25.10\% | \$1,275,505,396.00 |
| 2007 | 674,510 | 634,490 | 600,278 | \$1,654.00 | 49.40\% | \$992,859,812.00 |
| 2006 | 670,053 | 629,098 | 595,166 | \$1,106.96 | 30.90\% | \$658,824,955.36 |
| 2005 | 663,253 | 632,831 | 597,639 | \$845.76 | -8.10\% | \$505,459,160.64 |
| 2004 | 656,834 | 631,229 | 599,992 | \$919.84 | -17.00\% | \$551,896,641.28 |
| 2003 | 647,747 | 626,009 | 596,176 | \$1,107.56 | -28.10\% | \$660,300,690.56 |
| 2002 | 640,544 | 621,257 | 590,031 | \$1,540.76 | -16.70\% | \$909,096,163.56 |
| 2001 | 632,241 | 620,486 | 586,848 | \$1,850.28 | -5.80\% | \$1,085,833,117.44 |
| 2000 | 627,533 | 619,063 | 583,633 | \$1,963.86 | 11.00\% | \$1,146,173,503.38 |
| 1999 | 622,000 | 592,779 | 573,324 | \$1,769.84 | 14.90\% | \$1,014,691,748.16 |
| 1998 | 617,082 | 585,222 | 565,657 | \$1,540.88 | 18.90\% | \$871,609,558.16 |
| 1997 | 609,655 | 575,270 | 555,289 | \$1,296.54 | 14.70\% | \$719,954,400.06 |
| 1996 | 605,212 | 566,061 | 546,651 | \$1,130.68 | 14.20\% | \$618,087,352.68 |
| 1995 | 601,581 | 564,702 | 542,397 | \$990.30 | 0.70\% | \$537,135,749.10 |
| 1994 | 600,622 | 559,840 | 535,178 | \$983.90 | 3.60\% | \$526,561,634.20 |
| 1993 | 596,906 | 550,705 | 528,399 | \$949.46 | 3.70\% | \$501,693,714.54 |
| 1992 | 586,722 | 544,017 | 523,099 | \$915.84 | -1.70\% | \$479,074,988.16 |
| 1991 | 569,054 | 535,475 | 512,764 | \$931.34 | -2.20\% | \$477,557,623.76 |
| 1990 | 553,171 | 533,876 | 498,447 | \$952.63 | 9.10\% | \$474,835,565.61 |
| 1989 | 538,900 | 526,511 | 508,710 | \$873.16 | 5.60\% | \$444,185,223.60 |
| 1988 | 535,000 | 537,279 | 519,724 | \$826.93 | 16.80\% | \$429,775,367.32 |
| 1987 | 541,300 | 553,320 | 530,594 | \$708.19 | 27.30\% | \$375,761,364.86 |
| 1986 | 550,700 | 543,858 | 533,315 | \$556.26 | 37.70\% | \$296,661,801.90 |
| 1985 | 543,900 | 526,976 | 519,413 | \$404.00 | 22.00\% | \$209,842,852.00 |
| 1984 | 524,000 | 494,150 | 482,135 | \$331.29 | -14.20\% | \$159,726,504.15 |
| 1983 | 499,100 | 469,229 | 458,213 | \$386.15 | -61.40\% | \$176,938,949.95 |
| 1982 | 464,300 | 487,841 | 470,897 | \$1,000.00 |  | \$470,897,000.00 |
| Total | - | 22,820,836 | 21,776,706 | \$44,427.41 |  | \$26,013,283,431.43 |

## Legislative Finance Models

- Statutory Dividend Not Affordable Without Significant Taxes
- 50/50 Dividend Not Affordable Without Significant Taxes
- 75/25 Dividend Depends on Generous Assumptions to Avoid Taxes
- \$1,000 Dividend = Predictable Dividends, No Taxes for Dividends

Legislative Finance Division Fiscal Model
Governor's FY24 amended buget with $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ arnual agency operations and capital budget growth. Statutory funding of Community Assistance FY24t; \$1,000 per person PFD FY24+; DOR fall 2022 revenue forecast.

| Revenue Surmmary | FY23 | Fr24 | FY25 | Fr26 | FY27 | FY2s | FY29 | Fr30 | FY31 | Fr32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline Traditional Revenue | 3,865.2 | 3,410.1 | 3,124.4 | 2,965.3 | 2,784.4 | 2,503.2 | 2,681.7 | 2,676.5 | 2,766.8 | 2,844.6 |
| POMV Revenue | 3,360.6 | 3,526.1 | 3,664.6 | 3,810.8 | 3,988.5 | 4,021.6 | 4,131.3 | 4,239.9 | 4,350.0 | 4,462.1 |
| New Revenue/Ad/ustments | 13.7 | (0.9) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Revenue | 7,239.5 | 6.935 .3 | 6,789.0 | 6,776.1 | 6,772.9 | 6,829.8 | 6,813.0 | 6,916.4 | 7,116.8 | 73.306 .7 |
| Budget Summary | FY23 | Fr24 | FY25 | Fr26 | FY27 | Fr28 | FY29 | Fr30 | FY31 | F132 |
| LFD Budget Baseline Scenario Changes | $5,676.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,335.1 \\ & \hline(419.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,498.0 \\ & (429.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,603.2 \\ (440.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5,769.6 \\ & (451.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 5,915.2 } \\ & (462.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,056.6 \\ & (474.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,207.5 \\ {[435.9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6,353.1 \\ & (498.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,501.8 \\ {[510.5]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Budget before PFD | 5,676.0 | 4,966.1 | 5,068.5 | 5,163.0 | 5,318.4 | 5,452.7 | 5,582.6 | 5,721.6 | 5,855.1 | 5,991.3 |
| Surplus/(Deficit) before PFD | 1,376.9 | 1,958.6 | 1,720.5 | 1,608.1 | 1,454.5 | 1,377.1 | 1,230.5 | 1,194.8 | $1,261.7$ | 1,315.4 |
| PFD Appropriation | 2,100.4 | 683.0 | 673.2 | 675.6 | 677.9 | 688.0 | 682.1 | 684.0 | 685.8 | 687.6 |
| Per Person | \$ 3,284 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| Pre-Transter Surplus/[Deficit) | (536.9) | 1,2812 | 1,047.3 | 932.5 | 776.6 | 697.1 | 548.4 | 510.8 | 575.9 | 627.8 |
| ARPA Revenue Replacement | 186.6 | 10.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Net Sevings Depocit/(Draw) | (350.3) | 1,291.8 | 1,047.3 | 932.5 | 776.6 | 697.1 | 548.4 | 510.8 | 573.9 | 1,203.7 |
| CBR/SER Ending Balance | 2,496.5 | 3,542.2 | 5,013.6 | 6,096.8 | 7,048.2 | 7,9418 | 8,706.4 | 9,4511 | 10,279.9 | 11,1812 |
| Remaining Gap after CBR/SBR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |




Permanent Fund FY Ending Balance


UGF Budget/Revenue Summary

At what oil price can the state afford to pay out a $\$ 1000$ dividend?

|  | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ANS Price | $\$ 61$ | $\$ 62$ | $\$ 63$ | $\$ 64$ | $\$ 62$ | $\$ 62$ | $\$ 69$ | $\$ 68$ | $\$ 68$ |



1. Provide PFD Predictability for Alaskans

2. Fund Services Consistent with Constitutional Intent 3. Maintain low/zero tax burden

## When Energy Prices Spike, Energy Relief Better Stimulus <br> Than Inflated PFDs

IRS says it won't tax $\$ 662$ energy relief payment Alaskans received last year along with PFD

By Alex DeMarban
Updated: February 16, 2023
Published: February 16, 2023


INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE

## BM\# 1 Constitutional Convention

Precincts Reported. 401 of 401 ( $100.00 \%$ )

|  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Times Cast | Party | $266,943 / 601,161$ | $44.40 \%$ |  |  |
| Candidate |  | Total |  |  |  |
| YES |  | 75,723 | $29.55 \%$ |  |  |
| NO |  | 180,529 | $70.45 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Votes |  | 256,252 |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |

## Alaskans say no to constitutional convention

Ey Kavitha George \& Jeremy Hsieh, Alaska Public Media - Anchorage - November 9, 2022


Alaskans have overwhelmingly said no to holding a constitutional convention.
Voters opposed the convention by more than 2-1, according to election results. About 70\% of voters said no to a convention while about $30 \%$ said yes. The Division of Elections will be tallying absentee and overseas ballots for several more days before results are expected to be certified on Nov. 29.

Questions?

