Fiscal Note State of Alaska Bill Version: **SB 88** 2025 Legislative Session Fiscal Note Number: () Publish Date: Identifier: SB088-JUD-ACS-02-24-25 Department: Judiciary Title: CHILD PLACEMENT; DILIGENT SEARCH Appropriation: Alaska Court System Sponsor: **BJORKMAN** Allocation: **Trial Courts** Requester: Senate Health and Social Services Committee OMB Component Number: 768 Expenditures/Revenues Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise noted below. (Thousands of Dollars) Included in FY2026 Governor's FY2026 **Out-Year Cost Estimates** Appropriation Requested Request **OPERATING EXPENDITURES** FY 2027 FY 2026 FY 2028 FY 2029 FY 2030 FY 2031 **FY 2026** Personal Services Travel Services Commodities Capital Outlay **Grants & Benefits** Miscellaneous 0.0 **Total Operating** 0.0 Fund Source (Operating Only) None Total 0.0 0.0 **Positions** Full-time Part-time **Temporary** Change in Revenues None Total 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Estimated SUPPLEMENTAL (FY2025) cost: 0.0 (separate supplemental appropriation required) Estimated CAPITAL (FY2026) cost: 0.0 (separate capital appropriation required) Does the bill create or modify a new fund or account? No (Supplemental/Capital/New Fund - discuss reasons and fund source(s) in analysis section) ASSOCIATED REGULATIONS

Does the bill direct, or will the bill result in, regulation changes adopted by your agency? N/A

If yes, by what date are the regulations to be adopted, amended or repealed?

Why this fiscal note differs from previous version/comments:

Initial version.

Prepared By:	Nancy Meade, General Counsel	Phone:	(907)463-4736
Division:	Alaska Court System	Date:	02/24/2025 11:00 AM
Approved By:	Stacey Marz, Administrative Director	Date:	02/24/25
Agency:	Alaska Court System		

FISCAL NOTE ANALYSIS

STATE OF ALASKA 2025 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Analysis

Senate Bill 88 would make a number of changes to the statutes about child placement for children in Child in Need of Aid cases. Section 1 would specifically allow a foster parent to request a hearing when the Office of Children's Services proposes that the child be transferred to a different placement. The court system anticipates that this will result in an increase in the number of hearings in many CINA cases, but is unable to predict how many additional hearings would result.

Section 3 of SB 88 requires the OCS to consider a child's best interests when OCS approves an adult family member for an adoption, which may lead to an added hearing or a longer hearing in CINA cases that include a termination of parental rights.

Sections 4 and 5 of SB 88 require OCS to conduct a diligent search for adult family members with specific steps that the department must take, including tracking the steps and filing a report with the court about the search. This duty for OCS will not directly impact the court, but section 5 would also require the court to review the steps that OCS took in the search, and consider whether the department is excused from further searching. This may result in more discussion and questioning at hearings, but is unlikely to have a significant impact on the court's overall handling of CINA cases or its workload.

Section 6 of the bill alters placement preferences for the initial removal of a child from their home such that if the child is under six and has been in a foster home for at least a year, that foster home is on a par with an adult family member for placement decisions. The court will apply this new preference and make a best interests finding, and anticipates added litigation in those cases where the child falls into that category.

In sum, in implementing the changes in the bill, the court expects an increase in the number and length of certain hearings, particularly from the changes in sections 1, 3, and 6. This is anticipated to increase the court's workload, but the court system is unable to anticipate the actual operational or fiscal impact, and therefore submits this indeterminate fiscal note.

(Revised 9/6/24 OMB/LFD) Page 2 of 2